Fermentation profile and nutritional value of sunflower silage with *Urochloa brizantha* cultivars in the off-season

Perfil fermentativo e valor nutritivo da silagem de girassol com cultivares de "Urochloa brizantha" na safrinha

CRUVINEL, Welma Santos¹; COSTA, Kátia Aparecida de Pinho^{1*}; TEIXEIRA, Daniel Augusto Alves¹; DA SILVA, Jessika Torres¹; EPIFANIO, Patrícia Soares¹; COSTA, Pedro Henrique Campos Pinho²; FERNANDES Patrick Bezerra³

SUMMARY

Sunflower as silage is an alternative to be used in the off-season, when water shortage makes traditional crops unfeasible. However, exclusive sunflower silages have levels above 70 g/kg DM ether extract, which may impair ruminal fermentation. Thus, ensiling sunflower with tropical forages can bring benefits to the quality of the silage. This study evaluated the fermentation profile and nutritional value of sunflower silage with cultivars of Urochloa brizantha in the off-season. This was a completely randomized experimental design with four replications. The treatments were composed of the sunflower silage with and without addition of cultivars of Urochloa brizantha in the ensiling process: sunflower silage; sunflower silage + 30% Marandu palisadegrass; sunflower silage + 30% Xaraes palisadegrass; sunflower silage + 30% Piata palisadegrass and sunflower silage + 30% Paiaguas palisadegrass, totaling 20 experimental silos. The addition of the cultivars of Urochloa brizantha to sunflower silage contributed to improve the fermentation profile and nutritional value of the silages. Silages with 30% Piata and Paiaguas palisadegrass showed higher levels of CP and lower levels of ADF and lignin, and these cultivars are the most recommended for ensiling with sunflower.

Keywords: chemical composition, *in vitro* dry matter digestibility, ensilage, *Helianthus annuus L*.

RESUMO

O girassol sob forma de silagem é uma alternativa para ser utilizado no período de safrinha, em que a deficiência hídrica torna as culturas tradicionais inviáveis. No entanto, a silagens exclusiva de girassol apresentam níveis acima de 70 g/kg MS de extrato etéreo, o que pode comprometer a fermentação ruminal. Sendo assim, a ensilagem do girassol com forrageiras tropicais pode trazer benefícios para a qualidade da silagem. Sendo assim, objetivouse avaliar o perfil fermentativo e o valor nutritivo da silagem de girassol com cultivares de Urochloa brizantha na safrinha. O delineamento experimental utilizado foi o inteiramente casualizado. com repetições. Os tratamentos foram constituídos da silagem de girassol com e sem adição de cultivares de *Urochloa brizantha* na ensilagem: silagem de girassol; silagem de girassol + 30% do capim-marandu; silagem de girassol + 30% do capim-xaraés; silagem de girassol + 30% do capim-piatã e silagem de girassol + 30% do capim-paiaguás, totalizando 20 experimentais. Os resultados demonstraram que as silagens com adição dos cultivares de Urochloa brizantha, contribuíram para melhorar

¹Instituto Federal Goiano, Programas de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia e Ciências - Agronomia, Rio Verde, Goiás, Brasil.

²Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brasil.

³Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil.

^{*}Endereço para correspondência: katia.costa@ifgoiano.edu.br

o perfil fermentativo e valor nutricional das silagens. Silagens com 30% dos capins piatã e paiaguás apresentaram maiores teores de PB e menores teores de FDA e lignina, sendo mais recomendadas para ensilagem com girassol.

Palavras-chave: composição bromatológica, digestibilidade *in vitro* da MS, ensilagem, *Helianthus annuus L*.

INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is one of the oilseeds with the highest growth rates worldwide due to the production of plant oil with excellent physical, chemical and nutritional characteristics (SOUZA et al., 2005).

The high efficiency in using the water available in the soil for its development, ability to produce large amount of dry mass under water stress and the tolerance to the wide temperature range, without significant reduction production, are characteristics that have enabled the production of sunflower silage in the off-season or in locations where water deficiency makes it unfeasible for crops traditionally used for this purpose. such as (TOMICH et al., 2003).

Another characteristic of sunflower is the high concentration of ether extract (147g/kg) in silage (TOMICH et al., 2004), therefore, these silages can lead to reduction in ruminal fermentation, fiber digestibility and passage rate. In addition, the sunflower also presents differences in the composition of the giving wall. it particular characteristics (LEITE et al., 2006). However, the dry matter content of the forage, below 250g/kg may compromise the silage fermentation (TOMICH et al., 2004: POSSENTI et al.. 2005: BITENCOURT JUNIOR et al., 2008). According to McDonald (1981), silages made with low dry matter content

trigger drainage losses and promote the development of bacteria of the genus *Clostridium* due to the excessive moisture of the forage.

Therefore, sunflower silages added with tropical forages can bring great benefits to the quality including decrease in ether extract content, acid detergent fiber, lignin, besides the production of silage in the off-season, with sufficient quality and quantity for nutritional maintenance of ruminants. Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the fermentation profile and nutritional value of sunflower silage with cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha* in the off-season.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the field (17°48' S; 50°55' W; and 748m altitude) in the municipality of Rio Verde, state of Goiás, in the off-season, in a Latossolo Vermelho distroférrico. The physical-chemical characterization of the soil sample from the experimental area at the 0-20cm layer was 510; 160; 330g kg⁻¹ clay, silt and sand, respectively; pH in CaCl₂: 5.10; Ca: 2.88; Mg: 1.27; Al: 0.01; Al+H: 4.00; K: 0.39; CEC: 8.54 in cmol_c dm⁻³; P: 8.72; Cu: 3.4; Zn: 1.5; Fe: 43.0mg dm⁻³ and OM: 26.76 g dm⁻³.

The experiment was a completely randomized design with four replications. The treatments composed of the sunflower silage with and without addition of cultivars of Urochloa brizantha in the silage: sunflower silage; sunflower silage + 30% Marandu palisadegrass; sunflower silage + 30% Xaraes palisadegrass; 30% sunflower silage palisadegrass and sunflower silage + 30% Paiaguas palisadegrass, totaling 20 experimental silos. The sunflower

cultivar used was Charrua (triple hybrid, semi-early, with black achenes and high oil content).

For ensilage, we used the material of intercropping sunflower with cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha*. Grasses were sown in the sunflower interrow 0.25m from the row. Each plot consisted of eight rows 3.0m in length, 0.50m spaced apart. The useful area was obtained by disregarding one row on each side of the plots and 0.5m from each end.

The preparation of the area was performed with the desiccation of weeds with glyphosate (720g e.a./ha) in a volume of 150L/ha. Thirty days after desiccation, the area was harrowed for elimination of weeds not controlled by the herbicide, followed by disking, due to intense infestation of guinea grass in area. One week before implementation of the test, a second harrowing and disking was performed using an automatic seeder. Furrows for sowing Urochloa brizantha cultivars, in the interrows of sunflower, manually opened using hoes.

The sowing was carried out on February 18th, using 80 kg/ha P₂O₅, 20 kg/ha FTE BR 12 with 1.5kg boron, as single superphosphate, fritas and boric acid, respectively. For sunflower planting, six seeds were sown per meter and for forage species, 5kg viable pure seeds per hectare. Seeds of the Paiaguas palisadegrass were supplied by Embrapa Beef Cattle, through the material transfer agreement signed with the Instituto Federal de Goiano, Rio Verde Campus (20.500, 13/0013-1).

At 20 and 40 days after emergence of seedlings (DAE), 50 kg/ha nitrogen, 40 kg/ha K₂O and 1.5kg/ha boron, as urea, potassium chloride and boric acid, respectively, were applied through broadcasting.

For the post-emergence control of weeds, manual weeding was performed

every week up to 45 DAE. Pest control was carried out manually at 70 DAE, with the application of the insecticide teflubenzuron, at the dose of 1L/ha, for the control of bordered patch caterpillar (*Chlosyne lacinia saundersii*) and application of the insecticide epinosade to control the soybean looper caterpillar (*Pseudoplusia includens*) at the dose of 1L/ha with spray volume equivalent to 300L/ha.

For silage, the sunflower and cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha* were harvested separately in the 105-day cycle, at the pasty phenological stage of achenes, at 20cm from the ground, using a backpack mower. Subsequently, the forages were ground separately, in a stationary forage machine (Nogueira EM-9F3B), into particles of 10 to 30mm. The material was then homogenized with 30% Marandu, Xaraes, Piata and Paiaguas palisadegrass, on a natural matter basis, and stored in experimental PVC silos measuring 10cm in diameter and 40cm in length.

The mean density of the silos was 1.12 kg dm³. Silos were closed with PVC caps and sealed with adhesive tape to prevent the entry of air. Soon after, they were stored at room temperature and protected from rain and sunlight.

After 50 days of fermentation, silos were opened, discarding the silage of the top and bottom of each. The central portion of the silo was homogenized and placed on plastic trays. Part of the fresh silage after opening the silos was separated to be analyzed the pH values by the method described by Silva & Queiroz (2002) and a subsample was used to the quantification of the buffering capacity, according to methodology described by Playne & McDonald (1966).

After this procedure, a silage sample was taken and divided into two parts. The first was packed in plastic bags and frozen. The other subsample of 25g

silage was added with 200mL 0.2N H₂SO₄ solution and remained in the refrigerator for 48 hours, then filtered through a Whatman[®] 54 filter paper. This filtrate remained in the refrigerator until the determination of N- NH₃ (BOLSEN et al., 1992).

To determine the organic acids, 25g fresh silage were weighed and mixed with 225mL distilled water in the blender for one minute, later, this material was filtered through filter paper. Then, 2mL of this filtrate was taken and 1mL 20% metaphosphoric acid solution was added and frozen for the analysis of lactic, acetic, propionic and butyric acids high performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC), Shimadzu, SPD-10A VP, coupled to a ultraviolet (UV) detector, using a wavelength of 210nm (KUNG JUNIOR & RANJIT, 2001).

The other part of the silage, with approximately 1kg, was weighed and taken to a forced ventilation oven at 55°C for 72 hours for the determination

of the pre-dried matter. Next, samples were ground in a Willey mill with a 1mm mesh sieve for analysis.

Chemical analyses were conducted for determination of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), mineral matter (MM) and ether extract (EE) by the method of AOAC (1990), lignin in sulfuric acid (VAN SOEST et al., 1994) and the total digestible nutrients (TDN) was obtained using the equation proposed by Chandler (1990).

The technique described by Tilley & Terry (1963), adapted to the artificial rumen developed by ANKON[®], was used to determine the in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), using the instrument *Daisy incubator* by Ankom Technology (*in vitro true digestibility-IVTD*).

Before ensiling, a chemical analysis of the forage was performed according to the methodologies described above (Table 1).

Table 1. Chemical composition of sunflower and cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha* before ensiling

Composition	Sunflower	Marandu palisadegrass	Xaraes palisadegrass	Piata palisadegrass	Paiaguas palisadegrass
DM (g/kg MS)	245.6	326.0	314.0	325.1	308.2
MM (g/kg MS)	93.2	63.5	68.3	55.4	57.8
CP (g/kg DM)	129.2	131.2	138.7	140.2	145.6
EE (g/kg DM)	135.7	30.8	29.7	31.6	24.8
NFC (g/kg DM)	75.9	119.2	94.9	125.8	136.6
NDF (g/kg DM)	566.0	655.3	668.4	647.0	635.2
ADF (g/kg DM)	494.5	387.0	390.6	355.0	348.5
Lignin (g/kg DM)	80.5	49.0	37.5	47.3	31.9
TDN (g/kg DM)	703.5	576.8	593.0	587.0	571.2
IVDMD (g/kg DM)	516.5	625.0	638.3	642.1	639.5
BC (eq.mg HCL/100g DM)	165.0	282.0	259.0	264.5	249.0

DM = dry matter; MM: mineral matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; NFC = non-fiber carbohydrate; NDF = neutral detergent fiber; ADF = acid detergent fiber; TDN = total digestible nutrients; IVDMD = in vitro dry matter digestibility; BC = buffering capacity.

The results were tested by analysis of variance by the statistical software SISVAR 4.6 (FERREIRA, 2011) and the means were compared by Tukey's test at 5% probability level of type I error.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Values of DM, pH, titratable acidity, butyric acid (Table 2), CP, EE, TDN (Table 4), NDF, ADF, lignin and IVDMD (Table 5) of the silages were influenced (P<0.05) by addition of the cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha*. However, for the values of buffering capacity, N-NH₃/NT, lactic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid and NDF contents, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) between the silages.

The sunflower silage presented lower DM content, differing from the silages with cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha* (Table 2). The addition of grasses in

sunflower silage contributed to increase the DM content of the material, because the forage species present higher DM contents, since they were harvested in the 105 day growth cycle. It is worth noting that although forages were harvested at the reproductive stage, they had average dry matter contents of 320g/kg at the time of silage cutting. This is due to the shading effect of the intercropping system. Oliveira et al. (2010) reported that it is common to find lower DM content for sunflower silages, since the plant tissue structure has large amounts of moisture.

Moisture content above 35% favor losses of DM, thus increasing the content of ammonia nitrogen and reducing the soluble carbohydrate (JOBIM et al. (2003). In turn, Muck & Shinners (2001) reported that DM content less than 30% may increase the losses in the form of effluent and increase the probability of clostridium fermentation, resulting in food of low acceptability.

Table 2. Fermentation characteristics of sunflower silages with cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha*

Silages	DM (g/kg)	рН	TA	BC (eq.mg HCL/100g DM)	N-NH ₃ (g/kg total N)
Sunflower	254.4 ^b	5.25 ^a	5.92 ^b	185.3	26.6
Sunflower + 30% Marandu palisadegrass	314.9^{a}	$4.90^{\rm b}$	12.0^{a}	164.2	22.4
Sunflower + 30% Xaraes palisadegrass	318.2^{a}	4.83^{b}	13.0^{a}	172.5	22.1
Sunflower + 30% Piata palisadegrass	324.5^{a}	$4.75^{\rm b}$	14.0^{a}	161.0	25.1
Sunflower + 30% Paiaguas palisadegrass	303.1^{a}	$4.94^{\rm b}$	11.7 ^a	156.7	24.7
CV %	6.79	6.00	12.82	13.1	2.59

Means followed by different letters are significantly different by Tukey's test at 5% probability. DM: dry matter; TA: titratable acidity; BC: buffering capacity; N-NH₃: ammonia nitrogen.

The pH value of a food can be used as one of the main parameters in determining the colonization and activity of the microorganisms, besides being used as a standard of silage quality (AMARAL et al., 2007). The

highest pH value was found in the sunflower silage, which was significantly different (P <0.05) from the silages with addition of the cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha* (Table 2).

It is important to note that even in the silages with the cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha*, the pH values did not reach the levels considered adequate, which according to Tomich et al. (2003), is between 3.8 and 4.2, due to the higher proportion of sunflower (70%) in the silage, which at the time of cutting had low dry matter content (Table 1).

By evaluating the titratable acidity, it can be observed in Table 2 that the lowest value was obtained in sunflower silage, perhaps because the silage had the highest pH value. The titratable acidity is inversely proportional to the pH values, the higher the pH value, the lower the titratable acidity. titratable acidity may aid in the general aspect of the fermentative quality of silage, which influences the taste, odor, color and stability (SILVA QUEIROZ, 2002).

Although sunflower silage had a higher pH value and lower DM content, values of N- NH₃ and buffering capacity were similar between silages, which had a mean content of N-NH₃ of 241g/kg and a buffering capacity of 167.9 (eq.mg HCL/100g DM). These results indicate

that the studied silages presented adequate fermentations, where the fermentation process did not result in excessive breakdown of protein into ammonia. Van Soest (1994) reports that N-NH₃ is the product of *Clostridium* fermentations and should not exceed 110-120g/kg total nitrogen in well-preserved silages.

The results in Table 3 show that the concentration of lactic, acetic and propionic acids were not affected (P<0.05) by addition of cultivars of Urochloa brizantha, presenting similar results. However, for butyric acid, there was a higher concentration in sunflower silage. This result may be due to the lower content of dry matter, which favors the development of bacteria of the genus Clostridium. McDonald (1981) reported that silages made with moisture promotes excess appearance of bacteria of the genus Clostridium, where the development of such bacteria produces undesirable fermentations, secondary with formation of butyric acid, which characterizes low quality silages.

Table 3. Mean values of organic acids of sunflower silages with cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha*

Silages	Lactic (g/kg DM)	Acetic (g/kg DM)	Propionic (g/kg DM)	Butyric (g/kg DM)
Sunflower	38.4	13.7	2.20	0.90^{b}
Sunflower + 30% Marandu palisadegrass	35.8	12.1	1.70	0.30^{a}
Sunflower + 30% Xaraes palisadegrass	39.7	12.0	1.80	0.20^{a}
Sunflower + 30% Piata palisadegrass	36.3	12.3	1.60	0.20^{a}
Sunflower + 30% Paiaguas palisadegrass	37.9	11.9	1.70	0.30^{a}
CV %	16.53	15.70	19.25	21.58

Means followed by different letters are significantly different by Tukey's test at 5% probability.

The CP content of the sunflower silage was similar to the silages added with 30% Marandu palisadegrass and Xaraes palisadegrass (Table 4). Nevertheless,

the silages with the addition of 30% Piata palisadegrass and Paiaguas palisadegrass presented the highest contents of CP. These results may be

correlated with the morphology of these grasses, which present thin stems and, therefore, produce better forage due to the higher leaf: stem ratio (CHIARI et al., 2008; COSTA et al., 2016).

Similar results were obtained by Costa et al. (2011), who evaluated the silages of *Urochloa brizantha* cultivars with millet meal and found that the silage of the Piata palisadegrass presented higher CP content when compared to the Marandu palisadegrass and Xaraes palisadegrass silages, due to the higher leaf: stem ratio.

It is important to emphasize that all the silages evaluated had CP content above

70g/kg DM, which is the critical level for a satisfactory development of ruminal cellulolytic bacteria (VAN SOEST, 1994). These results demonstrate that sunflower silages with cultivars of Urochloa brizantha can be an interesting alternative, especially the sunflower with the Piata and Paiaguas palisadegrass, which were superior to sunflower silage by 34.56% and 33.80%, respectively. These two options of mixed silage are advantageous to guarantee the supply of better quality feed in the winter, where the CP content normally decreases due to the low temperatures and lack of rainfall, damaging the development of forages.

Table 4. Mean values of CP EE, TDN and MM of sunflower silages with cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha*

Silages	CP	EE	TDN	MM
Silages	(g/kg DM)	(g/kg DM)	(g/kg DM)	(g/kg DM)
Sunflower	103.6 ^b	131.1a	695.0 ^a	104.6 ^a
Sunflower + 30% Marandu palisadegrass	120.8 ^b	81.2 ^b	616.4 ^b	84.8 ^b
Sunflower + 30% Xaraes palisadegrass	121.7 ^b	80.7 ^b	604.0^{b}	86.0^{b}
Sunflower + 30% Piata palisadegrass	139.4 ^a	71.8 ^b	638.9 ^b	89.9 ^b
Sunflower + 30% Paiaguas palisadegrass	138.6 ^a	79.6 ^b	628.9 ^b	84.7 ^b
CV %	7.85	11.71	7.98	9.68

Means followed by different letters are significantly different by Tukey's test at 5% probability. CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; TDN = total digestible nutrients; MM = mineral matter.

In relation to EE content, in Table 4, only the sunflower silage differed from the silages with Urochloa brizantha cultivars, with a higher EE content, reaching 131.1g/kg DM, because it is an oilseed crop with high oil content and excellent physical, chemical and nutritional characteristics (Souza et al., 2005). It has high polyunsaturated fatty acids (65.3%)/saturated (11.6%) ratio and the polyunsaturated content is largely composed by linoleic acid (65%)(MACEDO et al., 2008).

Sunflower silage with the addition of *Urochloa brizantha* cultivars contributed to reduce EE content values, averaging

78.3g/kg DM, higher than recommended. Therefore, it is important to emphasize that these silages should not be supplied as single forage in the diet for cattle and indicates the possible need for association with other forage foods.

Evaluating the nutritional value of elephant grass silage with addition of sunflower levels, Rezende et al. (2002) verified that in the silage with a mixture of 50% of sunflower, the content of EE were 74.9g/kg DM. These results were similar to those obtained in this study with 70% sunflower and 30% Marandu palisadegrass, Xaraes palisadegrass, Piata palisadegrass and Paiaguas palisadegrass.

With respect to TDN content, sunflower silage presented the highest level, differing from silages with addition of cultivars of Urochloa brizantha (Table 4). This result can be attributed to the high sunflower. EE content in which contributes positively to the supply of energy in the diet. TDN content is important, since energy and protein are often the most limiting factors for ruminants (OLIVEIRA et al., 2010). Therefore, increasing TDN content in silage may promote better forage utilization by ruminants, thus providing energy intake and performance of animals (BORJA et al., 2012).

Analyzing the mineral matter (MM) content, it was verified that the sunflower silage had the highest values (Table 4). Possibly, there may have been higher effluent losses, since sunflower silage had lower DM content

(Table 2), resulting in losses of organic material and increasing the relative participation of MM (ASHBELL, 1995). Importantly, higher values of pH and butyric acid were also observed in the sunflower silage without additive. The NDF contents were not influenced (P<0.05) by the evaluated silages. However, in relation to the contents of ADF (Table 5), the silages with 30% palisadegrass Piata and Paiaguas palisadegrass showed the lowest levels when compared to the sunflower silage and 30% Marandu palisadegrass and Xaraes palisadegrass. Probably, this is due to the lower proportion of stems that these forages have (CHIARI et al., 2008; COSTA et al., 2016). These results indicate that these forages are better suited for ensiling with sunflower, because they reduce the fiber fractions of sunflower, mainly lignin, contributing to a better digestibility.

Table 5. Mean values of NDF, ADF, lignin and IVDMD of sunflower silages with cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha*

Silagens	NDF (g/kg DM)	ADF (g/kg DM)	Lignin (g/kg DM)	IVDMD (g/kg DM)
Sunflower	493.6	424.6ª	75.6ª	527.5 ^b
Sunflower + 30% Marandu palisadegrass	561.5	387.6 ^{ab}	59.5 ^b	631.8 ^a
Sunflower + 30% Xaraes palisadegrass	567.3	360.1 ^{ab}	60.2 ^b	602.8 ^a
Sunflower + 30% Piata palisadegrass	533.1	341.6 ^b	49.3 ^b c	615.3 ^a
Sunflower + 30% Paiaguas palisadegrass	538.4	335.9 ^b	43.7°	637.6 ^a
CV %	7.68	6.85	8.34	3.31

Means followed by different letters are significantly different by Tukey's test at 5% probability. NDF = neutral detergent fiber; ADF = acid detergent fiber; IVDMD = in vitro dry matter digestibility.

The addition of *Urochloa brizantha* cultivars to sunflower silage contributed to reduce lignin content in the silages (Table 5), with a decrease of 41.5%, on the average, when compared to sunflower silage, consequently, making the silages more digestible.

This fact can be explained by the recalcitrant nature of lignin, which is

minimized and allows better utilization of the fiber by microorganisms. Low lignin contents are important because lignin is not a carbohydrate but an amorphous phenylpropanoid polymer that has a structural function and is considered to be indigestible and inhibits the digestibility of plants (MARANHÃO et al., 2009). Lignin

plays a strong negative influence on the degradation rate and effective degradability of the cell wall of forages, besides having silica and cutin (VAN SOEST, 1994).

The lowest IVDMD was found in the sunflower silage, differing from the silages with additives (Table 5), demonstrating that addition of cultivars Urochloa brizantha of contributed to increase the IVDMD of silages, because these forages presented lower levels of ADF and lignin, when compared to the sunflower (Table 1). The increase in digestibility is probably associated with changes in the chemical composition of the fraction with the decrease in NDF, ADF and hemicellulose content, which would certainly provide readily digestible carbohydrates to rumen microorganisms (FERNANDES et al., 2002).

The results showed that the sunflower silage had higher pH, ether extract and lignin, in contrast, lower dry matter content and IVDMD.

The silages with addition of the cultivars of *Urochloa brizantha* contributed to improve the fermentation profile and nutritional value of silages. Silages with 30% Piata palisadegrass and Paiaguas palisadegrass showed higher content of CP and lower content of ADF and lignin, being more recommended for ensiling with sunflower.

REFERÊNCIAS

AMARAL, R.C.; BERNADES, T.F.; SIQUEIRA, G.R.; REIS, R.A. Características fermentativas e químicas de silagens de capim-marandu produzidas com quatro pressões de compactação. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.36, n.3, p.532-539, 2007.

ASHBELL, G. Basic principles of preservation of forage, by-products and residues as silage or hay. Bet Dagan: Agricultural Research Organization, The Volcani Center. 1995. 58 p.

ASSOCIATION OF OFFICIAL ANALYTICAL CHEMISTIS - AOAC. **Official Methods of Analysis**. 15.ed. Arlington, 1990. 1117p.

BOLSEN, K.K.; LIN, C.; BRENT, B.E. Effect of silage additives on the microbial succession and fermentation process of alfalfa and corn silages. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.75, n.11, p.3066-3083, 1992.

BORJA, M.S.; OLIVEIRA, R.L.; LIMA, L.S.; BAGALDO, A.R.; CARVALHO, G.G.P.; RIBEIRO, C.V.D.M. Sunflower meal concentrations in Massai grass silage. **Revista Cientifica la Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia -MVZ**, v.17, n.2, p.3041-3046, 2012.

BITENCOURT JUNIOR, D.; SIEWERDT, L.; HARTHMANN, O.E.L.; ROLL, V.F.B.; ZANIS, F.M. Parâmetros qualitativo e nutricional da silagem de girassol M742 pós-abertura de silo. **Revista Brasileira de Agrociência**, v.14, n.2, p.369-373, 2008.

CHANDLER, P. Energy prediction of feeds by forage testing explorer. **Feedstuffs**, v.62, p.12, 1990.

CHIARI, L.; ROCHA, M.; VALLE, C.B.; SALGADO, L.R. Variabilidade genética em acessos e cultivares de quatro espécies de Brachiaria estimada por marcadores RAPD. Campo Grande, MS: Embrapa Gado de Corte, 2008. (Boletim de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento, 24).

COSTA, K.A.P.; ASSIS, R.L.; GUIMARÃES, K.C.; SEVERIANO, E.C.; ASSIS NETO, J.M.; CRUNIVEL, W.S.; GARCIA J.F.; SANTOS, N.F. Silage quality of *Brachiaria brizantha* cultivars ensiled with different levels of millet meal. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, v.63, n.1, p.188-195, 2011.

COSTA, R.R.G.F.; COSTA, K.A.P.; SANTOS, C. B.; SEVERIANO, E.C.; EPIFÂNIO, P.S.; SILVA, J.T.; TEIXEIRA, D.A.A.; SILVA, V.R. Production and nutritional characteristics of pearl millet and Paiaguas palisadegrass under different forage systems and sowing periods in the offseason. **African Journal of Agricultural Research**, v.11, n.19, p.1712-1723, 2016.

FERNANDES, L.D.O.; REIS, R.A.; RODRIGUES, L.R.D.A.; LEDIC, I.L.; MANZAN, R.J. Quality of Ammoniated *Brachiaria decumbens* Hay. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.31, n.3, p.1325-1332, 2002.

FERREIRA, D.F. Sisvar: a computer statistical analysis system. **Ciência e Agrotecnologia**, v.35, n.6, p.1039-1042, 2011.

JOBIM, C.C.; BRANCO, A.B.; SANTOS, G.T. Silagem de grãos úmidos na Alimentação de bovinos leiteiros. In: SIMPÓSIO GOIANO SOBRE MANEJO E NUTRIÇÃO DE BOVINOS DE CORTE E LEITE, 5., Goiânia, GO, 2003. **Anais...** Goiânia, GO, 2003. p.357-376.

KUNG JUNIOR, L., RANJIT, N.K. The effect of Lactobacillus buchneri and other additives on the fermentation and aerobic stability of barley silage. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.84, n.5, p.1149-1155, 2001.

LEITE, L.A.; SILVA, B.O.; REIS, R.B.; FARIA, B.N.; GONÇALVES, L.C.; COELHO, S.G.; SATURNINO, H.M. Silagens de girassol e de milho em dietas de vacas leiteiras: consumo e digestibilidade aparente. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, v.58, n.6, p. 1192-1198, 2006.

MACEDO, V.P.; GARCIA, C.A.; SILVEIRA A.C.; MONTEIRO, A.L.G.; MACEDO, F.A.F. E SPERS, R.C. Composições tecidual e química do lombo de cordeiros alimentados com rações contendo semente de girassol em comedouros privativos. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.37, n.10, p.1860-1868, 2008.

MARANHÃO, C.M.DE A.; SILVA, C.C.F.DA; BONOMO, P.; PIRES, A.J.V. Produção e composição químicobromatológica de duas cultivares de Braquiária adubadas com nitrogênio e sua relação com o índice SPAD. **Acta Scientiarum. Animal Sciences**, v.31, p.117-122, 2009.

McDONALD, P. **The biochemistry of silage**. Chichester: John Wiley, 1981. 128p.

MUCK, R.E.; SHINNERS, K.J. Conserved forage (silage an hay): progress an priorities. In: INTERNATIONAL GRASSLAND CONGRESS, 19., São Pedro, 2001. **Proceedings...** Piracicaba: FEALQ, 2001. p.753.

OLIVEIRA, L.B.; PIRES, A.J.V.; CARVALHO, G.G.P.; RIBEIRO, L.S.O.; ALMEIDA, V.V.; PEIXOTO, C.A.M. Perdas e valor nutritivo de silagens de milho, sorgo Sudão, sorgo forrageiro e girassol. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.39, n.1, p.61-67, 2010.

PLAYNE, M.J.; McDONALD, P. The buffering constituents of herbage and of silage. **Journal of the Science of Food and Agricultural**, v.17, p.264-268, 1966.

POSSENTI, R.A.; FERRARI JUNIOR, E.; BUENO, M.S.; BIANCHINI, D.; LEINZ, F.F.; RODRIGUES, C.F. Parâmetros bromatológicos e fermentativos das silagens de milho e girassol. **Ciência Rural**, v.35, n.5, p.1185-1189, 2005.

REZENDE, A.V.; EVANGELISTA, A.R.; BARCELOS, A.F.; SIQUEIRA,G.R.; SANTOS, R.V.; MAZO, M.S. Efeito da mistura da planta de girassol (*Helianthus annuus* L.) durante a ensilagem do capimelefante (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum.) no valor nutritivo da silagem. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.31, n.5, p.1938-1943, 2002.

SILVA, D.J.; QUEIROZ, A.C. **Análise de alimentos**: métodos químicos e biológicos. 3. ed. Viçosa, MG: UFV, 2002. 235p.

SOUZA, B.P.S.; COELHO, S.G.; GONÇALVES, L.C.; VIEIRA, F.A.P.; BORGES, A.L.C.C.; RODRIGUEZ, N.M.; RODRIGUES, J.A.S.; BORGES, I.; SALIBA, E.S. Composição bromatológica da silagem de quatro genótipos de girassol, ensilados em cinco diferentes idades de corte. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, v.57, p.204-211, 2005. Supl. 2.

TILLEY. J.M.A.; TERRY, R.A. A two stage technique for *in vitro* digestion of forages crops. **Journal of the British Grassland Society**, v.18, n.2, p.104-111, 1963.

TOMICH, T.R.; GONÇALVES, L.C.; TOMICH, R.G.P.; RODRIGUES, J.A.S.; BORGES, I.; RODRIGUEZ, N.M. Características Químicas e Digestibilidade in vitro de Silagens de Girassol. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.33, n.6, p.1672-1682, 2004 Supl. 1.

TOMICH, T.R.; RODRIGUES, J.A.S.; GONÇALVES, L.C.; TOMICH, R.G.P.; CARVALHO, A.U. Potencial forrageiro de cultivares de girassol produzidos na safrinha para ensilagem. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, v.55, n.6, p.756-762, 2003.

VAN SOEST, P.J. **Nutritional ecology of the ruminant**. 2.ed. Ithaca: Cornell, 1994. 476p.

Data de recebimento: 11/04/2015 Data de aprovação: 14/02/2017