Investigation of the Influence of the Anode Composition of DSA-type Electrodes on the Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Phenol in Neutral Medium

Paula D. P. Alves^a, Magali Spagnol^a, Germano Tremiliosi-Filho^b and Adalgisa R. de Andrade^{*,a}

^a Departamento de Química da Faculdade de Filosofia Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Bandeirantes, 3900, 14040-901 Ribeirão Preto - SP, Brazil

^b Instituto de Química de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, CP 780, 13560-970 São Carlos - SP, Brazil

Neste trabalho a oxidação do fenol foi utilizada como reação modelo para estabelecer uma investigação sistemática da atividade eletrocatalítica de ânodos do tipo ADE com composição nominal Ti/Ru_{0.3}M_{0.7}O₂ e Ti/Ir_{0.7}M_{0.3}O₂ (M = Ti and Sn) em NaClO₄ 1,0 mol L⁻¹. Nas condições de VC a oxidação do fenol, independente do material anódico, favorece a polimerização e bloqueia completamente a atividade do eletrodo após poucos ciclos voltamétricos. A seguinte atividade catalítica intrínseca é observada nas condições voltamétricas: Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ >> Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ > Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ > Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂. Entretanto, experimentos de eletrólise (i = 40/80 mA cm⁻²) realizados em escala laboratorial mostraram que a escolha apropriada do material anódico pode aumentar consideravelmente a quantidade de CO₂ como produto final da eletrólise. Técnicas de CLAE e COT foram utilizadas para quantificar os produtos formados durante as eletrólises. Os materiais anódicos investigados mostraram boa habilidade de oxidação do fenol, redução do COT de 80% foi atingida para o eletrodo de composição Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂. A benzoquinona formada na primeira hora de eletrólise é consumida gradativamente com o progresso da reação. Ácidos málico, maleico e malônico também foram identificados como sub-produtos da oxidação do fenol.

In this paper, phenol oxidation was used as a probe reaction to carry out a systematic investigation of the electrocatalytic activity of DSA-type anodes with nominal compositions equal to Ti/Ru_{0.3}M_{0.7}O₂ and Ti/Ir_{0.7}M_{0.3}O₂ (M = Ti and Sn) in 1.0 mol L⁻¹NaClO₄. Under CV conditions, phenol oxidation favors polymerization that completely blocks the electrode activity after few cycles independently of the anode material. The following intrinsic catalytic efficiency was observed under CV conditions: Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ >> Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ > Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ > Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂. However, electrolysis experiments (i = 40/80 mA cm⁻²) run in laboratory-scale showed that the proper choice of anode material can improve the yields of CO₂ as final product. HPLC and TOC techniques were used in order to quantify the electrode efficiency. It is important to emphasize that all anodes presented good ability to oxidize the phenolic portion, and a TOC reduction as high as 80% was observed for the Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ electrode. Benzoquinone formed in the beginning of the electrolysis is continuously oxidized as the reaction proceeds. Malic, tartaric and malonic acids were also identified as other by-products of phenol oxidation.

Keywords: electrocatalysis, phenol, oxidation of organic compounds, oxide coated electrodes

Introduction

The increase in the waste produced through industrial and domestic activities makes the investigation of alternative routes for the degradation and treatment of hazardous materials imperative. In this context, electrochemical treatments are an alternative to the available treatment technologies e.g., biological, carbon adsorption, thermal incineration, land filling.¹⁻⁴ Degradation of organic waste present in many industrial processes e.g., oil refinery, coke plants, high chemical and plastic plants, have been intensively investigated.⁵⁻⁸

Phenolic wastes have been classified as one of the priority waste. Present guidelines in Brazil limit phenol concentration in navigation water to 1 ppm and 0.1 ppm for waste discharge.⁹ It is toxic to microorganisms even in very low concentration. Their biodegradation can occur mostly under anaerobic conditions using acclimated population of microorganisms. Phenol has been continuously used as a model compound for waste

^{*} e-mail: ardandra@ffclrp.usp.br

degradation of organic compounds since its oxidation mechanism is well-known.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ For DSA-type anodes, the electrochemical oxidation of organic compounds occurs together with oxygen evolution reaction (OER).¹⁵ This is a severe side-reaction which competes with organic oxidation itself and helps to lower the current efficiency of the overall process.¹⁶ The present challenge is to find conditions where the oxidation of the organic compounds is maximum with minimum OER.

The anode material has a straight relation with the oxidation route followed; i.e., high overpotential materials for OER; e.g. SnO₂ and PbO₂, referred before as type I anode, favors complete degradation of organic compounds through the formation of MO (OH') active sites on the electrode surface.³ The mechanism that was proposed in the literature³ for the oxidation of an organic compound (R) on these oxides is summarized as indicated below:

$$MO_{v} + H_{2}O \rightarrow MO_{v}(OH) + H^{+} + e$$
(1)

$$R + MO_{x}(OH) \rightarrow CO_{2} + MO_{x} + H^{+} + e$$

$$MO_{x}(OH) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_{x} + MO_{x} + H^{+} + e$$

$$(3)$$

$$MO_{x}(OH) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_{2} + MO_{x} + H^{+} + e$$
(3)

On the other hand, oxides active for OER; i.e., materials with low overpotential for OER (RuO₂, IrO₂ and PtO₂) produce mild oxidation of organic compounds and are good candidates for a selective synthesis. The following reaction mechanism has been proposed for type II oxides:

$$MO_x + H_2O \rightarrow MO_x(OH) + H^+ + e$$
 (1)

$$\operatorname{MO}_{x}(^{\circ}\operatorname{OH}) \to \operatorname{MO}_{x+1} + \mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{e}$$

$$(4)$$

$$\operatorname{P} + \operatorname{MO} \to \operatorname{PO} + \operatorname{MO}$$

$$(5)$$

$$R + MO_{x+1} \rightarrow RO + MO_{x}$$
(5)

$$\mathrm{MO}_{\mathrm{x+1}} \to \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{O}_{2} + \mathrm{MO}_{\mathrm{x}} + \mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{e} \tag{6}$$

Considering the environmental problem, the main goal in the design of an electrocatalyst for oxidation of organic compounds is to find non-toxic and low-cost anode materials that achieve best conversion yields to CO₂ or at least leads to a TOC amount that is environmentally accepted. Another factor, which may play an important role, is the toxicity of the intermediates formed, which must be kept as low as possible.

Therefore, we propose for the present investigation systematic studies of binary coatings containing mixtures of type I and II oxides in order to understand the catalytic activity of these materials. For this purpose, TiO₂ was substituted for SnO₂ in the well-known binary ruthenium and iridium dioxide compositions. The following electrode compositions were investigated: Ti/Ru_{0.3}M_{0.7}O₂ and Ti/ $Ir_{0.7}M_{0.3}O_{2}$ (M = Ti and Sn). The anode efficiency is discussed on the basis of mild (CV) and strong (galvanostatic polarization) electrochemical conditions.

Experimental

Electrode preparation

Electrodes were prepared through thermal decomposition ($T_{calcination}$ = 450 °C) under oxygen flux (5 L min⁻¹). Ti-supported oxide layers with nominal compositions equal to Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂, Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ and Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ were prepared through the standard method,^{17,18} where the appropriate precursor mixture of chloride solutions in HCl 1:1 (v/v) (Merck) was used. The following salts were used: RuCl₃.nH₂O (Aldrich); IrCl₃ $n.H_2O$ (Aldrich); TiCl₄ (Vectron) and SnCl₂.2H₂O (Merck).

Previous results have showed that coatings containing a mixture of iridium and tin oxides prepared in acidic aqueous medium furnished low yields of SnO₂.¹⁹ The standard method failed to obtain a proper control of composition either due to the low boiling point of the tinprecursor²⁰ or due to volatilization of SnCl₄ formed during the calcination processes.¹⁹ Therefore, in order to overcome Sn-loss, the Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ electrode was prepared through an alternative route, which is based in the decomposition of a polymeric precursor solution.^{21,22} The polymeric solution of tin was prepared by mixing citric acid (CA) (Merck) in ethylene glycol (EG) (Merck) at 65 °C. After total dissolution of CA, the temperature was raised to 90 °C and tin citrate (TC), prepared as described elsewhere,²³ was then added. The molar ratio CA:EG:TC in the resin was 3:10:1. Iridium was introduced in the resin by adding the appropriate amount of $IrCl_{2} n.H_{2}O$ solution (0.2 mol L⁻¹).

The precursor's mixtures were applied to the pre-treated metal support by brushing.¹⁸ The solvents were evaporated at low temperature (80-90 °C) and the dried layer was fired in a pre-heated oven at 450 °C for 10 min, under a 5 L⁻¹ min⁻¹ O₂-flux. The previous steps were repeated until the desired nominal oxide loading ($\phi = 2 \mu m$, nominal) was reached ($\approx 1.25 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$). The layers were finally annealed for 1 hour under O₂-flux. The electrode mounting was prepared as described elsewhere.¹⁷

The surface morphology, microstructure and elemental composition of the Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ electrode were analyzed through scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in a Leica-Zeiss LEO 440 model SEM coupled to an Oxford 7060 model analyzer.

Reagents

All chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. Milli-Q quality (Millipore) water was used. Studies were carried out using 1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaClO₄ (Carlo Erba). Phenol (Synth) was used as received.

Electrochemical measurements

The experimental set up consisted of an EG&G model 273A potentiostat/galvanostat controlled by a M270 software (EG&G). Voltammetric experiments were carried out in a three-compartment glass cell of approximately 100 ml in capacity. The anode was mounted in the main compartment; both sides of this electrode were symmetrically placed towards two cathode compartments separated by porous porcelain frits. Two heavy platinized platinum wires were used as counter electrodes. Sodium saturated calomel electrode (SSCE) was used as reference electrode. A magnetic bar provided stirring. The phenol concentration for the cyclic voltammetry experiments ranged from 0 to 30 mmol L⁻¹. Bulk oxidation was carried out under galvanostatic condition (at a fixed 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ phenol concentration) in a divided cell where the cathodic and anodic chambers (~150 mL) were separated by a Nafion (DuPont) membrane.

Product identification

Phenol concentration and products formation were sampled through high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Shimadzu LC 10A system at predetermined intervals. The polar oxidation products (carboxylic acids) were analyzed using an Aminex HDX-87H column with 4 mmol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ as the mobile phase and a refraction index detector. The aromatic intermediates and phenol were also analyzed through HPLC using a Nucleisil C18 (Merck) column, with 60% metanol: 40% water as the mobile phase and an UV-Vis detector using a wavelength of 270 nm. The columns temperatures were 30 °C and the flow rate of the mobile phases was 0.6 mL min⁻¹.

The total organic carbon (TOC) in the solution was analyzed on a TOC analyzer (SHIMADZU TOC- 5000A). The method used for TOC analysis was the standard nonpurgable organic carbon (NPOC).

Results and Discussion

SEM and EDS analysis of the $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2$ electrode prepared through the Pechini method

Figure 1 shows the representative SEM micrograph of a prepared thin film with a nominal composition equal to $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2$. The oxide surface presents rough morphology with a mixture of mud-flat cracking containing several micro cracks, generating a high degree of porosity and, large compact regions. As stated before,²⁴ the Snprecursor performs intense modification on the physicalchemical properties of the iridium oxide coatings. By comparing Figure 1 with other SEM micrographs reported for the Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ composition prepared through different experimental routes, for example sol-gel methodology²⁴ or classical thermal decomposition,¹⁹ it can be seen that polymeric-derived electrodes have intermediate roughness. The EDS analyses performed at random points in the sample indicate that the surface is homogeneous; the obtained experimental Ir/Sn ratio (0.42) shows excellent agreement with the nominal Ir/Sn ratio (0.43). This demonstrates that the electrode preparation route followed by us overcame the Sn-volatilization usually reported for mixtures containing Ir-Sn.^{19,24} It is believed that the high yields of Sn obtained might be due to the stabilization of the Sn atoms, which can be fixed in the polyester chain during resin preparation. If one aims to obtain samples with stable contents of SnO₂, this might be a good choice if compared to sol-gel routes, once it is much less expensive and simple than the latter one. Our experience also shows that reproducibility of the polymeric precursor route is much more readily obtained.

The SEM performed for Ir, Sn and Ti for a side section of the film shows that the experimental thickness of the coating has an average value of $18 \,\mu$ m, which is nine times higher than the nominal one controlled through the weight process. The dots map for the horizontal and side sections confirms that Ir and Sn are homogenously distributed in the oxide layer. Neither segregation nor islands with high contents of one metal over another was observed. This result differs from that obtained with the RuO₂-SnO₂ coated electrodes prepared through polymeric decomposition method previously investigated by one on us,²⁵ which showed that Sn segregates from Ru and form regions with Sn-rich deposits.



Figure 1. SEM picture of $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2$ electrode with nominal thick of 2 μ m; T_{cale} , 450 °C; O_2 -flux, 5 L min⁻¹, amplification of 2000 x.

Vol. 15, No. 5, 2004

Cyclic voltammetry in the absence of phenol

Freshly prepared electrodes were submitted to strong galvanostatic stabilization (80 mA cm⁻²/one hour) in the electrolyte solution (1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaClO₄) before the CV run. Figure 2 shows representative CVs obtained for freshly-prepared and stabilized electrodes. A meaningful increase in the voltammetric charge is observed that is due to: hydration of internal active sites of the electrode surface²⁶ and enhancement of the intrinsic defects of the surface promoted by strong oxygen evolution²⁷ can be observed. Moreover, a better definition of the redox-transition state peaks was also observed for the stabilized electrodes.

CVs obtained for both Ru and Ir-derived electrodes are in close agreement with what has been previously reported for this medium.²⁸ In other words, they show two pairs of broad, not well-defined peaks (I and II), associated to the Ru(II)/Ru(III) and Ru(III)/Ru(IV) solid-state redox transitions for ruthenium -derived electrodes. At potentials higher than 1.0 V vs. SSCE, the current increases due to the beginning of OER (III). For Iridium-oxide electrodes (Figure 2C and D), only the Ir(III)/Ir(IV) solid-state transition is observed (peak IV). The Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ electrode showed less definition of the surface redox process of the catalytic oxide, when compared to its counterpart containing TiO₂. A straightforward comparison of these two electrodes is not easy once, besides changes in the modulating metal (Ti/Sn), the preparation route of iridium electrodes has also been modified. But one can infer that upon changing TiO₂ to SnO₂, the redox transition Ir(III)/ Ir(IV) becomes much more spread out over a wide range of potential.

The voltammetric charge is a very sensitive parameter and can be used to translate the electrode "*history*" *i.e.*, effective coating thickness, composition, calcination temperature and the activation procedure. Although comparing the voltammetric charge of different electrode materials is a difficult task, it was observed that q^{*} _{Ir-based} >> q^{*} _{Ru-based}. Changing TiO₂ to SnO₂ promotes an increase in the apparent roughness of the electrode for both catalytic oxides investigated. Progressive increase in the anodic charge upon SnO₂ introduction has been associated with formation of ultra fine particles during the calcination processes.²⁸

The apparent roughness factor (RF) for all the electrode compositions was obtained by recording CV as a function of the sweep rate. From the slope of the linear i *vs.* ν plot (i measured at fixed potentials where pseudo-capacitive current is achieved), RF values were obtained at 0.7 V *vs.* SSCE for the ruthenium-based electrodes and at 0.8 and 0.9 V respectively for the Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ and Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂

compositions. Taking the theoretical capacitance,²⁹ C*, of a planar ruthilic structure as 0.08 mF cm², the apparent roughness factor C/C* shows the following order: RF_{IrSn} (3500) > $RF_{IrTi}(2400)$ >> $RF_{RuSn}(700)$ > $RF_{RuTi}(300)$.



Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms for different electrode compositions: Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ (A), Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ (B), Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ (C) and Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ (D). Before (----) and after (---) conditioning in the supporting electrolyte (1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaClO₄); $\nu = 20$ mV s⁻¹, $\phi = 2 \mu$ m, A = 2 cm², T_{cal} = 450 °C.

The Ru-based electrodes showed that the onset of the OER is highly dependent on the coating composition; the substitution of TiO_2 for SnO_2 promotes ~ 60 mV shifts of the overpotential towards less positive values. This is an electric gain introduced by SnO_2 and confirms previous results obtained in acid medium that SnO_2 is capable of introducing enhancement in the performance of RuO_2 -sites.^{25,30} This behavior has been explained as being due to a better segregation of Ru in SnO_2 than in TiO_2 , leading to a more conductive coating.³⁰ For Ir-based electrodes, no appreciable difference was observed by changing the modulate oxide.

Trasatti³¹ has emphasized the concept of "apparent" electrocatalyst, which consists in analyzing the electrode material as a whole i.e., electronic activation plus geometric activation due to an increase in the available surface area of the anode material. Although for academic purpose the first one is always subject of interest, for practical purposes both activations should be considered. Figure 3 shows the plots for current density (i) and its normalized value (i/q^*) obtained in neutral medium. When one analyzes the current density which reflects the apparent electrocatalytic effect, it can be observed that for practical purposes the iridium-based electrodes are much more effective for OER than the Ru-based ones. This can be understood as a morphological gain obtained with iridium-based electrodes, which overcome their electronic deficiency. This had been recognized in the industry for the long-term production of oxygen in acid medium by adopting a commercial Ti/Ir_{0.45}Ta_{0.55}O₂ electrode composition. Eliminating the morphological factors (i/q^*) , it is observed that ruthenium-based electrodes show higher "electronic activation" for OER than iridium ones. It is important to show that in neutral medium, the behavior observed is essentially the same reported for acid medium, where the



Figure 3. Current density (i) and normalized current density (i/q*) in function of electrode material. Values of i where measured at a fixed potential (E = 1.2 V vs. SSCE); 1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaClO₄.

ruthenium-derived material is considered to be a much better electronic catalyst than the iridium one. The change of TiO₂ to SnO₂ in ruthenium-based electrodes gives rise to some interesting features. Analysis of the i/q* parameter shows that $(i/q^*)_{Ru-Ti} > (i/q^*)_{Ru-Sn}$. Therefore, the better overall activity observed for Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ may be basically due to morphological changes (area increase) rather than to the enhancement of the electronic activation of the active sites.

Voltammetric behavior in the presence of phenol

Figure 4 shows a representative CV for Ru-based electrodes in the presence of phenol. Well-defined oxidation peaks located around 0.8-0.9 V vs. SSCE can be observed. The introduction of SnO_2 lowers the overpotential of phenol oxidation by 50 mV, in the case of both catalytic oxides. This corroborates with the results obtained for Ru-based electrodes, which showed that switching TiO₂ to SnO₂ produces more active surfaces. However, despite the fact that iridium-based electrodes do not show any appreciable change in the activity for OER upon SnO₂ introduction, they show a worthwhile activation for phenol oxidation of the organic molecule should not be dismissed.

The inset in Figure 4 shows the representative behavior observed as the number of cycles increases. A decrease in the anodic current is observed until it becomes completely blocked after a few cycles. Passivation of electrode surface due to polymeric adhesive products on the electrode surface has been frequently observed ^{32,33} and it is mainly related to the low efficiency of the electrode material to perform the total combustion of the organic compounds.



Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms for phenol oxidation at (---) Ti/ Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ and (---) Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ electrodes in 1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaClO₄. $\nu = 20$ mV s⁻¹; C_{phenol} = 10 mmol L⁻¹; A = 2 cm². Inset: consecutive run: (---) first scan; (- - -) second scan at Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂.

Under neutral medium and mild conditions, the reaction mechanism that has been proposed for phenol oxidation is the following: after the first electron transfer, the phenoxy radical forms couples and polymerizes.³⁴ This reaction pathway is responsible for electrode deactivation. Transferring the electrode to a cell containing the supporting electrolyte and applying an anodic treatment performs electrochemical re-activation of the electrode surface. Table 1 summarizes the experimental conditions necessary for complete reactivation of the electrode surface. Although electrolysis at low current density is seriously compromised by phenol polymerization, choosing more drastic conditions such as higher overpotentials where simultaneous OER occurs proves to be a good way to overcome this problem.

Table 1. Chronopotenciometric program for electrode cleaning in ${\rm NaClO_4}$ 1.0 mol ${\rm L}^{\rm -1}$

	Current density / mA cm ⁻²	time / min	
Ti/Ru ₀₃ Ti ₀₇ O ₂	40	20	
Ti/R _{0.3} Sn _{0.7} O ₂	50	25	
Ti/Ir ₀₇ Ti ₀₃ O ₂	100	25	
Ti/Ir _{0.7} Sn _{0.3} O ₂	100	30	

Intrinsic electronic activity for phenol oxidation

Figure 5 shows the normalized faradaic voltammetric charge of phenol $(q_{phN} = (q_{ph} - q^*)/q^*)$ as a function of phenol concentration and for different electrode compositions. It shows that ruthenium-based electrodes are much more reactive than the respective iridium electrodes. Similar results were also observed when the



Figure 5. Dependence of normalized charge (q_{phN}) as a function of phenol concentration for different electrode compositions: Ti/ Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ (\bullet); Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ (\bigcirc) Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ (\blacksquare) and Ti/ Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ (\square). Electrolyte: NaClO₄ 1.0 mol L⁻¹, $\nu = 20$ mV s⁻¹.

normalized current-data was analyzed. This confirms that the catalytic efficiency observed for ruthenium oxides is due to their better electronic properties. Previous results obtained in this laboratory for isosafrole oxidation showed the same behavior.³⁵ The following intrinsic catalytic efficiency was observed under CV conditions: Ti/ $Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O_2 >> Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O_2 > Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2 ~ Ti/$ $Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2$.

Electrolysis and product analysis

Figure 6 shows that, when the current density is maintained at 40 mA cm⁻², the profile of phenol normalized concentration bears straight relation with the electrode material. The phenol removal rate on the Ti/ $Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2$ electrode is much faster if compared to the other electrode materials investigated. After 2 h, almost 97 % of the starting material is transformed with this electrode. On the other hand, the others electrode compositions need at least 5 h to achieve this rate. One of the reasons for such difference in electrode performance is that the Ti/ $Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2$ electrode shows a much larger area when compared to the ruthenium-derived electrodes. Thus, at the high current investigated, the morphological effects overcome the catalytic gain introduced by ruthenium under mild activation (CV).



Figure 6. Plot of phenol normalized concentration decay as a function of the electrolysis time: $Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O_2$ (\bigcirc), $Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O_2$ (\bigcirc) $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2$ (\blacksquare) and $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2$ (\Box), in NaClO₄ 1.0 mol L⁻¹; $\nu = 20$ mV s⁻¹; $\phi = 2 \mu m$, A = 2 cm², $T_{cal} = 450$ °C, $C_{phenol} = 1$ mmol L⁻¹, I = 40 mA cm².

Figure 7 shows the plot of $\ln ([phenol]_{(t)}[phenol]_{(0)}) vs.$ time. Values for the pseudo-first order rate constant k/s^{-1} (obtained as the slopes of the straight lines shown in Figure 7 for the first two h of electrolysis) and the kinetic constant for phenol oxidation $k_{rb}/m s^{-1} (k_{ab} = k V/A; V)$ is the volume

 (m^3) and A is the anode area (m^2)) are shown in Table 2. Analysis of this table confirms the results shown before. As the electrode material is modified, the rate of phenol oxidation changes. The following phenol consumption rate was observed: $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2 >> Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2 \sim Ti/$ $Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O_2 > Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.3}O_2$. In fact, these results show a straightforward connection between the morphological factors (number of electroactive sites available for reaction) and the overall rate of phenol oxidation. This is an apparent disagreement with results reported recently by Pelegrino et al.¹⁰ Those authors, founded for phenol electrooxidation that Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ shows a markedly superior performance over IrO₂-Ta₂O₅ electrodes. It is a difficult task to compare electrooxidation results once electrode composition, surface area, volume of solution and supporting electrolyte reported from different laboratories, most on the time, are not similar. However, the phenol removal rate obtained by us is similar to the results obtained by Pelegrino et al.¹⁰ or doped diamond electrodes.³⁶ The introduction of SnO₂, a well-know active anode for organic oxidation,³ in the binary mixture with RuO₂ or IrO₂, produces a slightly more active material for phenol oxidation. This can be explained by the enhancement of the OER reaction as SnO₂ is introduced in the electrode composition.



Figure 7. Logarithm of the decay of normalized concentration of phenol in function of electrolysis time for different electrode materials: $Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O_2(\bullet)$; $Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O_2(\circ)$; $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2(\bullet)$ and $Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2(\circ)$; in NaClO₄ 1.0 mol L⁻¹; $\nu = 20$ mV s⁻¹, $\phi = 2 \mu m$, A = 2 cm², $T_{cal} = 450$ °C, $C_{phenol} = 1$ mmol L⁻¹, I = 40 mA cm².

Table 2. Kinectics results for electrodegradation of 1000 ppm of phenol for different anodes composition and in NaClO₄ 1.0 mol L⁻¹

Electrode	k / s ⁻¹	k _{ph} / m s ⁻¹	
Ti/Ru ₀₃ Ti ₀₇ O ₂	1.42 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.84 x 10 ⁻³	
Ti/Ru _{0.3} Sn _{0.7} O ₂	0.66 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.32 x 10 ⁻³	
Ti/Ir ₀₇ Ti ₀₃ O ₂	5.12 x 10 ⁻⁴	10.24 x 10 ⁻³	
Ti/Ir _{0.7} Sn _{0.3} O ₂	1.66 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.32 x 10 ⁻³	

Product identification

HPLC analyses of the intermediate products show that benzoquinone is the main product in the quinone portion. After ring opening, the main products are malic, malonic and tartaric acids. Besides these, two other non-identified byproducts were also detected in the aliphatic portion, in lower yields. The reaction profile of benzoquinone is shown in Figure 8. It can be seen that benzoquinone, an undesirable side-product that is as hazardous as phenol, is formed during the electrolysis, but it is further oxidized to the aliphatic acid portions. The ability to further oxidize benzoquinone after 5 hours of electrolysis follows a slightly different order from the one shown before for phenol: Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ > Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ \cong Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ > Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.3}O₂.

Table 3 shows the results for TOC in different current densities as a function of the material, investigated after 5 hours of electrolysis. TOC removal does not follow the behavior observed for k_{ph} . In fact, the most active material for complete mineralization of the intermediates formed is the RuO₂-TiO₂ electrode. A proper choice of material and current density values can promote TOC reduction as high as 80%. This is a very meaningful result, if compared to degradation rates (30-40%) previously reported for commercial oxide electrodes in acid medium.^{10,37} It is worthwhile to mention that at the lower phenol concentration investigated, no evidence for polymeric material was observed on the anode surface.

The lower efficiency observed for CO_2 formation as one replaces RuO_2 for IrO_2 or introduce SnO_2 in the binary compositions could be explained by the competition between the organic oxidation and OER reactions. At the high current applied both reaction compete for the same electroactive site on the electrode surface, and either: (*i*) the introduction of SnO_2^{30} or (*ii*) area increase (i; q*) enhance the performance for oxygen evolution reaction; one can expect that the desired reaction (total degradation of phenol) decreases. This feature makes the Ti/RuTiO₂ electrode more efficient to further oxidize the intermediates formed in phenol oxidation.

The analysis of the products formed is a good example of the selectivity one might obtain as the electrode material is changed. Ru-SnO₂ electrodes showed sightly lower phenol consumption rate compared with the other electrode material investigated; nevertheless, it promotes almost complete combustion of the starting material (75%). To explain this fact we can speculate that the CO₂ could be formed by an alternative route without passing through benzoquinone formation similar as proposed elsewhere.³⁷ This makes this material a much better choice for waste

treatment since it promotes low yields of the hazardous by-product (Benzoquinone) with higher formation of desired product (CO_2) .



Figure 8. Benzoquinone concentration profile during electrolysis on different electrode materials: Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O₂ (\bigcirc); Ti/Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.7}O₂ (\bigcirc); Ti/Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O₂ (\blacksquare) and Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O₂ (\square). Electrolyte: NaClO₄ 1.0 mol L⁻¹; $\nu = 20$ mV s⁻¹; $\phi = 2 \mu$ m; A = 2 cm²; T_{cal} = 450 °C, C_{phenol} = 1 mmol L⁻¹, I = 40 mA cm².

Table 3. Dependence of TOC with electrode material and current density for solution initial containing 1000 ppm of phenol in $NaClO_4$ 1.0 mol L⁻¹

Nominal composition	% NPOC reduction			
	$i_{ap} = 20$ mA cm ⁻²	$i_{ap} = 40$ mA cm ⁻²	$i_{ap} = 80$ mA cm ⁻²	
Ti/Ru _{0.3} Ti _{0.7} O ₂	-	39	80	
Ti/Ru ₀₃ Sn ₀₇ O ₂	14	24	75	
Ti/Ir ₀₇ Ti ₀₃ O ₂	-	23	50	
Ti/Ir _{0.7} Sn _{0.3} O ₂	-	11	18	

Conclusions

This paper shows that for the binary $\text{Ti/Ir}_{0.7}\text{Sn}_{0.3}\text{O}_2$ composition, the decomposition of polymeric precursors is an appropriate route to avoid Sn loss during thermal treatment. The prepared oxide films showed high surface-active area and proper control of stoichiometry.

Changing TiO_2 to SnO_2 promotes an increase in the apparent roughness of the electrode. For Ru-based electrodes, introduction of SnO_2 produces a more active material to OER.

Phenol oxidation was used as a probe reaction to carry out a systematic investigation of the electrocatalytic activity of DSA-type anodes with nominal compositions equals to Ti/Ru_{0.3}M_{0.7}O₂ and Ti/Ir_{0.7}M_{0.3}O₂ (M = Ti and Sn), at 1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaClO₄. Bulk electrochemical oxidation of phenol depends on the nature of the anode. In a twocompartment cell, the final oxidation products observed were CO₂ benzoquinone, malic, malonic and tartaric acids. The observed phenol removal rate is as follows: Ti/ $Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2 >> Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2 \sim Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.7}O_2 > Ti/$ $Ru_{0.3}Sn_{0.3}O_2$. The best material for initial phenol and benzoquinone consumption (Ti/Ir_{0.7}Sn_{0.3}O_2 and Ti/ $Ir_{0.7}Ti_{0.3}O_2$, respectively) fails to further oxidize the acid portion of the formed intermediates Highest removal of TOC was observed for Ti/Ru_{0.3}Ti_{0.3}O_2, confirming that this material is capable of almost completely mineralizing (80 % TOC removal) phenol in 5 hours, for both current densities investigated. This can be speculated as evidence that total combustion of phenol might follow an alternative route without passing through benzoquinone formation was observed for RuO₂-SnO₂ electrodes.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by FAPESP (01/10338-1) and CNPq (521536/97-9) foundations. The fellowships from CAPES (P.D.P. Alves) and FAPESP (M. Sapgnol) are greatly acknowledged. M. V. Carlos (Depto. Química - FFCLRP-USP) and M. Ferreira's (IQSC-USP) help with the HPLC analyses is greatly appreciated.

References

- Rajeshwar, K, Ibanez, J.G., Environmental Electrochemistry: Fundamentals and Applications in Pollution Abatement, Academic Press, Inc.: USA, 1997.
- Houk, L. L; Johnson, S. K.; Feng, J.; Houk, R. S.; Johnson, D. C.; J. Appl. Electrochem. 1998, 28, 1167.
- Simond, O.; Schaller, V.; Comninellis, Ch.; *Electrochim. Acta* 1997, 42, 2009.
- Bock, C.; MacDougall, B.; J. Electrochem. Soc. 1999, 146, 2925.
- Azzam, M. O.; Al-Tarazi, M.; Tahboub, Y.; J. Hazardous Mat. 2000, B75, 99.
- Polcaro, A. M.; Palmas, S.; Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1997, 36, 1791.
- 7. Savall, A.; Chimia 1995, 49, 23.
- De Angelis, F.; Corso, C. R.; Bidoia, E. D.; Moraes, P. B.; Domingos, R.; Rocha-Filho, R. C.; *Quim. Nova* 1998, 21, 20.
- Brazil, Resolution # 20 from Conselho Nacional do meio Ambiente, CONAMA, 1986. http://www.lei.adv.br/020-86.htm; accessed at January 27/2004.
- Pelegrino, R. L.; Di Iglia, R. A.; Sanches, C. G.; Avaca, L. A.; Bertazzoli, R.; *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *1*, 60.
- 11. Flezsar, B.; Ploszynska.J.; Electrochim. Acta 1985, 30, 31.
- 12. Comninellis, Ch.; Pulgarin, C.; *J.Appl. Electrochem.* 1991, 21, 703.
- Amouzegar, K.; Savadogo, O.; *Electrochim. Acta* 1998, 43, 503.

- 14. Tahar, N. B.; Savall, A.; J.Electrochem.Soc. 1998, 145, 3427.
- Comninellis, C. In *Environmental Electrochemistry*; Sequeira C.A.C. ed., Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1994.
- 16. Comninellis, C.; Electrochim. Acta 1994, 39, 1857.
- 17. Garavaglia, R.; Mari, C.M.; Trasatti, S.; *Surf. Technol.* **1984**, 23, 41.
- Angelinetta, C.; Trasatti, S.; Atanasoska, Lj.; Atanasoski, R.T.; J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 214, 535.
- Lassali, T. A. F.; Bulhões, L. O. S.; Abeid, L. M. C.; Boodts, J. F. C.; J. Electrochem. Soc. 1997, 144, 3348.
- 20. Comninellis, C.; Vercesi, G. P.; J. Appl. Electrochem. 1991, 21, 136.
- 21. Pechini, M.P.; Adams, N.; US Patent 3,330,697 1967.
- Olivi, P.; Pereira, E.C.; Longo, E.; Varela, J.A..; Bulhões, L.O.S.; J. Electrochem. Soc. 1993, 140, L81.
- 23. Besso, M. M.; US Patent, 3,213,120 1965.
- Lassali, T. A. F.; Boodts, J. F. C.; Bulhões, L. O. S.; J. Non-Cryst. Solids 2000, 273, 129.
- Forti, J. C.; Olivi, P; De Andrade, A. R.; *Electrochim. Acta* 2001, 47, 913.
- Ardizzone, S.; Fregonara, G.; Trasatti, S.; J. Electroanal. Chem, 1989, 266, 191.

- 27. Blouim, M.; Guay, D.; J. Electrochem. Soc. 1997, 144, 573.
- Murakami, Y.; Ito, M.; Kaji, H.; Takasu, Y.; *App. Surf. Sci.* 1997, 121/122, 314.
- 29. Trasatti, S.; Petrii, O. A.; Pure Appl. Chem. 1991, 63, 711.
- Onuchukwu, A.; Trasatti, S.; J. Appl. Electrochem. 1991, 21, 858.
- 31. Trasatti, S.; Electrocatalysis 2000, 45, 2377
- Rodrigo, M. A.; Michaud, P. A.; Duo, I.; Panizza, M.; Cerisola, G.; Comninellis, Ch.; J. Electrochem. Soc. 2001, 148, D60.
- De Andrade, A. R.; Donate, P. M.; Alves, P. P. D.; Fidellis, C. H.
 V.; Boodts, J. F. C.; *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1998**, *145*, 3839.
- Bock, C.; MacDougall, B.; J. Electroanal. Chem. 2000, 491, 48.
- Zanta, C. L. P. S.; De Andrade, A. R.; Boodts, J. F. C.; Electrochim. Acta 1999, 44, 3333.
- Torres, R. A.; Torres, W.; Peringer, P.; Pulgarin, C.; *Chemosphere* 2003, *50*, 97.

Received: October 13, 2003 Published on the web: September 9, 2004

FAPESP helped in meeting the publication costs of this article.