Litter size, effects of maternal body size, and date of birth in South American scorpions (Arachnida: Scorpiones)
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ABSTRACT. We present new data on litter size and date of birth (month) for 21 South American scorpion species. We provide data for one katoikogenic species, the biochelid *Opisthacanthus cayaporum* Vellard, 1932 (offspring = 3; birth month: Jan); and for several apoikogenic species, such as the bothriurids *Bothriurus araguayae* Vellard, 1934 (53; Sep), *B. rochensis* San Martin, 1965 (22-28; Jan, Aug); the buthids *Ananteris balzani* Thorell, 1891 (10-34; Jan-Mar), *Physoctonus debilis* (Koch, 1840) (2; Sep), *Rhopalurus amazonicus* Lourenço, 1986 (19; Nov), *R. lacauro Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997* (30; Dec), *R. lativulata* Thorell, 1876 (41; Nov), *R. rochii* Borelli, 1910 (11-47; Dec-Jan, Mar-Apr), *Titus bahiensis* (Perty, 1833) (4-23; Oct-Mar), T. clathratus Koch, 1844 (8-18; Nov-Jan), T. costatus (Karsch, 1879) (21-25; Jan, Apr), T. kuryi Lourenço, 1997 (4-16; Mar), T. mattogrossensis Borelli, 1901 (8-95; May), T. obscurus (Gervais, 1843) (16-31; Jan-Feb, May, Jul), T. serrulatus Lutz & Mello, 1922 (8-36; Dec, Feb-Apr), *T. silvestris* Pocock, 1897 (5-14; Dec-Jan, Apr), T. stigmurus (Thorell, 1876) (10-18; Nov, Jan, Mar), *Tityus* sp. 1 (T. clathratus group - 7-12; Feb-Apr), *Tityus* sp. 2 (T. bahiensis group - 2; Mar), and the chaetid *Brotheras* sp. (8-21; Jan, Apr). We observed multiple broods: *R. lacauro* (offspring in the 2nd brood = 27), T. kuryi (6-16), T. obscurus (2-32), *T. silvestris* (8), T. stigmurus (4-9), T. bahiensis (offspring in the 2nd brood = 2-18; 3rd = 1), and T. costatus (2nd brood = 18; 3rd = 4). We found statistically significant positive correlation between female size and litter size for *T. bahiensis* and *T. silvestris*, and nonsignificant correlation for *T. serrulatus*.
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Scorpions have many life history traits that are unusual for most terrestrial invertebrates (see reviews in Polis & Sissom 1990, Lourenço 2002a). Scorpions are viviparous contrasting with other Arachnida (Francke 1982b, Polis & Sissom 1990, Lourenço 2002a, Brown 2004). There are two types of embryonic development: apoikogenic and katoikogenic. Oocytes of apoikogenic species develop in ovarian follicules, which are attached directly to the ovariuterus, and are variable in size (small or large) and yolkk content (rich or yolkkless) (Polis & Sissom 1990, Farley 2001). Oocytes of katoikogenic species develop in specialized diverticula that branch from the female ovariuterus and are very small and yolkkless, as such, the embryos are nourished via an oral feeding apparatus, a specialization of the diverticulum (Polis & Sissom 1990, Farley 2001). Warburg & Rosenberg (1996) described a third type of ovariuterus containing yolkk-rich ova, in which oocytes apparently mature inside – rather than on the outer surface of – the ovariuterine tubes, forming a single row arranged in the shape of a bead necklace.

Gestation may be quite long, and many scorpion species are iteroparous, that is, capable of repeatedly giving birth after one or more matings (Polis & Sissom 1990). Some species of bothids are capable to produce multiple (1-5) broods after a single insemination by storing sperm (Piza 1940, Kooor et al. 1987, Lourenço 2002a, Rouaud et al. 2002). Storage of spermatozoon can greatly increase reproductive potential of the species (Polis & Sissom 1990, Lourenço 2002a).

Birth usually occurs in protected places, in burrows or under objects (Maury 1969), and a remarkable similarity of stereotyped behaviors occurs across species (Polis & Sissom 1990, Lourenço 2002a). Females provide parental care through viviparity (Shaffer & Formanowicz 1996) and after parturition through at least the first molt of the young (Polis & Sissom 1990, Benten 1991a, b, Peretti 1994, Shaffer & Formanowicz 1996, Lourenço 2002a, Brown 2004).

Litter size in scorpions is poorly known; from over 1600 extant scorpion species, data are available for about 150 species. However, studies have shown that litter size is quite variable (Francke 1981, Polis & Sissom 1990, Benten 1991a, b, Lourenço et al. 1996, 2003, Lourenço & Cuellar 1999, Brown 2001, 2004, Rouaud et al. 2002, Lourenço 2002a, 2007). Warburg (2001) reported that it is difficult to assess the litter size in scorpions owing to the cannibalistic tendencies of the mother during parturition. Maternal cannibalism of stillborns and newborns could provide energy to the female, which nearly does not feed during the gestation period (Peretti 1994).
Covariation of reproductive parameters such as egg size and clutch size with body size has been an area of intensive investigation in evolutionary ecology, since reproductive success is maximized, in part, by optimizing the number and size of young (Smith & Freirew 1974, Parker & Begon 1986, Lloyd 1987). Empirical observation has demonstrated that larger females typically produce more and, somewhat less commonly, larger offspring (Stearns 1992), and theoretical research predicts that clutch size and offspring size should exhibit a trade-off (Smith & Freirew 1974, Stearns 1992).

Because they have more space available to store developing embryos or are better at obtaining, defending, storing, and allocating resources for reproduction, larger females often are predicted to produce more and larger offspring and to have greater reproductive investment (Brown et al. 2003, Brown 2004), and reproductive success (Skow & Jakob 2003). In most arthropod species, clutch size increases with female body (Fox & Czesak 2000), suggesting a fitness advantage of larger females.

In several species of insects, fecundity—the total number of eggs or offspring an animal produces during each reproductive cycle (Begon et al. 1990) or during the lifespan of a single female (Yoshimura 2003)—of larger individuals is greater than that of smaller ones (Berrigan 1991, Peckarsky & Cowan 1991, Honke 1993, Yoshimura 2003). Some studies indicate litter size is often positively correlated with female size in some species of spiders (Marshall & Gittleman 1994, Simpson 1995, Tanaka 1995, Prenter et al. 1999, Punzo & Henderson 1999, Brown et al. 2003, Skow & Jakob 2003), and in one species of solifuge (Punzo 1998).

However, studies on reproductive allocation—how much of the available resources to dedicate to reproduction (reproductive investment) and how to allocate that resource fraction into offspring [per-offspring investment (Bernardo 1996)]—in scorpions are rare (Francke 1981, Smith 1990, Benton 1991a, b, Formanowicz & Shaffer 1993, Brown & Formanowicz 1995, 1996, Lourenço et al. 1996, 2003, Lourenço & Cloudsley-Thompson 1999, Brown 2001, 2004, Lourenço 2007). These studies indicate larger females generally produce larger litters and have a greater reproductive investment, although these trends do not hold for all species or even all populations of a single species (Brown 2001, 2004). Moreover, scorpions seem to conform to Congdon’s (1989) optimal egg size theory for lizards, which postulates that variation in reproductive output results from variation in number of offspring rather than from differences in egg size (Lourenço et al. 1996, Lourenço & Cloudsley-Thompson 1999).

Here, we present data on litter size and date of birth (month) of 21 species of South American scorpions within four families (Bothriuridae, Buthidae, Chactidae, and Liochelidae), in light of new information. In addition, we present new data on deferred fertilization for some Rhopalurus Thorell, 1876 and Tityus Koch, 1836 species. We also analyze statistically the relations between female size and litter size for three scorpion species: Tityus bahiensis (Perty, 1833), T. serrulatus Lutz & Mello, 1922, and T. silvestris Pocock, 1897 (Buthidae).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Scorpions were collected under rocks or while active on the surface at night, using ultraviolet lights in different sites of Brazil, except for Bothriurus rochensis San Martin, 1965 (Bothriuridae) (Fig. 2) (N = 2), which were collected in Minas (Uruguay) (MZSP). Brazilian scorpions were: Ananteris balzani Vellard, 1891 (Buthidae) (Fig. 3) (N = 12) São Paulo, Itirapina (Estação Ecológica de Itirapina), Minas Gerais, Januária (Parque Nacional Cavernas do Peruaçu), and Bahia, Ceará and Guanambi (MZSP); Bothriurus araguayae Vellard, 1934 (Bothriuridae) (N = 1) Bahia, Ceará (MZSP); Brotheas sp. (Chactidae) (Fig. 8) (N = 3) Pará, Melgaço (Estação Científica Ferreira Penna) (MZSP and MPEG); Opisthacanthus cayaporum Vellard, 1932 (Liochelidae) (Fig. 1) (N = 1) Toçantis, Palmas (MZSP); Physoctonus debilis (Koch, 1840) (Buthidae) (N = 1) Piauí, Castelo do Piauí (MZSP); Rhopalurus amazonicus Lourenço, 1986 (Buthidae) (N = 1) Pará, Alter do Chão (living specimen); R. lacrav Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997 (Buthidae) (N = 1) Bahia, Itaté (Lapa do Bodo) (MZSP); R. laticauda Thorell, 1876 (Buthidae) (N = 1) Bahia, Santo Inácio, Ceraíma, Guanambi, and Minas Gerais, Janaúba (MZSP); Tityus bahiensis (Perty, 1833) (Buthidae) (Fig. 5) (N = 43) São Paulo, Itirapina (Estação Ecológica Itirapina), São Paulo, Piracicaba (Caieiras), Piracicaba, and Guarulhos (MZSP); T. clatratus Koch, 1844 (Buthidae) (N = 7) Bahia, Bonfim and Amajari (Vila Tapequém) (living specimens); T. costatus (Karsch, 1879) (Buthidae) (N = 2) São Paulo and Espírito Santo, Dóres do Rio Preto (MZSP); T. kuryi Lourenço, 1997 (Buthidae) (N = 2) Bahia, Igatu (MZSP); T. mattoagosensis Borelli 1901 (Buthidae) (N = 2) Pernambuco, Goiana (MZSP); T. obscurus (Gervais, 1843) [senior synonym of T. paraensis Kraepelin, 1896, according to Lourenço & Leguín (2008)] (Buthidae) (N = 5) Pará, Melgaço (Estação Científica Ferreira Penna) and Parauapebas (Floresta Nacional de Carajás) (MZSP); T. serrulatus Lutz & Mello, 1922 (Buthidae) (Fig. 6) (N = 11) Bahia, Andaraí, Ceará, Guanambi, and São Paulo, São Paulo (MZSP); T. silvestris Pocock, 1897 (Buthidae) (N = 14) Pará, Melgaço (Estação Científica Ferreira Penna), Chaves (Ilha de Marajó), Alter do Chão, Santarém (Anumá), and Monte Alegre (MZSP); T. stigmurus (Thorell, 1876) (Buthidae) (N = 3) Alagoas, Maceió (MZSP); Tityus sp. 1 (clatratus group sensu Lourenço 2002b) (Buthidae) (Fig. 7) (N = 7) Minas Gerais, Januária (Parque Nacional Cavernas do Peruaçu) and Goiás, São Domingos (Parque Estadual Terra Ronca) (MZSP); Tityus sp. 2 (bahiensis group sensu Lourenço 2002b) (Buthidae) (N = 1) Goiás, Posse (Gruta Rução I) (living specimen). Brotheas sp., Tityus sp. 1 and Tityus sp. 2 mentioned above are probably undescribed species.

The animals were kept in laboratory conditions at a mean temperature of 24°C (20-28°C), at the Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo. Each individual was housed in 15 x 15 x 6 cm plastic containers, with sand and humid cotton. They were fed crickets Gryllus assimilis (Fabricius, 1775) twice a month,
except when carrying offspring. Large scorpions were fed with nymph or adult crickets (about 20 mm total length) and the small ones with young crickets (less than 10 mm total length).

The containers were checked three times a week for occurrence of birth, presence of newborns, molted instars or dispersed offspring. Most females arrived pregnant from the field. Litter size (number of living and dead juveniles) were counted immediately after newborns were detected. To record litter size, newborns were removed, one by one, from female’s dorsum and counted – we returned them to their mother immediately after data collection. The dead ones observed in the containers were counted as well and fixed in 70% ethanol. All females gave birth in laboratory conditions. Females’ carapace length was measured using a dissecting microscope equipped with an optical micrometer.

We analyzed intraspecific relationships between female size and litter size for T. bahiensis, T. serrulatus, and T. silvestris the three species for which we collected sufficient data (n = 35, n = 10, and n = 9, respectively), using least-square regression. For the analyses, alpha was set at 0.05. Data analyses were carried out using SPSS for Windows version 14.0.

RESULTS

We compile data of litter size and date of birth (in month) for 127 females representing 21 species, summarized in table I. We provide for the first time new data on litter size and date of birth for 13 scorpion species within three families, as follows: B. rochensis (Bothriuridae) (Fig. 2), Ananteris balzanii (Fig. 3), Physoctonus debilis, Rhopalurus amazonicus, R. lacrau, R. laticauda, Tityus clathratus, T. costatus, T. kuryi, T. silvestris, Titus sp. 1 (clathratus group) (Fig. 7), and Titus sp. 2 (bahiensis group) (Buthidae), and Brotheas sp. (Chactidae) (Fig. 8) (Tab. I).

We observed multiple broods in seven buthid species (Tab. II) probably because of the capacity of some species of buthids to store sperm (PIZA 1940, KOVOOR et al. 1987, LOURENÇO 2002a, ROUAUD et al. 2002). We provide the first record of this phe-
Table I. Litter size and date of birth (month) of 21 South American scorpions species. New data are in bold and data from literature are in parentheses (POLIS & SISSOM 19901, LOURENÇO 19912, 2002a, LOURENÇO et al. 20034, MATTHIESEN 1971b5, 19686, LOURENÇO 20077, SARMENTO et al. 20088, PIZA 19409, MATTHIESEN 196110, BÜCHERL 197111, ROUAUD et al. 200212, LOURENÇO et al. 200013, MATTHIESEN 196214, 1971a15, MARTín & GAMBARDELLA 196616, AGUIAR et al. 200817). (CL) Carapace length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species by family</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Litter size</th>
<th>Date of birth (months)</th>
<th>CL (mm)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Katoikogenic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liochelidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Opisthacanthus cayaporum</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (15-25)</td>
<td>Jan (May-Jul)</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>1,2,3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apoikogenic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bothriuridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bothriurus araguayae</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53 (28)</td>
<td>Sep (Jul)</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. rochensis</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22-28</td>
<td>Jan and Aug</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buthidae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ananteris balzanii</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10-34</td>
<td>Jan-Mar</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Physoctonus debilis</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhopalurus amazonicus</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. lacrau</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. laticauda</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. rochai</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11-47 (23-55)</td>
<td>Dec, Jan, Mar, and Apr (Feb-Mar)</td>
<td>8.38 ± 0.66</td>
<td>1,5,6,7,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tityus bahiensis</em></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4-23 (7-82)</td>
<td>Oct-Mar (Jan-Dec)</td>
<td>6.79 ± 0.43</td>
<td>1,3,7,9,10,11,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. clathratus</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8-18</td>
<td>Nov-Jan</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. costatus</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>Jan and Apr</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. kuryi</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-16</td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>7.86 ± 0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. mattogrossensis</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8-9 (12)</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.11</td>
<td>7,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. obscurs</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16-31 (11-33)</td>
<td>Jan, Feb, May, and Jul</td>
<td>7.90 ± 1.18</td>
<td>3,5,7,12,13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. serrulatus</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8-36 (2-25)</td>
<td>Dec, Feb-Apr (Jan-Dec)</td>
<td>7.34 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1,7,9,10,12,14,15,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. silvestris</em></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>Dec, Jan, and Apr</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. stigmurus</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10-18 (6-16)</td>
<td>Nov, Jan, and Mar</td>
<td>6.70 ± 0.12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tityus sp.1 (clathratus group)</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>Feb-Apr</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tityus sp.2 (bahiensis group)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chactidae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brotheas</em> sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8-21</td>
<td>Jan and Apr</td>
<td>7.89 ± 0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These individuals present the dentate margins of pedipalp-tibia fingers composed of 16 oblique rows of granules. According to the key to the species of the group *T. clathratus* (LOURENÇO 2002b), these correspond to *T. mattogrossensis*, although *T. pusillus* Pocock, 1893 is the only species of the group known so far from the state of Pernambuco.

nomenon for the genus *Rhopalurus* (*R. lacrau*), and for some *Tityus* species (*T. costatus*, *T. kuryi*, and *T. silvestris*).

To examine intraspecific relationships between female size and litter size, we obtained data from 35 *Tityus bahiensis*, 10 *T. serrulatus*, and nine *T. silvestris* (Figs 9-11). *Tityus bahiensis* females gave birth from November 2003 to March 2005, *T. serrulatus* from December to April 2004 to 2008, and *T. silvestris* from December 2008 to January 2009. For *T. bahiensis*, female size was positively correlated to litter size ($R^2 = 0.194$, $F = 7.939$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 35$, litter size: $13.34 ± 4.12$, carapace length: $6.79 ± 0.43$ mm) (Fig. 9), being the variation in female size responsible for 19.4% of the variation in litter size. For *T. serrulatus*, the relationship between female size and litter size was also positive, but nonsignificant ($R^2 = 0.100$, $F = 0.889$, $p = 0.373$, $n = 10$, litter size: $22.40 ± 8.31$, carapace length: $7.34 ± 0.39$ mm) (Fig. 10). For *T. silvestris*, female size was positively correlated to litter size ($R^2 = 0.733$, $F = 19.247$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 9$, litter size: $9.78 ± 2.77$, carapace length: $4.08 ± 0.43$ mm) (Fig. 11), being the variation in female size responsible for 73.3% of the variation in litter size.

**DISCUSSION**

**Litter size**

We observed a small brood size (3) for the katoikogenic *O. cayaporum* (Liochelidae) (Fig. 1), while POLIS & SISSOM (1990), LOURENÇO (1991, 2002a) and LOURENÇO et al. (2003) had reported 15-25. In most arthropods, progeny size decreases with maternal age (FOX & CZESAK 2000). Decrease of litter size can be observed for females of any scorpion species which arrive to the...
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Figures 5-8. Females of four scorpions species carrying first instar juveniles: (5) *Tityus bahiensis* with 17 juveniles; (6) *T. serrulatus* with 8 juveniles; (7) *Tityus* sp.1 (*T. clathratus* group) with 12 juveniles; (8) *Brotheas* sp. with 21 juveniles. Photographs: 5-7 by Humberto Y. Yamaguti; 8 by Ricardo Pinto-da-Rocha.

Figures 9-11. Linear regression statistics for the intraspecific relationships between female size (carapace length) and litter size for three Brazilian scorpions: (9) *Tityus bahiensis* ($R^2 = 0.194, F = 7.939, p < 0.01, n = 35$, litter size: $13.34 \pm 4.12$, carapace length: $6.79 \pm 0.43$ mm); (10) *Tityus serrulatus* ($R^2 = 0.100, F = 0.889, p = 0.373, n = 10$, litter size: $22.40 \pm 8.31$, carapace length: $7.34 \pm 0.39$ mm); (11) *Tityus silvestris* ($R^2 = 0.733, F = 19.247, p < 0.01, n = 9$, litter size: $9.78 \pm 2.77$, carapace length: $4.08 \pm 0.43$).
end of their life cycle, when the number of follicles starts to decline (LOURENÇO 1979, WARBURG et al. 1995, WARBURG & ELIAS 1998a, b). However, we are not sure whether this is the case we observed since life span of O. cayaporum is, in average, seven years (LOURENÇO 2002a, LOURENÇO et al. 2003). As the observed female lived as an adult during four years in our laboratory and gave birth in the first year, we can estimate she was in the middle of her life cycle when she gave birth. Furthermore, katoikogenic embryos may have some greater control over the energy invested in their development than do apoikogenic embryos (POLIS & SISSOM 1990), so that the former species might be predicted to produce relatively small litters (BROWN 2001).

For Bothriuridae, PERETTI (1997) observed an average number of 32 ± 1.40 offspring per parturition and a maximum number between 46-55 that occurs in 18% of the cases. Moreover, most of the bothriurid females had similar litter size (VARELA 1961, MAURY 1969, 1978, MATTHEISEN 1971b, ACOSTA 1983, POLIS & SISSOM 1990, PERETTI 1997). In our observations, B. araguayae (53 offspring) had larger litter size than reported by MATTHEISEN (1971b – 28 offspring) and most bothriurid species, presenting one of the highest values of litter size for the family, whereas B. rochensis (22-28) (Fig. 2) produced slightly smaller litters than most of the bothriurid species.

LOURENÇO & CUELLAR (1999) observed an average number in brood of 16 for A. coineaui. Most of the small buthid species show strongly reduced average litter size, in most cases with numbers less than 10 (ROUAUD et al. 2002, LOURENÇO 2007). Thus, A. balzanii (10-34 young) (Fig. 3) produced in average litter size larger than small species of buthids, such as A. coineaui, and most species of Tityus (15-25, see ROUAUD et al. 2002).

MATTHEISEN (1968, 1971b), POLIS & SISSOM (1990) and LOURENÇO (2007) reported litter size of 28-49 for R. rochai and SARMENTO et al. (2008) reported an average of 35.80 ± 12.11 (23-55) offspring. Although we observed an average litter size (33 ± 11.90) (Fig. 4) similar to that presented by SARMENTO et al. (2008), our data (11-47) provide smaller numbers than those published by these authors. When we detected the presence of newborns from this female in particular, four of her 11 offspring were dead. Hence, there could be more stillborns that we did not record due to maternal cannibalism (a behavior unobserved by us) before checking the container. SARMENTO et al. (2008) recorded cannibalism by the female in four out of the five observations.

This is the first record on litter size for T. kuryi (4-16). One particular female gave birth to four offspring, which is much smaller than litter size of most species of Tityus (15-25, see ROUAUD et al. 2002) and the average number for the order (26, see POLIS & SISSOM 1990).

AGUIAR et al. 2008 reported litter size of 10.40 ± 2.90 (6-16) for T. stigmurus. These authors also observed that litter size and offspring mass were unrelated to female size, although there was significant positive correlation between total litter mass and litter size. Thus, female reproductive resources in T. stigmurus appeared to be applied to the production of more but not heavier offspring. Our data [13 ± 4.36 (10-18)] provide a slightly larger brood sizes than those published by these authors and litter size similar to most species of Tityus (15-25, see ROUAUD et al. 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>1st brood</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2nd brood</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>3rd brood</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Litter size</td>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>Litter size</td>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>Litter size</td>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhopalurus lacrau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 Dec 2006</td>
<td>27 Feb 2008</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tityus bahiensis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 Dec 2001</td>
<td>3 Jan 2003</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10 Feb 2005</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Dec 2006</td>
<td>10 Dec 2007</td>
<td>1 Apr 2008</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. costatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21 Apr 2004</td>
<td>18 Nov 2004</td>
<td>4 Mar 2005</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mar 2007</td>
<td>32 Jan 2009</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. obscurus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21 Jan 2003</td>
<td>2 Apr 2003</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Jul 2008</td>
<td>32 Jan 2009</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. silvestris</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 Jan 2003</td>
<td>8 Jun 2003</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. stigmurus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 Jan 2003</td>
<td>4 Apr 2003</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nov 2002</td>
<td>9 Feb 2003</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deferred fertilization

We observed multiple broods in seven buthid species (Tab. II), including the first record of this phenomenon for the genus Rhopalurus (R. lacrav), and for some Tityus species (T. costatus, T. kuryi, and T. silvestris). We noticed a decrease in litter size through multiples broods, except in T. silvestris, and one case in T. bahiensis and T. kuryi. This could be explained by a reduction on viability of stored spermatozoa, or by the ageing of the female.

Piza (1940), Bocherl (1956), and Mattheisen (1961, 1968) reported the occurrence of storage of spermatozoa in T. bahiensis, being 7-20 the number of offspring per female (Mattheisen 1961) and the capability of giving birth to three broods after mating (Mattheisen 1968). We observed seven out of eight females giving birth to two broods and one of them gave birth to a third brood, being 1-21 the number of offspring per female. Our data corroborate Mattheisen’s number of broods and shows a lower number of offspring per female.

Mattheisen (1971b) observed that three females of T. obscurus produced multiple (2-3) broods, but he did not mention the number of young born from each parturition. In our observations, two females gave birth to two broods: one female gave birth to 21 and two offspring respectively in the first and second broods, and the other gave birth to 31 and 32 offspring respectively.

Mattheisen (1971b) observed one female of T. stigmurus giving birth to three broods in laboratory, but he did not mention litter size. We observed two females giving birth to two broods, with 4-18 offspring per female.

Parthenogenesis

Parthenogenesis in T. serrulatus was discovered by Mattheisen (1962). Mattheisen (1971a) reported that T. serrulatus was able to give birth to young up to four times and the average number of offspring per female was 17. We observed litter size of 21.36 ± 8.60 (range 8-36, N = 11) for T. serrulatus (Fig. 6), being similar to Mattheisen’s observations, and four females giving birth to two broods (Tab. III).

Effects of maternal body size on litter size

We found significant positive correlation between female size and litter size for *T. bahiensis* (p < 0.01, F = 7.939) (Fig. 9) and *T. silvestris* (p < 0.01, F = 19.247) (Fig. 11). This result is common in other taxa (Stearns 1992), including insects (Honěk 1993), arachnids – spiders (Punzo & Henderson 1999) and solifuges (Punzo 1998) –, and other arthropod species (Fox & Czesak 2000). Although Brown (2001) found little evidence for the covariation between female size and litter size at the intraspecific level in scorpions, with the increase of research in this area, more evidence has been found to support such prediction (see Brown 2004). Moreover, variation in female size explained 19.4% of the variation in litter size for *T. bahiensis* (R² = 0.194) and 73.3% for *T. silvestris* (R² = 0.733). Thus, larger females of *T. bahiensis* and *T. silvestris* produced more offspring (had larger litter) and invested more into reproduction. In addition, larger females have more room to store developing young, that is, if all females obtain sufficient resources for reproduction, then clutch size may be affected by available space within the female for developing offspring (see Bradley 1984, Sinervo & Licht 1991).

However, correlation between female size and litter size for *T. serrulatus* was nonsignificant (p = 0.373; F = 0.889), although larger females tended to have larger litters (Fig. 10). This trend is common for most scorpion species (Brown 2001, 2004), but Warrburg (2001) reported that larger females do not always produce more embryos; it may be related to the physiological condition of the female, instead of female size.

A second explanation for the lack of correlation between female size and litter size for *T. serrulatus* involves the lower variance in sizes presented by the females in our samples. Alternatively, our sample size is just too small to reveal effects of female size in litter size and further studies should be conducted, although Brown (2001, 2004) reported that, among scorpions, sample size is uncorrelated with the magnitude of the correlation coefficient between female size and litter size.

Intraspecific and individual variation in reproduction can be due to other factors besides female size such as foraging success, temperature, humidity, photoperiod, age, and physiological state of the female (see Fischer & Vasconcellos-Neto 2005). Thus, differences in resource availability and acquisition among females – which can occur if better quality females obtain more or better quality prey – may also explain the trends observed (Brown & Formanowicz 1995, 1996). Besides, Lourenço et al. (1996) observed that a larger litter could be due to greater food availability and Polis & SiSSom (1990) reported that low food levels might lead females to invest fewer resources in reproduction, or to reabsorb some embryos (Polis & SiSSom 1990). On the other hand, if all females obtain sufficient resources for reproduction, then clutch size may be affected by available space within the female for developing offspring (see Bradley 1984, Sinervo & Licht 1991). Even though females of *T. serrulatus* were collected in different sites and date, most (50%) were from two close localities (Bahia State, Ceraíma and Guanambi), and this bias could have influenced our results. Moreover, *T. serrulatus* is partenogenetic and is capable of producing multiple broods during a single year. If litter size changes during the breeding season and the life cycle, then the values used for this species may not accurately reflect the true mean litter size (see Brown 2001). Another factor that may also be involved is that laboratory conditions are more stressful than in the field, and might also have influenced these trends by leading to distinct (decreased) investment in reproduction.

Finally, spatial or temporal variation in the intraspecific relationship between female size and litter size suggests that patterns of resource allocation (to reproduction) are not fixed in a species, but may vary due to genetic differentiation (local adaptation) among populations or due to environmental stochasticity among years (Brown 2001). More information on life histories in other scorpions needs to be gathered to determine the general trend of the correlation between litter size and female size within the order.
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