# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Violent behavior, perceived safety, and assault experiences among adolescents: results from the Brazilian National Adolescent School-based Health Survey

Felipe B. Arcadepani,<sup>1</sup> Fathur G. Fernandes,<sup>2</sup> João M. Castaldelli-Maia,<sup>1</sup> Thiago M. Fidalgo<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Psiquiatria, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Department of Anthropology & Archaeology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. <sup>3</sup>Programa Jovens Lideranças Médicas, Academia Nacional de Medicina, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

**Objective:** To investigate factors associated with violent behavior in a large nationally representative sample of Brazilian adolescents.

**Methods:** The data were derived from the 2015 National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde do Escolar [PeNSE]). The independent variables of interest included feelings of safety while on the way to and at school. The outcome was violent behavior, including bullying. Logistic regression models were developed to examine the relationship between violent behavior and each independent and control variable.

**Results:** In the adjusted regression model, male sex (aOR: 1.75; 95%CI 1.65-1.86), being assaulted by a family member (aOR: 1.74; 95%CI 1.59-1.90), and being assaulted in the past year (aOR: 1.70; 95%CI 1.57-1.85) all increased the chance of violent behavior. Feeling safe on the way to school (aOR: 0.82; 95%CI 0.74-0.92) and at school (aOR: 0.82; 95%CI 0.73-0.92) reduced the chance of violent behavior.

**Conclusion:** This study extends the body of literature on violent behavior among adolescents, demonstrating a correlation between school, domestic, family, or community violence and violent behavior. Our research supports the idea that adolescents who experience violence act violently with greater frequency. This finding has significant implications for pediatricians, child psychiatrists and psychologists, other health care professionals, school professionals, and other professionals involved in adolescent health. School professionals must be prepared to identify adolescents with violent behavior due to its potential consequences for their mental and physical health.

Keywords: Adolescent; violent behavior; safety

# Introduction

Since schools are a critical location for adolescent social development,<sup>1</sup> creating a positive learning environment for adolescents is a significant priority for clinical practitioners, policymakers, teachers, and researchers. Among many other characteristics, a proper learning environment should be recognized as safe. Unfortunately, many adolescents experience physical and/or verbal violence at school.<sup>2</sup> From a psychological perspective, safety is both a perceived and an objective phenomenon. Often, when perceived safety does not match reality, neither does perceived risk.<sup>3</sup> As a result, individuals worry about the wrong things, i.e., paying too much attention to minor risks and not enough to major ones. They do not correctly assess the magnitude of different risks.4 Some studies have correlated feelings of safety and violent behavior among adolescents.3,5

Correspondence: Felipe Branco Arcadepani, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Departamento de Psiquiatria, Rua Major Maragliano, 241, CEP 04017-030, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. E-mail: felipearcadepani@hotmail.com Submitted Apr 12 2022, accepted Jul 31 2022. Violent behavior, both verbal and physical, is common among adolescents. The World Health Organization defines violent behavior as the intentional use of physical force, in a real way or as a threat, against oneself, another person, a group, or a community, that results in injury, death, psychological damage, developmental disability, or deprivation, such as bullying among adolescents.<sup>6</sup> Bullying is defined as repeated exposure over time to hostile and aggressive actions by one student or a group of students.<sup>7</sup> It is characterized as physical or verbal abuse and social exclusion.<sup>8</sup> Adolescents behave violently for a number of reasons, such as living in a violent environment or witnessing domestic and family violence.

Although experiencing violent behavior at school is a meaningful outcome in itself, it is also associated with a series of negative longer-term developmental consequences, including poorer academic achievement, depression, substance use, and internalizing and externalizing

**How to cite this article:** Arcadepani FB, Fernandes AG, Castaldelli-Maia JM, Fidalgo TM. Violent behavior, perceived safety, and assault experiences among adolescents: results from the Brazilian National Adolescent School-based Health Survey. Braz J Psychiatry. 2023; 45:5-10. http://doi.org/10.47626/1516-4446-2022-2623 6

behaviors, e.g., perpetuating and reproducing violent behavior.<sup>2</sup> Schools have used several strategies to prevent violent behavior and its developmental consequences, such as various types of school security measures.<sup>2</sup> Although school security measures are intended to deter problem behavior in and around schools and may be expected to reduce violent behavior, some theoretical literature suggests that they may instead have a criminogenic effect and actually increase violent behavior. However, the findings are mixed in the empirical literature. Some studies have found that multiple school security measures are associated with increased victimization rates,<sup>9-11</sup> while others have found the opposite.<sup>12</sup> Given the mixed findings, there may be true heterogeneity in the effect of school security measures on violent behavior. For instance, Hirschfield<sup>13</sup> suggests that there are racial differences in how and why specific school security measures are used. School security measures may disproportionately affect non-White students<sup>14,15</sup> due to school discipline disparities<sup>16</sup> and broader racialized patterns of implementation.<sup>17-19</sup>

Another reason for violent behavior among adolescents is domestic and family violence. Adolescence involves the transition from childhood to adulthood. Personality formation is related to socialization during this critical period. Adolescents may be influenced by their parents' socioeconomic circumstances (poverty, low education level, and inadequate attention) and factors such as parental conflict, a weak relationship between the parents, domestic violence, and divorce or separation.<sup>20</sup> In addition, the parents' problem-solving methods, inadequate discipline, violence between the parents, and child maltreatment (including exclusion, beating, scaring, and suppressing) and neglect can also affect development.<sup>20</sup> Studies have shown that adolescents exposed to domestic and intra-family violence can reproduce this violent behavior.20,21

The present study investigated factors associated with violent behavior in a large nationally representative sample of Brazilian adolescents. More specifically, it examined whether being assaulted and feeling safe on the way to and at school influence violent behavior in this population.

# Methods

# Study design and sample

The data used in this study were derived from the 2015 National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde do Escolar [PeNSE]).<sup>22</sup> Using a cross-sectional design, PeNSE was developed according to World Health Organization recommendations for student health surveys, being part of the Brazilian Surveillance of Risk and Protective Factors for Chronic Diseases (Vigilância de Fatores de Risco e Proteção para Doenças Crônicas por Inquérito Telefônico).<sup>22</sup> In this study, we analyzed data from 9th grade students.

The sample was selected using a complex survey design to ensure a nationally representative sample of students from public and private schools. The sampling framework was based on the 2013 School Census (Censo Escolar) database, and the sampling strategy included geographical stratification and multi-stage selection. There were 53 total geographical stratification units (27 in state capitals 26 not in state capitals). In all state capitals and the Federal District, the primary and secondary sampling units were schools and classrooms, respectively. To be included in the sample size calculation, the school had to have at least 15 students enrolled in the corresponding grade. School selection was proportional to the total number of 9th-grade classes, while the classes in each school were chosen by simple random selection. Those who declined to participate in the study or did not report their age or sex on the questionnaire were excluded. Further details about the sampling design can be found elsewhere.<sup>22</sup> The sample consisted of 52,782 girls and 49,290 boys, with a mean age of 14.28 (SD, 1.03). After excluding the 1.659 students with missing values, the total population for the present study was 100,413.

#### Measures

The outcome variable, violent behavior, was defined as any violence (verbal, physical, or social) or bullying and was measured by asking students if they had committed any violent behavior in the past 30 days. Before answering the question, a short definition was given of what students should consider violent behavior. The response options were yes or no.

The independent variables of interest were: a) whether the student skipped school in the last month due to not feeling safe on the way there, b) whether the student skipped school in the last month due to not feeling safe there, c) whether the student was assaulted by a family member in the last month, d) the number of days in last year that the student was assaulted. Response options for the first two variables were none, 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 or more times, and 0, 1, 2 or 3, 4 or 5, 6 or 7, 8 or 9, 10 or 11, or 12 or more times for the other variables. All variables were considered dichotomously: none vs. any or yes vs. no. The independent variables (skipping school in the last month due to not feeling safe on the way there, skipping school in the last month due to not feeling safe there, being assaulted by a family member in the last month, and the number of days in the last year that the student was assaulted) were classified in hierarchical groups of frequency (infrequent, frequent, and very frequent). Responses of none to the first two variables were classified as infrequent; responses of 1, 2, 3, or 4 were classified as frequent, and responses of 5 or more were classified as very frequent. For the other independent variables, responses of none were classified as infrequent; responses of 1,2 or 3, 4 or 5, 6 or 7, 8 or 9, 10 or 11 were classified as frequent; and responses of 12 times or more were classified as very frequent.

Other dichotomous independent variables included sex (male or female), race (White vs. non-White [e.g., Black, Indigenous, Asian, or mixed]), and full-time student status (determined by the yes or no question "Is your school day at least 7 hours long?").

#### Analyses

Univariate logistic regression models were used to examine the relationship between violent behavior and each independent variable. Adjusted and hierarchical models were then constructed. All analyses were performed in Stata 16.0. The results are presented with odds ratios and 95%Cl. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Ethics statement

PeNSE 2015 was approved by the Brazilian National Commission on Research Ethics (CONEP), which regulates and approves health research involving human beings (Opinion 1,006,467, March 30, 2015).

#### Results

The descriptive statistics results are shown in Table 1. The majority of the sample was male (59.19%) and non-White (64.26%). A total of 22.74% of the sample were full-time students. In the adjusted regression model, male sex (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.75; 95%Cl 1.65-1.86), being assaulted by a family member (aOR: 1.74; 95%Cl 1.59-1.90), and being assaulted in the last year (aOR: 1.70; 95%Cl 1.57-1.85) were all correlated with violent behavior. Feeling safe on the way to school (aOR: 0.82; 95%Cl 0.74-0.92) and at school (aOR: 0.82; 95%Cl 0.73-0.92), were correlated with a lower chance of violent behavior (Table 2).

Table 3 shows that the more often an adolescent did not feel safe on the way to school (frequently [OR: 1.16, 95%CI 1.09-1.23], very frequently [OR: 1.29, 95%CI 1.14-1.45]), was assaulted by a family member (frequently [OR: 1.72, 95%CI 1.64-1.80], very frequently [OR: 1.81, 95%CI 1.60-2.06]), and was assaulted in last year (frequently [OR: 1.70, 95%CI 1.63-1.78], very frequently [OR: 1.93, 95%CI 1.71-2.17]), the higher the correlation with violent behavior.

 Table 1
 Weighted distribution of sociodemographic and contextual characteristics according to violent behavior in 102,072

 Brazilian adolescents enrolled in the 9th grade, 2015

Have you ever engaged in violent behavior?	Yes			No		
	%wt	SE	95%CI	%wt	SE	95%CI
Sex						
Male	59.19	0.0067	57.86-60.50	45.80	0.0032	47.86-48.98
Female	40.81	0.0067	39.50-42.14	51.58	0.0029	51.02-52.14
Full-time student	22.74	0.0056	21.66-23.86	21.5	0.0026	20.99-22.01
Race						
White	35.74	0.0066	34.45-37.05	36.29	0.0031	35.70-36.89
Feel safe on the way to school						
No	16.21	0.0050	15.24-17.22	10.26	0.0019	9.89-10.65
Feel safe at school						
No	14.42	0.0049	13.49-15.41	8.22	0.0018	7.88-8.58
Assaulted by a family member						
Yes	24.81	0.0058	23.69-25.96	11.95	0.0020	11.56-12.35
Assaulted in the last year						
Yes	29.86	0.0062	28.65-31.09	15.52	0.0023	15.08-15.97

%wt = weighted percentage.

 Table 2
 Logistic regression analysis of factors associated with violent behavior among 102,072
 Brazilian adolescents enrolled

 in the 9th grade, 2015
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000
 100,000

	Violent behavior					
	cOR	aOR	95%CI	p-value		
Sex (male)	1.73	1.75	1.65-1.86	< 0.0001		
Full-time student (yes)	1.08	1.03	0.96-1.10	0.402		
Race (White)	0.97	0.97	0.91-1.04	0.496		
Feel safe on the way to school	0.59	0.82	0.74-0.92	0.001		
Feel safe at school	0.53	0.82	0.73-0.92	0.002		
Assaulted by a family member	2.43	1.74	1.59-1.90	< 0.0001		
Assaulted last year	2.31	1.70	1.57-1.85	< 0.0001		

aOR = adjusted odds ratio; cOR = crude odds ratio.

 Table 3
 Logistic regression of factors associated in hierarchical groups of frequency with violent behavior among 102,072

 Brazilian adolescents enrolled in the 9th grade, 2015

	Violent behavior					
	OR	SE	95%CI	p-value		
Sex						
Female	Ref					
Male	1.82	0.3074	1.76-1.88	< 0.0001		
Age	1.01	0.0078	0.99-1.03	0.065		
Not feeling safe on the way to school						
Infrequent	Ref					
Frequent	1.16	0.0363	1.09-1.23	< 0.0001		
Very frequent	1.29	0.0773	1.14-1.45	< 0.0001		
Not feeling safe at school						
Infrequent	Ref					
Frequent	1.26	0.0430	1.18-1.35	< 0.0001		
Very frequent	1.10	0.0750	0.96-1.25	0.162		
Assaulted by a family member						
Infrequent	Ref					
Frequent	1.72	0.4292	1.64-1.80	< 0.0001		
Very frequent	1.81	0.1181	1.60-2.06	< 0.0001		
Assaulted last year						
Infrequent	Ref					
Frequent	1.70	0.3843	1.63-1.78	< 0.0001		
Very frequent	1.93	0.1176	1.71-2.17	< 0.0001		

OR = odds ratio.

#### Discussion

Some substantive findings emerged from this crosssectional study of a large nationally representative sample of Brazilian adolescents currently in the 9th grade: male sex, being assaulted by a family member, and being assaulted in the last year were correlated with violent behavior. Feeling safe on the way to and at school were correlated with a lower chance of violent behavior.

According to our results, feeling safe on the way to school and at school reduced the chance of violent behavior, which agrees with the literature. In a sample of 436 sixth-grade African-American students in the U.S. public school system, Farrell & Bruce<sup>23</sup> found that those exposed to violence in their community, whether on the way to school or not, were more likely to engage in violent behavior.<sup>23</sup> Recent studies have also shown that suffering physical or verbal aggression at school and, consequently, not feeling safe at school is correlated with a greater chance of externalized violent behavior among adolescents.<sup>24,25</sup> A systematic review and meta-analysis by Zych et al.<sup>25</sup> suggested that involvement in violent behavior, such as bullying victimization, could be a risk factor for violent behavior in other spheres of life, such as romantic relationships.

Some studies have found that experiencing verbal or physical aggression in the family environment (family or domestic violence) affects the formation of adolescent personality and behavior, including increased violent behavior.<sup>20,21</sup> Another study found that adolescent exposure to domestic violence is a significant social problem, making them more likely to replicate violent behavior

Braz J Psychiatry. 2023;45(1)

outside the family environment.<sup>21</sup> These findings align with our conclusions that being assaulted by a family member can increase the chance of violent behavior among adolescents.

Adolescence is a unique period involving the transition from childhood to adulthood and personality formation. Stressful situations, such as violence, whether at school, at home, or in the community, impact the safety and physical health of adolescents and increase the risk of psychopathology<sup>26</sup> and violent behavior.<sup>21,25</sup> Furthermore, experiencing violence changes behavior, shapes personality, and is a risk factor for mental disorders. This association might be mediated by epigenetic modifications that affect gene expression, such as DNA methylation. For example, Serpeloni et al.<sup>26</sup> found that experiencing violent events was significantly associated with decreased CpG methylation in two protein-coding genes: brain-derived neurotrophic factor and caseinolytic mitochondrial matrix peptidase chaperone subunit. Another study found that youth residing in high-violence neighborhoods show mobilization of classical monocytes, which suggests a pro-inflammatory mechanism through which contextual stressors, such as neighborhood violence, may compromise health.<sup>27</sup> These findings show that experiencing violence changes behavior, shapes personality, and increases pro-inflammatory mechanisms that harm the mental and physical health of adolescents. Therefore, violence is a worldwide public health problem that deserves attention.

This study has some limitations that should be mentioned. Although careful sample selection procedures were used, our findings should be extrapolated with caution, since only adolescents currently enrolled in school were included. In addition, since we relied on a cross-sectional survey, it is impossible to establish causation between feeling safe, being assaulted, and violent behavior. Furthermore, to measure violent behavior, the 2015 PeNSE questionnaire did not assess violence reported by the schools or whether the adolescents had been questioned or arrested by the police, i.e., our findings were based on self-reported data. Future studies should test the associations found here in a longitudinal research design. Another limitation is that sensitive topics were addressed here, so the results may be underestimated.

#### Implications and contribution

Our results support the idea that adolescents who experience school, community, family, or domestic violence, specifically, being assaulted by a family member, being assaulted in the last year, or not feeling safe on the way to or at school, are more involved in violent behavior. This finding has significant implications for pediatricians, child psychiatrists and psychologists, other health care professionals, school professionals, and other professionals involved in adolescent health. School professionals must be ready to identify adolescents with violent behavior due to the potential consequences for their mental and physical health.<sup>28</sup>

After clearly identifying adolescents who have been exposed to violence, Mullender<sup>29</sup> suggests that subsequent responses can occur along a continuum of primary, secondary, or tertiary interventions. This could involve both challenging and supportive interventions that are short- or long-term, individual or group based, formally or more informally organized, through the immediate or extended family or the community.30 The nature of this support will be influenced by the adolescent's immediate needs; resilient individuals have a wide range of coping skills and support and, thus, may not require direct intervention. Instead, emphasis can be placed on supporting the non-abusing parent or on attachment relationships.<sup>31</sup> However, any intervention strategy should be individually tailored to the child's family context, focused on stabilizing the home environment and minimizing disruption<sup>32</sup> while recognizing and enhancing informal support.<sup>33</sup> The timing of interventions is crucial, with research suggesting that guick and intensive intervention should be provided when a child needs help.<sup>34</sup> Moreover, certain interventions can reduce the impact of violence on adolescent behavior and mental and physical health.35 In general, interventions should strengthen the cognitive and/or behavioral functioning of children, youth, and adults.35

This study extends the body of literature on violent behavior among adolescents by demonstrating that experiencing school, domestic, family, or community violence can increase violent behavior among adolescents. Adolescents who have been exposed to violence and, thus, are at greater risk of violent behavior must be identified to provide intervention and support, improving their mental and physical health and reducing damage to their personality and behavior. It is important to recognize adolescents with violent behavior and realize that their behavior may stem from a violent environment. It is essential to offer psychological support and investigate the climate of a violent adolescent's home, school, and community environments.

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- 1 Eccles JS, Roeser RW. Schools as developmental contexts during adolescence. J Res Adolesc. 2011;21:225-41.
- 2 Fisher BW, Mowen TJ, Boman IV JH. School security measures and longitudinal trends in adolescents' experiences of victimization. J Youth Adolesc. 2018;47:1221-37.
- 3 Al Qudah MF, Al-Barashdi HS, Hassan EMAH, Albursan IS, Heilat MQ, Bakhiet SFA, et al. Psychological security, psychological loneliness, and age as the predictors of cyber-bullying among university students. Community Ment Health J. 2020;56:393-403.
- 4 Schneier B. The psychology of security. In: Vaudenay S. Progress in Cryptology – AFRICACRYPT 2008. AFRICACRYPT 2008. Lecture notes in computer science. Berlin: Springer; 2008. p. 50-79.
- 5 Crawford C, Burns R. Reducing school violence: considering school characteristics and the impacts of law enforcement, school security, and environmental factors. Policing Int J. 39:455-77.
- 6 Organización Panamericana de la Salud, Organización Mundial de la Salud (OPS/OMS). Informe mundial sobre la violencia y la salud: resumen. Washington: OPS/OMS; 2002. http://apps.who.int/iris/bit stream/handle/10665/43431/9275324220\_spa.pdf;jsessionid=BDCD3 1A749C813B23E45B277F7AF3CD7?sequence=1
- 7 Olweus D. Bullying at school: what we know and what we can do. Oxford: Blackwell; 1993.
- 8 Lereya ST, Copeland WE, Costello EJ, Wolke D. Adult mental health consequences of peer bullying and maltreatment in childhood: two cohorts in two countries. Lancet Psychiatry. 2015;2:524-31.
- 9 Schreck CJ, Miller JM. Sources of fear of crime at school: what is the relative contribution of disorder, individual characteristics, and school security? J Sch Violence. 2003;2:57-77.
- 10 Taylor E. I spy with my little eye: the use of CCTV in schools and the impact on privacy. Sociol Rev. 2010;58:381-405.
- 11 Tanner-Smith EE, Fisher BW, Addington LA, Gardella JH. Adding security, but subtracting safey? Exploring schools' use of multiple visible security measures. Am J Crim Justice. 2018;43:102-19.
- 12 Gerlinger J, Wo JC. Preventing school bullying: should schools prioritize an authoritative school discipline approach over security measures? J Sch Violence. 2016;15:133-57.
- 13 Hirschfield P. School surveillance in America: disparate and unequalIn:Monahan T, Torres RD, editors. Schools under surveillance: cultures of control in public education. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press; 2010. p. 38-54.
- 14 Kupchik A. The real school safety problem: The long-term consequences of harsh school punishment. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2016.
- 15 Ruck MD, Wortley S. Racial and ethnic minority high school students' perceptions of school disciplinary practices: a look at some Canadian findings. J Youth Adolesc. 2002;31:185-95.
- 16 Skiba RJ, Michael RS, Nardo AC, Peterson RL. The color of discipline: Sources of racial and gender disproportionality in school punishment. Urban Rev. 2002;34:317-42.
- 17 Kupchik A, Ward G. Race, poverty, and exclusionary school security: An empirical analysis of U.S. elementary, middle, and high schools. Youth Violence Juv Justice. 2014;12:332-54.
- 18 Mowen TJ, Parker KP. Minority threat and school security: assessing the impact of Black and Hispanic student representation on school security measures. Secur J. 2017;30:504-22.
- 19 Steinka-Fry KT, Fisher BW, Tanner-Smith EE. Visible school security measures across diverse middle and high school settings: typologies and predictors. J Appl Secur Res. 2016;11:422-36.

#### 10 **FB Arcadepani et al.**

- 20 Self-Brown S, LeBlanc MM, David K, Shepard D, Ryan K, Hodges A, et al. The impact of parental trauma exposure on community violence exposed adolescents. Violence Vict. 2012;27:512-26.
- 21 Oğuztürk Ö, Demir N, Bülbül S, Türkel Y, Ünlü E. Exposure to domestic violence and its effects on adolescents: A survey among Turkish students. J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Nurs. 2019;32:210-9.
- 22 Ministério da Saúde, Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, Ministério do Planejamento, Desenvolvimento e Gestão. Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde do Escolar: 2015 [Internet]. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2016. https://biblioteca.ibge.gov.br/visualizacao/livros/liv97870.pdf
- 23 Farrell AD, Bruce SE. Impact of exposure to community violence on violent behavior and emotional distress among urban adolescents. J Clin Child Psychol. 1997;26:2-14.
- 24 Jeong S, Davis J, Han Y. Who becomes more violent among Korean adolescents? Consequences of victimisation in school. Crim Behav Ment Health. 2015;25:141-55.
- 25 Zych I, Viejo C, Vila E, Farrington DP. School bullying and dating violence in adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Trauma Violence Abuse. 2021;22:397-412.
- 26 Serpeloni F, Nätt D, Assis SG, Wieling E, Elbert T. Experiencing community and domestic violence is associated with epigenetic changes in DNA methylation of BDNF and CLPX in adolescents. Psychophysiology. 2020;57:e13382.
- 27 Finegood ED, Chen E, Kish J, Vause K, Leigh AKK, Hoffer L, et al. Community violence and cellular and cytokine indicators of

inflammation in adolescents. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2020;115: 104628.

- 28 Holt S, Buckley H, Whelan S. The impact of exposure to domestic violence on children and young people: a review of the literature. Child Abuse Negl. 2008;32:797-810.
- 29 Mullender A. Meeting the needs of children. In: Taylor-Browne J. What works in reducing domestic violence? A comprehensive guide for professionals. London. Whiting & Birch; 2001. p. 35-93.
- 30 Mullender A, Hague G, Iman UF, Kelly L, Malos E, Regan L. Children's perspectives on domestic violence. London: Sage Publications; 2003.
- 31 Groves BM. Mental health services for children who witness domestic violence. Future Child. 1999;9:122-32.
- 32 Hester M, Pearson C, Harwin N. Making an impact: children and domestic violence. London: Jessica Kingsley Publications; 2006.
- 33 Cunningham A, Baker L. What about me! Seeking to understand a child's view of violence in the family [Internet]. London: Centre for Children & Families in the Justice System; 2004. https://www.acesdv. org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/What-About-Me.pdf
- 34 Osofsky JD. Community outreach for children exposed to violence. Infant Ment Health J. 2004;25:478-87.
- 35 Darawshy NA-S, Gewirtz A, Marsalis S. Psychological intervention and prevention programs for child and adolescent exposure to community violence: a systematic review. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2020;23:365-78.