First record of *Thylaeodus* (Gastropoda: Vermetidae) from the Equatorial Atlantic Ocean, with the description of a new species
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ABSTRACT. The vermetid *Thylaeodus equatorialis* sp. nov. is endemic to the São Pedro and São Paulo Archipelago, located at the mid equatorial Atlantic Ocean. The species is closely related to *Thylaeodus rugulosus* (Monterosato, 1878), as indicated by similar shell characters, coloration of the soft parts, and feeding tube scars. However, *T. equatorialis* sp. nov. mainly differs from *T. rugulosus* in the operculum/aperture diameter ratio (~79% versus 100%), by having well developed pedal tentacles and fewer egg capsules in brooding females. In addition, the new species has the following unique characteristics: size almost twice as large (shell, tube aperture, erect feeding tube, protoconch and egg capsules) as the other Atlantic species; unusual method of brooding egg capsules; radula with prominent and more numerous flanking cusps; and small pustules following the suture of the protoconch. A detailed discussion on the taxonomy and biology of vermetid *Thylaeodus* and allies is also presented.
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Vermetids are sessile gastropods characterized by an uncoiled shell attached to or buried in hard substrates. Their peculiar shells grow irregularly, adapting to the substratum (Keen, 1961, Savazzi, 1996), and individuals are mobile only in the early stages after hatching (Keen, 1961). Additional data and a complete description of the family can be found elsewhere (Keen, 1961, Morton, 1965, Hadfield, 1970, Kelly, 2007).

Brazilian reef-building vermetids are found mainly on the Northeast and Southeast coasts, between 3°S (northern coast of Ceará) to 22°S (northern coast of Rio de Janeiro) (Soares-Gomes et al., 2001) including the Brazilian oceanic islands (Laborel & Kempf, 1965). An assembly of six vermetid gastropod species occurs along the Brazilian coast, from states of Amapá to Santa Catarina – *Dendropoma irregular* (d’Orbigny, 1842); *Dendropoma sp.*; *Petalococonchus erectus* (Dall, 1888); *P. myrakeenae* Absalão & Rios, 1987; *P. varians* (d’Orbigny, 1841), and *Thylaeodes decussatus* (Gmelin, 1791) (Laborel & Kempf, 1965, Laborel, 1977, Rios & Barcellos, 1979, 1980, Barcellos et al., 1989, Leal, 1991, Rios, 1994, Spotorno-Oliveira, 2009). Nevertheless, the Brazilian vermetids are remarkably numerous, and the total number of known taxa has increased to 16 (Spotorno et al., 2012).

The Archipelago of São Pedro and São Paulo (also known as St. Paul’s Rocks, abbreviated ASPSP) is the smallest Brazilian oceanic archipelago, located at the mid equatorial Atlantic Ocean (00°55’02”N 29°20’42”W), about 1,010 km off the Brazilian coast and 1,824 km off the African coast. The marine flora and fauna of ASPSP are of considerable biogeographic interest because of their isolation, ASPSP’s intermediate location between the Brazilian and West African faunal provinces and the archipelago’s small area (Edwards & Lubbock, 1983b). The archipelago has been visited by scientific expeditions since 1799 (Edwards, 1985) and some publications on the marine biology and ecology of ASPSP have been published. Literature data indicates that *Dendropoma* sp. is the only vermetid record in the species checklist (Edwards & Lubbock, 1983a, Edwards, 1984).

The present contribution is part of a research program initiated several years ago, and which investigates the ASPSP marine molluscan fauna (Spotorno-Oliveira et al., 2003). So far, a total of 48 mollusk taxa have been recorded from tide pools and rocky shores in the Belmonte Islet, ASPSP (Spotorno-Oliveira et al., 2009), and five recently described species (Simone, 2008, 2009a,b), two of which are considered endemic (Simone, 2008, 2009a).

The ASPSP vermetids are distributed along the intertidal shoreline and down in tide pools, which are often flushed with seawater when the tide is high (Edwards & Lubbock, 1983a, Edwards, 1984). Two vermetid taxa have been recorded from this locality (Spotorno-Oliveira et al., 2009), one of which is herein described as new.

The genus *Thylaeodus* Mörc, 1860, type species *Bivona contorta* Carpenter, 1857 (SD by Keen, 1961: 191), from Tropical West America, is characterized by shells of moderate to small diameter, feeding tube scars present in most specimens, operculum slightly overlapping foot (though not reaching the diameter of the aperture, with less than 3/4 the diameter of the...
aperture), operculum with a central spiral lamina in the upper surface of 1.5 whorls, and inner shell surface lacking longitudinal columellar lamellae (Kee 1961, 1971, Morton 1965). Thylaeodus was believed to be absent from the mid equatorial Atlantic Ocean and the species described herein is the first record of the genus for that region.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Samples were removed after crushing the shell with a small lathe. Anatomy, shells, radula, protoconch and opercula were studied and photographed with a Canon Power Shot S45 camera connected to a binocular stereomicroscope Leica MZ125. The dissections were performed by standard techniques, with specimens immersed in fixative under a stereo-microscope. For detailed examination, some shells, protoconchs and radulae samples were mounted on stubs, coated with a gold-palladium alloy and observed under scanning electron microscope in the Laboratório de Microscopia Eletrônica of the Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo (MZSP).

Institutional abbreviations are as follows: (MORG) Museu Oceanográfico “Prof. Eliézer de Carvalho Rios”, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Brazil; (MZSP) Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil; (MNRJ) Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; (USNM) National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., United States.

**TAXONOMY**

*Thylaeodus equatorialis* sp. nov.

Figs 1-18


Type locality. Brazil, Pernambuco: São Pedro e São Paulo Archipelago (Belmonte Inlet, Enseada, 00°55'01"N-29°20'44"W, 3-10 m), 7.xi.2007, Simone & Cunha leg.


Diagnosis. Shallow-water species found in ASPSP, of ~9 mm long, ~1.7 mm diameter. Protoconch with small pustules following suture. Soft body coloration overall milky-white, with some red bands in head-foot. Operculum with ~79% of aperture diameter. Radula with strong prominent flanking cusps; lateral tooth with 7-9 flanking cusps on outside; outer marginal tooth (second marginal) with 7-10 flanking cusps on inner side. Mantle border of brooding females with deep incision along midline. Brooding egg capsules both freely in interior of mantle cavity and attached to inner shell surface by stalks; up to three egg capsules, containing 2-6 embryos. Males with mantle border thickened.

Description. Holotype: part of cluster of specimens; consisting of isolated tube. Aperture diameter: 1.5 mm; length 6 mm. **Shell. Protoconch** (Fig. 1): barrel-shaped, slender and stretched, buried by teleoconch whorls; with 2.5 whorls. Protoconch average length: 1.43 ± 0.05 mm (range 1.3 to 1.6 mm, n = 7); width: 0.77 ± 0.04 mm (range 0.7 to 0.9 mm, n = 7). At attachment, protoconch positioned on side with outer apertural edge against substrate. Pre-attachment protoconch with slightly wavy surface, subsequent protoconch whorls smooth-surfaced, translucent, color light amber with dark brown at suture; small pustules following suture after first half-whorl. **Teleoconch** (Figs 2-6 and 18): 3 to 5 loops of tubular teleoconch coiled in variable shapes; length averages 9.06 ± 0.55 mm (range from 7 to 11 mm, n = 7). Early whorls piled up attached to substrate, arranged into irregular stack or expanding laterally, rarely forming open loops; last whorl becoming emergent above substrate. First whorls thicker, color pink or translucent-brown, becoming paler or translucent-white next to aperture. Emergent tube (or feeding tube) with average of 7.42 ± 1.90 mm (from 3 to 13 mm, n = 5), forming erect tube above substrate, thin walled, white-light or pink in color, section rounded, narrower and more finely ridged than first whorls of teleoconch. Aperture circular with average diameter of 1.71 ± 0.14 mm (range from 1.1 to 2.2 mm, n = 7). Early post larval sculpture of axial ribs, subsequent sculpture of axial growth lines, without longitudinal (spiral) sculpture. Most specimens with remnants of earlier feeding tubes, i.e., feeding tube scars (Figs 2, 4, and 6: fc). Inner shell surface smooth, color usually light brown, sometimes paler. Longitudinal columellar lamellae lacking. **Head-foot** (Figs 7 and 10): Head not especially broad, bulbous, about a half of foot length. Snout large and cylindrical, with mouth as vertical slit in ventral--terminal surface. Cephalic tentacles elongated, emerging laterally from snout base, weakly longer than snout. Eyes small, black, immersed in ventral region of tentacles’ base. Color overall milky-white, with some red pigmented band in some specimens. Foot occupying ~1/2 of total head-foot volume. Dorsal region containing pair of well developed pedal tentacles, longer than foot, and dorsoventrally flat propodial pad. Sole oval. Ventral foot surface with transverse folds, scarce light pigment spots in milky-white specimens, more evident near operculum. Columellar muscle well developed and robust, thick anteriorly, occupying ~70-80% of total body length. **Operculum** (Figs 8 and 9): Flat, circular, corneous, thin; transparent to translucent light yellow;
Figures 1-10. *Thylaeodus equatorialis* sp. nov.: (1) paratype MORG 50785 protoconch, arrow pointing early teleoconch whorl; (2) paratype MZSP 86566 entire shell, piled up whorls, with remnants of earlier feeding tubes (feeding tube scars); (3) paratype MORG 50785 detail of sculpture of the emergent tube; (4) holotype, surface sculpture of the teleoconch with feeding tube scars; (5) Same, ventral view of whorls; (6) Paratype MORG 50785, SEM, detail of early regions, arrow pointing remnants of earlier feeding tubes (fc); (7) same, headfoot, detail of anterior region, lateral-left view; (8-9) same, opercula of two specimens, external view; (10) same, female extracted from the shell, lateral-left view. (cm) Columellar muscle, (ct) cephalic tentacles, (ey) eye, (fc) feeding tube scars, (fs) foot sole (metapodium), (ft) foot, (gi) gill, (he) head, (mb) mantle border, (mo) mouth, (pp) propodial pad, (pt) pedal tentacles, (sn) snout, (vm) visceral mass. Scale bars: 1, 3, 7, 8, 9 = 0.5 mm; 4-5 = 1.5 mm; 2, 6, 10 = 1 mm.
paracissal, with slightly raised spiral lamella of 2.5 whorls, and small mammillar projection on pedal surface. Average diameter: 1.36 ± 0.05 mm (from 1.2 to 1.5 mm, n = 5), about 73% of aperture diameter. Operculum covering entire dorsal surface of foot. **Mantle organs** (Fig. 10): Mantle border thin, color yellowish-white. Pallial cavity about 1/3 of soft parts length. Osphradium elongated, ridge-like, ~30% of gill length. Gill extending about total length of mantle cavity; filaments shorter than pallial cavity height; triangular, somewhat taller than wide. **Visceral mass** (Fig. 10): yellowish-white in color. Adult average length: 18.1 ± 0.87 mm (from 15 to 20 mm, n = 5). Visceral mass occupying about 2/3 of total length, filling all available shell space up to posterior end. Posterior lobe similar of digestive gland long, occupying most of visceral mass, pale green to light brown, with minute superficial black spots. Posterior end forming slender tail, comprising gonadal tissue. Gonad along ventral surface of visceral mass, compressing digestive gland and of about same length. **Radula** (Figs 11 and 12, Table I): Rachidian tooth trap-ezoidal, main cusp strong and four to five flanking cusps on either side. Inner margin of lateral tooth fitting into deep marginal depressions of rachidian tooth; cusp arrangement on triangular cutting edge as in central tooth. Lateral tooth wider than high, main cusp strong, seven to nine flanking cusps on outside, three on inside. Marginal teeth similar to lateral, hooklike, long, slender, with long and strong main cusp. Inner marginal tooth with three to four inner and five to seven outer flanking cusps. Outer marginal tooth smooth, without cusp outside, and seven to ten flanking inner cusps (Fig. 11, arrow). **Brood protection and early ontogeny** (Figs 13-17): Anterior roof of mantle cavity splitting out along midline in brooding females, accommodating attachment of transparent ovoid egg capsules to interior of shell. Right side lying rectum and left side of gill filaments. Two brooding styles observed simultaneously: freely inside mantle cavity and attached egg capsules by stalks in inner shell surface. Females developing egg capsules in different stages, from nurse eggs to developing veligers and late stage of juveniles; egg capsules brood embryos in different simultaneous stages, being all embryos within a single capsule at same stage of development. Maximum of three capsules, with average dimensions of 2.76 mm ± 0.19 mm (range 2 to 3 mm, n = 5) in length and 1.70 mm ± 0.16 mm (range 1.1 to 2 mm, n = 5) in width; 2 egg capsules lying free in mantle cavity and one stalked; stalked egg capsule, elongated, containing apparently polar bodies (nurse eggs) and developed veligers; capsules lying free in pallial cavity; without stalks, spherical or elongated; containing about 4 ± 0.82 embryos (range 2 to 6, n = 4). Juvenile shells with post-metamorphosis averages 1.14 ± 0.17 mm (range from 0.9 to 2.3 mm, n = 8) in length and 0.65 ± 0.03 (range from 0.6 to 0.8 mm, n = 8) in width; barrel-shaped, ornamentation smooth and translucent, about 2 1/3 whorls, deeply marked brown su- ture with small pustules following suture. Soft parts unpigmented and translucent with two black eyes like dark spots through shells. In males, mantle border thickened and entirely lacking longitudinal flaps on dorsal region. **Distribution.** Only know from the type locality. **Habitat.** Sessile, attached to rocks from intertidal to infratidal level (10 m). Clustering under-surfaces of rocks from rocky pools covered by pink sponge *Darwinella rosacea* Hechtel, 1965 (Fig. 17). **Etymology.** The specific epithet refers to the equatorial geographic position, from mid-Atlantic Ocean, close to Equa- tor line, where this species was found.

**DISCUSSION**

*Thylaeodus equatorialis* sp. nov. is similar to *T. rugulosus* (Monterosato, 1878), from Azores and Mediterranean, sharing shell characters such as overall coloration of the soft parts, yellowish-white, and the remnants of earlier feeding tubes present in most specimens (Table II). However, the most noticeable dif- ference between *T. equatorialis* sp. nov. and *T. rugulosus* is in their sizes. The dimensions of the shells, the aperture diameter, the erect feeding tube, the protechon and the operculum, are almost twice as large as in *T. equatorialis* sp. nov. Moreover, there are some differences in the radula and operculum/aperture dia-meter ratio. The operculum in *T. equatorialis* sp. nov. is not as wide (~79% of aperture’s size) as in *T. rugulosus*. In the latter, the operculum fills the aperture. A comparison between the radulae is presented below. Furthermore, *T. equatorialis* sp. nov. has well developed pedal tentacles, which are absent in *T. rugulosus*; and the female produces fewer numbers of brooded egg capsules (three capsules) when compared with females of *T. rugulosus* (with

### Table I. Radular data among the species of *Thylaeodus* reviewed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Central tooth</th>
<th>Lateral tooth</th>
<th>Inner marginal tooth</th>
<th>Outer marginal tooth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylaeodus equatorialis</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>7-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylaeodus rugulosus</em></td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylaeodus granulatus</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylaeodus contortus</em></td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Available data from sketch/figures, – Data unavailable.
Figures 11-18. *Thylaeodus equatorialis* sp. nov., paratypes MORG 50785: (11) radula, SEM; (12) same, arrow pointing inner flanking cusps in marginal tooth; (13) shell with part of whorl removed to expose egg capsule (ca); (14) stalked egg capsule; (15) two ovoid egg capsules; (16) shell of intracapsular juvenile, SEM, after metamorphosis; (17) shell of intracapsular juvenile, SEM, apical aspect, with small pustules following the suture; (18) a cluster of specimens embedded in pink sponge *Darwinella rosacea*. (ca) Egg capsule, (pu) pustules (st) stalk of egg capsule. Scale bars: 11, 14, 15 = 0.5 mm; 12 = 0.1 mm; 13 = 2.0 mm; 16, 17 = 1.0 mm; 18 = 6.0 mm.
4-8 capsules). Meanwhile, *T. equatorialis* produces two types of brood egg capsules: stalked, and free inside the mantle cavity.

A maximum of three capsules, with average diameter containing 2-6 developing embryos; *T. rugulosus* broods 4-8, always stalked egg capsules, with dimensions about 0.75 x 0.95 to 0.80 x 1.2 mm, containing 3-5 developing embryos (Bie1er 1995) (Table II).

*Thylaeodus equatorialis sp. nov.* also shares some features with an unidentified vermetid from Hawai, Florida and Guam (Kelly 2007), provisionally named “Hadfield’s vermetid” (Strathmann & Strathmann 2006), still waiting for a taxonomic revision. The shared features are related to teloconch coloration, sculpture and operculum/aperture diameter ratio. However, both species differ sharply in size. The shell dimensions, tube aperture, erect feeding tube, operculum and juvenile protoconch of *T. equatorialis sp. nov.* are almost twice as large as in the Hadfield’s vermetid (*Thylaeodus sp.*, Table II). The headfoot color pattern of *T. equatorialis* ranges from yellowish to white, unlike the rose to dark brown coloration of the Hadfield’s vermetid. Both species also show differences in the brood protection: up to three egg capsules with up to six embryos are brooded in the mantle cavity of *T. equatorialis*, whereas the Hadfield’s vermetid broods 13 stalked egg capsules with 130 to 200 embryos. Another remarkable feature refers to the intracapsular development is the occurrence of a rare form of intracapsular adelphophagy (sibling cannibalism). It was not observed in this study, neither in *T. rugulosus* (Bie1er 1995), but only in the Hadfield’s vermetid (Strathmann & Strathmann 2006).

Even though *T. equatorialis sp. nov.* is similar to the Indo-Pacific *Thylaeodus enderi* Schiaparelli, 2000 in the general morphology of the soft parts and in its association with sponges (Schiaparelli & Metivier 2000), it is easily distinguished from it in having a smaller aperture diameter, a larger barrel-shaped protoconch, the head with two well developed cephalic tentacles, and females with the mantle division brooding larger egg capsules and fewer embryos (Table II).

The new species is easily distinguished from *Thylaeodus granulatus* (Gravenhorst, 1831), from the Mediterranean Sea, mainly in shell sculpture and the ratio operculum/aperture diameter. While the operculum of *T. equatorialis sp. nov.* occupies almost 2/3 of the diameter of the aperture, it fills 1/3 to 1/4 of it in *T. granulatus* (Scuder 1999) or even up to 1/8 (Schiaparelli 1995) (Table II).

*Thylaeodus equatorialis sp. nov.* also shows affinities with *Thylaeodus contortus* (Carpenter, 1857) from southern California in the anatomical organization and general shape. However, it differs mainly in having longitudinal sculpture, a larger dimension of the shell, smaller pedent tentacles and operculum/aperture diameter ratio of ~79%, instead of 50% (Keen 1961, 1971, Morton 1965) (Table II).

The literature information on the number of radular flanking cusps of *Thylaeodus* species is quite vague, as summarized in Table I. The radula of *T. equatorialis* is similar to that described for other vermetids (Morton 1965, Bandel 1984, Bie1er 1995, Schiaparelli 1995). The flanking cusps of the central tooth have the same pattern (4-5) in *T. equatorialis* and *T. rugulosus* (Bie1er 1995), but differ in the number on other teeth.

*Thylaeodus equatorialis* has a different radula, with strong prominent flanking cusps in comparison with other species of the genus, differing considerably from them by the great number of flanking cusps of the lateral tooth (7-9 outer flanking cusps) and the second marginal tooth (7-10 inner flanking cusps), in comparison with the available radula data (Table I). From a taxonomic point of view, the radula, added to other morphological features, supposedly provide characters for a better specific classification, even in closely related species (Morton 1951, Schiaparelli 1995), as demonstrated for all Hawaiians vermetids (Morton 1965, Hadfield et al. 1972).

Another remarkable feature of the shell of *T. equatorialis* is the small pustules following the suture of the protoconch, as well as the barrel-shape of the shell. They are unusual characters for this genus and are unique for a *Thylaeodus* species. On the other hand, these granulated protoconchs are common in species of *Petalonconclus* (P. Spotorno, pers. obs.).

The reproductive anatomy of *T. equatorialis* has some unusual features, particularly the presence of a flap dividing the mantle in females, and the two ways of brooding egg capsules. Females of *T. equatorialis sp. nov.* can brood their egg capsules simultaneously attached to the internal side of the shell, suspending them in the mantle cavity through a septum in the mantle, and also freely inside the mantle cavity. Each capsule contains the same stage of embryo development; however, the stages of development vary between capsules, evidencing a continuous reproduction (Hadfield 1989, Miloslavich & Penchasader 1992, Phillips & Shima 2009). By contrast, both Hadfield’s vermetid and *T. rugulosus* broods stalked egg capsules only (Bie1er 1995, Strathmann & Strathmann 2006), and *T. enderi* broods free egg capsules inside the mantle cavity (Schiaparelli & Metivier 2000).


According to Hadfield et al. (1972) and Hughes (1978a), the type of brooding must be considered as a tendency rather than a phylogenetic constraint. Nevertheless, we emphasize that both types of brooding had never been recorded simultaneously in a Vermetidae species.
Table II. Diagnostic characteristics of the reviewed species of *Thylaeodus* (dimensions in millimeters).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic characteristics</th>
<th><strong>Thylaeodus equatorialis</strong> sp. nov.</th>
<th><strong>T. rugulosus</strong></th>
<th><strong>T. equatorialis</strong> sp. nov.</th>
<th><strong>T. enderi</strong></th>
<th><strong>T. granulatus</strong></th>
<th><strong>T. contortus</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shell aperture diameter</td>
<td>1.71 (1.1 – 2.2)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.74 – 1.0)</td>
<td>KML: 0.9 (0.8 – 1.1)</td>
<td>3 – 4</td>
<td>2 – 3</td>
<td>2 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>9.06 (7 – 11)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2 – 1.9)</td>
<td>KML: 3.3 - 5.3 FI: 2.2 – 7.1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>15 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>pink or light brown (older shell),</td>
<td>outer shell layer translucent milk-white</td>
<td>red brown, gold brown, tan, or pink</td>
<td>white or pale yellow-brown with longitudinal orange-brown lines twisted in some specimens</td>
<td>dark-brown</td>
<td>warm wax-brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paler or translucent white (next</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the aperture)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial ribsc and axial growth lines in the feeding tube</td>
<td>axial sculpture; weak axial growth lines at first, later forming wavy axial ribs</td>
<td>transverse ridges at more or less regular intervals, without longitudinal sculpture</td>
<td>scaly ornamentation in longitudinal ridges</td>
<td>4-6 strongly granulated ridges</td>
<td>longitudinal threads, evenly beaded at the intersections of cross-threads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding-tube scars</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>practically absent</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>1.43 (1.3 – 1.6) x 0.77 (0.7 – 0.9)</td>
<td>0.7 – 0.73 x 0.39 – 0.42</td>
<td>0.453 (0.346 – 0.547) x 0.308 (0.157 – 0.379)</td>
<td>0.6 x 0.5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of whorls</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>light amber with dark brown at sutures</td>
<td>overall tan with lighter central part of body whorl, suture brown</td>
<td>transparent to yellow brown with light to dark brown at the sutures</td>
<td>brown</td>
<td>pinkish brown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operculum diameter</td>
<td>1.36 (1.2 – 1.5)</td>
<td>0.74 – 1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>fits the shell aperture</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio operculum/aperture</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>72 – 87%</td>
<td>8-9/10</td>
<td>1/3 – 1/4, up to 1/8[6]</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral mass</td>
<td>yellowish-white</td>
<td>overall whitish or yellowish</td>
<td>dark red to maroon or bright orange</td>
<td>the inner mantle margin is yellowish-white, with orange spots</td>
<td>white or bright orange, with brown and white spots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head-Foot Proportion of pedal tentacles</td>
<td>well developed, longer than foot</td>
<td>shorty, rarely exceeding 0.4</td>
<td>same length of cephalic tentacles</td>
<td>rose to dark maroon</td>
<td>small and very difficult to detect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>overall milky-white, with some red pigmented band in some specimens</td>
<td>sparse pink granules embedded in tentacles and around suture; fine white granules in head-foot; white pigment in tissue of foot and head</td>
<td>small and very difficult to detect</td>
<td>deep orange-red scattered with low small dots around the head, a yellow band interrupted by red spots encircle the foot margin; a yellow &quot;V&quot; encircles the cephalic tentacles</td>
<td>well developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septum in mantle border</td>
<td>present in brooding females</td>
<td>present in brooding females</td>
<td>present in brooding females</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of egg capsules</td>
<td>up to 3</td>
<td>up to 13</td>
<td>up to 13</td>
<td>up to 2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg capsules dimensions</td>
<td>2.76 (2 – 3) x 1.70 (1.1 – 2)</td>
<td>0.75 x 0.95 – 0.80 x 1.2</td>
<td>0.411 – 0.724 x 0.352 – 0.431</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8 x 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile shell</td>
<td>1.14 (0.9 – 2.3) x 0.65 (0.6 – 0.8)</td>
<td>0.7 x 0.4</td>
<td>0.411 – 0.724 x 0.352 – 0.431</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooding style</td>
<td>egg capsules attached to shell by stalks and freely inside the mantle cavity, without stalks</td>
<td>egg capsules attached by stalks</td>
<td>spherical egg capsules without stalks lie free inside the mantle cavity</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Mid-Atlantic (São Pedro and São Paulo Archipelago)</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea (Italy, Turkey, Greece, Cyprus, Malta, Israeli coast) and Eastern Atlantic (Azores)</td>
<td>Pacific (Hawaii, Guam) and Atlantic (east coast of Florida)</td>
<td>Indo-Pacific (Maldives, Philippine Islands and Indonesia)</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea (Italy, Spain, Greece, Tunisia, Turkey)</td>
<td>Gulf of California (Topolobampo to Mazatlan, Mexico)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data unavailable; (KML) aquariums at Kewalo Marine Laboratory; (FI) racks at Ford Island (Hawaii).
Some vermetid species, like \textit{T. equatorialis} sp. nov., can produce temporary calcareous tubes, called feeding tubes. Once lost, they leave characteristic scars along the shell (\textit{Keen} 1961). These scars have been erroneously considered as distinctive taxonomic characters, as discussed by \textit{Bie1er} (1995). Feeding tube scars are expressed only in some situations due to the plasticity of the vermetids in modify the shell structure after environmental changes, or following shell damages (\textit{Schiaparelli} & \textit{Cattaneo-Vietti} 1999). The new species is found in habitats which are generally flushed with seawater, for instance rock pools. It is possible that high hydrodynamic forces in the area cause erosion in these shells producing the feeding tubes as suggested by \textit{Keen} (1961), \textit{Savazzi} (1996) and \textit{Schiaparelli} & \textit{Cattaneo-Vietti} (1999).

The close similarity between \textit{Vermetus} and \textit{Thylaeodus} is noteworthy. A sharp distinction between both genera is problematic, and it is not within the scope of the present study. Certainly, further investigations are needed in order to distinguish or to synonymize these taxa. Additionally, it is important to emphasize that the present study broadens the distribution of \textit{Thylaeodus} to the mid Equatorial Atlantic.
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