



ANIMAL SCIENCE

Helminth endoparasites of endemic fish *Pygocentrus piraya* (Characiformes, Serrasalminidae) from Três Marias reservoir, Minas Gerais, Brazil

MICHELLE D. SANTOS-CLAPP, RAYANE DUARTE, MARCIA C. ALBUQUERQUE & MARILIA C. BRASIL-SATO

Abstract: Ten endoparasite species found in *Pygocentrus piraya*, which is a piranha species native to São Francisco river, were collected from 108 fish caught in Três Marias reservoir in 2004 and 2005, namely: Digenea - *Austrodiplostomum* sp. (metacercariae); Eucestoda – Proteocephalidae gen. sp. (plerocercoids); and Nematoda - *Procamallanus* (*Spirocamallanus*) *inopinatus*, *Cystidicoloides fischeri* and *Capillostrongyloides sentinosa* (adults); *Spinitectus rodolphiheringi* (juvenile); *Hysterothylacium* sp., *Contraecaecum* sp. ^{Type 1^r}, *Spiroxys* sp. and *Goezia* sp. (larvae). In helminth fauna, *P. (S.) inopinatus* has shown higher prevalence and dominance. Fish sex has influenced the prevalence of *Hysterothylacium* sp., which was higher in female specimens. Longer total length of fish has positively influenced the abundance of *C. sentinosa* and *Hysterothylacium* sp.. The rainy season has favored parasitic indices, mainly *P. (S.) inopinatus* abundance, as well *C. sentinosa* and *Hysterothylacium* sp. prevalence and abundance. There were co-occurrences between adult and some larval nematodes. Endemic piranhas, as well as final hosts, are important intermediate and/or paratenic hosts, given the relevant number of larvae (proteocephalideans and nematodes) of heteroxene cycle found in them. Proteocephalidae gen. sp., *C. sentinosa*, *Hysterothylacium* sp., *Contraecaecum* sp. ^{Type 1^r}, *Goezia* sp. and *S. rodolphiheringi* are new records parasitizing *P. piraya* in São Francisco basin.

Key words: carnivorous host, freshwater fish helminths, parasites of endemic fish, upper São Francisco river.

INTRODUCTION

São Francisco river basin covers an area of approximately 645,067 km²; it is the largest basin fully inserted in the Brazilian territory and the third largest basin in Brazil. This basin is of paramount importance to water supply in the semiarid region, as well as to electric power production (Kohler 2003). Três Marias reservoir is located in the upper portion of São Francisco basin, the upper São Francisco, and it was

built to regulate the flow of São Francisco river (Cachapuz 2006).

Serrasalminidae comprises 98 fish species and 16 current genera. *Pygocentrus* encompasses four valid species, namely: *Pygocentrus cariba* (Humboldt, 1821); *Pygocentrus nattereri* Kner, 1858; *Pygocentrus palometa* Valenciennes, 1850; and *Pygocentrus piraya* (Cuvier, 1819) (Froese & Pauly 2019). The distribution of this family is limited to the Neotropical region. The incidence of individuals belonging to this family in other places results from their introduction in these

environments. *Pygocentrus* spp. has the ability to adapt to new environments, mainly to lakes and reservoirs (Agostinho et al. 2007). *Pygocentrus piraya*, commonly known as piranha, is endemic to São Francisco river basin (Fink 1993, Reis et al. 2003) and abundant in Três Marias reservoir; it is carnivorous and prefers to feed on other fish (Britski et al. 1988).

Piranhas are neotropical fish capable of attacking bigger animals (Agostinho et al. 1997); their mutilating and opportunistic habit enables them to attack several fish species living in captivity or in natural environments (Sazima & Machado 1990), as well as fish caught in fishing nets (Agostinho & Marques 2001). The fact that *P. piraya* is a predatory species at the top of the food web reveals the key role played by this species in controlling populations of other fish species in the ecosystem. However, it is described as a species capable of causing harm to local fishermen, because it damages their fishing nets (Trindade & Jucá-Chagas 2008).

Although congeneric species *P. nattereri* is native to the Amazon and Paraná river basins, it can be found in several South American countries (Froese & Pauly 2019). Its endoparasite fauna was investigated by Rego & Eiras (1989), Rego & Pavanelli (1990), Moravec (1998), Pavanelli et al. (2004), Thatcher (2006), Barros et al. (2006, 2010), Vieira et al. (2010, 2011), Morais et al. (2011, 2019), Benigno et al. (2012), Cárdenas et al. (2012), Vicentin et al. (2013) and Brito-Junior & Tavares-Dias (2018). The current study has investigated the endoparasite fauna of fish species *P. piraya* because the few studies carried out on this topic (Travassos et al. 1928, Moreira et al. 1994, Brasil-Sato 2003, Moravec et al. 2008, Santos et al. 2009, Monteiro et al. 2016) have indicated that this piranha species is a potential host for peculiar endoparasite fauna in Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

The aim of the present study was to identify species composing the endoparasite community found in piranha *P. piraya* in Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For investigation of metazoan endoparasites, 108 specimens of *P. piraya* were caught in the Três Marias reservoir (18° 12' 59" S, 45° 17' 34" W), upper São Francisco river, Três Marias municipality, state of Minas Gerais, in the period between July and August, 2004 (dry period) and January, 2004, December, 2004 and January, 2005 (rainy period). The fish were collected by artisanal fishermen of the Centro Integrado de Recursos Pesqueiros e Aquicultura de Três Marias (1ª CIT) of the Companhia de Desenvolvimento dos Vales do São Francisco e do Parnaíba (CODEVASF), agreed to Companhia Energética de Minas Gerais (CEMIG-GT). Some specimens were necropsied in the Laboratório de Ictiologia da Estação de Hidrobiologia e Piscicultura from CODEVASF, while others were individually fixed in bottles filled with 3% formalin and transported to the Laboratório de Biologia e Ecologia de Parasitos, from the Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, from Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro (LABEPAR/ICBS/UFRRJ), where they were subsequently necropsied. During fish necropsies, their total length, weight and sex were recorded on forms. The procedure for fixing and preparing temporary or permanent slides of specimens parasites followed a standardized methodology (Amato et al. 1991).

Digenea metacercariae was identified and classified according to Niewiadomska (2002). The classification of Eucestoda plerocercoids followed Chervy (2002) and Chambrier et al. (2017). Specimens of Nematoda were identified

and classified according to Moravec (1998) and through specialized scientific articles.

Voucher specimens of *P. piraya* were deposited in the Museu de Zoologia of the Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), São Paulo, Nº 95149. Voucher specimens of parasites of *P. piraya* were deposited in the Coleção Helminológica do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (CHIOC), Rio de Janeiro and/or in the Academia de Ciências da República Tcheca (ASCR), according to the numbering presented in the results section.

Statistical tests were applied to analyze parasitic infrapopulations and infracommunities in accordance of Bush et al. (1990, 1997). The parasite species were classified within the community according to their importance value (IV) (Bush & Holmes 1986). Species dominance in communities was tested using Simpson's index (C) (Stone & Pence 1978). The frequency of dominance, the shared frequency of dominance and the mean relative dominance of each parasite species were calculated according to Rohde et al. (1995). The ratio between the mean parasite variance and abundance (dispersion index - DI) was calculated for each parasite species in order to determinate their distribution pattern. Their significance was tested using the statistical d -test ($d > 1.96$) (Ludwig & Reynolds 1988).

Student's t test was used to check for possible differences between the weights and sizes of hosts in relation to their sex. The chi-square test (X^2) was used to determine the influence of sex and the period of collection on the prevalence of parasites. Spearman's correlation coefficient by ranks (r_s) was used to evaluate possible correlations between the host's total length and intensity, abundance, richness and parasite diversity. Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was used to assess the correlation between parasite prevalence in

relation to the hosts' size classes, as estimated by Sturges's formula (Sturges 1926). The Mann Whitney test (U) was used to assess the possible differences between intensity, abundance, richness and parasite diversity in relation to sex and period of collection of hosts.

The community descriptors of parasitism that were calculated included the following: mean parasite abundance, mean parasite richness, Shannon-Wiener's parasite diversity (H') and Hill's equivalence modified (E). The DivEs software was used to calculate these indexes (Rodrigues 2019). Berger-Parker's numerical dominance (Dbp), as based on parasite infracommunities, was calculated from the respective abundances (Magurran 1988). The level of significance for all tests was $p < 0.05$ (Zar 1996).

RESULTS

The total number of 108 *P. piraya* specimens were collected in Três Marias reservoir: 56 of them were male and recorded mean total length 18.1 ± 4.4 cm (11.1 to 30.5 cm) and mean weight 169.8 ± 180.1 g (21.0 to 835.0 g), whereas 52 were female and recorded mean total length 21.4 ± 6.0 cm (13.0 to 34.0 cm) and mean weight 323.4 ± 308.4 g (40.0 to 1225.0 g). In the dry season, 53 piranha specimens were collected: 31 were male and recorded mean total length 16.8 ± 5.1 cm (11.1 to 30.5 cm) and mean weight 160.8 ± 224.5 g (21.0 to 835.0 g); whereas 22 were female and recorded mean total length 18.8 ± 6.2 cm (13.2 to 32.0 cm) and mean weight 244.2 ± 320.0 g (48.9 to 967.0 g). In the rainy season, 55 piranha specimens were collected: 25 of them were male and recorded mean total length 19.5 ± 3.0 cm (14.5 to 23.6 cm) and mean weight 181 ± 105.3 g (46 to 390 g), whereas 30 were female and recorded mean total length 23.2 ± 5.2 cm (13.0 to 34.0 cm) and mean weight 381.5 ± 291.3 cm (40.0 to 1225.0g).

Female specimens were larger and heavier than males both in the integral collection ($t=3.25$, $p=0.001$; $t=3.19$, $p=0.002$, respectively) and in the rainy season ($t=3.09$, $p=0.003$; $t=3.26$, $p=0.002$, respectively), whereas the dry season did not present difference between the size and weight of male and female hosts ($t=1.27$, $p=0.20$; $t=1.11$, $p=0.27$, respectively).

Component endoparasite community

Not all fish in the analyzed sample were parasitized ($n=6$). Ten metazoan helminth species were found; which totaled 737 specimens and 6.8 parasites per fish, on average.

Of the 737 endoparasite specimens collected in the current study, 378 (51.3%) were adult, 358 (48.6%) were larvae and one (0.1%) was juvenile.

Three Nematoda species were found among adult endoparasites, namely: *Procamallanus* (*Spirocamallanus*) *inopinatus* Travassos, Artigas & Pereira, 1928, *Cystidicoloides fischeri* Travassos, Artigas & Pereira, 1928 and *Capillostrongyloides sentinosa* (Travassos, 1927). Seven larval or juvenile endoparasite species were also found: one species of Digenea - *Austrodiplostomum* sp. (metacercariae); one of Eucestoda - Proteocephalidae gen. sp. (plerocercoids) and five species of Nematoda - *Hysterothylacium* sp., *Contraecaecum* sp. ^{type 1'}, *Spiroxys* sp., *Goezia* sp. and *Spinitectus rodolphiheringi* Vaz & Pereira, 1934 (juvenile specimen) (Table I).

Nematoda was the most representative taxon, since it presented 94.4% parasitism rate (707 specimens) and 6.93 parasites per fish, on average. They presenting the largest number of parasite specimens in the two collection periods analyzed in separate, as well as in the whole collection period. Eucestoda and Digenea specimens were the least representative groups in the endoparasitic community found in *P. piraya* fish living in upper São Francisco river

– their parasitism rate was lower than 10% (Table I).

Regarding the endoparasitic community of *P. piraya*, *P. (S.) inopinatus* was the most prevalent (83.3%); it was followed by *Hysterothylacium* sp. (39.8%) and *C. sentinosa* (27.8%). *Austrodiplostomum* sp. and *S. rodolphiheringi* recorded the lowest prevalence and abundance values (0.9% and 0.009, respectively, for both species), as shown in Table I.

The endoparasite community found in *P. piraya* revealed one central species (*P. (S.) inopinatus*), one secondary species (*Hysterothylacium* sp.) and eight satellite species, based on their importance values (Table I).

Simpson's dominance index (C) indicated that was dominance in the endoparasitic community of *P. piraya* ($C=0.27$), with *P. (S.) inopinatus* presenting higher frequency dominance value (Table II).

Components of the parasite community found in *P. piraya* have shown typical aggregated or superdispersed distribution pattern, even in *C. fischeri*, whose aggregation was not statistically significant (Table III). The parasite community found in *P. piraya* presented $H'=0.63$, $Dbp=0.38$ and $E=3.21$; it recorded mean richness and mean abundance of 1.89 ± 1.21 and 6.82 ± 8.32 , respectively.

Parasite infracommunities

The total number of 737 endoparasite specimens were collected in *P. piraya*; mean number of parasites per infracommunity was 6.82 ± 8.32 and its amplitude reached 1-38 parasites per host.

The richness and diversity of parasite infracommunities were correlated to the total length of hosts ($r_s=0.38$, $p<0.0001$; $r_s=0.43$, $p<0.001$, respectively), but not to their sex ($U=1050.5$, $p=0.09$; $U=1276.0$, $p=0.27$, respectively). Parasite infracommunities found in *P. piraya*

Table I. Prevalence (P), intensity range (IR), mean intensity (MI) and mean abundance (MA) and their respective standard deviation (SD); importance of values (IV) and site of infection of the metazoan endoparasites of *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	P (%)	IR	MI ± SD	MA ± SD	IV	Site of infection
Digenea						
<i>Austrodiplostomum</i> sp. (metacercariae) CHIOC 38499	0.9	1	1.0	0.009±0.09	S _A	E
Eucestoda						
Plerocercoids of Proteocephalidae	2.8	1-26	9.67±14.1	0.27±2.51	S _A	C, AI, MI, S
Nematoda						
<i>Procamallanus</i> (S.) <i>inopinatus</i> (adults and larvae) CHIOC 36950	83.3	1-23	3.11±2.92	2.59±2.91	C _E	C, IC, AI, MI, PI, S
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i> (adults) CHIOC 35529a-b, ASCR 883	10.2	1-3	2.36±0.81	0.24±0.76	S _A	C, MI, S
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i> (adults) CHIOC 35544	27.8	1-9	2.37±2.19	0.67±1.59	S _A	C, IC, AI, MI, S
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp. (larvae) CHIOC 36951	39.8	1-30	6.56±8.05	2.61± 5.99	S _E	C, AI, MI, S
<i>Contracaecum</i> sp. _{type 1} (larvae) CHIOC 36952	6.4	1-3	1.86±1.07	0.12±0.52	S _A	C
<i>Spiroxys</i> sp. (larvae) CHIOC 36953	12.9	1-6	1.93±1.49	0.25±0.83	S _A	C, IC, AI, G
<i>Goezia</i> sp. (larvae) CHIOC 36954	4.6	1-2	1.2±0.45	0.05±0.27	S _A	C, S
<i>Spinitectus rodolphiheringi</i> (juvenile) CHIOC 36955	0.9	1	1.0	0.009±0.09	S _A	S

C_E = central species; S_A = satellite species; S_E = secondary species; C = coelom; IC = intestinal cecum; AI = anterior intestine; MI = middle intestine; PI = posterior intestine; E=eyes; G = gallbladder; S = stomach.

Table II. Frequency of dominance, shared frequency of dominance, and mean relative dominance of the metazoan endoparasites of *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Frequency of dominance	Shared frequency of dominance	Mean relative dominance ± Standard deviation
<i>Procamallanus</i> (S.) <i>inopinatus</i>	59	9	0.583±0.401
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	4	0	0.042±0.162
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	5	4	0.083±0.186
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	21	7	0.195±0.290
<i>Spiroxys</i> sp.	2	3	0.040±0.134

have shown mean diversity 0.19 ± 0.19 and Berger-Parker mean dominance 0.78 ± 0.23 . The infracommunity recording the highest parasite diversity ($H' = 0.66$) presented five parasite species. Forty-five infracommunities have shown minimal diversity ($H' = 0$). There were four associations in the parasite community in *P. piraya*; one pair of adults and one pair of larval endoparasites have shown correlation between their prevalence and parasitic abundance or only between their abundances (Table IV).

The analyzed sample comprised 52 female and 56 male *P. piraya*, whose prevalence, mean intensity and mean abundance of parasites are shown in Table V. Female specimens recorded mean diversity 0.23 ± 0.20 (0.14–0.66) and richness 2.04 ± 1.30 (1–5 species), whereas male specimens have shown mean diversity 0.16 ± 0.18 (0.06–0.49) and richness 1.77 ± 1.13 (1–6). There was not statistically significant difference between parasite diversity and richness values based on hosts' sex ($U = 1079.0$, $p = 0.07$; $U = 1050.5$, $p = 0.09$, respectively).

Female *P. piraya* were significantly more parasitized by *Hysterothylacium* sp. larvae than males. Parasitic indices recorded for other parasite species analyzed in the current study were not influenced by host's sex (Tables V and VI).

Parasitic indices recorded for *C. sentinosa* (abundance) and *Hysterothylacium* sp. (intensity and abundance) were higher in larger fish (positive correlation). *Spiroxys* sp., despite not show significant values, they presented negative correlation, which indicated higher incidence in smaller fish (Table VII). Both the diversity and richness of infracommunities were greater in larger fish ($r_s = 0.35$, $p = 0.0002$, $r_s = 0.38$, $p < 0.0001$, respectively).

The total number of 53 piranha fish were collected during the dry season, whereas 55 were collected during the rainy season - their parasite indices are shown in Table VIII. Fish collected during the dry season have shown mean parasite diversity 0.11 ± 0.16 (0–0.66) and mean parasite richness 1.28 ± 0.88 (0–5 species). Fish collected during the rainy season have shown mean parasite diversity 0.28 ± 0.19 (0–0.66) and mean parasite richness 2.49 ± 1.20 (0–6 species). Both diversity and parasitic richness were significantly higher in the rainy season ($U = 688.0$, $p < 0.0001$; $U = 598.5$, $p < 0.0001$).

Procamallanus (S.) *inopinatus* intensity and abundance, as well as *C. sentinosa* and *Hysterothylacium* sp. prevalence and abundance, were positively correlated to the rainy season (Table IX). During this period, *P. (S.) inopinatus* abundance was significantly higher in female fish. In the dry period, *P. (S.)*

Table III. Values of the dispersion index and the statistical *d*-test of the metazoan endoparasites of *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Dispersion index	<i>d</i>
<i>Procamallanus</i> (S.) <i>inopinatus</i>	1.648	4.186*
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	1.209	1.494
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	1.910	5.623*
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	6.928	23.909*
<i>Spiroxys</i> sp.	1.401	2.719*

*significant values: $d > 1.96$.

Table IV. Analysis of the parasitic descriptors of co-occurring species in *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Pairs of species	Prevalence		Abundance	
	χ^2	<i>p</i>	r_s	<i>p</i>
Adult endoparasites				
<i>Procamallanus</i> (S.) <i>inopinatus</i> – <i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	0.32	0.57	-0.04	0.66
<i>Procamallanus</i> (S.) <i>inopinatus</i> – <i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	0.75	0.39	-0.10	0.30
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i> – <i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	5.99	0.01*	0.24	0.01*
Larval endoparasites				
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp. – <i>Spiroxys</i> sp.	0.01	0.91	0.25	0.009*

*significant values: $p < 0.05$; χ^2 : Chi-square test with Yates's correction; r_s : Spearman's coefficient correlation.

Table V. Prevalence, intensity and mean abundance of metazoan endoparasites of *Pygocentrus piraya* examined by sex from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Prevalence (%)		Mean intensity		Mean abundance	
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
Digenea						
<i>Austrodiplostomum</i> sp.	-	0.9%	-	1.0	-	0.02±0.1
Eucestoda						
Plerocercoids of Proteocephalidae	1.8	3.8	26.0	1.5±0.7	0.5±3.5	0.05±0.3
Nematoda						
<i>Procamallanus</i> (S.) <i>inopinatus</i>	85.7	80.8	2.7±1.8	3.6±3.8	2.3±1.9	2.9±3.7
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	10.7	9.6	2.2±1.0	2.6±0.5	0.2±0.7	0.25±0.8
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	26.8	28.9	2.9±2.8	1.9±1.5	0.8±1.9	0.5±1.2
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	28.6	51.9	8.4±9.2	5.4±7.2	2.4±6.1	2.8±5.8
<i>Contraecaecum</i> sp. Type 1	7.1	5.3	2.3±1.1	1.5±1.0	0.13±0.6	0.1±0.5
<i>Spiroxys</i> sp.	12.5	13.5	1.8±1.8	2.2±1.3	0.25±0.9	0.25±0.8
<i>Goezia</i> sp.	1.8	7.7	1.0	1.25±0.5	0.02±0.1	0.09±0.3
<i>Spinitectus rodolphiheringi</i>	1.8	-	1.0	-	0.02±0.1	-

- Impossible to calculate because no male fish was parasited by *Austrodiplostomum* sp. and no female fish was parasited by *Spinitectus rodolphiheringi*.

Table VI. Analysis of parasite indexes under possible influence of the sex of *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Prevalence		Intensity		Abundance	
	χ^2	<i>p</i>	<i>U</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>U</i>	<i>p</i>
<i>Procamallanus (S.) inopinatus</i>	0.19	0.67	889.00	0.19	1378.50	0.63
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	0.03	0.85	11.50	0.58	1443.50	0.94
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	0.0005	0.98	98.50	0.57	1440.00	0.92
<i>Hysterothylacium sp.</i>	5.20	0.02*	186.50	0.46	1145.50	0.05
<i>Spiroxys sp.</i>	0.02	0.89	15.00	0.27	1425.00	0.84

*significant values: $p < 0.05$; χ^2 : Chi-square test with Yates's correction; *U*: Mann Whitney test.

Table VII. Analysis of parasite indexes under possible influence of the total length of *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Prevalence		Intensity		Abundance	
	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r_s</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r_s</i>	<i>p</i>
<i>Procamallanus (S.) inopinatus</i>	0.43	0.28	0.10	0.33	0.10	0.29
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	0.06	0.88	0.24	0.46	0.10	0.26
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	0.60	0.11	0.07	0.70	0.37	<0.0001*
<i>Hysterothylacium sp.</i>	0.68	0.06	0.50	0.0005*	0.38	<0.0001*
<i>Spiroxys sp.</i>	-0.14	0.74	-0.009	0.97	0.001	0.99

*significant values: $p < 0.05$; *r*: Pearson's correlation coefficient; *r_s*: Spearman's coefficient correlation.

Table VIII. Prevalence, mean intensity, and mean abundance of the metazoan endoparasites of *Pygocentrus piraya* in the respective collection period (dry and rainy seasons), from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Prevalence (%)		Mean intensity		Mean abundance	
	Dry	Rainy	Dry	Rainy	Dry	Rainy
Digenea						
<i>Austrodiplostomum sp.</i>	-	1.8	-	1.0	-	0.02±0.1
Eucestoda						
Plerocercoids of Proteocephalidae	1.9	3.6	1.0	14.0±16.9	0.02±0.1	0.5±3.5
Nematoda						
<i>Procamallanus (S.) inopinatus</i>	81.1	85.4	2.4±1.8	3.7±3.6	2.0±1.9	3.2±3.6
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	5.7	14.5	2.2±0.9	2.4±0.8	0.2±0.6	0.3±0.8
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	7.5	47.3	1.0±0.0	2.6±2.3	0.07±0.3	1.2±12.1
<i>Hysterothylacium sp.</i>	17.0	61.8	6.8±10.7	6.5±7.2	1.3±5.2	3.9±6.4
<i>Contracaecum sp.</i> _{Type 1}	1.9	10.9	1.0	2.0±1.1	0.02±0.1	0.2±0.7
<i>Goezia sp.</i>	-	9.1	-	1.2±0.4	-	0.1±0.4
<i>Spinitectus rodolphiheringi</i>	-	1.8	-	1.0	-	0.02±0.1

- Impossible to calculate because no fish parasited by *Austrodiplostomum sp.*, by *Goezia sp.* and by *S. rodolphiheringi* was collected in the dry season.

Table IX. Analysis of parasite indexes under the possible influence of the collection period (dry and rainy seasons) of *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Prevalence		Intensity		Abundance	
	χ^2	<i>p</i>	<i>U</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>U</i>	<i>p</i>
<i>Procamallanus (S.) inopinatus</i>	0.006	0.93	715.00	0.02*	1099.00	0.03*
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	0.32	0.56	12.50	0.85	1352.00	0.60
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	19.29	<0.0001*	-	-	852.50	0.0002*
<i>Hysterothylacium sp.</i>	21.54	<0.0001*	146.00	0.59	839.00	0.0001*
<i>Spiroxys sp.</i>	0.62	0.43	11.00	0.24	1318.00	0.37

*significant values; $p < 0.05$; χ^2 : Chi-square test with Yates's correction; *U*: Mann Whitney test. - Impossible to calculate because all the fish collected in dry period presented only one specimen.

Table X. Parasitic descriptors during collect periods (dry and rainy seasons, respectively) under possible influence of sex of *Pygocentrus piraya* from Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Parasite species	Dry				Rainy			
	Prevalence		Abundance		Prevalence		Abundance	
	χ^2	<i>p</i>	<i>U</i>	<i>p</i>	χ^2	<i>p</i>	<i>U</i>	<i>p</i>
<i>Procamallanus (S.) inopinatus</i>	3.8	0.04*	204.00	0.02*	0.80	0.37	242.50	0.03*
<i>Cystidicoloides fischeri</i>	0.27	0.59	316.50	0.80	0.22	0.63	340.00	0.62
<i>Capillostrongyloides sentinosa</i>	0.27	0.59	317.00	0.81	0.79	0.37	305.00	0.27
<i>Hysterothylacium sp.</i>	3.89	0.04*	243.00	0.10	0.02	0.86	364.50	0.96
<i>Spiroxys sp.</i>	0.14	0.70	308.50	0.69	0.03	0.86	352.50	0.95

*significant values: $p < 0.05$; χ^2 : Chi-square test with Yates's correction; *U*: Mann Whitney test.

inopinatus prevalence and abundance, as well as *Hysterothylacium sp.* prevalence, were higher in female fish (Table X).

DISCUSSION

The endoparasitic fauna found in *P. piraya* fish collected in Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, comprised ten endoparasite species. Studies conducted with the endoparasitic community of congeneric species *P. nattereri* in different Brazilian regions have shown that it is richer and more diverse than the endoparasitic fauna found in *P. piraya* fish living in São Francisco river which recorded approximately 21 species, namely: representatives of Digenea - metacercariae of *Austrodiplostomum compactum* (Lutz,

1928) and *Clinostomum marginatum* (Braun, 1899); representatives of Eucestoda - adult *Proteocephalus serralalmus* Rego & Pavanelli, 1990 and Cestoda gen. sp.; representatives of Nematoda - larvae of *Eustrongylides sp.*, *Eustrongylides ignotus* Jäegerskiöld, 1909, *Anisakis sp.*, *Brevimulticaecum sp.*, *Contraecum sp.* and *Pseudopropleptus sp.*; and adults of *Procamallanus sp.*, *P. (S.) inopinatus*, *Philometra nattereri* Cárdenas, Moravec, Fernandes & Morais, 2012, *Philometridae* gen. sp. and *Capillaridae* gen. sp.; representatives of Acanthocephala - *Echinorhynchus paranensis* Machado Filho, 1959 and *Acanthocephala* gen. sp.; and representatives of Pentastomida - *Leiperia gracile* Diesing, 1835, *Sebekia oxycephala* Diesing, 1835, *Subtriquetra sp. 1* and *Subtriquetra sp. 2* (Pinto & Noronha 1976, Rego & Eiras 1989, Rego & Pavanelli 1990,

Moravec 1998, Pavanelli et al. 2004, Thatcher 2006, Barros et al. 2006, 2010, Vieira et al. 2010, Morais et al. 2011, 2019, Vital et al. 2011, Benigno et al. 2012, Cárdenas et al. 2012, Vicentin et al. 2013, Brito-Junior & Tavares-Dias 2018).

Austrodiplostomum sp., *P. (S.) inopinatus* and *Contracaecum* sp. were the common species shared by both endoparasitic fauna; they are generalist species that often parasitize several fish species belonging to different orders in different regions (São-Sabas & Brasil-Sato 2014, Santos-Clapp & Brasil-Sato 2014, Duarte et al. 2016, Monteiro et al. 2016, Ribeiro et al. 2016, Almeida-Berto et al. 2018, Fujimoto et al. 2018, Fernandes et al. 2019). Representatives of Acanthocephala and Pentastomida were not found in the endoparasitic fauna observed in *P. piraya* fish living in upper São Francisco river.

The elevated position of *P. piraya* fish in the trophic web features this species as the final host of adult endoparasites found in the current study, such as *P. (S.) inopinatus*, *C. fischeri* and *C. sentinosa*. Specimens belonging to forage fish species *Tetragonopterus chalceus* Spix & Agassiz 1829 and *Triporthus guentheri* (Garman, 1890) living in Três Marias reservoir were considered intermediate hosts of *C. fischeri* by presents these larvae in their endoparasitic community (Albuquerque et al. 2016). The prey-predator relationship established between these forage fish and *P. piraya*, which is predatory and top carnivore, helps maintaining the life cycle of these nematodes in Três Marias reservoir.

Although fish species *P. piraya* is considered a top web predator, the five larval nematodes observed in the endoparasitic community found in this species have indicated that piranha fish can be intermediate or even paratenic hosts. According to Eiras (1994), nematodes use oligochaetes, crustaceans, insect larvae, mainly ephemeropterans, and fish as intermediate hosts. Trindade & Jucá-Chagas (2008) have stated that

although *P. piraya* fish are primarily piscivore, terrestrial insects can be found in their stomach content. This finding explains the presence of larval and adult nematodes in the endoparasitic community found in *P. piraya*. Likely final hosts of some of these nematodes, such as alligators, were seen feeding on *P. nattereri* in the Brazilian Pantanal region (Sazima & Machado 1990).

Female *P. piraya* fish were significantly more parasitized by *Hysterothylacium* sp. larvae than male individuals, likely when they were preparing for the reproduction season. According to Pavanelli et al. (1997), the stress experienced by fish during the reproduction season can make them susceptible to infections.

Descriptors such as parasitic richness and diversity are often associated with fish size and collection period (Guégan & Hugueny 1994, Tavares-Dias et al. 2014). Endoparasite diversity, richness and mean total abundance rates in the current study have significantly increased as the fish grew. The abundance of *C. sentinosa* was higher in larger hosts, as well as the intensity and abundance of *Hysterothylacium* sp. larvae. This outcome be explained by the cumulative effect of these parasites on their hosts. According to Neves et al. (2016), larvae who are not eliminated by hosts' immune system can remain viable for a long period-of-time until they reach their final hosts. Larger fish can eat greater amounts and diversity of food items; by doing so, they acquire intermediate and/or paratenic hosts of several parasite species and increase the likelihood of developing infections (Poulin 1995).

The transmission of the most prevalent endoparasite species was favored in the rainy season, since their ecological parasitic descriptors were higher at this time. According to Moravec (1998), the most important biotic factors in Nematoda's life cycle lie on the presence and density of intermediate hosts, as well as on the trophic relationship between them

and their definitive hosts. According to López & Sampaio (2003), rainfall regime and temperature are the factors affecting the composition and abundance of zooplankton communities. In addition, the abundance of Copepoda, among other aquatic invertebrates, is often higher in the rainy season. A mix of these factors seems to influence the greater incidence of these endoparasites during the rainy season.

Proteocephalidae gen. sp., *Contracaecum* sp. and *Hysterothylacium* sp. - larval parasites found in *P. piraya* - are generalist because they parasitize several taxonomically unrelated fish species. Besides *P. piraya*, several fish species living in upper São Francisco river were infected by these larvae (Brasil-Sato 2003, São-Sabas & Brasil-Sato 2014, Santos-Clapp & Brasil-Sato 2014, Albuquerque et al. 2016, Duarte et al. 2016, Vieira-Menezes et al. 2017, Almeida-Berto et al. 2018); thus, they acted as intermediate and/or paratenic hosts for these cestodes and nematodes, who would become adults when these infected fish were ingested by piscivorous birds or other final hosts.

Significant positive associations observed in pairs of adult endoparasites species *C. fischeri* – *C. sentinosa*, and in pairs of larval endoparasite species *Hysterothylacium* sp. – *Spiroxys* sp., may indicate that these associated species have the same ecological requirements and that there are probably frequent and common intermediate hosts for the associated species, as the carnivore host *P. piraya*, revealed in this study.

Some studies have reported some of these helminths parasitizing *P. piraya* living in upper São Francisco river. Brasil-Sato (2003) have mentioned *P. (S.) inopinatus* parasitism in this host. Moravec et al. (2008) have described *C. fischeri* in *P. piraya* and *Serrasalmus brandtii* Lutken, 1875. Santos et al. (2009) observed *Spiroxys* sp. larvae in *P. piraya*, *S. brandtii* and *Cichla kelberi* (Kullander & Ferreira,

2006); whereas Monteiro et al. (2016) recorded *Austrodiplostomum* sp. metacercariae in *P. piraya* and in other fish species living in São Francisco river. Proteocephalidae gen. sp., *C. sentinosa*, *Contracaecum* Type 1, *Hysterothylacium* sp., *Goezia* sp. and *S. rodolphiheringi* were recorded in this serrasalmid fish for the first time; thus, they expanded the list of hosts living in Três Marias reservoir, upper São Francisco river, Brazil.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Dr. Yoshimi Sato and Dr. Edson Vieira Sampaio (Centro Integrado de Recursos Pesqueiros e Aquicultura de Três Marias [1ª CIT] da Companhia de Desenvolvimento dos Vales do São Francisco e do Parnaíba [CODEVASF]) for material and logistical support; to Dr. Osvaldo Takeshi Oyakawa and to Dr. Luís Cláudio Muniz Pereira for his kindness in receiving the voucher specimens sent for deposit at the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP) and Coleção Helminológica do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (CHIOC), respectively. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brazil (CAPES) (Doctorate's scholarships Rayane Duarte and Marcia C. Albuquerque) - Finance Code 001; and by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (Doctorate's scholarships Michelle D. Santos-Clapp).

REFERENCES

- AGOSTINHO AA, GOMES LC & PELICICE FM. 2007. Ecologia e Manejo de Recursos Pesqueiros em Reservatórios do Brasil. Maringá: EDUEM, 501 p.
- AGOSTINHO CS, AGOSTINHO AA, MARQUES EE & BINI LM. 1997. Abiotic factors influencing piranha attacks on netted fish in the upper Paraná River, Brazil. North Am J Fish Manag 17: 712-718.
- AGOSTINHO CS & MARQUES EE. 2001. Selection of netted prey by piranhas, *Serrasalmus spilopleura* and *S. marginatus* (Pisces, Serrasalminidae). Acta Sci Biol Sci 23(2): 461-464.
- ALBUQUERQUE MC, SANTOS-CLAPP MD & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2016. Endoparasites of two species of forage fish from the Três Marias reservoir, Brazil: new host records and ecological indices. Rev Bras Med Vet 38(S3): 139-145.
- ALMEIDA-BERTO MFC, MONTEIRO CC & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2018. Parasitic helminths of the non-native serrasalmid fish

Metynnis lippincottianus from the Três Marias Reservoir, Southeast Brazil. Braz J Vet Parasitol 27(3): 289-294.

AMATO JFR, BOEGER WA & AMATO SB. 1991. Protocolos para laboratório – coleta e processamento de parasitos de pescado. Seropédica: Imprensa Universitária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, 81 p.

BARROS LA, FILHO MJ & OLIVEIRA RL. 2006. Nematóides com potencial zoonótico em peixes com importância econômica provenientes do rio Cuiabá. R Bras Ci Vet 13(1): 55-57.

BARROS LA, MATEUS LAF, BRAUM DT & BONALDO J. 2010. Aspectos ecológicos de endoparasitos de piranha vermelha (*Pygocentrus nattereri* Kner, 1860) proveniente do rio Cuiabá. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec 62(1): 228-231.

BENIGNO RNM, SÃO CLEMENTE SC, MATOS ER, PINTO RM, GOMES DC & KNOFF M. 2012. Nematodes in *Hoplertrinus unitaeniatus*, *Hoplias malabaricus* and *Pygocentrus nattereri* (Pisces, Characiformes) in Marajó Island, Brazil. Braz J Vet Parasitol 21(2): 165-170.

BRASIL-SATO MC. 2003. Parasitos de Peixes da Bacia do São Francisco. In: Godinho HP & Godinho AL (Eds), Águas, Peixes e Pescadores do São Francisco das Minas Gerais. Belo Horizonte: PUCMINAS, p. 149-165.

BRITO-JUNIOR IA & TAVARES-DIAS M. 2018. Metazoários parasitos de quatro espécies de peixes da bacia Igarapé-Fortaleza, estado do Amapá (Brasil). Biota Amaz 8(2): 1-3.

BRITSKI HA, SATO Y & ROSAABS. 1988. Manual de identificação de peixes da região de Três Marias (com chaves de identificação para os peixes da Bacia do São Francisco). 3ª ed, Brasília: CODEVASF - Câmara dos Deputados, 115 p.

BUSH AO, AHO JM & KENNEDY CR. 1990. Ecological versus phylogenetic determinants of helminth parasite community richness. Evol Ecol Res 4: 1-20.

BUSH AO & HOLMES JC. 1986. Intestinal helminthes of lesser scaup ducks: a interactive community. Can J Zool 64: 142-152.

BUSH AO, LAFFERTY JM & SHOSTAK AW. 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. J Parasitol 83(4): 575-583.

CACHAPUZ PBB. 2006. Usinas da CEMIG 1952-2005, 304 p.

CÁRDENAS MQ, MORAVEC F, FERNANDES BMM & MORAIS AM. 2012. A new species of *Philometra* Costa, 1845 (Nematoda: Philometridae) from the freshwater fish (red piranha) *Pygocentrus nattereri* Kner (Characidae) in Amazonia, Brazil. Syst Parasitol 83(2): 137-144.

CHAMBRIER A, SCHOLZ T, MARIAUX J & KUCHTA R. 2017. Onchoproteocephalidea I Caira, Jensen, Waeschenbach,

Olson & Littlewood, 2014. In: Caira JN & Jensen K (Eds), Planetary biodiversity inventory (2008-2017): Tapeworms from vertebrate bowels of the Earth, Lawrence: University of Kansas, p. 251-278.

CHERVY L. 2002. The terminology of larval cestodes or metacestodes. Syst Parasitol 52(1): 1-33.

DUARTE R, SANTOS-CLAPP MD & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2016. Endohelminthos de *Salminus hilarii* Valenciennes (Actinopterygii: Bryconidae) e seus índices parasitários no rio São Francisco, Brasil. Rev Bras Med Vet 38(3S): 151-156.

EIRAS JC. 1994. Elementos de Ictioparasitologia. Porto: Fundação Eng. Antonio de Almeida, 339 p.

FERNANDES ES, CASALI GP & TAKEMOTO RM. 2019. Metazoan endoparasites of *Brycon orbignyanus* (Characidae: Bryconinae) in a neotropical floodplain. Acta Sci Biol Sci 41: e40493.

FINK WL. 1993. Revision of the piranha genus *Pygocentrus* (Teleostei, Characiformes). Copeia 3: 665-687.

FROESE R & PAULY D. 2019. Fishbase. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available at: <<http://www.fishbase.org>>.

FUJIMOTO RY, COUTO MVS, SOUSA MC, MADI RR, EIRAS JC & MARTINS ML. 2018. Seasonality of *Procamallanus* (*Spirocamallanus*) *inopinatus* (Nematoda: Camallanidae) infection in *Bryconops melanurus* (Characiformes: Iguanodectidae). Bol Inst Pesca 44(4): e334.

GUÉGAN JF & HUGUENY B. 1994. A nested parasite species subset pattern in tropical fish – host as major determinant of parasite infracommunity structure. Oecologia 100(1-2): 184-189.

KOHLER HC. 2003. Aspectos geoecológicos da bacia hidrográfica do São Francisco (primeira aproximação na escala 1: 1 000 000). In: Godinho HP & Godinho AL (Eds), Águas, peixes e pescadores do São Francisco das Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte: PUC Minas, p. 25-27.

LÓPEZCM & SAMPAIO EV. 2003. A comunidade zooplanctônica no Reservatório de Três Marias e no trecho do São Francisco a jusante. In: Godinho HP & Godinho AL (Eds), Águas, peixes e pescadores do São Francisco das Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte: PUC Minas, p. 93-104.

LUDWIG JA & REYNOLDS JF. 1988. Statistical Ecology: a primer on Methods and Computing. New York: Wiley-Interscience Publications, 377 p.

MAGURRAN AE. 1988. Ecological Diversity and Its Measurement. New Jersey: Princenton University Press, 179 p.

- MONTEIRO CM, MARTINS AN, ALBUQUERQUE MC, SANTOS-CLAPP MD, DUARTE R, SÃO-SABAS CS & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2016. *Austrodiplostomum compactum* Szidat & Nani (Digenea: Diplostomidae) in final and second intermediate hosts from upper São Francisco river in the State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Rev Bras Med Vet* 38(3S): 146-150.
- MORAIS AM, CÁRDENAS MQ & MALTA JCO. 2019. Nematofauna of red piranha *Pygocentrus nattereri* (Kner, 1958) (Characiformes: Serrasalminidae) from Amazonia, Brazil. *Braz J Vet Parasitol* 28(3): 458-464.
- MORAIS AM, VARELLA AMB, FERNANDES BMM & MALTA JCO. 2011. *Clinostomum marginatum* (Braun, 1899) and *Austrodiplostomum compactum* (Lutz, 1928) metacercariae with zoonotic potential on *Pygocentrus nattereri* (Kner, 1858) (Characiformes: Serrasalminidae) from Central Amazon, Brazil. *Neotrop Helminthol* 5(1): 8-15.
- MORAVEC F. 1998. Nematodes of freshwater fishes of the Neotropical Region. Praha, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 464 p.
- MORAVEC F, SANTOS MD & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2008. Redescription of *Cystidicoloides fischeri* based on specimens from piranhas in Brazil, and erection of a new genus (Nematoda: Cystidicolidae). *J Parasitol* 94(4): 889-897.
- MOREIRA NIB, OLIVEIRA CL & COSTA HMA. 1994. *Spirocamallanus inopinatus* (Travassos, Artigas & Pereira, 1928) e *Spirocamallanus saofranciscensis* sp n. (Nematoda, Camallanidae) em peixes da represa de Três Marias. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 46(5): 485-500.
- NEVES LR, BRAGA ECR & TAVARES-DIAS M. 2016. Diversidade de parasitas em *Curimata incompta* (Curimatidae), hospedeira do sistema de rios da Amazônia no Brasil. *J Parasit Dis* 40: 1296-1300.
- NIEWIADOMSKA K. 2002. Family Diplostomidae. In: Gibson DI, Jones A & Bray RA (Eds), *Keys to the Trematoda*, Vol. 1, Wallingford: CABI Publishing and The Natural History Museum, p. 167-198.
- PAVANELLI GC, MACHADO MH & TAKEMOTO RM. 1997. Fauna helmíntica de peixes do Rio Paraná, região de Porto Rico, Paraná. In: Vazzoler AEAM, Agostinho AA & Hahn NS (Eds), *A planície de inundação do alto Rio Paraná: aspectos físicos, biológicos e socioeconômicos*, Maringá: EDUEM, p. 307-329.
- PAVANELLI GC, MACHADO MH, TAKEMOTO RM, GUIDELLI GM & LIZAMA MAP. 2004. Helminth fauna of fishes: diversity and ecological aspects. In: Thomaz SM, Agostinho AA & Hahn NS, *The Upper Paraná River and Its Foodplain: Physical Aspects, Ecology and Conservation*, Netherlands: Backhuys Publishers, p. 309-329.
- PINTO RM & NORONHA D. 1976. *Procamallanus* brasileiros (Nematoda, Camallanoidea): considerações finais, com chave para determinação das espécies. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 74(3-4): 323-339.
- POULIN R. 1995. Phylogeny, ecology and richness of parasite communities in vertebrates. *Ecol Monogr* 65(3): 283-302.
- REGO AA & EIRAS J. 1989. Identificação das larvas de *Sebekia* e *Leiperia* (Pentastomida), histopatologia em peixes de rios. *Rev Bras Biol* 49(2): 591-595.
- REGO AA & PAVANELLI GC. 1990. Novas espécies de cestóides proteocefalídeos: parasitas de peixes não Siluriformes. *Rev Bras Biol* 50(1): 91-101.
- REIS RE, KULLANDER SO & FERRARIS JR CJ. 2003. Check list of the Freshwater Fishes of South and Central America. Porto Alegre: EDIPUCRS, 729 p.
- RIBEIRO TS, UEDA BH, PAVANELLI GC & TAKEMOTO RM. 2016. Endoparasite fauna of *Brycon amazonicus* and *B. melanopterus* (Characidae, Bryconinae) from Negro and Solimões rivers, Amazon, Brazil. *Acta Amaz* 46(1): 107-110.
- RODRIGUES WC. 2019. DivEs – Diversidade de espécies. Versão 2.0. Software e Guia do Usuário. Disponível em: <<http://www.ebras.bio.br>>.
- ROHDE K, HAYWARD C & HEAP M. 1995. Aspects of the ecology of metazoan ectoparasites of marine fishes. *Int J Parasitol* 25: 945-970.
- SANTOS MD, ALBUQUERQUE MC, MONTEIRO CM, MARTINS AN, EDERLI NB & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2009. First report of larval *Spiroxys* sp. (Nematoda, Gnathostomatidae) in three species of carnivorous fish from Três Marias Reservoir, São Francisco River, Brazil. *PanAmJAS* 4: 306-311.
- SANTOS-CLAPP MD & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2014. Parasite Community of *Cichla kelberi* (Perciformes, Cichlidae) in the Três Marias Reservoir, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Braz J Vet Parasitol* 23(3): 367-374.
- SÃO-SABAS CS & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2014. Helminth fauna parasitizing *Pimelodus pohli* (Actinopterygii: Pimelodidae) from the upper São Francisco River, Brazil. *Braz J Vet Parasitol* 23(3): 375-382.
- SAZIMA I & MACHADO FA. 1990. Underwater observations of piranhas in western Brazil. *Environ Biol Fishes* 28: 17-31.
- STONE JE & PENCE DB. 1978. Ecology of helminth parasitism in the bobcat from west Texas. *J Parasitol* 64: 295-302.

STURGES HA. 1926. The choice of a class interval. *J Am Stat* 21: 65-66.

TAVARES-DIAS M, OLIVEIRA MS, GONÇALVES RA & SILVA LM. 2014. Ecology and seasonal variation of parasites in wild *Aequidens tetramerus*, a Cichlidae from the Amazon. *Acta Parasitol* 59(1): 158-164.

THATCHER VE. 2006. Aquatic Biodiversity in Latin America: Amazon Fish Parasites. Moscow: Pensoft, 508 p.

TRAVASSOS L, ARTIGAS P & PEREIRA C. 1928. Fauna helmintológica de peixes de água doce do Brasil. *Arq Inst Biol* 1: 5-68.

TRINDADE MEJ & JUCÁ-CHAGAS R. 2008. Diet of two serrasalmin species, *Pygocentrus piraya* and *Serrasalmus brandtii* (Teleostei: Characidae), along a stretch of the rio de Contas, Bahia, Brazil. *Neotrop Ichthyol* 6(4): 645-650.

VICENTIN W, VIEIRA KRI, TAVARES LER, COSTA FES, TAKEMOTO RM & PAIVA F. 2013. Metazoan endoparasites of *Pygocentrus nattereri* (Characiformes: Serrasalminae) in the Negro River, Pantanal, Brazil. *Braz J Vet Parasitol* 22(3): 331-338.

VIEIRA KRI, VICENTIN W, PAIVA F, POZO CF, BORGES FA, ADRIANO EA, COSTA FES & TAVARES LER. 2010. *Brevimulticaecum* sp. (Nematoda: Heterocheilidae) larvae parasitic in freshwater fish in the Pantanal wetland, Brazil. *Vet Parasitol* 172(3-4): 350-354.

VIEIRA-MENEZES FG, COSTA DPC & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2017. Nematodes of *Astyanax fasciatus* (Actinopterygii: Characidae) and their parasitic indices in the São Francisco River, Brazil. *Braz J Vet Parasitol* 26(1): 10-16.

VITAL JF, VARELLA AMB, PORTO DB & MALTA JCO. 2011. Sazonalidade da fauna de metazoários de *Pygocentrus nattereri* (Kner, 1858) no Lago Piranha (Amazonas, Brasil) e a avaliação como indicadora da saúde do ambiente. *Biota Neotrop* 11: 199-204.

ZAR JH. 1996. *Biostatistical Analysis*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc., 662 p.

How to cite

SANTOS-CLAPP MD, DUARTE R, ALBUQUERQUE MC & BRASIL-SATO MC. 2022. Helminth endoparasites of endemic fish *Pygocentrus piraya* (Characiformes, Serrasalminidae) from Três Marias reservoir, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *An Acad Bras Cienc* 94: e20201425. DOI 10.1590/0001-376520220201425.

Manuscript received on September 8, 2020; accepted for publication on May 19, 2021

MICHELLE D. SANTOS-CLAPP¹
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3481-2037>

RAYANE DUARTE^{1,2}
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2412-887X>

MARCIA C. ALBUQUERQUE¹
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8029-2458>

MARILIA C. BRASIL-SATO^{1,2}
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9828-5734>

¹ Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Departamento de Biologia Animal, Laboratório de Biologia e Ecologia de Parasitos, Rodovia BR-465, km 07, 23897-000 Seropédica, RJ, Brazil

² Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Veterinárias, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Veterinária, Rodovia BR-465, km 07, 23897-000 Seropédica, RJ, Brazil

Correspondence to: **Michelle Daniele dos Santos-Clapp**
 E-mail: michelledaniele@yahoo.com.br

Author contributions

Michelle D. Santos-Clapp and Rayane Duarte contributed with fish necropsies, data collection and analyses, statistical tests, data interpretation and manuscript preparation. Marcia C. Albuquerque was responsible for fish necropsies, data collection and data analyses. Marília C. Brasil-Sato was responsible for data analyses and their interpretation, besides planning the manuscript and their revision.

