Low sensitivity of polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis in southeastern Brazil

Baixa sensibilidade da reação em cadeia da polimerase para o diagnóstico de meningite tuberculosa no sudeste do Brasil

Vânia Maria Sabadoto Brienze¹, Ângela Pedroso Tonon¹, Fabrício José Tarelho Pereira¹, Elisabete Liso¹, Waldir Antônio Tognola¹, Manoel Armando Azevedo dos Santos² and Marcelo Urbano Ferreira²

Abstract Two polymerase chain reaction (PCR) protocols showed low sensitivity (36% and 53% for TB AMPLICOR and MPB64 nested PCR, respectively), when compared with classic microbiological methods (73% and 54% for Ziehl-Neelsen staining and culture, respectively), in the diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis in 91 patients in southeastern Brazil. Only three PCR-positive, microbiologically negative patients were found. Analysis of sequential cerebrospinal fluid samples by nested PCR detected Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA up to 29 days after the introduction of antituberculosis chemotherapy.

Key-words: Diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. PCR. Mycobacterium tuberculosis.

Resumo Dois protocolos de reação em cadeia da polimerase (PCR) apresentaram baixa sensibilidade (36% e 53%, respectivamente), para TB AMPLICOR e PCR aninhado baseado no gene MPB64), quando comparados aos métodos microbiológicos clássicos (73% e 54% respectivamente para baciloscopia e cultura), no diagnóstico de meningite tuberculosa em 91 pacientes do sudeste do Brasil. Somente três pacientes apresentaram PCR positiva e microbiologia negativa. A análise de amostras seqüenciais de líquor com a PCR aninhada detectou DNA de Mycobacterium tuberculosis até 29 dias após a introdução de tratamento. **Palavras-chaves:** Diagnóstico da meningite tuberculosa. PCR. Mycobacterium tuberculosis.

Tuberculosis is responsible for over two million deaths each year across the world, and Brazil is one of the 22 high-burden countries that together report 80% of new cases world-wide. The situation is further complicated by the spread of HIV/AIDS and the emergence of multi-drug resistance among local Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains¹⁶. Around 90,000 new cases are reported yearly in Brazil, more than half of them involving patients living in the southeastern region of the Country. Almost 15% of all patients with newly diagnosed M. tuberculosis infection in São Paulo, southeast Brazil, are HIV-infected¹⁷. Pulmonary tuberculosis is by far the most common clinical presentation of symptomatic M. tuberculosis infection, but extrapulmonary involvement, such as meningitis, remains a significant cause of morbidity, especially among HIV-infected patients9. Bacteriological diagnosis of tuberculous

meningitis relies on the microscopic identification of acidfast bacilli (AFB) on smears, and culture of mycobacteria on solid or liquid media. Microscopy is a simple and rapid screening test, but lacks sensitivity. Routine culture takes four to eight weeks to yield positive results. The radiometric BACTEC 460 TB system (Becton Dickinson) represents an important alternative to standard culture, since it requires only two weeks to detect most positive specimens¹, but the high cost limits its availability in most referral hospitals in developing countries.

The need for a rapid diagnosis has led to the assessment of several polymerase chain reaction (PCR)based procedures for detection of *M. tuberculosis* DNA in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples. The sensitivity of these molecular methods has been reported to vary between 33%¹⁵ and 90.5%¹³, and therefore the role of PCR in routine diagnosis remains unclear⁴, especially

^{1.} Departamento de Doenças Infecciosas e Parasitárias da Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio Preto, São José do Rio Preto, SP; 2. Laboratório de Parasitologia do Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP.

Financial support: Fundação Faculdade Regional de Medicina (FUNFARME), UNDP/World Bank/World Health Organization Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, CNPq and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP).

Address to: Dr. Marcelo U. Ferreira. Deptº de Parasitologia/ICB/USP. Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 1374, 05508-900 São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

Tel: 55 11 3818-7273: Fax: 55 11 3818-7417.

e-mail: muferrei@usp.br

Recebido para publicação em 2/8/2000.

in resource-poor settings. Here we have examined the performance of two PCR-based methods, a commercially available kit (TB AMPLICOR, Roche Diagnostic Systems) and a nested PCR described by Liu and colleagues¹³, in the diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis in a tertiary care hospital in southeastern Brazil.

This study was performed at the Hospital de Base, a 600-bed teaching hospital situated in São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo State. A total of 105 CSF samples were obtained prospectively, between April 1996 and February 2000, from 91 patients with suspected meningitis (mean age, 33 years; range: 3 months-61 years). More than one CSF sample was available for 10 patients, and for 5 of them samples were also collected after the introduction of chemotherapy for tuberculosis. Sixty-six (73%) patients had serologically confirmed HIV infection (mean CD4 cell count, 81.2/ml; range: 2-384/ ml). All patients were grouped according to a set of clinical and laboratorial criteria, essentially as described and validated by Ahuja and colleagues²: (A) presence of fever and headache lasting more than 14 days, with or without vomiting, alteration of sensorium or focal deficit; (B) presence of acid-fast bacilli (AFB) on CSF smears stained with Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) or culture (B1), and absence of other bacteria and fungi, as well as malignant cells, in CSF (B2); (C) CSF pleocytosis with more than 10 cells/ml (more than 60% lymphocytes) (C1), and CSF concentrations of protein greater than 0.6mg/ml (C2) and of glucose less than 60% of the corresponding blood level (C3); (D) evidence of extraneural tuberculosis. Five groups were defined as different combinations of these criteria: (1) definite tuberculous meningitis (A + B1 + B2), (2) highly probable tuberculous meningitis (A + B2 + C1 + C2 + C3 + D), (3) probable tuberculous meningitis (A + B2 + two of the criteria C1, C2, C3 or D), (4) possible tuberculous meningitis (A + B2 + one of the criteria C1, C2, C3 or D), (5) other disease (absence of A or B2).

CSF samples (about 12ml) were divided into three portions. One aliquot was sent to the CSF laboratory of Hospital de Base for cytology, biochemistry, bacterioscopy and culture for *M. tuberculosis* and other bacteria using standard methods³. The second portion was sent to the Laboratory of Mycobacteria, at the Department of Microbiology of the University of São Paulo, in São Paulo, for DNA extraction and amplification with the TB AMPLICOR kit. This test targets a speciesspecific sequence within the small sub-unit (16S) ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene from *M. tuberculosis*. CSF samples were stored at -20°C, and DNA extraction was performed as recommended for respiratory specimens, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 500ml of wash solution were added to 100-ml CSF aliquots, the mixture was vortexed and centrifuged (12,500g for 10 min). Supernatants were aspirated, and 100ml of lysis reagent was added to the pellet. The samples were then vortexed and incubated for 45 min at 60°C. Next, the samples were centrifuged (12,500g for 10 s), and 100ml of neutralization reagent were added, followed by vortexing. Fifty microliters of extracted DNA templates were used in PCR. Amplification was performed exactly as recommended by the manufacturer, using a GeneAmp System 9600 thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer). The amplification products were incubated with 100ml of denaturation solution, and 25ml aliquots of denaturated samples were transferred to the wells of microtiter plates coated with a probe that targets a *M. tuberculosis*-specific sequence within the PCR product. Internal positive and negative controls were included in all experiments. Due to budget limitations, only 46 samples were tested with AMPLICOR kits, and no sample was re-tested, regardless of the occurrence of discordant results between PCR-based and microbiological diagnoses.

The third CSF aliquot (200-500ml) was sent to the Laboratory of Molecular Parasitology, at the Faculty of Medicine of São José do Rio Preto, for DNA extraction and amplification by a nested PCR protocol that targets the species-specific MPB 64 protein gene from M. tuberculosis¹³. CSF samples were stored at -20°C until DNA extraction. Template DNA was prepared from 200-ml aliquots of CSF, which were incubated with protease K (20mg/ml in a buffer containing 12mM-Tris-HCI pH 8.0, 6mM EDTA and 0.6% SDS) for 3 hours at 56°C, and purified in GFX columns (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Two rounds of PCR amplification were performed in a Genius thermal cycler (Techne). The first round used the pair of external primers (here named MPB1 and MPB2) originally described by Shankar and colleagues²⁰, while the second round used the internal primers (here named MPB3 and MPB4) designed by Liu and colleagues¹³. All primers were supplied by Gibco, and their sequences were given in the original publications. PCR mixtures included 5ml of extracted DNA or 1ml of first PCR product, 0.4mM of each primer, 200mM of each dNTP, and 0.75U of Taq polymerase (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) in a final volume of 25ml. In the first PCR, the mixture was incubated for 5min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1min, 50°C for 1min and 72°C for 1.5min, and a final incubation at 72°C for 10min. The program for the 25 cycles of nested PCR was 94°C for 1min, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1.5min, followed by a final incubation at 72°C for 10 min. Amplification reagents and PCR products were handled in different laboratories using pipettes equipped with aerosol-resistant filter tips, in order to prevent carry-over contamination. The 200-bp amplification product was resolved by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV transillumination. Laboratory personnel was unaware of microbiological diagnosis at the time when the first PCR experiments were performed, but later all samples showing discordant results between microscopy and PCR were re-tested using, whenever possible, newly extracted DNA

templates. A given sample was considered positive if *M. tuberculosis* DNA was detected in at least one test.

Table 1 shows that both PCR-based approaches had a relatively low sensitivity in detecting *M. tuberculosis* (respectively 36% and 53% for AMPLICOR and nested PCR), when compared with routine microbiological methods (respectively 73% and 54% for ZN staining and culture). Additionally, nested PCR was positive for one HIV-infected patient classified as having highly probable tuberculous meningitis (two CSF samples obtained on different occasions yielded concordant nested PCR results). Both nested PCR and AMPLICOR were also positive for an HIV-negative patient with probable tuberculous meningitis who was empirically given

Table 1- Proportion of cerebrospinal fluid samples that were positive by standard microbiological methods and polymerase chain reaction (AMPLICOR and MPB 64 nested PCR), according to the clinical diagnosis.

Diagnosis	Ziehl-Neelsen stained smear	Culture	AMPLICOR	MPB 64 nested PCR	
Definite tuberculous meningitis ^a	11/15 ^b (73%) ^c	7/13 (54%)	4/11 (36%)	8/15 (53%)	
Highly probable tuberculous meningitis	^d 0/4	0/4	0/0	2/4 (50%)	
Probable tuberculous meningitis ^e	0/15	0/15	1/6 (17%)	1/15 (7%)	
Possible tuberculous meningitis	0/13	0/13	0/8	1/12 (8%)	
Other diseases ^e	0/51	0/51	1/17 (6%) 0/50		
Total	11/98	7/96	6/42	11/96	

^aTwo patients provided two microbiologically positive samples. Microbiologically negative samples from patients with proven tuberculosis were not included in this analysis (see Table 2); ^bNumber of positive/number of tested samples; ^cPercentage of positive samples within each clinical diagnosis category; ^dOne patient provided two samples, that were both positive by MPB 64 nested PCR alone; ^eTwo patients provided two samples, that were negative by all methods.

specific chemotherapy. Furthermore, an HIV-negative patient with confirmed pulmonary tuberculosis and possible tuberculous meningitis was positive by nested PCR only. Thus, three PCR-positive and microbiologically negative patients were identified, suggesting that PCR was able to identify a quite limited number of additional cases missed by microbiological methods. We interpreted this relatively poor diagnostic performance as resulting from: (1) low number of organisms present in CSF samples, that were below the detection threshold of our tests; (2) presence of DNA polymerase inhibitors in CSF samples²¹, or (3) presence of mycobacteria other than *M. tuberculosis* in some AFB-positive CSF samples, especially among HIVinfected patients. To examine the first hypothesis, we assessed the detection threshold of nested PCR by testing serial dilutions of purified DNA from *M. tuberculosis* culture. In these experiments, we were able to detect 0.2 pg of *M. tuberculosis* DNA, which corresponds to approximately 40 organisms¹⁸. Given the sample volume used in PCR, this corresponds to approximately 800 organisms per ml of CSF. Microscopical detection of AFB on standard ZN-stained smears usually requires between 5,000 to 10,000 organisms per ml of CSF, and a successful culture requires usually the presence of 10 to 100 mycobacteria in the sample⁵. However, a previous study⁷ showed that most culture-positive CSF samples had fewer than 10 mycobacteria per ml. These data suggest that substantially larger sample volumes should be processed in order to improve the sensitivity of PCR protocols¹¹, including AMPLICOR, which has been usually performed with 100ml of CSF⁶ (and this study).

To address the second hypothesis, purified *M. tuberculosis* DNA was diluted in PCR templates prepared with CSF from 17 patients with either clinically

suspected or microbiologically confirmed infection, but with negative nested PCR results. In all cases, it was possible to amplify M. tuberculosis DNA in these samples, suggesting that the presence of polymerase inhibitors played no major role in determining negative results. The authors have not performed a similar analysis for AMPLICOR-negative samples, but equivalent results for CSF samples had been reported in a previous analysis of this kind²¹, suggesting that the presence of polymerase inhibitors in the CSF does not represent as major limiting factor for amplification of *M. tuberculosis* DNA. The third hypothesis regards atypical mycobacteria, that have occasionally been detected as a cause of meningitis in immunocompromised patients^{8 10}. Since the study population included a large proportion of HIV-infected patients with low CD4 cell counts, and the target sequences of both PCR procedures are narrowly species-specific, the authors could not rule out the possibility that some false-negative results originated from the presence of atypical mycobacteria in AFBpositive patients. Further microbiological studies are required to address this issue.

At least one AMPLICOR-positive sample may be classified as a false-positive result (Table 1), since the patient did not meet clinical diagnostic criteria for tuberculous meningitis and fully recovered without specific chemotherapy. This patient was negative by nested PCR. This false-positive result may have originated from either carry-over contamination during PCR or from cross-reaction with non-mycobacterial DNA. No result may be surely considered as falsepositive among those obtained with our nested PCR. Interestingly, a recent comparison¹² showed that a PCR targeting the MPB 64 gene was considerably more specific than reactions based on the 65 kDa heat shock protein (HSP) gene and the repeated sequence IS6110.

Analysis of sequential CSF samples from five patients revealed that nested PCR was able to detect

M. tuberculosis DNA up to 29 days after starting antituberculosis chemotherapy (Table 2), suggesting that PCR may be useful when patients had been given empirical treatment and standard microbiological tests ware negative. Despite this, the role of PCR in the diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis in resource-poor countries remains controversial⁶ ¹⁹. To the authors' knowledge, only one previous paper on this subject had been published in Brazil. Machado and colleagues

amplified a fragment of the 65kDa HSP gene in 7 of 10 patients with suspected *M. tuberculosis* meningitis and in none of 10 patients with other infections¹⁴. Sample volumes used for PCR analysis were not described¹⁴. Further studies are clearly needed to evaluate the performance of PCR in different clinical settings, including empirically treated patients, before the role of this technique in routine diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis in Brazil may be established.

Table 2- Results of standard microbiological analyses and polymerase chain reaction (AMPLICOR and MPB 64 nested PCR) in sequential cerebrospinal fluid samples collected from five patients with proven tuberculous meningitis who were given specific chemotherapy.

Patient number	Ziehl-Neelsen stained smear	Culture	AMPLICOR	MPB 64 nested PCR	
date of sample collection					
Patient # 3					
before treatment	negative	negative	negative	positive	
6 days after starting treatment	positive	negative	negative	positive	
13 days after starting treatmen	t negative	negative	negative	negative	
15 months after starting treatm	ent negative	negative	negative	negative	
Patient # 14					
before treatment	negative	negative	negative	positive	
3 days after starting treatment	positive	negative	positive	positive	
Patient # 76					
before treatment	negative	positive	negative	negative	
29 days after starting treatmen	t negative	negative	not done	positive	
120 days after starting treatme	nt negative	negative	not done	negative	
Patient # 81					
5 days after starting treatment	positive	not done	negative	negative	
7 days after starting treatment	positive	not done	negative	negative	
19 days after starting treatmen	t negative	not done	not done	positive	
Patient # 124					
6 days after starting treatment	positive	positive	not done	negative	
14 days after starting treatmen	t negative	positive	not done	negative	

REFERENCES

- Abe C, Hosojima S, Fucosawa Y, Kazumi Y, Takahashi M, Hirano K, Mori T. Comparison of MB-Check, BACTEC, and egg-based media for recovery of mycobacteria. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 30: 878-881, 1992.
- 2. Ahuja GK, Mohan KK, Prasad K, Behari M. Diagnostic criteria for tuberculous meningitis and their validation. Tubercle and Lung Disease 75: 149-152, 1994.
- 3. American Thoracic Society. Diagnostic standards and classification of tuberculosis. American Review of Respiratory Diseases 142: 725-735, 1990.
- American Thoracic Society. Rapid diagnostic tests for tuberculosis: what is the appropriate use? American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 155: 1804-1814, 1996.
- 5. Bates JH. Diagnosis of tuberculosis. Chest 76 (suppl): 757-763, 1979.
- Bonington A, Strang JIG, Klapper PE, Hood SV, Rubombora W, Penny M, Willers R, Wilkins EGL. Use of Roche AMPLICOR *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* PCR in early diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 36: 1251-1254, 1998.
- Davies LE, Rastogi KR, Lambert LC, Skipper BJ. Tuberculous meningitis in the southwest United States: a community-based study. Neurology 43: 1775-1778, 1993.

- Gyure KA, Prayson RA, Estes ML, Hall GS. Symptomatic Mycobacterium avium complex infection of the central nervous systems. A case report and review of the literature. Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine 119: 836-839, 1995.
- Kerr-Pontes LRS, Oliveira FAS, Freire CAM. Tuberculose associada à AIDS: situação de região do Nordeste brasileiro. Revista de Saúde Pública 31: 323-329, 1997.
- Komachi H, Uchihara T, Saito Y, Takewaki S, Nagai R, Furukawa T. Successful treatment of atypical mycobacterial meningitis by fluoroquinolone. Journal of Neurological Sciences 142: 170-172, 1996.
- Kox, LFF, Kuijper S, Kolk, AHJ. Early diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis by polymerase chain reaction. Neurology 45: 2228-2232, 1995.
- Lee BW, Tan JAMA, Wong SC, Tan CB, Yap HK, Low PS, Chia JN, Tay JSH. DNA amplification by the polymerase chain reaction for the rapid diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. Comparison of protocols involving three mycobacterial DNA sequences, IS6110, 65 kDa antigen, and MPB64. Journal of Neurological Sciences 123: 173-179, 1994.
- Liu PYF, Shi ZY, Lau YJ, Hu, BS. Rapid diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis by a simplified nested amplification protocol. Neurology 44: 1161-1164, 1994.

- Machado LR, Livramento JA, Bydlowski SP, Bendit I, Bravo LM, Spina-França A. Polymerase chain reaction in the diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. Arquivos de Neoropsiquiatria 52: 445-446, 1994.
- Nguyen LN, Kox LFF, Pham LD, Kuijper S, Kolk AHJ. The potential contribution of the polymerase chain reaction to the diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. Archives of Neurology 53: 771-776, 1996.
- 16. Pinto WP, Hadad DJ, Palhares MC, Ferrazoli L, Telles MA, Ueki SY, Santos MT, Placco AL, Sauaia N, Palaci M. Drug resistance of *M. tuberculosis* isolated from patients with HIV infection seen at an AIDS Reference Center in São Paulo, Brazil. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo 38: 15-21, 1996.
- Secretaria Estadual da Saúde, Centro de Vigilância Epidemiológica, Divisão de Tuberculose. Tuberculose: uma emergência mundial, São Paulo, 1995.

- Sepkowitz KA, Raffalli J, Riley L, Kiehn TE, Armstrong D. Tuberculosis in the AIDS era. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 8: 180-199, 1995.
- Seth P, Ahuja GK, Bhanu NV, Behari M, Bhowmik S, Broor S, Dar L, Chakraborty M. Evaluation of polymerase chain reaction for rapid diagnosis of clinically suspected tuberculous meningitis. Tubercle and Lung Disease 77: 353-357, 1996.
- Shankar P, Manjunath N, Mohan KK, Prasad K, Behari M, Shriniwas, Ahuja GK. Rapid diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis by polymerase chain reaction. Lancet 337: 5-7, 1991.
- Stauffer F, Haber H, Rieger A, Mutschlechner R, Hasenberger P, Tevere VJ, Young KKY. Genus level identification of mycobacteria from clinical specimens by using an easy-to-handle *Mycobacterium*-specific PCR assay. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 36: 614-617, 1998.