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#### Abstract

Dois novos casos de heptacoordenação em complexos organoestânicos(IV) são descritos. Ambos possuem geometria pentagonal bipiramidal e mostram os grupos orgânicos nas posições axiais, com os ligantes formando cinco ligações no plano equatorial com os átomos de estanho(IV). O primeiro complexo [ $\left.{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{daptsc}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ (1) é totalmente novo, ao passo que o segundo, $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dapsc}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]$ (2), embora anteriormente descrito na literatura, somente agora teve a sua estrutura determinada. Tanto em $\mathbf{1}$ quanto em $\mathbf{2}$ as espécies heptacoordenadas são catiônicas; ao passo que em $\mathbf{1}$ o contra-íon é um simples $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, em $\mathbf{2}$ é um ânion complexo, isto é $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$.


#### Abstract

Two new cases of heptacoordination in organotin(IV) complexes are described. Both possess pentagonal bipyramidal geometry and show the organic groups on the axial positions, with the ligands forming five bonds to $\operatorname{tin}(I V)$ on the equatorial plane. The first complex, [ ${ }^{[ } \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ daptsc) $] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ (1) is entirely new, whereas the second, $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dapsc}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]^{2}$ (2), although previously reported, only now had its structure determined. In both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ the heptacoordinate species are cationic, but whereas in $\mathbf{1}$ the counterion is simply $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, in $\mathbf{2}$ it is a complex anion, namely $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]^{2}$.
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## Introduction

Thiosemicarbazone and semicarbazone complexes have been the subject of much interest in recent years due to the biological activity of thiosemicarbazones, in particular, and of a number of their complexes, ${ }^{1}$ as well as to the unusual structural aspects of complexes of both types of ligands. ${ }^{2-4}$ Insofar as many organotin(IV) complexes are also noteworthy for the same reasons, a combination of the two chemistries is an interesting line to pursue.

The chelating properties of 2,6-diacetylpyridine bis(thiosemicarbazone), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc, have been investigated

[^0]and several different coordination modes have been found. ${ }^{2-5}$ A new coordination mode is now reported for the complex $\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$, in which the tin(IV) atom has a pentagonal bipyramidal geometry. Both arms (thiosemicarbazide groups) of the pentadentate ligand have remained protonated. On the other hand, the oxygen analogue of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc, 2,6-diacetylpyridine bis(semicarbazone), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dapsc, can form complexes with a large number of transition metal ions, as well as with tin(IV). Complexes in which the ligand is fully protonated ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dapsc), singly-deprotonated (Hdapsc ${ }^{-}$) or doublydeprotonated (dapsc ${ }^{2-}$ ) have been reported. ${ }^{2,5-7}$

Tin(IV) is remarkable in the capacity to expand its coordination number from four, which is found in most
simple organotins(IV), to five, six or seven, as we have shown in many instances previously. ${ }^{2,3,8}$ Indeed, even coordination number seven, once regarded as an oddity, no longer seems to be so, given the appropriate type of ligand to interact with the metal; double-armed bis(semicarbazone) and bis(thiosemicarbazone) ligands derived from pyridine belong to this class. As previous research ${ }^{2,9,10}$ and the present work show, these ligands tend to originate pentagonal bipyramidal complexes in which the ligand forms a pentacoordinated chelate on the equatorial plane and the organotin(IV) precursor sheds two of its ligated groups, whereas the remaining two groups now occupy the axial positions of the new complex. The latter can be either neutral ${ }^{9,10}$ or cationic, ${ }^{2,3}$ depending on whether complex formation requires HX elimination or simple loss of halide ions, respectively.

The X-ray structure determinations of $\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ dapsc $\left.)\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]$ (2) revealed the occurrence of a new coordination mode to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc ${ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{HCl}$, in which complex formation simply requires the loss of halide ions. Here the ligands act as pentadentate neutral molecules and coordinate to the tin(IV) atoms through two thione sulphur atoms (or two oxygens), two azomethine nitrogens and the pyridine nitrogen. The structures of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dapsc $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ are shown below.

$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dapsc $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$

Experimental

## Syntheses

$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc ${ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{HCl}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dapsc ${ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{HCl}$ were prepared as previously reported. ${ }^{2}$ Complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 were prepared by the following procedure: 0.21 mmol of the ligand was dissolved in 15 mL of MeOH under reflux; to this was added a 5 mL solution of 0.24 mmol of the appropriate organotin halide ( ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH})$. The resulting mixtures were refluxed for 1 h . Cooling followed by slow evaporation produced crystals in ca. $80 \%$ yield, which did not melt below $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ Mössbauer spectra were recorded on a Ranger Scientific Inc. MS-1200 constant-acceleration spectrometer. A standard source of $\mathrm{CaSnO}_{3}$ was used at room temperature and the samples were analyzed at 85 K . The spectra were computer-fitted assuming Lorentzian line shapes. Poor solubility properties did not allow the determination of ${ }^{119}$ Sn NMR spectra of the complexes in solution. IR spectra were obtained in the $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range in KBr pellets on a Nicolet 5ZDX-FT spectrophotometer. The microanalyses were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer model 240 automatic equipment, giving for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$ and N the following results. Anal. Found: C, 35.8 ; H, 4.0; N, 16. 6. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathbf{1})$ : C, $36.1 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.1; N, 16.9\%. Anal. Found: C, 25.3; H, 3.7; N, 13.9. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{Cl}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}_{4}(\mathbf{2})$ : C, 25.1; H, 3.8; N, 13.7\%.

## X-ray crystallography

Yellow prismatic crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were mounted in a random orientation on glass fibers. Crystal data were collected at 293(2) K using a Siemens P4/PC four-circle diffractometer with graphite monochromater MoKa ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) radiation. Crystal stability was monitored by measuring standard reflections every 97 reflections. Cell parameters were obtained from 35 accurately centered reflections. Data were collected using a $\omega-2 \theta$ scanning technique. Lorentz and polarization corrections and an absorption correction using $\Psi$-scans were applied. The structures were solved by direct methods, and the nonhydrogen atoms were found in difference Fourier synthesis. The structures were refined by successive full-matrix leastsquares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ and with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atom positions were located from difference Fourier maps. The H atoms attached to carbons were allowed to ride on the C atoms and assigned a fixed isotropic temperature factor $\mathrm{U}=0.08 \AA^{2}$. Only the coordinates of the H atoms attached to N atoms were refined. The weighting function applied was $\mathrm{w}^{-1}=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(\mathrm{gP})^{2}+\right]$ where $\mathrm{P}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$, with $\mathrm{g}=0.0971(\mathbf{1})$ and 0.0301 (2).

One of the butyl groups in $\mathbf{1}$ displays conformational disorder, such that $\mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(19)$ and $\mathrm{C}(20)$ split in two alternative positions with complementary occupancy factors of 0.70 and 0.30 . Scattering factors taken from the International Tables ${ }^{11}$ for X-ray crystallography package were used for data reduction. The structures were solved by direct methods ${ }^{12}$ which revealed the position of all non-hydrogen atoms and SHELXL-97 was used for structure refinement. ${ }^{13}$ Table 1 contains a summary of the crystallographic data. Atomic coordinates, positional parameters, a complete list of bond distances and angles are included in the deposited material (see Supplementary Material for CCDC).

Table 1. Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement parameters for $\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ daptsc) $] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ (1) and $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dapsc}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}{ }_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}{ }_{4}\right](\mathbf{2})$

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{Cl}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | 665.2 | 1433.2 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | triclinic |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.70 \times 0.34 \times 0.16$ | $0.26 \times 0.16 \times 0.08$ |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$ | P1 |
| $a(\AA)$ | 13.582(2) | 13.615(1) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 12.487(2) | 14.357(1) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 18.237(3) | 15.128(2) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ |  | 74.56(1) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 102.63(1) | 89.79(1) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  | 65.27(1) |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 3018.1(8) | 2569.3(4) |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.417 | 1.853 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.187 | 2.386 |
| F (000) | 1312 | 1400 |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.50 to 27.50 deg. | 1.50 to 25.00 deg . |
| Limiting indices | $0 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17$ | $0 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 15$ |
|  | $0 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16$ | $-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16$ |
|  | $-23 \leq 1 \leq 23$ | $-17 \leq 1 \leq 17$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $7206 / 6921[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0278]$ | $9260 / 8855[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0534]$ |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.4693 and 0.3592 | 0.9542 and 0.7607 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6921 / 1/314 | 8855 / 3 / 581 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.057 | 1.005 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0581, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1546$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0530, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0960$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0947, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1793$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0995, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1127$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0017(4) | 0.0037(1) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole e. $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.187 and -0.617 | 0.845 and -0.710 |

## Results and Discussion

## Molecular structure of $\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{daptsc}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}(\mathbf{1})$

Figure 1 shows the pentagonal bipyramidal coordination of complex 1. Table 1 contains the crystal data and structure refinement parameters and Table 2 shows selected bond distances and angles. The structure determination revealed the presence of a dication complex of tin(IV), 2,6-diacetylpyridine bis(thiosemicarbazone)]di-$n$-butyltin(IV) chloride, with two chlorides as counter ions. A nitromethane molecule helps the packing mode.

The metal is heptacoordinated, showing a distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry, with the pentahapto ligand, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc, on the equatorial plane and two $n$-butyl groups on the axial positions. This is strikingly different from other cases already reported in the literature. When the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc ligand reacted with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ elimination of one HCl molecule took place, originating the $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{Hdaptsc})\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ cation complex. ${ }^{3}$ Reaction with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnO}$ led to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ elimination and formation of $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF} .{ }^{10}$ This work relates the first tin(IV) complex of bis(thiosemicarbazone) in which during the reaction the $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{H}$ protons remained in the structure.

Due to the geometric requirements of the thiosemicarbazone moieties, the pentagon is not regular; the angle subtended at tin(IV) by the two sulfur atoms is significantly enlarged to $84.42(6)^{\circ}$ from that in an idealized pentagonal bipyramidal $\left(72^{\circ}\right)$, while the other equatorial angles are: $S(2)-S n-N(5)=71.2(1), N(5)-S n-N(1)=66.1(2), N(1)-S n-$ $\mathrm{N}(2)=66.7(2), \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(1)=71.8(1)^{\circ}$. The axial $n$-butyl groups also contribute to the observed distortion since they have an $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(17)$ angle of $176.2(3)^{\circ}$.

The equatorial bond distances $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.708(2), \mathrm{Sn}-$ $\mathrm{S}(2)=2.736(2), \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}(1)=2.384(5), \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(2)=2.455(5)$ and $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(5)=2.470(5) \AA$ are significantly longer than the equivalent bond distances $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.593(1), \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(2)=$ $2.603(1), \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}(4)=2.368(3), \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(3)=2.427(4)$ and $\mathrm{Sn}-$ $\mathrm{N}(5)=2.421(4) \AA$, found in $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF} .{ }^{10}$ However, the axial bond lengths $\operatorname{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(13)=2.143(7)$ and $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(17)=2.126(8) \AA$ are shorter than the axial bond lengths $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(11)=2.178(4)$ and $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(21)=2.179(4) \AA$, observed in $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF}^{10}$. Coordination to the tin(IV) center by the imine nitrogen and thiolate sulfur atoms, shorten the bonds distances around the metal due to the strong attraction of the negatively charged ligand, daptsc ${ }^{2}$, with the metal atom.

These two complexes also differ with respect to the
bond distances in both arms of the ligand. Thus, the $\mathrm{S}(1)$ $\mathrm{C}(1)=1.731(5), \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)=1.729(5), \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)=1.331(7)$, $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(9)=1.333(6), \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)=1.365(5), \mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)=$ $1.381(5), \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)=1.307(6)$ and $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(8)=1.306(7) \AA$ bond distances observed in $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF}^{10}$ are different from those found in complex $\mathbf{1}$. These differences occur because the double deprotonation of the N - H groups allows the delocalization of the $\pi$ electrons in the deprotonated arms of daptsc ${ }^{2-}$, lengthen the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$, and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ double bonds and shorten the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ single bonds.

The [ ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(2,6$ Achexim $)$ ] complex, ${ }^{14}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} 2,6\right.$ Achexim = 2,6-diacetylpyridine bis(3-hexamethyleneiminylthiosemicarbazone)], is also a heptacoordinated pentagonal bipyramidal diorganotin(IV) derivative containing a double deprotonated bis(thiosemicarbazone) ligand in the pentagonal girdle.


Figure1. Perspective view of $\left[\left({ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ (1) showing the atom numbering scheme.

Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ dapsc $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]$ (2)
Complex 2 was described in a previous paper, ${ }^{2}$ but at that time it had not been possible to obtain single crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ suitable for crystallographic work. Single crystals have since then been obtained and the results of the X-ray diffraction study are given in Figure 2 and Table 2. The structure determination revealed the occurrence of two independent cationic molecules per unit cell, 2,6diacetylpyridine bis(semicarbazone)dimethyltin(IV), with hydrogen-bonding interactions between these cations and the counter ion, trans-tetrachlorodimethylstannate(IV), as shown in Figure 3.

In $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { daptsc }\right)\right]^{2+}$, the $\mathrm{tin}(\mathrm{IV})$ is heptacoordinate in a distorted pentagonal bipiramidal configuration, with the O,N,N,N,O-donor atoms in the pentagonal plane and the two methyl groups in the axial positions. The geometry about the $\mathrm{tin}(\mathrm{IV})$ in $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ is a distorted octahedron with the methyl groups arranged in a trans fashion.

The quelating bond distances $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)=2.314(6)$, $\operatorname{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)=2.330(6), \operatorname{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}(1)=2.365(7), \operatorname{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ $=2.378(7)$ and $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)=2.383(8) \AA$ are significantly longer than the equivalent bond distances $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}(1)=$ 2.177(6), Sn-O(2) = 2.180(6), Sn-N $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}(1)=2.262(6), \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ $=2.284(7)$ and $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(5)=2.252(7) \AA$, found in [ $\mathrm{MeSnCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { dapsc) }\right]_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{2}$, indicating a weaker interaction among $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daps and the less acidic organotin(IV) derivative $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}\right)$. On the other hand, the bond lengths $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)=1.266(10), \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)=1.266(10), \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ $=1.360(11), \mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(11)=1.378(11), \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)=1.378(10)$, $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)=1.355(9), \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)=1.293(11)$, and $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ $=1.295(11) \AA$, observed along the bis(semicarbazone) moieties in $\left[\mathrm{MeSnCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { dapsc }\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{2}$ are nearly identical to those found in complex 2.

Other reports on a similar tin(IV) heptacoordinate complex with $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}-$ pentadenteate ligand include $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn} \text { (Hdapf) }\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]^{15}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ dapf $=2,6$-diacetylpyridine bis(2-furoylhydrazone), containing a singledeprotonated ligand at the equatorial plane. The $\left[\mathrm{ClSnCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ dapsc $\left.)\right] 2 \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{6}$ complex is another heptacoordinated tin(IV) derivative containing a fullyprotonated ligand in the pentagonal girdle.


Figure 2. Perspective view of $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dapsc}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]$ (2) showing the atom numbering scheme.

## Mössbauer spectroscopy

Since the ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ Mössbauer spectrum of complex 2 had not originally shown more than one site, ${ }^{2}$ a careful second measurement was undertaken, revealing two different tin(IV) atoms absorbing not far from each other, with isomer


Figure 3. Packing of molecules in $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ dapsc $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]$ (2). The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{Cl}$ bonds are indicated.
shifts of $\delta=1.29$ and $1.59 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and quadrupole splitting of $\Delta=4.24$ and $4.36 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. Similar results were reported in the literature for $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn} \text { (Hdapf) }\right]_{2}$ $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right],{ }^{15}$ where the most abundant site, with isomer shift $\delta=1.29 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and quadrupole splitting $\Delta=3.96$ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, was assigned to the heptacoordinated tin(IV) atom of the $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\text { Hdapf })\right]^{+}$cation. The other site, having $\delta=$ $1.56 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta=4.11 \mathrm{mms}^{-1}$, corresponds to the hexacoordinated tin(IV) atom of the anion, $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$.

In the heptacoordinate species the $s$ character shown by the $\operatorname{tin}(\mathrm{IV})$ atom is $1 / 7$, or $13 \%$ (presuming its hybridization to be $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{3}$ ), whereas in the hexacoordinate counterion the $s$ character is $1 / 6$, or $17 \%$ (corresponding to an $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ hybridized atom). Therefore the higher isomer shift value corresponds to the hexacoordinate tin(IV) atom, given the direct relationship between isomer shift and $s$ character.

Complex 1, on the other hand, with only one tin(IV) atom per unit formula, showed just one ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ Mössbauer absorption, with $\delta=1.63 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and quadrupole splitting $\Delta=4.05 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The isomer shift value is quite consistent with a lower ligand electronegativity, which causes an increase in $\delta$.

The quadrupole splittings of the two heptacoordinate species are very close, reflecting similar electron density

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for $\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ daptsc $\left.)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ (1) and for $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ dapsc $\left.)\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right]^{2}(\mathbf{2})$

| 1 |  |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond lengths |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.384(5) | $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.365(7) |
| Sn-N(2) | $2.455(5)$ | $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.378(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}$ (5) | 2.470 (5) | $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.383(8) |
| Sn-S(1) | $2.708(2)$ | $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.314(6) |
| Sn -S(2) | 2.736(2) | $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.330 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 2.143(7) | $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 2.11(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $2.126(8)$ | $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 2.09(1) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.703(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.25(1) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.700(8) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.26(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.356(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.36(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.341(10) | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.38(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.364(8) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.36(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 1.370 (7) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 1.36(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.295(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.29(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.279 (9) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.29(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.11(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 2.586 (3) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 2.609(3) |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 176.2(3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 169.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 88.0(3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 94.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 91.7(2) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 89.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 91.3(3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 93.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 90.6(2) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 87.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 87.8(2) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 86.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $66.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 66.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{N}(5$ | 66.1(2) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 67.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 71.8(1) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 67.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 71.2(1) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 67.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 84.42(6) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 91.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 138.5(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 134.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 136.9(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 134.3(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 175.0(5) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 173.59(9) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 178.2(1) |

symmetries about the metal in both complexes. In the hexacoordinate counterion present in 2, however, the quadrupole splitting of tin(IV) is noticeably different from that of its heptacoordinate cation, evidencing the difference in electron distribution in the two species.

A semiquantitative relationship of the Mössbauer quadrupole splitting $(\Delta)$ and the $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{R}$ angle has been reported ${ }^{16-19}$ for a series of distorted heptacoordinated diorganotin(IV) derivatives. The equation is $|\Delta|=4[R](1-3 /$ $\left.4 \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $[\mathrm{R}]$ is the partial quadrupole splitting of the group $R$. Inserting the values $\Delta=4.05 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\theta=176.3(3)^{\circ}$ for complex 1 and $\Delta=4.24 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\theta=169.4(6)^{\circ}$ for complex 2 we predict $\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}\right]=-1.01 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $[\mathrm{Me}]=-1.07$ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, respectively. Similar values have been reported for heptacoordinate tin(IV) complexes embodying pentadentate ligands, namely [" ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}($ dappt $\left.)\right] \cdot\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{0.5}{ }^{20}\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}\right]=-0.89$ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}{ }^{-1},\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(2,6\right.$ Achexim $\left.)\right],{ }^{14}\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}\right]=-0.86 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and
$\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sn} \text { (Hdapf) }\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}\right],{ }^{15}[\mathrm{Me}]=-1.01 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dappt $=2,6$-diacetylpyridine bis(4-phenylthiosemicarbazone), $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2,6$ Achexim $=$ 2,6-diacetylpyridine bis(3hexamethyleneiminylthiosemicarbazone) and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dapf $=2,6-$ diacetylpyridine bis(2-furanoylhydrazone).

## Infrared spectroscopy

The IR spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ daptsc $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ shows three bands at 3423,3270 and $3161 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to $\nu(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H})$ of the hydrogen bonded NH and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ groups. ${ }^{10}$ The spectrum of complex $\mathbf{1}$ is different, showing one very broad band at $3067 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ shifted to smaller wavenumbers. These shifts are probably due to the hydrogen bond in which the NH and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ groups are involved with $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. These hydrogen bonding interactions are indicated in Figure 1. Similar observations are supported by literature data. ${ }^{19}$ The $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ absorption bands, at 1612,1568 and $1464 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, are shifted to higher frequencies relative to those of the free ligand, at 1602,1504 and $1441 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S})$ bands, at 1245,1104 and $812 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, are shifted to lower frequencies, at 1196,1012 and $792 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in complex 1. This behavior is typical for this ligand when it is $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$-coordinated. ${ }^{20}$

The IR spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dapsc $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ shows several bands in the $3500-3171 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range and a strong absorption at $1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to $v(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H})$ and $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, respectively. These bands in the spectrum of complex 2 are shifted to lower wavenumbers and are found at 3127 and $1655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, in agreement with the structural data.
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