Impact of resilience on the improvement of depressive symptoms after cognitive therapies for depression in a sample of young adults
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Abstract

Introduction: Few studies have evaluated positive measures for therapeutic response. Thus, the objective of this study was to assess the effects of resilience on severity of depressive and anxious symptoms after brief cognitive psychotherapy for depression.

Methods: This was a clinical follow-up study nested in a randomized clinical trial of cognitive therapies. The Resilience Scale was applied at baseline. The Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HARS) and the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) were used at baseline, post-intervention, and at six-month follow-up.

Results: Sixty-one patients were assessed at baseline, post-intervention, and at six-month follow-up. Resilience scores were significantly different between baseline and post-intervention assessments (p<0.001), as well as at baseline and at six-month follow-up (p<0.001). We observed a weak negative correlation between baseline resilience scores and HDRS scores at post-intervention (r=-0.295, p=0.015) and at six-month follow-up (r=-0.354, p=0.005). Furthermore, we observed a weak negative correlation between resilience scores and HARS scores at post-intervention (r=-0.292, p=0.016).

Conclusion: Subjects with higher resilience scores at baseline showed a lower severity of symptoms at post-intervention and at six-month follow-up.
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Resumo

Introdução: Poucos estudos têm avaliado medidas positivas de resposta terapêutica. Assim, o objetivo deste estudo foi verificar os efeitos da resiliência na severidade dos sintomas depressivos e ansiosos após psicoterapia cognitiva breve para depressão.

Métodos: Trata-se de um estudo de intervenção clínica aninhado a um ensaio clínico com dois diferentes modelos de terapia cognitiva. A Resilience Scale foi aplicada no baseline, enquanto que a Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale e a Hamilton Depression Rating Scale foram utilizadas no baseline, após a intervenção e no acompanhamento de seis meses.

Resultados: Sessenta e um pacientes foram avaliados no baseline, no pós-intervenção e no acompanhamento de seis meses. Os escores de resiliência foram significativamente diferentes entre as avaliações de baseline e pós-intervenção (p<0,001), bem como no baseline vs. acompanhamento de seis meses (p<0,001). Observamos uma correlação negativa fraca entre os escores de resiliência no baseline e os escores de sintomas depressivos no pós-intervenção (r=-0,295, p=0,015) e em seis meses de acompanhamento (r=-0,354, p=0,005). Além disso, observamos uma correlação negativa fraca entre os escores de resiliência e sintomas ansiosos no pós-intervenção (r=-0,292; p=0,016).

Conclusão: Indivíduos com maiores escores de resiliência na avaliação pré-tratamento apresentaram uma menor severidade de sintomas no pós-intervenção e no acompanhamento de seis meses.

Descritores: Terapia cognitiva, resiliência, transtorno depressivo maior, resposta terapêutica.
Introduction

Depression is the leading cause of disability worldwide and also a major contributor to the overall global burden of disease. The World Health Organization predicts that by 2020 depression will become the second leading cause of disease worldwide. Unless properly treated, depression can cause significant problems in a person's life. In addition, depression is associated with suicidal ideation. A review showed that resilience may prevent depressive symptoms, or at least reduce their impact. Thus, resilience is an important outcome to be assessed in subjects with depression.

Resilience is a complex multidimensional construct and few studies have assessed the factors involved in this phenomenon. It is known that stressful life events are associated with posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, and other psychiatric disorders. However, subjects can be exposed to stressful life events and not develop psychiatric disorders, a mechanism known as resilience. Thus, resilience is the ability to adapt successfully in the face of stress and adversity, maintaining normal psychological and physical functioning. One way to measure resilience is using scales. In Brazil, to the best of our knowledge, there is only one scale available to assess resilience, namely, the Resilience Scale. This scale is based on an instrument developed by Wagnild & Young based on the report of women who successfully adapted to life adversities.

Different intervention models can attenuate the impact of depressive symptoms on individual functions. Psychotherapeutic interventions are recommended in cases of mild or moderate depression. For the most severe forms of depression, the use of pharmacological or combined interventions is indicated. Variants of cognitive therapy have proven effective in reducing depressive symptoms and improving quality of life. Brief psychotherapy appears as an option in primary health care systems, with reduced costs when compared to traditional psychotherapies.

Studies have mostly focused on predictors of poor treatment outcome and treatment dropout, whereas little attention has been given to positive measures. In this context, resilience is introduced as the ability of the individual to become adapted to a certain range of environmental contingencies. In other words, it is a dynamic process of positive adaptation in the context of significant adversity, an integration of adverse experiences and internal and external factors that may contribute to or prevent its occurrence at the individual level. Resilience can be understood as a protective factor for clinical outcomes, with important implications for health. In addition, it is suggested that clinical interventions may help promote resilience.

Methods

This was a follow-up clinical study nested in a double-blind randomized clinical trial of two brief cognitive therapies (seven sessions): cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and narrative cognitive therapy (NCT). The participants were drug-free young adults recruited from a convenience sample through advertisements in the community health center, centers of psychosocial assistance, and the local media. Inclusion criteria were: 1) being a young adult aged between 18-29 years; and 2) having a clinical diagnosis of major depressive disorder according to the Portuguese version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV – Clinical Version (SCID-CV). Young adults were excluded from the study if the following criteria were met: 1) being in psychological or psychiatric treatment; 2) presenting a risk of suicide; 3) using a psychoactive substance (except for tobacco and alcohol); and 4) having a depressive episode due to bipolar disorder. The randomized clinical trial was approved by ethics committee of Universidade Católica de Pelotas (protocol no. 2009/24) and is published elsewhere. All subjects were informed about the experiment and agreed to participate by signing a free and informed consent.

Participants were interviewed using a sociodemographic questionnaire that investigated age, gender, family income, and educational level. Economic status was measured using the Brazilian National Economic Indicator (Indicador Econômico Nacional [IEN]). The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), an instrument consisting of 17 questions, was used to assess depressive symptoms. Its total score creates a discrete variable, where higher scores indicate greater severity. For the assessment of anxiety symptoms, we used the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HARS), which comprises 14 items. Again, higher total scores indicate higher severity of symptoms. The Resilience Scale was used to assess positive psychosocial adaptation levels.
in the face of important life events. This instrument is composed of 25 items; each item assesses the level of positive psychosocial adaptation to important life events and ranges from 1 (totally disagree with the sentence) to 7 (totally agree with the sentence). The global score ranges from 25 to 175 points, with higher scores indicating higher resilience.8 The scale was adapted and validated to Brazil by Pesce et al.8 The cross-cultural adaptation showed good results in the semantic equivalence for general meaning (above 90%) and referential meaning (above 85%). Chronbach alpha was 0.80. Kappa was regular and moderate, and the intra-class correlation coefficient was 0.746 (p<0.001). Construct validity demonstrated direct and significant correlation with self-esteem, family supervision, life satisfaction, and social support.8

Both groups (CBT and NCT) were included in the resilience analysis. Since there were no differences between the two intervention models regarding resilience scores at baseline (p=0.124), at post-intervention (immediately after the 7th session) (p=0.142), or at six-month follow-up (p=0.920), the two groups were considered together in the analysis, regardless of the type of therapy. Assessments were performed at baseline, post-intervention, and at six-month follow-up. Researchers responsible for follow-up assessments were blinded to the intervention model. All assessments were coded and data were entered into an Epi-Info 6.04d database and validated by means of double data entry. Statistical analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0. Chi-square, t-test, and Pearson correlation were used to assess potential confounders (p<0.2). Linear regression was used to assess the association between baseline resilience scores and severity of depressive and anxious symptoms at post-intervention and six-month follow-up, adjusting for depressive and anxious symptoms at baseline.

Results

Ninety-one drug-free adults (18-29 years) were included in this study. Regarding sample characteristics, 75.8% (n=69) were women, the mean age was 23.98±3.38 years, and the mean number of years of education was 12.64±3.12. The rate of treatment dropout was 25.3% (n=23). There were no differences between individuals who completed the treatment and those who dropped out regarding gender (p=0.169), age (p=0.915), years of education (p=0.598), or socioeconomic status (p=0.709). In addition, there were no significant differences in mean baseline resilience scores between those who completed the treatment (108.07±21.14) and those who did not (105.00±24.28) (p=0.563).

Sixty-eight patients completed the study and were assessed post-intervention, and 61 patients were assessed at six-month follow-up. Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the sample at baseline and at follow-up assessments. Brief cognitive therapies improved depressive and anxious symptoms at post-intervention and at six-month follow-up.

Resilience scores were significantly different between baseline and post-intervention assessments (p<0.001), as well as between baseline and at six-month follow-up (p<0.001). However, there were no significant differences in resilience scores obtained post-intervention and at six-month follow-up (p=0.115).

Figure 1 shows a weak negative correlation between resilience scores at baseline and HDRS scores at post-intervention (r=-0.295, p=0.015), and also between resilience scores at baseline and HDRS scores at six-month follow-up (r=-0.354, p=0.005). Furthermore, Figure 2 shows a weak negative correlation between resilience scores at baseline and HARS scores at post-intervention (r=-0.292, p=0.016). This association remained statistically significant after adjusting for depressive and anxious symptoms at baseline.

Discussion

This study aimed to assess the impact of resilience on severity of depressive and anxious symptoms after brief cognitive psychotherapies for depression. The results showed that patients with higher baseline resilience scores had lower depressive and anxious symptoms at post-intervention and six-month follow-up.

### Table 1 - Clinical characteristics of the study sample at baseline (t1), post-intervention (t2), and six-month follow-up (t3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Baseline (t1) n=91</th>
<th>Post-intervention (t2) n=68</th>
<th>p-value t1 vs. t2</th>
<th>Six-month follow-up (t3) n=61</th>
<th>p-value t1 vs. t3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean resilience scores</td>
<td>105.5±22.47</td>
<td>125.2±24.2</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>128±28.53</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HDRS scores</td>
<td>11.80±3.53</td>
<td>5.69±4.44</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.56±4.45</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HARS scores</td>
<td>15.68±5.87</td>
<td>7.25±5.78</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.33±6.99</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HARS = Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; HDRS = Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.
Resilience scores showed less severe symptoms at post-intervention and at six-month follow-up. In addition, the patients improved resilience scores at post-intervention, and the improvement was maintained at six-month follow-up.

In this study, patients with higher baseline resilience scores showed less severe depressive and anxious symptoms at post-intervention. We found no studies that evaluated the effects of resilience on the improvement of depressive and anxious symptoms after psychotherapeutic interventions. However, a recent study found that higher levels of resilience are associated with higher levels of quality of life in subjects with bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and healthy controls. In addition, another study with patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease showed that resilience contributed significantly to reduce the levels of anxiety, depression, and stress. Likewise, it has also been shown to reduce disease-specific pulmonary impairment after drug intervention. In this respect, the researchers emphasize that resilience may have an important role in the treatment of these disorders.

We found that patients with higher baseline resilience scores showed less severe symptoms also at six-month follow-up. Some authors suggest that resilience is a protective factor that may help prevent relapse, since it is generally thought of as an adaptation in the face of adversity, trauma, tragedy, threats, or stress. In this sense, studies about resilience in a therapeutic context are important to reinforce the interaction of resilience with improvement of psychological well-being.

The results obtained for resilience were different at baseline vs. at post-intervention, i.e., less resilient patients showed higher resilience scores after treatment, demonstrating the possible effect of psychotherapy on resilience. Similarly, a study with

Figure 1 - Correlation between resilience scores at baseline and depressive symptom scores at post-intervention and at six-month follow-up. A) Post-intervention: $r=-0.295$, $p=0.015$; B) Six-month follow-up: $r=-0.354$, $p=0.005$.

Figure 2 - Correlation between resilience scores at baseline and anxious symptom scores at post-intervention and at six-month follow-up. A) Post-intervention: $r=-0.292$, $p=0.016$; B) Six-month follow-up: $r=-0.172$, $p=0.184$. 
depressed and anxious adolescents showed higher resilience scores as well as improvement of symptoms at post-treatment. Another study examined the use of CBT to enhance resilience among adolescents whose parents were alcohol-dependent. The participants were submitted to 10 brief psychotherapy sessions. Resilience scores increased significantly after the intervention. These data together reinforce the positive effect of psychotherapy on resilience and confirm that resilience is a dynamic process that can be changed in psychotherapy. Nevertheless, our data should be interpreted considering some limitations. We did not have a control group nor did we assess the resilience of the subjects who dropped out from treatment, which did not allow us to affirm that resilience improved due to psychotherapy. However, our data showed a significant increase in resilience scores from baseline to follow-up assessments (after psychotherapy). Resilience could possibly be improved because of psychological treatment; however, more studies with a control group are needed to confirm this finding.

According to the literature, less than 50% of patients with major depressive disorder complete six months of follow-up after the end of treatment. Authors report that patients with high resilience tend to follow treatment correctly and seek for health care. However, in this study, no differences were found between the resilience scores of individuals who completed the treatment and of those who dropped out before completion. In this particular case, resilience was not a predictor of treatment adherence. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies assessing resilience as a contributing factor to the permanence of the individual in treatment or dropout rates associated with therapeutic resilience.

A limitation of the present study was its small sample, which may have influenced the interpretation of the results. Our results showed a weak correlation, possibly due to the small sample size. Thus, more studies are needed to confirm our findings. Another limitation was that the findings could not be extrapolated to patients with severe depression or suicide risk, since these characteristics were considered exclusion criteria for this study. In addition, resilience is a new concept in the research field, which may limit the interpretation of the findings. In contrast, this study presents some important contributions. The sample was composed of young people with few previous interventions, probably with early episodes of depression. Similarly, the evaluation of depressive symptoms and resilience at the end of treatment and at six-month follow-up may have contributed to differentiate our study from others using these variables. It is noteworthy that most of the studies tend to focus on risk factors for poor therapeutic response and the few studies that evaluate the effect of resilience generally investigate very specific samples. The role of resilience-related factors in psychotherapy is still little explored. There is lack of research to assess resilience as a positive predictor of therapeutic response after brief cognitive interventions. Brief interventions and less costly therapies facilitate research in this field, benefiting patients in remission of depressive and anxiety symptoms, and therefore improving their quality of life. However, further studies are needed to advance research on this topic.
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