cadernos Saúde Coletiva

ISSN 1414-462X (Print) ISSN 2358-291X (Online)

Original Article

(Dis) connections between health councils and audit: advancements and challenges in the democratization of public health management

(Des) conexões entre conselhos de saúde e auditoria: avanços e desafios na democratização da gestão pública em saúde

José Reginaldo Pinto¹, Kamyla de Arruda Pedrosa¹, Pollyanna Martins¹, Antonio Rodrigues Ferreira Júnior², Bruna Dayane Rocha Maranhão³

Abstract

Background: Aware of the importance of consolidating social control in the qualification of the Brazilian government's Unified Health System (SUS) management, it is important to highlight that the audit must implement technical cooperation actions with the municipal, state and national health councils and with the three management spheres. Objective: Analyze the interaction between the Municipal Health Councils and the SUS audit service. Method: The research, with a qualitative approach, had the participation of 20 municipal health counselors, who worked in the largest health region of Ceará, Brazil, composed of 24 municipalities. The thematic content analysis data were collected using self-administered questionnaire. Results: We observed a weak interaction between the Municipal Health Council and the SUS audit sector in the municipalities. Conclusion: Counselors are unaware of their competencies and the functions of the municipal audit sector.

Keywords: community participation; health councils; administrative audit; health management.

Resumo

Introdução: Consciente da importância de consolidar o controle social na qualificação de Gestão do SUS, é importante destacar que a auditoria deve implementar ações de cooperação com os conselhos municipais, estaduais e nacionais de saúde e com os gestores, nas três esferas de gestão. Objetivo: Analisar a interação existente entre os Conselhos Municipais de Saúde e o serviço de auditoria do Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) nas secretarias municipais. Método: A pesquisa, com abordagem qualitativa, teve como participantes 20 conselheiros municipais de saúde que atuavam na maior região de saúde do Ceará, Brasil, composta por 24 municípios. Um questionário autoaplicável foi o instrumento utilizado para apreensão das informações que foram organizadas a partir da análise de conteúdo temática. Resultados: Constatou-se a fraca interação entre o Conselho Municipal de Saúde e o setor de auditoria do SUS nos municípios. Conclusão: Os conselheiros desconhecem suas competências e as funções do setor de auditoria municipal.

Palavras-chave: participação comunitária; conselhos de saúde; auditoria administrativa; gestão em saúde.

Trabalho realizado nas secretarias municipais dos 24 municípios que compõe a Região de Saúde de Sobral (CE), Brasil.

Endereço para correspondência: José Reginaldo Pinto — Centro Universitário INTA (UNINTA), Rua Cel. Antonio Rodrigues Magalhães, 359 — Dom Expedito Lopes — CEP: 62050-130 — Sobral (CE), Brasil — Email: regis.med@hotmail.com

Financial support: none.

Conflict of interests: nothing to declare.



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹Centro Universitário INTA (UNINTA) - Sobral (CE), Brasil.

²Universidade Estadual do Ceará (UECE) - Fortaleza (CE), Brasil.

³Secretaria Municipal de Saúde - Cruz (CE), Brasil.

INTRODUCTION

Brazil went through a long process of social mobilization to construct a democratic model, resulting in the creation of the Brazilian Federal Constitution of 1988, which established a lasting involvement of civil society in the management and control of public policies. The population, through elected representatives, exercises its power through referendums, plebiscites, councils and projects/actions of popular interest¹.

In the context of public health policies, Law number 8.142, published in 1990, provides the community participation in the management of the Unified Health System (SUS) and the intergovernmental transfer of financial resources in the health area. This law created collegiate instances, Health Conferences and Health Councils, and formalized the public participation in the strategy formulation and in the implementation control of health policy.

The Health Council has a permanent and deliberative nature, and is a collegiate body composed of government representatives, service providers, health professionals and users. It is present in each governmental sphere and works in the corresponding instance, including the economic and financial aspects².

It is noteworthy that the health counselor, a social agent able of interfering into health issues, is the representative of the various social entities with legal support to take action in the Municipal Health Council (MHC), with the aim of performing the social control through the democratization of the public health sector³.

The MHC is the highest deliberation authority of the municipal health policy, meeting the parity criterion between service providers, government, health workers and users. Thus, it must carry out its functions properly, aware of the health budget, acting as an agency of transparency and control in the decisions and actions of the public power⁴.

Therefore, MHC needs to interact with the accounts and public health policies auditing. The auditing may be understood as a systematic and independent review of the facts, since it includes the monitoring and measuring of an element or system, in order to observe the requirement adequacy proposed by laws, regulations and planned actions^{5,6}.

In Brazil's Unified Health System (SUS), the audit aims to improve access, care integrality, equity, health indicators, humanization of care and inclusion of social control, allowing transparency and ensuring information and accountability to society⁵. It contributes to monitor the implementation of the public health budget, optimizing the service management processes in the country, as well as the development of public policies through the participation of users of the system^{4,7}.

The audit includes one of the guidelines for monitoring and assessment of SUS management and was regulated by the National Strategic and Participatory Management Policy (ParticipaSUS), which has four components: OuvidorSUS, SisaudSUS, ParticipanetSUS and SargSUS⁵.

The above normative acts strengthened the relationship between social control and auditing of accounts and public policies⁵. However, these two authorities should routinely interact throughout their work processes.

Aware of the importance of consolidating social control in the qualification of SUS management, it is important to highlight that the audit must implement technical cooperation actions with the municipal, state and national health councils and with the managers, in the three management spheres8. In the field of municipal management, information sharing is the main objective of the audit service, in order to contribute to the development of public health management, also resulting in the improvement of the public quality of life^{9,10}.

Considering the positive evolution of the social control organization, in the different federal instances of SUS, and recognizing the importance of the association between MHC activities and the municipal audit sector, this research aimed to analyze the interaction between MHC and the SUS audit service in the Municipal Offices in the Health Region of Sobral, Ceará.

METHOD

In order to analyze the main characteristics of the interaction between MHC and the SUS audit services, an exploratory and descriptive research has been chosen, with a qualitative approach conducted in the Health Region (RS) of Sobral, Ceará, selected for having the largest territory in the State and covering 24 municipalities.

This study's scenario region gathers a hierarchical and regionalized healthcare network of Brazil's SUS, with installed capacity to perform services in its different levels of complexity, constituted by a population of 613,104 inhabitants, being the second most populous^{10,11}.

The participants of this research were 20 municipal health counselors, elected for mandates between 2014 and 2016 in municipalities with a SUS auditing sector in the health region, representing the categories of users and service providers. Counselors representing the government, health workers, substitute members of all categories represented in the MHC were excluded. We also excluded councilors from 06 municipalities that did not present the audit service.

The data collection was conducted in 2015 through a semi-structured and self-administered questionnaire, sent by mail to the MHCs. The themes were: (i) counselors' knowledge about SUS audit service; (ii) joint work between counselors and auditors; (iii) facilities and limits of the working relationship between health councils and SUS auditors; and finally; (iv) counselors' knowledge about the information systems used in the auditing and social control services.

The open questions were analyzed using the analysis technique of thematic content. The answers of each interviewee were transcribed and typed in text format in Microsoft Word software. We conducted a fluctuating reading of the document, and the sentences and paragraphs were grouped into Record Units (UR) dealing with similar topics. The URs were codified into themes, and regrouped into categories or subcategories, due to their similar characteristics¹². The results were presented by clipping the texts and constructing a figure that explains the relationship between the MHC and the audit service.

In order to ensure the research participants' confidentiality and anonymity and to avoid the identification of the municipalities, the data obtained were coded according to the following nomenclature: Municipality A (MA), Municipality B (MB), Municipality C (MC) and so on. The most representative parts of each category were highlighted in the discussion.

The research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee, under the Opinion number 889,089. The research obeyed the regulatory study's guidelines and norms involving human beings, outlined in Resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council¹³.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The topics and categories constructed in the process of codification and categorization process of qualitative information are discussed below.

Counselors' knowledge about the functioning of the auditing sector in municipalities

I am not aware of the auditing service in my municipality and of the tasks it must perform [...] (MC).

We have control and regulation in the municipality, and regular meetings with MHC and project proposals for the council appreciation [...] (ME).

It works restrictively, without much communication! (MA).

The audit works in demand resolution, such as: complaints, irregularities in verifying facts [...] (MJ).

The participants revealed that they do not have knowledge about the SUS audit competencies in the offices. The service was mistaken with the ombudsman sector or restricted to the control and regulation area.

The Ombudsman Sectors have a different function from municipal auditing because these are bodies that receive citizen users' claims, suggestions, requests, complaints and compliments¹⁴. The control and regulation sector is one of the management instruments that control the relationship between users and health service providers. This sector is responsible for knowing the demand for health services and providing, in an orderly manner, the available supply in a regionalized and hierarchical way¹⁵. The control structures the system and the

regulation organizes the demand for the services according to the offer.

The audit is an articulated instrument for the health service, and aims at the adequacy and privacy of the data and information coming from the health information systems. Moreover, it monitors and assesses, in accordance with current legislation, at the federal, state and municipal levels, the management performance of public administrators, in order to qualify public management. When requested by a competent authority, or determined by the Eminent State Court of Auditors, the audit sector assesses the non-supported application of resources transferred to municipalities, the occurrence of embezzlement or misuse of money, public assets and values, or even the practice of any illegal, illegitimate or uneconomical act that results in damages to the Treasury¹⁶.

The audit verifies the processes of work, governance and social control, in an attempt to adapt the health care model to the current legislation in that territory. It becomes especially necessary in national public and universal systems to improve access and reduce costs.

It is important that municipal health counselors participate in the municipal audit sector to strengthen a participatory enterprise and social control, since some of the social functions are oversight and monitoring the application of funds passed to the municipal health departments, as well as the verification of the performance of the management of public health policies.

Joint work between the audit sector and social control

There is no interaction, and I think this audit would be very good to work with the Council, to clarify many things in which that counselors have doubts [...] (MH).

These are works developed individually, without interaction (MB).

I think that the information exchange between the two services would be fundamental to detect the users' real needs [...] (MA).

I think it would be very good for the counselors to be closer to the audit, to inform about resources and projects (MF).

Most of the interviewed counselors acknowledge that there is little or no interaction between audit services and MHC, although some participants point out that, sometimes, there is contact at meetings promoted by health offices.

The MHC participation in the management of health services is guaranteed by Law number 8.142/90 but in practice it is incipient, since counselors are not aware of the forms of interaction with the sectors of the municipal health office.

It is important to highlight that in most municipalities the counselors are excluded from the decision-making processes, which causes their alienation in relation to health practice planning activities. It is worth mentioning that the consequence of this, in this reality, is a way of doing management in which decisions are taken in an emergency manner¹⁷.

The democratization and broadening of participation in the management requires that those agents involved be active in the operation dynamics and appropriate information, means and conditions, in order to effectively constitute themselves as main agents in the decision-making process¹⁸.

The councils must act in the planning and control of governmental acts, and it is, therefore, essential that the public administration should be accountable for its acts. Thus, they should be aware of public audit services. Within this context, accountability should highlight the government performance and its results, so that they can be assessed and controlled.

In this sense, Federal Law n. 8689/1993 requires that the manager of the Unified Health System, in each sphere of government, report to the Health Council on a quarterly basis. Even if the legislation establishes the obligation of accountability of the government to the councils, there are obstacles to the effective use of instruments as useful tools to the counselors¹⁹.

In order to ensure the audit engagement in the management improvement, it is necessary to guide the manager regarding the efficient implementation of the health budget, which should reflect improved epidemiological indicators, social welfare, access and humanization of services²⁰.

The joint work between the audit service and MHC stimulates in the SUS institutions shares decisions, and facilitates the participation of civil society in the monitoring of the management of public health policies.

Facilities and limits of the working relationship between health council and SUS auditors

The access to information, disseminated in various ways, is what facilitates today [...] (MJ).

It is necessary to exchange more ideas between counselors and auditors, in order to achieve a more productive work, where both could obtain, see and review the manuals and the needs of the assisted population [...] (MA).

A negative aspect is the health counselors' lack of knowledge to hold office. I think there should be more training [...] (MD).

The counselors' lack of participation in the meetings hinders the work process, and impairs the strengthening of social control. This is something that needs to be reviewed [...] (MC).

Access to information on municipal expenditures, disseminated online through health information systems, was pointed out as an advantage to the joint work between MHC and municipal audits. The limits of carrying out social control in conjunction with the audit were: lack of training, lack of participation of council members in meetings, resulting in a lack of commitment to SUS management processes.

It is plausible to consider that the following are limitations imposed to the effectiveness of social control: (i) political interference in the choice of counselors; (ii) neoliberal tendency

towards the health policy dismantling conceived for the SUS; (iii) counselors' lack of information; (iv) disarticulation between counselors and their basis; (v) mobilization fragility of the represented entities which, in turn, are reflected in the society demobilization; co-optation of leaders, in exchange for favors; and finally (vi) managers' lack of transparency in the use of resources21.

The fragility of the user's participation in the Health Councils, of the simple man who does not have refined knowledge about public health, legislation and management, makes his citizen participation unfeasible as an expert in the problems of his community²². Counselors' training and qualification of continues to be one of the bottlenecks for effective participation and, therefore, social control, indispensable for the functioning of SUS²³.

Dialogue in the area of health councils is essential for building citizen participation, but dialogue can only be leveraged from the perspectives of those involved. When councilors are unaware of their social role, communities lose, because there is a lack of discussions based on the needs of the population, on the capacities of the public service and its workers, as well as on the norms guided by the current legislation²⁴.

Counselors' knowledge about the information systems used in the auditing and social control services

I am not aware of these systems, nor as they are called by, and I think they are not used [...] (MH).

I am not aware of them; I do not know their name or their use [...] (MI).

There is no communication, and no information systems are presented [...] (MA).

We use SIAB, SIA, SIH, SINAN, SIM and we have a new one, e-SUS, but they are not presented by the audit at our council meetings [...] (MF).

We observed that municipal counselors are not familiar with health information systems, which should be used by this body to monitor the MHC health system performance. This distancing impairs the appraisal process of project implementation, control of health resources and deliberation of public health policies. Within this perspective, the municipal health councils' activities are performed with improvised procedures. We verified, based on the counselors' experiences, that the analyzed municipalities' MHC have not yet appropriated the information and communication technologies, in order to foment their work processes in their cities' strategic and participative management.

In the last decade of SUS construction, health information has presented great evolution, not only in the expansion of the number of information systems and in the improvement of information technology, but also in the development of skills to use these systems, to produce knowledge and act in different circumstances²⁵.

Information systems have been considered as a strategic tool to improve the efficiency of public services, producing greater savings for the state, a greater transparency and more quality in meeting the citizens' demands²⁶.

States and municipalities need to be partners in considering the former's historic expertise of information technology in relation to the latter. The State has a strategic position in the organization of health management and should therefore provide technical support in assisting the municipal administrative organizations. Thus, the qualification of the social control teams is one of its tasks, through courses, trainings and lectures²⁷.

The information access, through online and public domain health information systems, facilitates the development of a partnership between MHC and municipal audits. However, the weak interaction between the MHC and the SUS audit results from the counselors' lack of knowledge about their competencies and the functions of the audit service.

Audit in the SUS needs to be further discussed by health counselors, with the aim of improving the mechanisms for organizing and monitoring public policies. It also adds power to the work of counselor the appropriation of information and communication technologies to promote their work processes in the strategic and participatory management of their municipalities.

Therefore, we recommend the optimization of the permanent education of municipal health counselors, focusing on the knowledge of public health expenses and revenues, as well as on the role of different agents and various institutions involved in the area, and the use of information systems as a tool to improve social control.

The research raised observations and reflections on the effectiveness of the social control exercised in the municipal sector, in the context of a health region. The information found enabled the reduction of gaps in the knowledge of the relationship between these important members of the health system. It is necessary to develop complementary researches, in other contexts and considering the perception of other agents, in order to verify the impact of the joint work between these two sectors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rolim LB, Cruz RSBLC, Sampaio KJAJ. Participação popular e o controle social como diretriz do SUS: uma revisão narrativa. Saúde Debate. 2013;37(96):139-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-11042013000100016.
- 2. Brasil. Lei nº 8.142, de 28 de dezembro de 1990. Dispõe sobre a participação da comunidade na gestão do Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) e sobre as transferências intergovernamentais de recursos financeiros na área da saúde e dá outras providências. Diário Oficial da União, Brasília, 31 de dezembro de 1990.
- 3. Gadagnin A. A transparência na gestão pública: uma análise da sua concretização em Porto Alegre, Canoas e Novo Hamburgo [monografia]. Porto Alegre: Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; 2011.
- 4. Rocha EM, Cunha JXP, Lira LSSP, Oliveira LB, Nery AA, Vilela ABA, et al. O papel do conselheiro municipal de saúde na fiscalização do orçamento público. Saúde Debate. 2013 Mar;37(96):104-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S0103-11042013000100012.
- 5. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Auditoria do SUS: orientações básicas. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2011.
- 6. Tajra FS, Rodrigues AB, Tajra RS, Cavalcante Neto PG, Dias MAS, Lira GV. Responsabilidades de gestão em auditoria: análise do pacto pela saúde em estados brasileiros. Gestão e Saúde. 2013;4(3):946-62.
- 7. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Conselho Nacional de Saúde. Resolução nº 333, de 4 de novembro de 2003. Aprova as diretrizes para criação, reformulação, estruturação e funcionamento dos Conselhos de Saúde. Diário Oficial da União, Brasília, 4 de dezembro de 2013.
- 8. Ferreira AR Jr, Rodrigues MENG. Auditoria de Enfermagem nos Serviços de Saúde: revisão integrativa. Essentia. 2016;17(2):23-42.
- 9. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Gestão Estratégica e Participativa -SEGEP. Contrato Organizativo da Ação Pública da Saúde (COAP). Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2011.

- 10. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. O COAP e as comissões intergestores. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2012.
- 11. Ceará. Contrato organizativo de ação pública de saúde: região de saúde de Sobral [Internet]. Fortaleza: Secretaria de Saúde do Estado do Ceará; 2011 [cited 2013 June 28]. Available from: http://www.saude.ce.gov.br/ index.php/decreto-fundes-fundos-municipais
- 12. Bardin L. Análise de conteúdo. São Paulo: Edições 70; 2011.
- 13. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa -CONEP. Resolução nº 466, de 12 de dezembro de 2012. Diário Oficial da União, Brasília, 13 de junho de 2013.
- 14. Peixoto SF, Marsiglia RMG, Morrone LC. Atribuições de uma ouvidoria: opinião de usuários e funcionários. Saude Soc. 2013;22(3):785-94. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0104-12902013000300012.
- 15. Pinto JR, Carneiro MGD. Avaliação do agendamento online de consultas médicas especializadas através da central de regulação do SUS. Saúde Coletiva. 2012;9(58):123-8.
- 16. Richato ALF. O Plano Anual de Auditoria: no âmbito do controle interno dos municípios. Rev. Eletronica TCE-RS. 2014;2(1):42-148.
- 17. Sulti ADC, Lima RCD, Freitas PSS, Felsky CN, Galavote HS. O discurso dos gestores da Estratégia Saúde da Família sobre a tomada de decisão na gestão em saúde: desafio para o Sistema Único de Saúde. Saúde Debate. 2015;39(104):172-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-110420151040238.
- 18. Kleba ME, Comerlatto D, Frozza KM. Instrumentos e mecanismos de gestão: contribuições ao processo decisório em conselhos de políticas públicas. Rev Adm Pública. 2015;49(4):1059-79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0034-7612125666

- 19. Araújo MAD. Responsabilização pelo controle de resultados no Sistema Único de Saúde no Brasil. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2010;27(3):230-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1020-49892010000300011. PMid:20414513.
- 20. Parise GA, Pereira MF, Silva MLB. Avaliação das principais não conformidades ocorridas durante um processo de auditoria em um prestador do SUS em Florianópolis. Florianópolis: UFSC; 2013. p. 55-78. (Coleção Gestão da Saúde Pública; 5).
- 21. Gaedtke KM, Grisotti M. Os Conselhos Municipais de Saúde: uma revisão da literatura sobre seus limites e potencialidades. Rev. Pol. e Soc. 2011;10(19):115-37.
- 22. Shimizu HE, Pereira MF, Cardoso AJC, Bermudez XPCD. Representações sociais dos conselheiros municipais acerca do controle social em saúde no SUS. Cien Saude Colet. 2013;18(8):2275-84. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S1413-81232013000800011.
- 23. Jurberg C, Oliveira EM, Oliveira ESG. Capacitação para quê? O que pensam conselheiros de saúde da região sudeste. Cien Saude Colet. 2014;19(11):4513-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1413-812320141911.15142013.

- 24. Busana JA, Heidemann ITSB, Wendhausen ALP. Participação popular em um conselho local de saúde: limites e potencialidades. Texto Contexto Enferm. 2015;24(2):442-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0104-07072015000702014.
- 25. Lima KWS, Antunes JLF, Silva ZP. Percepção dos gestores sobre o uso de indicadores nos serviços de saúde. Saude Soc. 2015;24(1):61-71. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0104-12902015000100005.
- 26. Oliveira LCP, Faleiros SM, Diniz EH. Sistemas de informação em políticas sociais descentralizadas: uma análise sobre a coordenação federativa e práticas de gestão. Rev Adm Pública. 2015;49(1):23-46. http://dx.doi. org/10.1590/0034-76121675.
- 27. Vidor AC, Fisher PD, Bordin R. Utilização dos sistemas de informação em saúde em municípios gaúchos de pequeno porte. Rev Saude Publica. $2011; 45(1): 24-30. \ http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0034-89102011000100003.$ PMid:21181048.

Received on: Oct. 29, 2017 Accepted on: Oct. 15, 2018