Sexual dimorphism is widely known in several species of birds (Andersson 1994, Owens & Hartley 1998) and has been commonly associated with sexual selection (Andersson 1994, Gray 1996, Horak & Lebreton 1998). Another interpretation suggests that natural selection may favor the evolution of sexual dimorphism (Bjorklund 1991) through predatory pressure (Götmark et al. 1997). Empirical studies have documented differences in the patterns of survival (Angelstam 1984, Sillett & Holmes 2002, Gardner et al. 2003) and predation (Sargeant et al. 1984, Götmark et al. 1997, Post & Götmark 2006a) between males and females. For instance, males of sexually dimorphic invertebrates, fish, and some birds seem to fall victims of predation more often than their female counterparts (Magnhagen 1991, Mckellar et al. 2009). These males may be more vulnerable because their behavior and morphological traits make them more conspicuous, contrasting with their cryptic or camouflaged females (conspicuity hypothesis: Slagsvold et al. 1995, Huitink et al. 2003). However, conflicting evidence showing that predation may be greater in females of some sexually dimorphic species do exist (Kenward & Marcstrom 1981, Sargeant et al. 1984, Götmark et al. 1997, Post & Götmark 2006a).

In addition to the rates of predation, other relevant information in the context of sex-specific predation risk is the adult sex ratio (ASR) in bird populations. In a recent review, Donald (2007) demonstrated that adult males outnumbered females in several species of birds (see also Dobson 1987, Brettwisch 1989). A male-biased ASR was found in 60.4% (N = 86) of the population level estimates of passerine species; by contrast, 83% (N = 140) of population-level estimates of offspring sex ratio (OSR) in birds did not differ from equality (Donald 2007). Pondering the OSR balanced, the author argued that the only explanation for a skewed ASR would be higher mortality in the rare sex (Donald 2007), as demonstrated for species of fish (Maat 1970, McKellar et al. 2009) and birds (Lehdonen et al. 2008). Alternatively, sex differences in dispersion (and migration) may also account for deviations from a balanced sex ratio, but are less common and have been rarely tested in birds (Donald 2007). According to the dispersal hypothesis, the sex that disperses more is rarer in stable populations (Stiver et al. 2007, Desjardins et al. 2008), and predominates in new populations (Fev et al. 1987). This pattern, however, is rare in empirical studies (Donald 2007). Anatidae populations, for example, which have more dispersive males than females (Gowaty 1993, Clarke et al. 1997), still show a predominance of males in stable populations (Maat 1939, Bolen 1970).

The hypothesis of sex differences in mortality as an explanation for the variation in adult sex ratio (Donald 2007) is supported by estimates of survival for adult birds (e.g. Gardner et al. 2003). However, few studies have attempted to explain...
intersexual differences in mortality (Donald 2007). Studies on secondary sex ratio (nestling, fledgling, or immature periods) suggest that the rarer sex may be more vulnerable to starvation due to competitive exclusion (Arroyo 2002, Hiries et al. 2002) or lack of specific nutritional elements (Martins 2004); or be more susceptible to diseases and parasites (Leikonen et al. 2008) due to heterozygosity inferiority (Myers 1978) or lower physiological resistance (Bize et al. 2005). However, one of the most speculated explanations for a skewed ASR pertains the risk of predation (Gotmark et al. 1997), and predicts that the rare sex is more vulnerable to it (usually females in birds: Post & Gotmark 2006a). Assuming that the predation-risk hypothesis is valid, the next question is: why would female birds fall victim of predation more often than their male counterparts (Jäkobsson et al. 1995, Zuk & Kolluru 1998)?

One possible answer involves the trade-off between foraging and predation risk (Lima & Dill 1990, Dukas & Kamil 2000), generated by differences in parental investment between males and females (sex role hypothesis: Gotmark et al. 1997, Post & Gotmark 2006b). More investment in foraging means less attention paid to predators, which makes an individual more prone to becoming prey in nature (Dukas & Kamil 2000). Foraging efforts also reduce the time spent in vigilance (Lima & Dill 1990), a common antipredator tactic used by birds (Caro 2005). The ‘sex role hypothesis’ predicts that females consume more energy because they need to produce and incubate eggs, and to take care of their offspring during the breeding season (Perrins 1996, Monaghan & Nager 1997); during this period, they lose some of their capacity to fly (Veasey et al. 2000, Kullberg et al. 2002); females also take more risks than males when they select habitats for foraging (Gotmark & Post 1996). Furthermore, differences in foraging strategies may also result in a female-biased predation risk (Post & Gotmark 2006b).

Here, I test some predictions of the ‘foraging effort hypothesis’ (Post & Gotmark 2006a) to explain sex differences in predation risk in adults of a population of the coal-crested finch. During the breeding season, I evaluated whether 1) the sex ratio is male-biased; 2) females invest more in foraging; 3) females forage more on the ground; 4) males are in average more vigilant. The results are discussed in the context of sex differences in parental investment, and the evolution of antipredator strategies in males and females of the species.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The study was conducted at the environmental protection area of the São Bartolomeu River, near Sobradinho (15°39′-15°42′S, 47°41′-47°44′W), Distrito Federal, Central Brazil. A 265 ha plot was chosen for sampling based on the presence of savanna and grassland vegetation, which are the primary habitats of coal-crested finches (Diniz & Santos 2010). Part of the area shows signs of a recent accidental fire. The region is close to rural farms and condominiums, as well as land claim communities. Human interference is common, including regular extraction of wood. The climate in the area is tropical seasonal, with well defined wet and dry seasons (Silva et al. 2008).

The coal-crested finch, Charitospiza eucosma Oberholser, 1905 is a typical passerine of the savannas in the Cerrado Region (Sick 1997, Macedo 2002, Diniz & Santos 2010). This species has a strong sexual dimorphism: the male is characterized by the black of the crown, crest and chest; the female has an orange buff breast-belly, and gray-gold back (Sick 1997, Diniz & Santos 2010). The life history of this species is poorly known (Borges & Marin 2008). Coal-crested finches can be found solitary, in pairs, or in small to large flocks. They are social during the non-breeding season, and are frequently found in association with mixed-species flocks. The foraging behavior of coal-crested finches is unclear. According to anecdotal evidence, they eat mainly grass, fruits and insects. coal-crested Finches are versatile for a Neotropical passerine, using several foraging tactics. Gleaning is the most widely used foraging tactic, especially in the capture of grass fruits. They also hang and reach to feed on insects and fruits (Diniz & Santos 2010). The only direct evidence of their reproduction is one nest found in a savanna at the Distrito Federal (Borges & Marin 2008). A more detailed study of the breeding biology of the coal-crested finch points to a monogamous social system during the reproductive season (see Diniz & Santos 2010). The pair builds the nest and together take care of the offspring in the nestling and fledgling periods. Males defend their territory from courtship to the end of the nesting period (Diniz & Santos 2010).

To evaluate the tertiary sex ratio in the population we sampled specimens with mist-nets in 2008 (January, May-October, and December) and 2009 (January-June). The average interval between samplings was 7.7 days (range = 0-109, N = 67), and the longest was 109 days, between January and May 2008, due to logistical constraints. A non-seasonal sampling, such as the one adopted in this work, is important to avoid errors, because the sex ratio can vary seasonally (Svensson 1997, Kelly 1998). I used four (= mode) 12 x 2.5 m mist-nets on a regular sampling day (range = 1-8, N = 67). The mist-nets were placed randomly in the habitat and no method was used to attract individuals, to avoid overestimating the capture of one sex (Holmes et al. 1989, Sogge et al. 2001). However, during the breeding season the mist-nets were placed inside coal-crested finch territories, placed more than 100 m from the nest (the distance between the mist-net and the nest may influence the capture rate) (Nur et al. 2004). The mist-netting effort did not exceed one day in each territory. Juveniles were not counted. The mist-nets were usually opened in the period 06:00 to 10:00, starting at sunrise (mean 4.17 h ± 2.3 DP, range = 0.67-10.7 h, N = 67). This method has two advantages. First, it controls the effects of micro-spatial factors that may confound sex ratio estimates; second, it can be more effective than methods involving direct observation, since it avoids overestimation the
sex that is more conspicuous to the observer. DOMÈNECH & SENAR (1997) studied the influence of capture methods on age and sex of Serins, Serinus serinus Linnaeus, 1766 (Fringillidae), showing that mist-nets do not overestimate the sex ratio in favor of males or females. Moreover, mist nets are widely used in bird demographic studies (RALPH & DUNN 2004). Here, one hundred and five (105) individuals were captured in 1310.47 net-h (Fig. 1).

Behavioral observations were conducted to measure traits related to foraging and vigilance of adult birds, using the focal-animal method (ALTMANN 1974). The searches for the birds were conducted randomly in their habitat during part of the breeding season (September-November 2009: DINIZ & SANTOS 2010). Observations were made only between 06:00 to 10:00 and 16:00 to 18:00. I used 7-21 x 40 binoculars at a distance of approximately 20-30 m. I considered only individuals in social breeding pairs. The behavior of the individual selected was measured with a chronometer in focal bouts (sample unit) limited to 420 seconds (min = 60.5, N = 86, Tab. I). I also used a mini-recorder to measure accurately the proportion of time spent in each behavior on a focal bout. I did not sample two or more bouts of the same individual in one day. On average, I did 2.46 ± 1.65 SD foraging bouts/day (range = 1-7, N = 35 days), or 525.59 ± 429.97 SD seconds/day of observation (range = 60.54-1862.93). In order to standardize the sampling, the bouts did not start until the bird was foraging, which avoids false estimates, for example, of singing males or incubating females. When the individual exhibited antipredator response to the observer during a bout, the bout was disregarded. A focal bout ended when the individual left the scope of the observer for more than 30 seconds (a tolerance). All focal bouts were conducted by a single observer (Diniz).

I collected variables related to the percentage of time (during one bout) the subject spent on the following behavioral categories: 1) foraging, 2) vigilance, 3) self-maintenance, 4) communication (calls, song), 5) fight (aggression, chases) and 6) parental care. I also took notes on the types of foraging sites (ground, shrub and tree), and the vertical use of the habitat ( perch height: 0-1, 1-2, 2-4, > 4 m). Shifts in flight were infrequent and always occurred at distances that were prohibitive to continue the focal bout. The focal-time-budget method is common in experimental and observational studies of foraging and antipredator behavior in birds (GOTMARK et al. 1997, POST & GOTMARK 2006a, b, AVILÉS & BRONIKOWSKI 2007, CHOI et al. 2007).

Table I. Focal bouts and behavior of males and females of coal-crested finch during breeding season. Values expressed as mean ± SE. Values of behavioral variables exhibited as mean of time percentage (%) ± 1 SE. The significance differences are expressed in asterisks (0.05-0.001*<0.001**<0.0001***). ns: not significant. The sample size was 33, except for females ‘Perch height’, with N = 32.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data/variables</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation time (min)</td>
<td>188.50</td>
<td>119.91</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (N)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>33 (32)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bout duration (s)</td>
<td>213.39 ± 14.49</td>
<td>216.19 ± 17.54</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigilance (%)***</td>
<td>51.47 ± 5.00</td>
<td>19.37 ± 4.38</td>
<td>395.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foraging (%)**</td>
<td>38.58 ± 4.97</td>
<td>68.76 ± 5.74</td>
<td>480.5</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground foraging*</td>
<td>24.73 ± 4.22</td>
<td>44.08 ± 6.45</td>
<td>573.5</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub foraging</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.67</td>
<td>8.28 ± 3.37</td>
<td>743.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree foraging</td>
<td>12.63 ± 3.01</td>
<td>15.80 ± 5.39</td>
<td>821.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-maintenance (%)</td>
<td>14.36 ± 3.24</td>
<td>14.68 ± 4.94</td>
<td>828.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fight (%)</td>
<td>2.54 ± 1.23</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.51</td>
<td>802.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental care (%)*</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.05 ± 3.12</td>
<td>636.0</td>
<td>0.0340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song**</td>
<td>16.50 ± 3.43</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.43</td>
<td>481.0</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarm call</td>
<td>4.52 ± 1.48</td>
<td>1.66 ± 1.16</td>
<td>749.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant call</td>
<td>20.75 ± 4.99</td>
<td>13.11 ± 4.45</td>
<td>711.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perch height (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-1 m*</td>
<td>27.56 ± 4.64</td>
<td>54.06 ± 6.36</td>
<td>492.5</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 m</td>
<td>14.98 ± 2.54</td>
<td>19.03 ± 4.24</td>
<td>787.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 m</td>
<td>21.32 ± 3.99</td>
<td>13.83 ± 4.41</td>
<td>714.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4 m*</td>
<td>31.09 ± 6.02</td>
<td>4.54 ± 1.95</td>
<td>496.0</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shifting in hops or jumps between substrates were considered as ‘foraging’ if there was no communication to suggest vigilance; these types of movement are similar to the ‘search time’ of the tyrant flycatchers (FITZPATRICK 1980). It is difficult to separate foraging in flight from foraging at the starting substrate (often a tree) for a generalist foragers such as coal-crested finch. Therefore, I considered foraging in flight and foraging in substrate of departure in the same category (e.g. foraging in the tree). The ‘vigilance’ was considered the moment not preceded by an attack when the subject positioned its head slightly above its horizontal body axis (‘overt vigilance’ according BRINKHOFF & LIMA 2005). Necessary precautions were taken not to confuse ‘vigilance’ with food-handling (FERNÁNDEZ-JURICIC et al. 2007). I considered ‘parental care’ any behavior performed in the care of eggs, nestlings or fledglings (i.e. inside or outside the nest). The variable ‘self-maintenance’ refers to the preening, head-scratching, sunbathing or rest behaviors (see POST & GOTMARK 2006a, CHOI et al. 2007, MARUYAMA et al. 2010). POST & GOTMARK (2006a) categorized just ‘preening’, while CHOI et al. (2007), studying a waterbird, isolated ‘rest’ of the variables considered here as ‘self-maintenance’. MARUYAMA et al. (2010) grouped preening, foot scratching and related behaviors in ‘maintenance’, and separated sleeping on ‘inactivity’. The ‘calls’ were classified into constant and alarm calls (see detailed description in DINIZ & SANTOS 2010). The first call concerns the constant communication with the subjects’ mate partner. The second call was identified when the territory was invaded by intruders, or when the subject met potential predators (e.g. birds of prey, jays). The perch height was recorded in conjunction with any other variable (e.g. vigilance, foraging: POST & GOTMARK 2006a).

The sex ratio was obtained by calculating the proportion of captured individuals of one sex over another. I performed Chi-square tests to assess the effect of temporal variables such as year (2008 vs. 2009) and breeding activity (breeding x nonbreeding season) on the sex ratio. The Yates correction for 2 x 2 contingency tables was applied. The behavioral data did not meet the assumption of normality required for parametric analysis. Therefore, the differences between males and females were analyzed with the Mann-Whitney test. More specifically, I compared the proportion of time individuals of each sex (median) spent in each behavior (or habitat use) within each focal bout. The tests were carried out with the help of the software BioEstat (AYRES & AYRES-JR 2007).

**RESULTS**

The sex ratio for the coal-crested finch population under study was 1.386, skewed in favor of males (61 males: 44 females). Thus, the proportion of the population composed by males was 0.58 (WILSON & HARDY 2002). The year ($\chi^2 = 0.32, df = 1, p = 0.571$) and breeding activity ($\chi^2 = 3.48, df = 1, p = 0.097$) did not influence sample composition. The predominance of males was more pronounced during the breeding season (Fig. 1).

Males were observed in 53 bouts and females in 33. The total observation time was 307.4 minutes. Males and females differed in behavioral aspects that can directly influence the risk of predation. For example, males spent more than 50% of their time in vigilance, whereas females spent less than 20%. Moreover, males sang 16% more times than females during focal bouts. This may be an indication that males spend considerably more time in activities related to courtship and territoriality. The substrate used for these activities was one of the highest perches available in the habitat, over four meters high (31.09%). Females remained on these perches for only 4.54% of their time (Fig. 2, Tab. I).

Females spent more time than males foraging and in parenting care. Females foraged 68.76% of the time, contrasting with males who foraged only 38.58% of the time. In addition, females outnumbered males in all foraging sites (ground, shrub or tree). This tendency was particularly more pronounced on the ground, where females foraged significantly more than males. Females were engaged in parental care such as incubation and feeding of nestlings and fledglings more often than their partners. Still, these activities contributed to only 6.05% of the time used by females. In addition to foraging, females of the coal-crested finch remained on the ground for more than 54% of the time, contrasting significantly with males (27.6%, Fig. 2, Tab. I).

Males and females did not differ significantly in other behaviors and habitat used. Both spent about 14% of the time doing ‘self-maintenance’. They also spent some of their time in fights. The percentage of time spent foraging in shrubs and trees also did not differ. The same was true for communication behaviors: constant calls and alarm calls. Females remained on perches ‘1-2’ and ‘2-4’ m 14.95% and 21.32% of the time, respectively, whereas males spent 19.03% of the time on perches ‘1-2’ and 13.32% on perches ‘2-4’ m (Fig. 2, Tab. I). These differences were not statistically significant.
Sex-dependent foraging effort and vigilance in C. eucosma during the breeding season

**DISCUSSION**

**Sex ratio**

The adult male-biased sex ratio found for the coal-crested finch population under study (0.58) corroborates the ASR pattern found for several other species of birds (mean and median 0.57, N = 173 species), mainly Passeriformes (review in Donald 2007). The data from this study is not sufficient to rule out the dispersal-hypothesis as an explanation for the ASR in coal-crested finches (Dale 2001). This is because the dispersion of females in birds can directly affect the ASR in favor of males (Sandberg et al. 2000), and coal-crested finches are apparently semi-nomadic (Diniz & Santos 2010). The ASR can also be directly or indirectly a result of ontogenetic (age-at-maturity: Girondot & Pieu 1993, Rubolini et al. 2004), phylogenetic (Donald 2007), ecological – fluctuation of food resources: Clutton-Brock et al. (1991); spatial and temporal scales: Consuegra & Leaniz (2007); presence of predators: McKellar et al. 2009 –, and social factors – mating system: Prohl (2002); sexual competition: Leturque & Rousett (2004) –. However, mortality (or less survival) is the most widely supported hypothesis to explain deviations of ASR in natural populations, even in populations with strongly sex-biased dispersal (Williams & Rangenold 2005). In adult birds, mortality is greater or survival is lower in females (review in Donald 2007). For example, survival models with mark-resighting data estimated a higher survival of males in male-biased populations of the Black-throated blue Warbler Dendroica caerulescens Gmelin, 1789 (Parulidae) (survival probability = 0.51 vs. 0.43 for female, Sillett & Holmes 2002) e Speckled Warbler Chthonicola sagittata Latham, 1801 (Acanthisiidae) (mortality: 37% in females and 14% in males, Gardner et al. 2003).

Predation stands out as a cause of bird mortality (Kenward & Marcstrom 1981, Sargeant et al. 1984, O’Donnell 1996, Gotmark et al. 1997, Post & Gotmark 2006a). Even though it is still not possible to precisely identify the selective pressures that have shaped the evolution of ASR in coal-crested finches, it is very likely that adults are under some degree of selection by predation. Attempts made by Falco species to catch adult coal-crested Finches have been recorded, and may not be unusual; in addition, coal-crested finches exhibit a variety of antipredator behaviors (Diniz & Santos 2010). The male-biased sex ratio pattern was also observed in the White-backed Tanager Neothraupis fasciata (Lichtenstein, 1823) (Thraupidae) (C.G. Duca unpubl. data 2007), a species commonly associated with the coal-crested finch in mixed flocks (Diniz & Santos 2010). Syntopic (or sympatric) prey species may have predators in common, as observed in the Eurasian blackbird Turdus merula Linnaeus, 1758 (Turdidae), the Pied flycatcher Ficedula hypoleuca Pallas, 1764 (Muscicapidae) and the Chaffinch Fringilla coelebs Linnaeus, 1758 (Fringillidae), which are predated by the European sparrowhawk Accipiter nisus Linnaeus, 1758 (Accipitriformes). Alternatively, mortality may be caused by starvation (Adams & Frederick 2009) or increased susceptibility to diseases and parasites (Swennen et al. 1979, Bize et al. 2005, Lehikoinen et al. 2008). Sex-related starvation is unlikely in adults of coal-crested finches because males and females have similar physical constitutions (Diniz & Santos 2010). Parasitism was not observed in adults in the population studied and nestlings from the same clutch did not differ in the rate of parasitism (pers. obs.).

Other hypotheses based on parental investment (offspring sex ratio: OSR) offer alternative explanations (Clarke 1978, Emlen et al. 1986). Assuming that parental investment is selected individually, adaptive differences in the production of males and females may occur (Leturque & Rousett 2004). The trade-off between dispersing and staying within the parental territory (cost-benefit of competition and cooperation with parents) may influence the evolution of the OSR (Clarke 1978, Emlen et al. 1986, Leturque & Rousett 2004). However, the OSR does not vary much from the expected equal proportions in populations of birds and mammals: 8 in 10 male-biased sex ratio bird species have balanced OSR (Donald 2007). Balanced OSR is also found in Neotropical birds (South & Wright 2002, Budden & Blessing 2004). The balanced OSR in the population of the coal-crested Finch is an important premise required to test whether mortality is higher in females than in adult males, and corroborates the results found here in this study (Donald 2007). I suggest that, in the future, assessments of the OSR are conducted for the coal-crested finch. In polygynous mammals, for example, the OSR and dispersal patterns, both male-biased, determine the ASR (Leturque & Rousett 2004).

Alternatively, previous studies on adult mortality in populations with skewed ASR and OSR balanced, showed that sex-specific mortality is more prevalent in juvenile birds – secondary sex ratio SSR: Arroyo (2002), Høksems et al. (2002), Lehikoinen et al. (2008) –. In a population of the Common Eider, Somateria mollissima Linnaeus, 1758 (Anatidae) with the
same OSR (1:1, N = 418), carcasses of juvenile females predominanted (59%, N = 118). But a deviation of the SSR should not occur in coal-crested finch populations, since there are no sex differences in body mass of nestlings and fledglings, common in species with biased SSR (Arroyo 2002, Higgins et al. 2002).

Few methods of measuring ASR are a priori unbiased, including genetic analyzes of fecal droppings or shed feathers, removal experiments or molecular sexing of prey remains or including genetic analyzes of fecal droppings or shed feathers, removal experiments or molecular sexing of prey remains or including genetic analyzes of fecal droppings or shed feathers, removal experiments or molecular sexing of prey remains or including genetic analyzes of fecal droppings or shed feathers.

Foraging effort vs. predation risk

The empirical argument in favor of foraging effort as an explanation for increased predation risk is well-known, but other predictions in foraging behavior also begin to emerge. Some studies argue that birds foraging on the ground would be more exposed to predators and have fewer opportunities to escape an attack (Selas 1993, Gotmark & Post 1996), in which foraging and vigilance tend to not overlap in time. Gotmark et al. (1997) suggested that chaffinches are moving about 90% of the time, either foraging or on other activities. In the coal-crested finch, the time spent on vigilance can be considered high, even considering females.

Studies with Passeriformes in north temperate zones support the ‘foraging effort hypothesis’ (Gotmark et al. 1997, Post & Gotmark 2006a). The Eurasian sparrowhawk Accipiter nisus is an important predator of Passeriformes in this region (Gotmark & Post 1996). Females of one of its prey, the Eurasian blackbird, are predated upon more often than males (57% of 98 remains of adult blackbirds in the nests of Eurasian sparrowhawk), and forage for up to 69% of the time during the breeding season (Post & Gotmark 2006a). I have found a very similar value (68.76%) in this study for the coal-crested finch. In Pied flycatcher, females devote more effort to foraging and are 4.7 times more vulnerable than males per unit of time outside the nest. These results are based on prey remains found in the nests of the Eurasian sparrowhawk (Post & Gotmark 2006b). Gotmark et al. (1997) showed that females foraged 20% longer than males and accounted for 70% of the chaffinches in the nests of Eurasian Sparrowhawks, and that Sparrowhawks do not select their prey according to gender. The authors of these studies did not measure the time spent in vigilance, but assumed the hypothesis of Lima & Dill (1990), in which foraging and vigilance tend to not overlap in time. Gotmark et al. (1997) suggested that chaffinches are moving about 90% of the time, either foraging or on other activities. In the coal-crested finch, the time spent on vigilance can be considered high, even considering females.
1997), differences in nutritional requirements (Lewis et al. 2002), or parental investment (Gotmark et al. 1997, Post & Gotmark 2006b).

**Parental investment**

Males of the coal-crested finch build the nest, render parental care to nestlings and fledglings, but do not incubate the eggs. By contrast, females are present in all of these activities (Diniz & Santos 2010). In this study, males were not observed rendering parental care, and females spent only about 6% of their time on it. These results, which suggest that parental care by both sexes is limited, may be a reflexion of the fact that our focal observations focused on foraging subjects. Males of the coal-crested finch, however, used time to sing (16.5%). Behaviors associated with male-male competition for territory and mating, which include the rate of singing, can make an individual more likely to be detected by a predator (Slagsvold et al. 1995, Dale & Slagsvold 1996, Montgomerie et al. 2001). But singing only may not, in and on itself, decrease vigilance (Krams 2001). Another evidence in support of a male-biased predation risk is the fact that breeding males form clusters. Territorial clustering, similar to leks, as observed in coal-crested finches (Diniz & Santos 2010), can attract predators and increase the risk of predation for males (Trail 1987, Hale 2004); alternatively it can have the opposite effect, reducing predation risk through dilution effect or predator deterrence (Gibson et al. 2002, Perry & Andersen 2003).

Parental investment may be the most important factor determining the different degrees of vulnerability of each sex to predation (sex role hypothesis: Gotmark et al. 1997, Post & Gotmark 2006b). Physiological approaches show that females consume more energy during the breeding season. For birds, the cost may be higher during egg production and incubation (Tinbergen & Deit 1994, Ward 1996). Some researchers have established a simple relationship between energy demand and the increase in foraging effort, with consequent reduction in vigilance (Lima & Dill 1990, Lima & Bednekoff 1999, Durak & Kamil 2000). Again, the result would be a female-biased predation risk. For males, the reproductive activities (e.g. singing) are not so energetically demanding (Oberweger & Goller 2001).

Parental investment also directly affects the risk of predation in adult birds (Stoleston & Beisinger 2001), as it has been demonstrated for breeding ducks in north temperate zones (Sargeant 1972, Sargeant & Eberhardt 1975, Sargeant et al. 1984). Sargeant (1972) found a high proportion of carcasses of female ducks (84%, N = 129) in rearing dens of the Red fox, Vulpes vulpes Frisch, 1775 (Canidae) and that predation coincides with the duck breeding season and egg incubation (Sargeant et al. 1984). Males may differ from females in the risk of predation during the provisioning phase. In males of the Collared flycatcher, Ficedula albicollis Temminck, 1815 (Musciicapidae) predation risk is related to brood value and survival chances, whereas in females it is related to the broods’ vulnerability (Michl et al. 2000).

**Evolution of antipredator strategies**

Because the rate of predation is high for females of the coal-crested finch, their cryptic plumage may have evolved as an antipredator strategy (Gotmark et al. 1997). Females are not subjected to the mate attraction-predation risk trade-off which usually modulates the color pattern of males in dimorphic species (Endler 1991, Godin & McDonough 2003, Figuerola & Senar 2007). However, in a few cases, the conspicuity of males negatively affects the risk of predation for females (Pocklington & Dill 1995), suggesting a correlation selection. Slagsvold et al. (1995) observed the rate of disappearance of adult pied flycatchers during the breeding season, and found that “dull males” (with a color pattern more similar to that of females) did not disappear (0%), contrasting with “bright males” and females (11% of which disappeared). This suggests that the cryptic plumage has antipredator function, and that another females’ vulnerability to predation (e.g. a greater foraging effort) is worsened by something else. In chaffinches, Gotmark (1993) showed that stuffed cryptic females may be less detected by predators than stuffed conspicuous males (10 vs. 23 attacks). However, the characteristics that lead predators to choose their prey, such as how cryptic the prey is and what gender it belongs to, may vary among prey species (Gotmark 1993). For this reason, it is necessary to understand the mechanisms that determine a predators’ choice of prey. Some aposematic males, for example, may be able to avoid predation in spite of being easily detectable (Gotmark & Ungar 1994). Figuerola & Senar (2007) identified a stabilizing natural selection on the coloration of Serins (Serinus Serinus). In this species, it is possible that individuals with drabber plumage have lower survival rates because they are more parasitized (Figuerola et al. 2003), whereas individuals with bright plumage, who invest more in the acquisition of partners, are more vulnerable to predation. Future studies need to test these hypotheses (aposematic, detectability) for the coal-crested finch.

The evidence showing that cryptic plumage has an antipredator function for adult females (Gotmark et al. 1997) does not corroborate the hypothesis that cryptic plumage has been selected because it decreases nest predation (Martin & Badyev 1996). In the tropics, nest predation appears to be a stronger selective pressure than in north temperate zones (Willis 1961, Gill 1989). However, both the ‘adult defense’ and the ‘nest defense’ hypotheses are not antagonistic and can be co-adaptive mechanisms. Studies on the trade-off between ‘investing in breeding now’ and ‘surviving and breeding in the future’ (Candolin 1998) may contribute to the understanding of the evolution of cryptic females (Dale & Slagsvold 1996), because it is important to distinguish the magnitude of the predatory selective pressures involved (i.e. nest vs. adult predation). An alternative strategy can evolve in females: manipulating the vigilance of mate partners could be beneficial for females because it allows more investment in foraging while reducing predation risk (Gotmark et al. 1997, Post & Gotmark 2006b).
Male coal-crested finches may suffer a lower predation pressure which is consistent with the foraging effort hypothesis (Post & Gotmark 2006a). An individual may respond to increased predation risk with an increase in vigilance (Lima & Dill 1990, van der Veen 1999). In males, antipredator vigilance can evolve more efficiently because they have fewer restrictions and might be able to coordinate vigilance with social monitoring (territoriality) and nest defense. This scenario is advantageous for the sexual pressure on the evolution of secondary characters in males, making males more conspicuous and ornamented, as males of the coal-crested finch.

This study investigated the relationship between foraging and predation risk to explain the differences in the proportion of males and females in populations of a Neotropical bird. Population demography sampling and comparative behavioral observations showed that 1) the number of females was smaller in populations of the coal-crested finch, and that 2) females need to forage more than males. These results may indicate that females of this species are more vulnerable to predation than males. However, further studies are needed to ascertain whether predation is actually more frequent in females of coal-crested finch, or in other Neotropical bird species, than in males. I suggest that observations on the foraging behavior of predators (Stevens et al. 2009), monitoring prey social groups in the breeding season (Stoleson & Beissinger 2001), and the choice of a model of abundant prey population, are important to test the female-biased predation hypothesis.
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