Bird species diversity in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil is not explained by the Mid-domain Effect
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ABSTRACT. The Atlantic Forest is an excellent case study for the elevational diversity of birds, and some inventories along elevational gradients have been carried out in Brazil. Since none of these studies explain the patterns of species richness with elevation, we herein review all Brazilian studies on bird elevational diversity, and test a geometric constraint null model that predicts a unimodal species-altitude curve, the Mid-domain Effect (MDE). We searched for bird inventories in the literature and also analysed our own survey data using limited-radius point counts along an 800 m elevational gradient in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. We found 10 investigations of elevational diversity of Atlantic Forest birds and identified five different elevational patterns: monotonic decreasing diversity, constant at low elevations, constant at low elevations but increasing towards the middle, and two undescribed patterns for Atlantic Forest birds, trough-shaped and increasing diversity. The average MDE fit was low ($r^2 = 0.31$) and none of the MDE predictions were robust across all gradients. Those studies with good MDE model fits had obvious sampling bias. Although it has been proposed that the MDE may be positively associated with the elevational diversity of birds, it does not fit the Brazilian Atlantic Forest bird elevational diversity.
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Apart from Holt’s (1928) ornithological survey of the Serra do Itatiaia, in Rio de Janeiro, and Stotz’s et al. (1996) review of the elevational diversity of all birds in the Atlantic Forest, others have investigated bird elevational diversity in both mountain ranges of the Atlantic Forest (Goebel 1999, Buzzeiti 2000, Develley 2004, Rajo & Cerqueira 2006, Mallet-Rodrigues et al. 2010), and at other locations within these forests (Bence & Kindel 1999, Melo-Junior et al. 2001). These studies neither explored the driving factors of elevational patterns, nor explicitly described the elevational patterns found. Instead, they described the elevations in which each species was recorded, thereby only developing an elevational range database, without explaining the patterns.

One of the best known species-altitude curves is unimodal. In this curve, the maximum species richness is in the middle of the elevational gradient, which is referred to as the Mid-domain Effect (Cowell & Hurtt 1994, Cowell & Lees 2000). The Mid-domain Effect (MDE) is a null model that assumes that, due to topographical and/or geographical constraints (base and top of a mountain, for example) species ranges overlap more near the middle of an elevational gradient, where many large- to medium-sized ranges must overlap but are less likely to abut an edge of this gradient (Cowell et al. 2004). On mountains, the MDE predicts 1) a unimodal diversity curve with maximum diversity at mid-elevations, 2) a strong positive association between the predicted diversity based on Monte Carlo simulations and the empirical diversity at each 100 m elevational band, 3) that deviations in maximum species richness away from the mid-point of the mountain should be distributed on random elevations of this mountain if spatial constraints alone drive elevational diversity and 4) a strong relationship between MDE fit ($r^2$ value) and the ratio of the average bird range size to elevational gradient length. This derives from the premise that MDE predictions are based mainly...
on the overlap of medium and large-ranged species, and do not apply necessarily to species with small ranges; thus gradients with more large-ranged species should show a better fit to the MDE (Dunn et al. 2007).


McCain (2009) described global trends in bird elevational diversity, such as the four distinct diversity patterns displayed by birds on mountains: 1) monotonic decreasing diversity, 2) constant at low elevations, 3) constant at low elevations but increasing towards the middle, and 4) unimodal maximum at mid elevations. She also showed that bird diversity on mountains where humidity is high either declines with elevation or is relatively constant over low-elevations, while on dry mountains it is unimodal or constant over a broad, low-elevation region, usually with a mid-elevation maximum. Here we analyse the elevational diversity of birds in the Atlantic Forest. Our main goals were 1) to review the studies on bird elevational diversity in mountainous regions in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest; 2) to determine and compare elevational patterns of species richness in the Atlantic Forest described in the literature; and 3) to test the predictions of the MDE with these data sets.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We compiled data on bird elevational diversity from the literature using a combination of the following search keywords suggested by McCain (2009): bird, avian, diversity, species richness, elevation (-al), altitude (-inal), Brazil. Species-altitude relationships were derived from these data and also from a few publications that McCain (2009) excluded from her global meta-analyses, because they apparently contained biased sampling methods. We are aware that we cannot control for the completeness of surveys we used to generate our review, but our intention was to determine elevational patterns of Atlantic Forest birds using all available data.

We also included our standardized elevational bird dataset from the Serra do Mar, state of São Paulo. To do this, we conducted bird counts in the municipalities of Bertioga (23°51’S, 46°08’W) and at Boracéia Biological Station (23°39’S, 45°53’W), near the municipality of Salesópolis, in the mountains of the Atlantic Forest, during two breeding seasons, using point counts from October 2008 to February 2010. We used nine 100 m wide elevational belt transects along one trail in an 800 m elevational gradient, with three 10-min points at least 200 m apart on each transect. We considered a 50 m limited radius of detection to avoid counting species from adjacent transects. These analyses, including detailed sampling design and surveys, will be published elsewhere (V. Cavarzere & L.F. Silveira unpubl. data).

The climate in all study areas (reviews and our data alike) comprises two well-defined seasons: a warm-wet season from October to April, and a cold-dry season from May to September. Five transition zones can be found at the study areas, though not all in the same local gradient. They are typically known as: Lowland Rain Forest (0-400 m), Lower Montane Rain Forest (400-1,000 m), Montane Rain Forest (above 1,000 m), Campos de Altitude (open formations above 1,000 m in the Serra do Mar or even higher on the Serra do Itatiaia), and Montane Araucaria Forest (above 1,000 m; Veloso et al. 1991).

To test the four MDE predictions, we conducted statistical analyses following McCain (2009). Prediction 1 (as explained in the Introduction), a unimodal diversity curve at mid-elevations: to assess whether there are more mid-elevation maximums than other diversity patterns, we used the chi-square goodness of fit test. Prediction 2, a positive association between predicted and empirical diversity: to compare the observed with the expected species richness by the MDE, we generated 95% prediction intervals using 50,000 Monte Carlo simulations of elevational ranges within the domain using the Mid-Domain Null Excel worksheet (McCain 2004). The expected species richness in each elevational band was generated using the empirical range sizes sampled without replacement, and randomly chosen range midpoints. To evaluate whether species richness predicted by the mid-domain effect (based solely on geometric constraints assuming hard boundaries) is congruent with the observed species richness, we tested the fit between the two sets of species richness from the coefficient of determination of a least-squares linear regression (Colwell et al. 2004, McCain 2004). Prediction 3, deviation in maximum diversity distributed away from the midpoint of the mountain: we used the Student’s t-test to ascertain if maximum diversities were more likely to occur at the middle of the elevational gradient than at any other elevation. Prediction 4, a relationship between MDE fit and the ratio of the average bird range size: the $r^2$ value from the linear regression of the MDE with a ratio of average bird range size (m) divided by the length of the elevational gradient (m) for each study provided an index of the strength of the MDE range size prediction (Lees et al. 1999, Dunn et al. 2007).

For studies that did not sample the elevational gradient in regular intervals (we analysed 100 m elevational belts with the Mid-Domain Null), we determined $r^2$ values by interpola-
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RESULTS

We found 10 research publications on bird elevational diversity conducted in mountainous regions in Brazil. All studies, except for one, were carried out in the Atlantic Forest. The exception was a study carried out at a transition zone with the Cerrado in the Serra do Espinhaço, state of Minas Gerais (Fig. 1, Tab. I). We found five elevational patterns from these data: monotonic decreasing diversity, increasing diversity, constant at low elevations, constant at low elevations but increasing towards the middle and trough-shaped (Figs 2-6).

Data from Holt (1928) and Rajão & Cerqueira (2006) confirmed a MDE with only a few deviations from the predictions of the null model, while the study of Melo-Júnior et al. (2001) contrasted with the unimodal pattern and described a monotonic decreasing species-altitude curve. Mallet-Rodrigues et al. (2010), our own data, and the study of the distribution of the family Furnariidae (Fávaro et al. 2006) revealed a poor fit to the MDE predictions. Our data, which demonstrated a trough-shaped pattern, and that of Fávaro et al. (2006), showing an increasing pattern of diversity towards higher elevations, resulted in undescribed relationships between elevation and bird species of the Atlantic Forest (Figs 7-12).

Bird diversity did not fit well any of the four MDE predictions. Elevational diversity was not uniformly unimodal, but tended to assume different shapes of species-altitude curves (contrary to prediction 1; $\chi^2 = 4.45$, df = 1, $p = 0.035$, n = 11). Fits to the null model were variable and poor: average $r^2$ value = 0.31 for those studies with available species range data (prediction 2, n = 6). Deviations in maximum bird diversity were not randomly distributed around the mid-point of the mountain, but shifted to significantly lower elevations (prediction 3, $t = -1.74$, df = 4, $p = 0.034$, n = 11). Finally, there was a positive relationship between the ratio average bird range size/gradient length and fit to MDE (prediction 4, $r^2 = 0.79$, F = 15.412, df = 1, $p = 0.017$, n = 6).

DISCUSSION

In the Atlantic Forest of Brazil, bird species diversity is not explained by the Mid-domain Effect. Some of the MDE predictions were not strongly supported, and most of the MDE regressions resulted in a poor fit to the MDE predictions. De-
Figures 2-6. Elevational richness patterns based on empirical datasets at different Brazilian Atlantic Forest sites: (2) monotonic decreasing diversity, (3) increasing diversity, (4) constant at low elevations, (5) unimodal maximum at mid-elevations and (6) trough-shaped.

Figures 7-12. Empirical (solid lines) and the 95% CI of the predicted species richness based on 50,000 Monte Carlo simulations sampled without replacement of the six gradients we analysed from: (7) HOLT (1928); (8) MILO-JÚNIOR et al. (2001); (9) FÁVARO et al. (2006); (10) RAJÃO & CERQUEIRA (2006); (11) MALET-RODRIGUES et al. (2010); (12) present study.
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Table I. List of elevational gradients of bird species richness for the Atlantic Forest, Brazil. Richness pattern, latitude, percentage of gradient sampled, altitude and MDE null model $r^2$ values are given. N/A indicates studies with insufficient data for MDE analyses. (DC) Monotonic decreasing diversity, (CL) constant at low elevations, (UM) unimodal maximum at mid-elevations, (TS) trough-shaped, (ID) increasing diversity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site (reference)</th>
<th>Elevation pattern</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>% Altitude</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serra do Itaítaia, Rio de Janeiro (HOLT 1928)</td>
<td>MP</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.82*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Forest (STOTZ et al. 1996)</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>3014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tainhas – Terra de Arela, Rio Grande do Sul (BENCKE &amp; KINDEL 1999)</td>
<td>UM</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubatuba, São Paulo state (GOERCK 1999)</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angra dos Reis – Parati, Rio de Janeiro (BUZZETTI 2000)</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra do Cipó, Minas Gerais state (MELO-JÚNIOR et al. 2001)</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juréia-Itatins Ecological Station, São Paulo (DEVELEY 2004)</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibagi River Basin, Paraná (FÁVARO et al. 2006)</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra dos Órgãos, Rio de Janeiro (RAJÃO &amp; CERQUEIRA 2006)</td>
<td>UM</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra dos Órgãos, Rio de Janeiro (MALLET-ROMERO &amp; ROMERO et al. 2010)</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertioga – Salesópolis, São Paulo (this study)</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $p = 0.000$; $p = 0.001$.

...of the maximum diversity away from the mid-point of the mountain were not randomly distributed, and the positive relationship of prediction 4) included incomplete elevational gradients and unstandardized sampling designs. Similar trends in low MDE fits and skewed deviations have been documented along elevational gradients globally for non-flying small mammals (McCain 2007b), bats (McCain 2007a), birds (McCain 2009) and reptiles (McCain 2010). For most vertebrate groups and other taxa examined to date (Dunn et al. 2007), elevational patterns consistently reject the MDE, and therefore we must look for other factors that may determine bird species richness along elevations.

McCain (2009) suggested that, in wet tropical mountains, bird elevation patterns should be decreasing and monotonic, with or without a maximum diversity at low elevations. This was not the case in five studies analysed here. In the only case where elevational diversity was greatest at low elevations, the sampling effort at different altitudes was not reported, and this pattern may be an artefact of low sampling intensity. Although most gradients examined considered small spatial scales (large spatial diversity patterns of taxonomic groups fit the MDE null model predictions better; Dunn et al. 2007), sampling methods in studies with mid-elevation maximums were not standardised (Holt 1928, Bencke & Kindel 1999, Rajão & Cerqueira 2006). Our review suggests that, when testing the MDE against empirical data, the design of sampling procedures, as well as sample effort, are very important, and must include refined and standardized scales of elevational bands.

The homogeneity of the Atlantic Forest vegetation along the elevational gradient of the Serra do Mar may explain, in part, the lack of a MDE. In São Paulo, the highest peaks of this mountain range reach up to 800 m (Almeida & Carneiro 1998). Transition zones occur at this altitude (Veloso et al. 1991), but are probably not enough to create discrete habitat types, which is the basis for the community overlap theory (Lomolino 2001). In the case of Holt (1928), the greatest species richness coincides with the transition between forest and Campos de Altitude (open formations) at 2,870 m of the Serra do Itaítaia. The same observation can be made for the maximum diversity in the compilation of surveys in Rajão & Cerqueira (2006). The extensive bird counts of Mallet-Rodrigues et al. (2010) also found high species richness around 1,000 m (transition between forest and Campos de Altitude) at the Serra dos Órgãos, but the same number of species were found between 200 and 600 m, without a break in vegetation. By contrast, no diversity maximum at transition zones was evident in the results of Melo-Júnior et al. (2001) and in our data. Apparently, community overlap theory may apply to higher elevational gradients, such as South American Tropical Andes, where more evident transitions in vegetation occur (Terborgh 1985). Even along gradients where the highest peaks are similar to those found in Brazil, elevational diversity patterns did not support the community overlap theory (McCain 2004).

The lack of information necessary to complement other analyses, as well as few studies, make it difficult to identify environmental drivers of changes in bird species richness with altitude. Uneven sample effort is not responsible for the observed patterns, as shown by independence between sample effort and bird diversity for the state of Rio Grande do Sul (Spearman; $r^2 = 0.093$, $p = 0.843$; Bencke & Kindel 1999) or for the coastal municipality of Ubatuba, São Paulo (McCain 2007a). Recent studies have focused on climatic variables, such as availability of water and temperature,
and their effect on vegetation distribution, as explanations for the elevational distribution of animals. McCain concluded that most investigations were highly correlated with these abiotic factors in determining species richness of bats (McCain 2007b), birds (McCain 2009) and reptiles (McCain 2010), in spite of the altitude in which the gradient diversity was greatest.

In the only previous published study of the MDE in Brazil, this null model was rejected as an explanation for the elevational pattern of harvestmen on three mountains in Ubatuba (Almeida-Neto et al. 2006). Instead, the decline in species richness with increasing elevation was correlated to temperature and humidity. The value of the spatial constraint null model corresponds to its quantitative prediction of diversity; thus the low fits to the MDE predictions along elevational gradients show that diversity is responding to biological factors and not simply to space (McCain 2007b). Similar support for combined temperature and water as drivers of diversity exists globally for most plant and animal groups (Hawkins et al. 2003), and for various plant groups along elevations (e.g., Bhattacharya et al. 2004, Carpenter 2005, Kremser et al. 2005, Acharya et al. 2011). Thus, most direct and indirect evidence currently supports a climatic driver (which must be considered for future evaluations) of diversity patterns with space playing less-pronounced roles (McCain 2007b). Although elevational studies have been conducted worldwide, the most recent studies have failed to support the MDE for birds, thereby showing that bird (and other taxa) diversity patterns with elevation are not merely consequences of random processes.
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