



Original Article

Rotator cuff injuries: current perspectives and trends for treatment and rehabilitation[☆]



Fabio Antonio Vieira ^{*}, Paul Juma Olawa, Paulo Santoro Belangero,
Gustavo Gonçalves Arliani, Eduardo Antônio Figueiredo, Benno Ejnismann

Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 July 2014

Accepted 21 August 2014

Available online 31 October 2015

Keywords:

Rotator cuff

Therapeutics

Rehabilitation

ABSTRACT

Objective: To map out the approaches used by Brazilian orthopedists in treating complete tears of the rotator cuff.

Methods: A multiple-choice questionnaire was handed out to 232 orthopedists at the 45th Brazilian Congress of Orthopedics and Traumatology. Of these, 207 were returned but five were incomplete and were excluded. Thus, 202 questionnaires were used.

Results: Among the orthopedists who answered the questionnaires, around 60% were from the southeastern region and 46% were shoulder and elbow surgeons. There was a significant association ($p < 0.05$) between length of experience and number of rotator cuff repairs performed per year. There was also a significant association ($p < 0.05$) between shoulder specialty and the following variables: arthroscopic technique, use of anchors in a single-row configuration, mean time taken for an indication for surgery to be made in cases of traumatic and degenerative lesions, use of a specific protocol for postsurgical rehabilitation, return to sport and indication of irreparable injuries.

Conclusions: Brazilian shoulder surgeons have well-established approaches toward treating rotator cuff injuries. Most of these approaches differ significantly from those of other specialties. This shows the importance of placing value on training in preparing shoulder specialists in this country.

© 2014 Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e Traumatologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

[☆] Work performed in the Sports Traumatology Group, Orthopedics and Traumatology Service, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: fabioavepm74@gmail.com (F.A. Vieira).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rboe.2015.10.012>

2255-4971/© 2014 Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e Traumatologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Lesão do manguito rotador: tratamento e reabilitação. Perspectivas e tendências atuais

RESUMO

Palavras-chave:

Manguito rotador

Terapêutica

Reabilitação

Objetivo: Mapear as condutas dos ortopedistas brasileiros no tratamento das lesões completas do manguito rotador.

Métodos: Um questionário de múltiplas escolhas foi entregue a 232 ortopedistas no 45º Congresso Brasileiro de Ortopedia e Traumatologia. Foram devolvidos 207 questionários, mas cinco estavam incompletos e foram excluídos. Total final de 202 questionários.

Resultados: Dos ortopedistas que responderam os questionários, cerca de 60% foram da Região Sudeste, 46% eram cirurgiões de ombro e cotovelo. Houve associação significativa ($p < 0,05$) entre tempo de experiência e número de reparos do manguito rotador feitos anualmente. Também houve associação significativa ($p < 0,05$) entre especialidade de ombro e as seguintes variáveis: técnica artroscópica, uso de âncoras na configuração de fileira simples, tempo médio para indicação de cirurgia em lesões traumáticas e degenerativas, uso de protocolo específico para reabilitação pós-cirúrgica, retorno ao esporte e indicação de lesões irreparáveis.

Conclusões: Os cirurgiões de ombro do Brasil têm condutas bem estabelecidas em relação ao tratamento das lesões do manguito rotador que diferem, em sua maioria, significativamente, das condutas dos cirurgiões de outras especialidades. Isso mostra a importância da valorização do treinamento na formação dos especialistas de ombro em nosso país.

© 2014 Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e Traumatologia. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Todos os direitos reservados.

Introduction

Rotator cuff injuries are a significant source of shoulder pain and dysfunction.¹ They occur mainly in patients between 40 and 60 years of age and may be of traumatic or degenerative origin.

Despite the vast quantity of published papers on this topic, we were unable to find any consensus on this subject in the Brazilian literature.²

The high incidence of these injuries and the great importance of the social and economic issues relating to them, along with the divergences that exist on this subject in the literature, make it extremely important to evaluate the approaches and trends that exist in Brazil on this topic.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the approaches and procedures used by orthopedic surgeons in Brazil in treating and rehabilitating rotator cuff injuries of the shoulder. From the results of this study, we would be able to delimit the national trends on this matter and also guide future studies of quality.

Materials and methods

This was a descriptive study with application of a questionnaire to a sample of Brazilian orthopedic surgeons. The questionnaire was drawn up and approved by the authors in such a way that it would be simple and very easy to understand. It consisted of 16 closed questions that addressed topics such as the number of years of experience and the annual number of surgical procedures performed by the

surgeons, and a variety of factors relating to treatment and rehabilitation of rotator cuff injuries of the shoulder (Annex 1). The questionnaire was applied to orthopedic surgeons during the 3 days of the 45th Brazilian Congress of Orthopedics and Traumatology, in 2013. Only orthopedists who performed surgery filled out the questionnaire. In this manner, 207 questionnaires were filled out, but five were incomplete and were excluded. Thus a total of 202 questionnaires were evaluated. To resolve any possible doubts while the forms were being filled out, two researchers were present throughout the period when the questionnaires were applied.

Descriptive statistical analysis was performed on the variables involved in the data retrieved from the questionnaire, in order to characterize the sample.

The data were analyzed using the SPSS for Windows software, version 16.0, and the significance level used was 5%.

Results

The distribution of the surgeons as a function of their region of origin and number of years of experience is shown in Table 1. Approximately 62% of the surgeons were from the southeastern region, and 38.7% were specialists in shoulder and elbow surgery. In relation to the number of rotator cuff repair performed per year, 21% of the surgeons performed more than 60. In this case, a direct and statistically significant relationship between the number of repairs and the length experience in orthopedics and in the specialty of shoulder and elbow surgery was noted ($p < 0.001$) (Table 1).

Table 1 – Description of the number of repairs according to the specialty.

| How many rotator cuff repairs do you do per year (number per year)? | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|---|-----------|------|----------|------|--------|------|---------|--|
| | | | Shoulder | | Others | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | | |
| <20 | 8 | 17.4 | 58 | 79.5 | 66 | 55.5 | < 0.001 | |
| 20–30 | 9 | 19.6 | 6 | 8.2 | 15 | 12.6 | | |
| 30–40 | 5 | 10.9 | 3 | 4.1 | 8 | 6.7 | | |
| 40–60 | 2 | 4.3 | 3 | 4.1 | 5 | 4.2 | | |
| >60 cases | 22 | 47.8 | 3 | 4.1 | 25 | 21.0 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

Table 2 – Description of the type of repair according to specialty.

| What type of repair do you use? | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|--|
| | Others | | Others | | | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | | |
| Single row | 33 | 71.7 | 27 | 37 | 60 | 50.4 | 0.002 | |
| Double row | 8 | 17.4 | 23 | 31.5 | 31 | 26.1 | | |
| Transosseous stitch | 4 | 8.7 | 15 | 20.5 | 19 | 16.0 | | |
| Transosseous equivalent | 1 | 2.2 | 8 | 11.0 | 9 | 7.6 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

Table 3 – Description of the duration of immobilization recommended, according to specialty.

| How much immobilization time do you recommend? | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|--|-----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|--|
| | Shoulder | | Others | | | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | | |
| Early rehabilitation | 2 | 4.3 | 12 | 16.4 | 14 | 11.8 | 0.020 | |
| <3 weeks | 4 | 8.7 | 16 | 21.9 | 20 | 16.8 | | |
| 3–6 weeks | 31 | 67.4 | 36 | 49.3 | 67 | 56.3 | | |
| Depends on the injury | 9 | 19.6 | 9 | 12.3 | 18 | 15.1 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

With regard to the type of repair performed, 50% of the surgeons used anchors configured as a single row and 26% as a double row. We found that the repair configuration (single row) and the type of technique (arthroscopic) showed a statistically significant relationship with the specialty of shoulder and elbow surgery ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2).

The shoulder surgeons agreed in relation to the length of postoperative immobilization (3–6 weeks) and the time taken

to return to sports (>6 months). Both of these showed a significant difference in relation to surgeons in other specialties (Table 3).

In defining irreparable injuries, the shoulder specialists used the degree of fatty infiltration more frequently than did surgeons in other specialties ($p < 0.01$) (Table 4).

Lastly, the shoulder surgeons indicated surgical treatment at an earlier stage, in relation to both traumatic injuries

Table 4 – Description of the criteria for irreparable injury, according to specialty.

| What criteria do you use for classifying injuries as irreparable? | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|---|-----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|--|
| | Shoulder | | Others | | | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | | |
| Acromion-humerus distance | 18 | 39.1 | 38 | 52.1 | 56 | 47.1 | 0.010 | |
| Fatty infiltrations | 23 | 50 | 16 | 21.9 | 39 | 32.8 | | |
| Patient's age | 4 | 8.7 | 13 | 17.8 | 17 | 14.3 | | |
| Number of tendons affected | 1 | 2.2 | 6 | 8.2 | 7 | 5.9 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

Table 5 – Description of the duration of treatment for traumatic injuries before the procedure, according to specialty.

| What is the average duration of physiotherapy that you prescribe before indicating surgery for traumatic rotator cuff injuries? | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|---|-----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|---------|--|
| | Shoulder | | Others | | n | % | | |
| | n | % | n | % | | | | |
| Immediate surgery | 27 | 58.7 | 14 | 19.2 | 41 | 34.5 | < 0.001 | |
| 2 months | 8 | 17.4 | 12 | 16.4 | 20 | 16.8 | | |
| 2-6 months | 11 | 23.9 | 37 | 50.7 | 48 | 40.3 | | |
| >6 months | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 9.6 | 7 | 5.9 | | |
| I don't operate | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.1 | 3 | 2.5 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

Table 6 – Description of the duration of treatment for degenerative lesions, according to specialty.

| What is the average duration of physiotherapy that you prescribe before indicating surgery for degenerative rotator cuff lesions? | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|---|-----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|---------|--|
| | Shoulder | | Others | | n | % | | |
| | n | % | n | % | | | | |
| Immediate surgery | 5 | 10.9 | 5 | 6.8 | 10 | 8.4 | < 0.001 | |
| 2 months | 8 | 17.4 | 8 | 11.0 | 16 | 13.4 | | |
| 2-6 months | 32 | 69.6 | 34 | 46.6 | 66 | 55.5 | | |
| >6 months | 1 | 2.2 | 17 | 23.3 | 18 | 15.1 | | |
| I don't operate | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 12.3 | 9 | 7.6 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

Table 7 – Description of the type of anchor according to specialty.

| What type of anchor do you use? | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|--|
| | Shoulder | | Others | | n | % | | |
| | n | % | n | % | | | | |
| Metallic | 23 | 50.0 | 42 | 57.5 | 65 | 54.6 | 0.494 | |
| Bioabsorbable | 22 | 47.8 | 27 | 37.0 | 49 | 41.2 | | |
| Peek | 1 | 2.2 | 3 | 4.1 | 4 | 3.4 | | |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.8 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

and degenerative lesions, than did specialists in other areas ($p < 0.01$) (Tables 5 and 6).

The results relating to the anchor material used (Table 7) and the most frequent types of complications (Table 8) were not statistically significant.

Discussion

The incidence of complete tears of the rotator cuff ranges from 5% to 40%. Epidemiological studies have correlated increased

Table 8 – Description of the main complications observed, according to specialty.

| Main complications observed | Specialty | | | | Total | | p | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|--|
| | Shoulder | | Others | | n | % | | |
| | n | % | n | % | | | | |
| No complications | 5 | 10.9 | 4 | 5.5 | 9 | 7.6 | 0.135 | |
| Infection | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.1 | 3 | 2.5 | | |
| Repeated rupture | 15 | 32.6 | 33 | 45.2 | 48 | 40.3 | | |
| Adhesive capsulitis | 26 | 56.5 | 32 | 43.8 | 58 | 48.7 | | |
| Reaction to anchor | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.8 | | |
| Total | 46 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 119 | 100 | | |

frequency of these injuries with advancing age. Symptomatic lesions of the rotator cuff are commonly seen during daily clinical practice. According to Dias et al.,¹ rotator cuff tears are the most frequent cause of pain during day-to-day activities, and they have greater prevalence among women and on the dominant side. According to a systematic review published by Ejnisman et al.,² no studies with a high level of evidence have demonstrated what the best approach should be, in dealing with rotator cuff injuries.

Likewise, there is no standard approach used by Brazilian orthopedists. The novel feature of the present study was that its aim was to show the approaches used among orthopedists who were members of the Brazilian Society of Orthopedics and Traumatology (SBOT), in relation to this injury. The greatest representation in our sample comprised orthopedists working in the southeastern region of Brazil, which portrays the situation in this country, in which there is no lack of professionals, but there is poor distribution of physicians between the different regions.

From the data obtained, it can be perceived that the greater the degree of specialization in this area (shoulder and elbow surgery) and the greater the surgeon's experience were, the larger the number of repairs performed was. The specialists in this area indicated surgical treatment at an earlier stage, independent of the etiology of the lesion (traumatic or degenerative). This can be explained because in our sample, the surgeons defined injuries as irreparable on the basis of the degree of fatty infiltration, and not according to the size of the lesion, which demonstrates their concern regarding the evolutionary nature of the injury.

Currently, there are no studies with a high level of evidence that prove that a double row is superior to a single row, especially through clinical assessments done using functional scales (UCLA, DASH, Constant or ASES).³⁻⁹ However, the latest two articles describe a biomechanical advantage from fixation and better reestablishment of muscle strength among patients who underwent repair by means of a double row, compared with a single row. Although the double-row technique does not show functional superiority over the single-row technique, surgeons require greater skill and experience to perform the double technique. However, in our sample, there was no statistical correlation between the type of repair performed and the surgeon's degree of experience or level of specialization. Nonetheless, our data showed that the current preference of shoulder surgery specialists is for the single-row technique. The strong point of our study is that it provided a current portrayal of the approaches used by Brazilian orthopedists in relation to treatments for rotator cuff injuries, and that it proves data for guiding the training of residents in shoulder and elbow surgery at services

accredited by the Brazilian Society of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery.

Conclusion

Shoulder surgery specialists in Brazil have a greater tendency to indicate surgical treatment for rotator cuff injuries at an earlier stage than do non-specialists. There is currently a preference among Brazilian shoulder surgeons for performing repairs using a single row of anchors.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Dias D, Matos M, Daltro C, Guimarães A. Clinical and functional profile of patients with the Painful Shoulder Syndrome (PSS). *Ortop Traumatol Rehabil.* 2008;10(6):547-53.
2. Ejnisman B, Andreoli CV, Soares BG, Faloppa F, Peccin MS, Abdalla RJ, et al. Interventions for tears of the rotator cuff in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004;1:CD002758.
3. Kirkwood BR, Sterne JA. Essential medical statistics. 2nd ed. Massachusetts: Blackwell Science; 2006.
4. Franceschi F, Ruzzini L, Longo UG, Martina FM, Zobel BB, Maffulli N, et al. Equivalent clinical results of arthroscopic single-row and double-row suture anchor repair for rotator cuff tears: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med.* 2007;35(8):1254-60.
5. Grasso A, Milano G, Salvatore M, Falcone G, Deriu L, Fabbriciani C. Single-row versus double-row arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a prospective randomized clinical study. *Arthroscopy.* 2009;25(1):4-12.
6. Burks RT, Crim J, Brown N, Fink B, Greis PE. A prospective randomized clinical trial comparing arthroscopic single- and double-row rotator cuff repair: magnetic resonance imaging and early clinical evaluation. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37(4):674-82.
7. Trappey GJ 4th, Gartsman GM. A systematic review of the clinical outcomes of single row versus double row rotator cuff repairs. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2011;20 Suppl 2:S14-9.
8. Ma HL, Chiang ER, Wu HT, Hung SC, Wang ST, Liu CL, et al. Clinical outcome and imaging of arthroscopic single-row and double-row rotator cuff repair: a prospective randomized trial. *Arthroscopy.* 2012;28(1):16-24.
9. Millett PJ, Warth RJ, Dornan GJ, Lee JT, Spiegl UJ. Clinical and structural outcomes after arthroscopic single-row versus double-row rotator cuff repair: a systematic review and meta-analysis of level I randomized clinical trials. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2014;23(4):586-97.