Shell morphometry is a useful tool in mollusc taxonomy and ecology (CIPRIANI 2007). In studies of freshwater snails, it has been used to discriminate between species (WULLSCHLEGER & JOKELA 2002), to recognize intraspecific morphological variation (CHIU et al. 2002), and to associate shell variations with environmental conditions (MACMAHON & WHITEHEAD 1987, WULLSCHLEGER & JOKELA 2002) and geographical distribution (PFENNINGER et al. 2003). The well known uncertainty of freshwater mollusc taxonomy owes to inappropriate descriptions, either of genera or species (BASCH 1963), and to phenotypic plasticity in shell morphology (BASCH 1963, DURRANT 1977, MACMAHON & WHITEHEAD 1987, WULLSCHLEGER & JOKELA 2002), leading to taxonomic inflation (STRONG et al. 2008). Conversely, the absence of shell variability in cryptic species also results in underestimation of true species richness (WALThER et al. 2006).

\textit{Gundlachia ticaga} (Marcus & Marcus, 1962) is a basommatophoran without a coiled shell (HUBENDICK 1978), in the family Ancylidae \textit{senso lato}, whose members are limpet-shaped and small (3 to 15 mm in length), occurring mainly in lentic environments adhered to aquatic plants, branches and leaves of decayed riparian vegetation, or rocks (LANZER & VEITENHEIMER-MENDES 1985, SANTOS 2003). \textit{Gundlachia ticaga} is widely distributed, mainly in southeastern (SANTOS 2003) and central-western regions of Brazil (THIENGO et al. 2005). It is the most frequent ancylid in freshwater ecosystems of the state of Rio de Janeiro (THIENGO et al. 1998, 2001, 2002a,b, 2004a,b, 2006), being recently recorded for Praia do Sul Biological Reserve, Ilha Grande (SANTOS et al. 2009).

Despite the wide geographical range of \textit{G. ticaga}, the regional morphological variation of the species is poorly known. The morphology of \textit{G. ticaga} has only been described in the original description of the species (MARCUS & MARCUS 1962), and subsequently by OHLWEILER & LANZER (1993), LANZER (1996) and SANTOS (2003). Shell variability may explain the original combination, \textit{Uncancylus ticagus} Marcus & Marcus, 1962, because a projected apex that curves somewhat to the right is found in some specimens; it may also explain, at least in part, the classification of \textit{G. ticaga} as a synonym of \textit{G. obliqua} (Broderip & Sowerby, 1832) (= \textit{Anisancylus obliquus}) (HUBENDICK 1964). OHLWEILER & LANZER (1993) did not accept this synonymy and
revalidated *G. ticaga*. OHLEWILER & LÄNZER (1994), LÄNZER (1996) and SANTOS (2003) also considered *G. ticaga* as valid, based on characteristics of the shell, soft parts, radula and jaw.

Here we present a characterization of shell variation in *G. ticaga*. We conducted morphometric analyzes and compared samples from four different freshwater environments in Ilha Grande, southeastern Brazil, to better determine the range of variation and thereby improve species delineation.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Ilha Grande (23°05’-23°15’S, 44°06’-44°23’W) is a continental island located in Ilha Grande Bay, southeastern Rio de Janeiro, within the Atlantic Rain Forest, a highly diverse and important diversity hot-spot (MYERS et al. 2000). The island has 32 micro-watersheds, most of which have streams flowing through rocky shores into the sea, without areas that favor colonization by mollusks. Ilha Grande island has a long history of human occupation and environmental modifications, beginning in the pre-historical times (PRADO 2006), with increased tourism during the last decade (PRADO 2003).

We sampled between December 2005 and March 2007 in four places: Vila do Abraão (23º08’31”S, 44º10’10”W), Vila de Provetá (23º10’39”S, 44º20’33”W), Parnaioca (23º11’36”S, 44º15’00”W) and Praia do Sul (23º10’30”S, 44º17’55”W, Fig. 1). The lower part of these streams flow through lowlands (< 10 m above sea level) and provide conditions for colonization by freshwater mollusks.

Vila do Abraão, Vila de Provetá and Parnaioca are the most altered localities in Ilha Grande, due to continuous human activities, especially deforestation for subsistence agriculture, constructions, modification of riverbanks and organic pollution. Although Vila de Provetá is a densely populated settlement, it does not have the touristic appeal of Vila do Abraão, the main harbor in Ilha Grande. The latter has many guest houses, small hotels, camping areas, restaurants and pubs, which greatly impact the water bodies of Vila do Abraão. Parnaioca corresponds to the area of the old Parnaioca Farm, which had been gradually deactivated since the establishment of the Ilha Grande Prison in Dois Rios Village, in 1903 (SANTOS 2009). Nowadays, the region has only six inhabitants, and its environment is recovering. In Parnaioca we found ancylids in the small stream next to the access to the Parnaioca-Vila Dois Rios trail. The only three houses in Parnaioca are not very close to the riverbanks, and apparently they do not release sewage into the stream. All studied streams are 1-2 m wide and are in average 10-50 cm deep. They have sand-rocky bottom with mud deposits in some spots and reduced riparian vegetation with a predominance of exotic species (banana, jackfruit and bamboo trees). In Vila do Abraão, walls made of rock or concrete...
restrain the stream. There are houses very close to the riverbanks, sewage is released into the streams, and sand has been removed in some sites. In Vila de Provetá the riverbanks are not restrained. Downstream there are no houses close to the stream margin and vegetation is sparse, allowing stronger illumination of the riverbed. Sewage is also directly released into the water.

The most preserved stream is located at the Praia do Sul Biological Reserve, one of the last well preserved arboreal restingas (coastal sandy plain vegetation) in the state of Rio de Janeiro (Maciel et al. 1984, Amador 1988), where the integrity of the riparian vegetation has been maintained. The studied stream flows into a lagoon known as Lagoa do Sul; it is 2.5 m wide and 30 cm deep on average, being fairly shaded and having a sand-muddy bottom covered with decomposing leaves.

The specimens studied are housed at the Malacological Collection of the Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (Col. Mol. UERJ).

The identification of specimens was carried out using shell teleoconch and protoconch morphology, with emphasis on the apical micro-sculpture (Fig. 2), mantle pigmentation and shape of muscle scars (Fig. 3), according to Marcus & Marcus (1962), Ohnweiler & Lanzer (1993) and Santos (2003).

All shells were drawn using a camera lucida, and classified according to shape of the shell’s aperture (oval-elongated or rounded), presence of radial lines, and position of the salient apex in relation to the shell margin.

We measured 171 shells and obtained four linear measurements under a dissecting microscope using a caliper to the nearest 0.05 mm: length (L), height (H), anterior width (W1) and posterior width (W2). We also obtained five morphometric indices of shell shape: W1/L, W2/L, H/L, H/W1 and W2/W1 (Figs 4 and 5).

Measurements were normalized and standardized (Keeler 1998; Zar 1999). Normality was tested and all variables were log transformed, except W2/L that was already normal. Morphometric differences among populations were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey pairwise comparison test (significance level = 0.05). Variables were analyzed by Pearson’s correlation test and those strongly correlated (r > 0.92) were excluded, in order to ensure independence among variables (Klecka 1982; Engelman 1997). The Discriminant Function Analysis was carried out in order to test for the level of discrimination of samples, the statistic package SYSTAT 10.2 was used in all statistical procedures.


Figure 2. Gundlachia ticaga shell. Left side. Arrow: radial lines on the teleoconch. Square: protoconch area. Right side. Detail of the protoconch showing the irregularly arranged punctuations.
RESULTS

All specimens were identified as *G. ticaga* based on the following characters: elliptical shell aperture; projected rounded apex on the posterior right quadrant of the shell, flexed to the right, sometimes extended beyond the right margin; protoconch with an apical depression, with a short smooth and flat area followed by an area with irregular punctuations; teleoconch with concentric growth lines; radial lines absent or present; mantle with dark pigmentation, sparse, tending to concentrate in the left side; anterior right elliptical muscle scar, tear drop-shaped; anterior left muscle scar and posterior muscle scar rounded (Fig. 3).

Variation within population

Excluding differences in shell size, which could reflect differences in shell growth, each of the four populations of *G. ticaga* from Ilha Grande varied in shell aperture shape, shell carving and position and shape of the apex (Figs 6-16, Tab. I). In each sample, we observed a predominant shell pattern comprising 69% of specimens from Vila do Abraão, 70% from Provetá, 88% from Praia do Sul and 55% from Parnaioca (Tab. I). Protoconch sculpture is invariable (Fig. 2), reflecting a consistent taxonomic character.

Variation among populations

The shells from Praia do Sul and Parnaioca (except one) had radial lines on the teleoconch; by contrast, those from Vila do Abraão and Provetá lacked radial lines (Tab. I).

The largest specimens were from Provetá (Tab. II), where one reached 5.7 mm in length, 4.20 mm in width and 1.5 mm in height. Specimens from Provetá and Vila do Abraão were longer than those from Praia do Sul and Parnaioca (Tab. II).

Morphometrical variables differed significantly among the four populations studied, as showed by the ANOVA and the Tukey test (Tab. II). Variables L and W1 (r = 0.983), W1 and

Table I. Morphological variations visually observed on shells of *G. ticaga* within four sites on Ilha Grande, state of Rio de Janeiro. (N) Number of shells and percentage in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Morphological pattern</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Radial lines</th>
<th>Aperture</th>
<th>Other characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abraão</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>33 (69)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Elliptic</td>
<td>Apex curved to right, slightly deflected to the back (Fig. 6).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>14 (29)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Elongated-elliptic</td>
<td>Right margin slightly straighter than the left; apex less projected (Fig. 7).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Elliptic</td>
<td>Apex well projected, curved to right and slightly to the back (Fig. 8).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provetá</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>42 (70)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Rounded</td>
<td>Apex well curved to right (Fig. 9).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>13 (22)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Elongated-elliptic</td>
<td>Apex near the median line and slightly less curved (Fig. 10).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Elliptic</td>
<td>Right margin slightly straight; apex curved to right, slightly deflected to the back (Fig. 11).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praia do Sul</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>45 (88)</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Elliptic</td>
<td>Right edge straight; radial lines regularly arranged on almost all surface of teleoconch (Fig. 15).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Rounded</td>
<td>Posterior edge narrower than the anterior one; apex projected next to the right edge; slender radial lines on the anterior surface of teleoconch (Fig. 16).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnaioca</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Elongated-elliptic</td>
<td>Right margin straighter than the left one; “pointed” apex almost reaching the right margin (Fig. 12).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Elliptic</td>
<td>Apex rounded, well curved to the right (Fig. 13).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II. Morphological analysis of *G. ticaga* shells from four sites on Ilha Grande, Angra dos Reis, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Average and standard deviation (mm). Significant differences in Tukey pairwise comparison are shown in last column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphometrical variables</th>
<th>Abraão (ABR) (n = 49)</th>
<th>Provetá (PRO) (n = 60)</th>
<th>Praia do Sul (PRS) (n = 51)</th>
<th>Parnaioca (PAR) (n = 11)</th>
<th>Tukey comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.81</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.87</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.37</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.31</td>
<td>ABRxPRO, PROxPRS, PROxPAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.63</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.19</td>
<td>ABRxPRO, PROxPRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.48</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.58</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.13</td>
<td>ABRxPRO, PROxPRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.08</td>
<td>ABRxPRO, PROxPRS, PROxPAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1/L</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.04</td>
<td>ABRxPRO, ABRxPRS, ABRxPAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2/L</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.04</td>
<td>ABRxPRS, ABRxPAR, PROxPRS, PROxPAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/L</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
<td>PROxPAR, PRSxPAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/W1</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>ABRxPRO, ABRxPAR, PROxPAR, PRSxPAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2/W1</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.06</td>
<td>ABRxPRS, PROxPRS, PRSxPAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 (L) length, (W1) anterior width, (W2) posterior width, (H) height, (W1/L) anterior width/length, (W2/L) posterior width/length, (H/L) height/length, (H/W1) height/anterior width, (W2/W1) posterior width/anterior width.

Table III. Discriminant analysis classification matrix of *G. ticaga* from four sites on Ilha Grande, Angra dos Reis, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Each column shows shell origin. Lines show shell identity: the number of shells correctly assigned to its proper population (in bold) and the number of shell that could be assigned to the other populations because of intrapopulation variation. Last column shows percentage success of shell identification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vila do Abraão</th>
<th>Provetá</th>
<th>Praia do Sul</th>
<th>Parnaioca</th>
<th>% of success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vila do Abraão</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provetá</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praia do Sul</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnaioca</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The original description of *G. ticaga* describes shell sculpture with concentric lines and slight radial lines present only on the inner surface of some shells (Marcus & Marcus 1962). Oehler & Lanzier (1993), when revalidating the species, also considered the absence of radial lines on the teleoconch as a diagnostic character for *G. ticaga*.

In the present study, the four sampled populations were considered to belong to *G. ticaga* based on homogeneity of muscle scar shape (Fig. 3) and apex microsculpture (Fig. 2). In natural populations radial lines may range from presence in nearly entire populations (as seen to specimens from Provetá and Praia do Sul) to absence (Vila do Abraão and Parnaioca). In this study 36% of all the studied shells had radial lines. Thus, absence of radial lines is not a good diagnostic character for this species.

In the original description, Marcus & Marcus (1962) provided measurements of some specimens, one of which was 6.5 mm long, 5.0 mm wide and 1.3 mm high. Lanzier (1996) reported a maximum length of 4.5 mm, width of 2.9 mm and height of 1.3 mm, for specimens from many localities in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil. The specimens analyzed by us are similar in size to those studied by Lanzier (1996), but smaller than those studied by Marcus & Marcus (1962) with two exceptions: two specimens from Provetá that reached more than 5 mm. It is important to consider that the specimens used in the original description came from a single aquarium population from the Instituto de Biociências da Universidade de São Paulo.
Paulo (MARCUS & MARCUS 1962). It is possible that those specimens were larger and taller than those observed in natural populations (S.B. Santos, personal observation). This would explain, at least in part, the original description of *G. ticaga* as *Uncancylus ticagus*.

Extrinsic factors such as a greater input of organic matter and light in streams seem to affect shell size, resulting in populations with larger individuals, as found in Provetá, followed by Vila do Abraão. The absence of riparian vegetation, which results in increased light on the stream bed, associated with greater input of organic matter, calcium availability and alkalinity (DELAY & PONTIER 1997, LANZER 2001), result in a greater availability of food (periphytic algae) (DILLON 2000) and may result in more pronounced growth. SANTOS (2003) observed that, in impacted streams in the state of Rio de Janeiro, larger specimens of *G. ticaga* are frequent.

In fresh water ecosystems, the alteration and destruction of habitats through the removal of the riparian forest and pollution by domestic sewage create conditions that may cause or accelerate intraspecific morphological variations (DURRANT 1977, LANZER 1996). Shell variability influenced by the environment has been reported for an unidentified Laevapcinacae, being in part responsible for nominal species (HUBENDICK 1964, WALTHER et al. 2006) and taxa identified to sub-familial or to generic categories only (MCMAHON 2004).

The population from Praia do Sul was the most different, according to the F-matrix among groups. The difference could be explained by a combination of geographic isolation and possible environmental differences, such as a better conserved habitat and sedimentary deposit formation, contrasting with the other places, where granitic rocks prevail (AMADOR 1988). Otherwise, the morphological similarity observed between the populations of Praia do Sul and Parnaioca could be explained by a greater geographic proximity of both localities in the ocean side of Ilha Grande (Fig. 1) Although Provetá is closer to Praia do Sul than Parnaioca, and also located on the ocean side, its streams show marked organic pollution by domestic sewage, probably affecting shell morphology. These hypotheses can be tested in the future by genetic and molecular analyses.

Within population variation was observed mainly in the samples coming from altered environments, i.e. Vila do Abraão, Provetá and Parnaioca, which had three different shell patterns each. The samples from Praia do Sul, a well preserved environment, showed only two patterns.

Despite within population shell variation, a “morphological identity” was recognized in each locality. (Tabs I and II). The morphological variation of the shells in the ancylid populations of Ilha Grande is consistent with the morphological identities suggested in the classification matrix created from shells measurement data (Tab. III). It is probable that variation among populations is influenced by environmental factors. PFENNINGER et al. (2003) showed an ecological differentiation of Ancylus fluviatilis Müller, 1774 in Europe and MCMAHON (2004) showed the same for Laevapex fuscus (Adams, 1841) in the United States.

The shells from Praia do Sul and Parnaioca are elliptical-rounded, whereas those from Vila do Abraão and Provetá are elliptical-elongated and narrower at the posterior region. These two sites had the most similar shells, although they are the most distant from each other (Fig. 1). It is important to notice that the morphological groups identified in the visual analysis for each population (Tab. I) was very congruent with the percentage of successful identifications calculated in the DA (Tab. III) for each population. This congruence highlights the importance of the participation of a competent morphologist in systematic studies, someone who is able to discriminate among intra and interpopulation differences or cryptic species and avoid misidentifications. Although the simplicity of the patelliform shell would suggest the opposite, its variability among individuals highlights the need to study more than one population to better define species.

*Gundachia ticaga* show ample phenotypic plasticity in shell morphology. As the radial lines were present in at least 30% of the studied shells, we cannot consider absence of radial lines as a good diagnostic character.
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