



## Effect of natural selection on common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) microsatellite alleles

Taislene Butarello Rodrigues and João Bosco dos Santos

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Lavras, Departamento de Biologia, Lavras, MG, Brazil.

### Abstract

The effect of natural selection on microsatellite simple sequence repeat (SSR) alleles was investigated in two distinct common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) generations ( $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$ ) derived from the cross between the *P. vulgaris* cultivars Carioca MG x ESAL 686. The  $F_2$  segregant population was propagated by the bulk method and 107 plants were sampled in two generations ( $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$ ). Each plant generated one family which was replicated by the bulk method to  $F_{8:11}$  and  $F_{24:27}$  families from which DNA was extracted. Thirty pairs of microsatellite primers were polymorphic for the parents and the bulk of the  $F_{24:27}$  families. Out of 30 loci selected by natural selection, 29 microsatellite alleles came from the Carioca MG parent and one allele came from the ESAL 686 parent. Natural selection affected all the generations and its intensity was specific for each locus and generation. Therefore all the alleles selected at each locus must be important for adaptation in a breeding program.

**Key words:** natural selection, microsatellite markers, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, adaptation.

Received: May 2, 2005; Accepted: November 16, 2005.

### Introduction

During the production of a common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) segregant population by the bulk method natural selection acts to select the most adapted plants (Hamblin, 1977; Silva *et al.*, 2004). Such processes also occur in other species (Suneson, 1956; Allard and Jain 1962) and there is a general need to ascertain whether this selection acts in the direction desired by the breeders or against their interest because it is known that for certain traits (*e.g.* seed weight and plant growth habit and cycle) that selection does not always occur in the required direction (Gonçalves *et al.*, 2001). It has been shown that for grain yield natural selection contributes to maintaining the most productive individuals (Hamblin, 1977; Allard, 1988; Corte *et al.*, 2002) but for traits such as growth habit and weight of 100 seeds natural selection maintains a predominance of plants with indeterminate growth habit and smaller seeds (Gonçalves *et al.*, 2001).

Higher adapted plants are selected by natural selection through modification of many morpho-agronomical trait which can be easily assessed by molecular markers. These markers are identified by changes in their allelic frequencies in the population under the effect of natural selection (Allard, 1988; Allard, 1999). The allelic frequency

change on self-pollinated populations allows the estimation of the coefficient of relative fitness for each genotype of a given gene or marker locus (Allard and Workman, 1963; Allard and Hansche, 1964; Allard *et al.*, 1968; Hedrick, 1999).

The object of the study described in this paper was to identify microsatellite alleles affected by natural selection in two distinct generations of a segregant *P. vulgaris* population produced by the bulk method.

### Materials and Methods

For this study we used a segregant population derived from a cross between *P. vulgaris* cultivars Carioca MG and ESAL 686. The Carioca MG cultivar has an indeterminate type II growth habit, a normal cropping-cycle producing small cream colored seeds with a brown-striped tegument and carries the *Co.2* allele for resistance to some races of the anthracnose fungus *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum* and is susceptible to angular leaf spot caused by the fungus *Phaeoisariopsis griseola*. The ESAL 686 cultivar has a determinate type I growth habit, an early 80-day cropping cycle producing large seeds with a yellow tegument and is resistant to angular leaf spot. Corte *et al.* (2002) crossed these two parents and produced the  $F_2$  to  $F_{18}$  generations and this was carried forward by Gonçalves *et al.* (2001) who produced the  $F_{19}$  to  $F_{24}$  generations. The segregant populations were advanced by the bulk method in three locations in central southern Minas Gerais, state, Brazil. At

harvest, in each generation, a sample of seed from each population was used to obtain the next generation.

For our study we used both parents, the 107 families derived from the F<sub>8</sub> (F<sub>8:11</sub>) generation and 107 families derived from the F<sub>24</sub> (F<sub>24:27</sub>) generation, these families having been used in field assessments by Silva *et al.* (2004). We sowed 15 seeds from each family in a tray and a sample of young leaves was taken for the DNA extraction through a procedure similar to that described by Nienhuis *et al.* (1995). The microsatellite reaction was carried out in a Mastercycler Gradient 5331 Eppendorf version 2.2231-09 using 105 pairs of microsatellite primers, of which 37 (12 polymorphic) were developed by Yu *et al.* (2000) for *Phaseolus vulgaris* and 68 (18 polymorphic) pairs by Gaitán-Solís *et al.* (2002). The PCR reaction began with DNA denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min followed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 20 s, annealing at from 46 to 68 °C (depending on the primer) for 20 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 20 s, with a final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min. After amplification the reaction products were separated by agarose gel (2 to 2.5% w/v) electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/mL) and photographed under ultraviolet light with a digital camera.

The genotypic proportions of the two generations were compared for each primer by the  $\chi^2$  test. Let A<sup>1</sup> be the DNA fragment (allele) derived from the Carioca MG parent and A<sup>2</sup> the allele derived from the ESAL 686 parent, both amplified by one of the primers used. Thus in the *j*-th segregant generation *j* = 1 corresponding to F<sub>8</sub> and *j* = 2 corresponding to F<sub>24</sub> the *i*-th genotypes occur, and *i* = 1 corresponding to A<sup>1</sup> A<sup>1</sup>, *i* = 2 corresponding to A<sup>1</sup> A<sup>2</sup> and *i* = 3 corresponding to A<sup>2</sup> A<sup>2</sup>. Represented by *n<sub>ij</sub>* the number observed in the *i*-th genotype in the *j*-th generation, the expected corresponding number is given by  $e_{ij} = \frac{(n_{i\cdot} \cdot n_{\cdot j})}{n_{\cdot\cdot}}$ , where  $n_{i\cdot} = \sum_{j=1}^2 n_{ij}$ ;  $n_{\cdot j} = \sum_{i=1}^3 n_{ij}$  and  $n_{\cdot\cdot} = \sum_{ij} n_{ij}$  (Steel and Torrie, 1980). Thus the estimates of  $\chi^2 = \sum_{ij} \frac{(n_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}}$ , with 2 degrees of freedom are obtained.

Considering that the estimate of the *P. vulgaris* natural crossing rates in the region is approximately  $T = 0.005$  (Pereira Filho and Cavariani, 1994; Marques Júnior and Ramalho 1995), and the rates of self-pollination  $S = 1 - T = 0.995$ , the genotypic frequencies were estimated for each primer pair (locus). Taking A<sup>1</sup> and A<sup>2</sup> in each locus, the genotypic frequencies estimated in the *n* and *n* + 1 generation are given by expressions (Allard *et al.*, 1968):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Freq}(A^1A^1) &= f_1^{(n+1)} = S[f_1^{(n)} + 0.25f_2^{(n)}] + T[f_1^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}]^2 \\ \text{Freq}(A^1A^2) &= f_2^{(n+1)} = S[0.5f_2^{(n)}] + \\ &\quad 2T[f_1^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}][f_3^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}] \\ \text{Freq}(A^2A^2) &= f_3^{(n+1)} = S[f_3^{(n)} + 0.25f_2^{(n)}] + T[f_3^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Considering the coefficient of relative fitness on the A<sup>1</sup> A<sup>1</sup> genotype as  $\omega_1$ , on the A<sup>2</sup> A<sup>2</sup> genotype as  $\omega_3$ , on the A<sup>1</sup> A<sup>2</sup> genotype as  $\omega_2 = 1.0$ , the coefficients of accumulated relative fitness were estimated from F<sub>2</sub> to F<sub>8</sub> and from F<sub>8</sub> to F<sub>24</sub> using the expressions (Allard and Hansche, 1964; Hedrick, 1999):

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \frac{O_1[0.5SH + 2T(P + 0.5H)](R + 0.5H)}{O_2[S(P + 0.25H) + T(P + 0.5H)^2]} \\ \omega_3 &= \frac{O_3[0.5SH + 2T(P + 0.5H)](R + 0.5H)}{O_2[S(R + 0.25H) + T(R + 0.5H)^2]} \end{aligned}$$

where *P* and *O*<sub>1</sub> are proportions of A<sup>1</sup> A<sup>1</sup> in the *n* and *n* + 1 generations, respectively; *H* and *O*<sub>2</sub> proportions of A<sup>1</sup> A<sup>2</sup> in the *n* and *n* + 1 generations, respectively; *R* and *O*<sub>3</sub>: proportions of A<sup>2</sup> A<sup>2</sup> in the *n* and *n* + 1 generations, respectively. The genotypic proportions of generation *n* are expected in F<sub>7</sub> in the absence of natural selection. In the F<sub>23</sub> generation, they are expected from the proportions observed in F<sub>8</sub>, also admitting the absence of natural selection.

The estimates of the mean of the coefficients of relative fitness  $\bar{\omega}_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_3$  were obtained iteratively, from F<sub>2</sub> to F<sub>8</sub> and from F<sub>8</sub> to F<sub>24</sub> (Jain and Allard, 1960). The goodness of fit of the estimates was performed by the  $\chi^2$  test involving the expected genotypic frequencies from F<sub>2</sub> to F<sub>8</sub> and from F<sub>8</sub> to F<sub>24</sub>, estimated by the expressions (Allard and Hansche, 1964; Allard *et al.*, 1968; Hedrick, 1999):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Freq}(A^1A^1) &= f_1^{(n+1)} \cdot \alpha \cdot \omega_1 \{ S[f_1^{(n)} + 0.25f_2^{(n)}] + \\ &\quad T[f_1^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}]^2 \} \\ \text{Freq}(A^1A^2) &= f_2^{(n+1)} \cdot \alpha \cdot \omega_2 \{ 0.5Sf_2^{(n)} + \\ &\quad 2T[f_1^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}][f_3^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}] \} \\ \text{Freq}(A^2A^2) &= f_3^{(n+1)} \cdot \alpha \cdot \omega_3 \{ [S(f_3^{(n)} + 0.25f_2^{(n)})] + \\ &\quad T[f_3^{(n)} + 0.5f_2^{(n)}]^2 \} \end{aligned}$$

In these expressions the proportions can be transformed into equalities by division of the sum of the terms to the right of the proportionality sign for each genotype (*n* generation) by the sum of the term on the right side of the three genotypes, that is the sum of the frequencies of the three genotypes in generation *n*.

### Results and Discussion

We found that 30 of the 105 primer pairs presented polymorphisms like that of the DNA fragments amplified by the X74919 primer (Figure 1). Among these 30 primers, 12 developed by Yu *et al.* (2000) amplified fragments that were mapped on five different chromosomes, indicating

that natural selection probably acted throughout the *P. vulgaris* genome (Table 1).

In the absence of selection, the expected  $F_8$  proportions, considering the average cross-pollinating rate in our region ( $T = 0.005$ ), are 0.4938  $A^1A^1$ ; 0.0124  $A^1A^2$  and



**Figure 1** - Pattern of microsatellite bands amplified by the X74919 primer. From the left: first column Carioca MG; second column ESAL 686; the third column to the last column show the  $F_{8:11}$  generation families 81 to 100.

0.4938  $A^2A^2$  and, in  $F_{24}$  are 0.4975  $A^1A^1$ ; 0.0050  $A^1A^2$  e 0.4975  $A^2A^2$  (Allard *et al.*, 1968). It can be seen that the effect of natural selection occurred in the first generations of selfing up to the  $F_8$  generation and also in the more advanced plant generations, since the observed genotypic frequencies changed from  $F_8$  to  $F_{24}$  in 29 of the 30 microsatellite loci (Table 1). In the absence of natural selection the differences among the expected numbers of genotypes in  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$  are very small and would not be detected statistically ( $\chi^2 = 0.3400$ ;  $p = 0.8437$ ). Therefore it was ascertained that natural selection acted on all the microsatellite polymorphic loci.

**Table 1** - Number observed of the genotypes for the amplified microsatellite fragments in the  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$  generations of a *P. vulgaris* cultivar Carioca MG and ESAL 686 cross and comparison of the two populations by the  $\chi^2$  test. The table shows the results for 30 microsatellite primers.

Primer	$F_8$ generation			$F_{24}$ generation			$\chi^2$ <sup>a/</sup>
	$A^1A^1$	$A^1A^2$	$A^2A^2$	$A^1A^1$	$A^1A^2$	$A^2A^2$	
BM139	81	14	12	103	3	1	19.0558***
BM141	63	8	36	87	20	0	44.9829***
BM143	56	32	19	82	8	17	19.4097***
BM149	86	0	21	106	1	0	24.0833***
BM152	77	21	9	103	3	1	23.6556***
BM154	54 <sup>b</sup>	0	53	105 <sup>b</sup>	0	2	63.6494***
BM156	32	40	35	93	3	11	74.1269***
BM157	95	7	5	91	15	1	5.6618*
BM160	81	13	13	107	0	0	29.5957***
BM164	79	24	4	107	0	0	32.2151***
BM165	61	5	41	85	0	22	14.6754***
BM172	69	29	9	92	14	1	14.9183***
BM175	60	18	29	107	0	0	60.2275***
BM201	92	3	12	78	0	29	11.2017***
BM205	83	0	24	98	0	9	8.0613**
BM210	81	4	22	99	1	7	11.3586***
BM211	81	0	26	96	0	11	7.3523**
GATS91	38	19	50	69	12	26	18.1409***
JO1263	90	0	17	107	0	0	18.4670***
JO4555	67	21	19	82	0	25	23.3282***
K03289	70	20	17	99	5	3	23.7763***
M75856	74	0	33	105	0	2	32.8259***
U18349	72	0	35	107	0	0	41.8436***
U77935	88	0	19	96	4	7	9.8863**
X52626	88	0	19	107	0	0	20.8513***
X57022	77	0	30	103	1	3	26.8465***
X60000	14	0	93	15	0	92	0.0399 <sup>ns</sup>
X61293	48	2	57	100	2	5	61.8832***
X74919	53	41	13	97	4	6	45.9078***
X96999	85	0	22	104	0	3	16.3501***

<sup>a/</sup>ns =  $p = 0.84$ ; \* =  $p \leq 0.05$ ; \*\* =  $p \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\* =  $p \leq 0.001$ ; <sup>b</sup>number of  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$  families without an amplified DNA fragment.

We observed that most of the polymorphic loci were selecting the fragment derived from the Carioca MG parent. This was expected because Carioca MG is more adapted than the ESAL 686 parent (Ramalho and Abreu, 1998; Singh, 1992). Only one exception was detected in locus X60000 where the fragment from the ESAL 686 parent was selected associated to greater adaptability in this genomic region.

It is important to emphasize that the DNA fragment, amplified by the SSR BM154 primer, was observed only in the ESAL 686 parent. As no band was observed in the Carioca MG parent or in the segregant families, it might be a dominant marker (Liu *et al.*, 2001; Silva *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore in the majority of the cases the absence of the marker in the F<sub>24</sub> generation means that the presence of the DNA fragment amplified in the ESAL 686 line is associated with less adaptation than its absence in the Carioca MG parent.

Of the primers developed by Yu *et al.* (2000) that identified polymorphism in the parents, three came from genes. The primer U77935 came from the gene coding the DNA J-like protein, the KO3289 primer came from a family of genes coding for lectin or phytohemagglutinin, and the JO4555 primer came from the protein kinase-1 gene. The kinase 1 proteins are correlated with metabolic and cell processes including Acetyl CoA-carboxilase (Halford *et al.*, 2003). Lectin and phytohemagglutinin are glycoproteins present in the cotyledons and seed endosperms (Diaz *et al.*, 1999). The DNA J-like protein is similar to the ARG1 gene related to signal transduction in *Arabidopsis* seeds (Guan *et al.*, 2003) and is also related to the luminous effects occurring in the roots of this plant.

Gaitán-Solís *et al.* (2002) observed microsatellite flanking sequences that show homology at nucleotide level to four sequences of *P. vulgaris* microsatellites isolated in MADs clones. In plants the MAD box proteins seem to be related mainly to the genetic control of flower development (Greco *et al.*, 1997) and it has been strongly suggested for the control of the flower development regulatory chain conserved during plant evolution (Ma, 1994; Theissen and Saedler, 1995).

The data on the association of several microsatellites with different genes whose products take part in different metabolic pathways in the plant allows the inference that their products are affected by natural selection and reflect in the alterations in the genotypic frequencies in the microsatellite loci.

Since the two populations were derived from a two-parent cross we assumed that the allelic frequencies in all the segregant loci were 0.5 in the F<sub>2</sub> generation and they should remain unchanged in the absence of natural selection. In the F<sub>8</sub> generation there was an increase in the allele frequency of 25 microsatellite loci derived from the Carioca MG parent (Table 2), indicating that natural selection favored plants that carried these alleles, while in four loci

**Table 2** - Estimates of the allele frequencies observed in F<sub>8</sub> and F<sub>24</sub> generations of a *P. vulgaris* cultivar Carioca MG and ESAL 686 cross. The table shows the results for 30 microsatellite primers.

Primer	Observed frequency - F <sub>8</sub>		Observed frequency - F <sub>24</sub>	
	Carioca MG Allele	ESAL 686 Allele	Carioca MG Allele	ESAL 686 Allele
BM139	0.8224	0.1776	0.9766	0.0234
BM141	0.6262	0.3738	0.9065	0.0935
BM143	0.6729	0.3271	0.8037	0.1963
BM149	0.8037	0.1962	0.9953	0.0047
BM152	0.8178	0.1822	0.9766	0.0234
BM154	0.5047	0.4953	0.9813	0.0187
BM156	0.4860	0.5140	0.8832	0.1168
BM157	0.9206	0.0794	0.9206	0.0794
BM160	0.8178	0.1822	1.0000	0.0000
BM164	0.8505	0.1495	1.0000	0.0000
BM165	0.5935	0.4065	0.7944	0.2056
BM172	0.7804	0.2196	0.9252	0.0748
BM175	0.6449	0.3551	1.0000	0.0000
BM201	0.8738	0.1262	0.7290	0.2710
BM205	0.7757	0.2243	0.9159	0.0841
BM210	0.7757	0.2243	0.9299	0.0701
BM211	0.7570	0.2430	0.8972	0.1028
GATS91	0.4439	0.5561	0.7009	0.2991
JO1263	0.8411	0.1589	1.0000	0.0000
JO4555	0.7243	0.2757	0.7664	0.2336
K03289	0.7477	0.2523	0.9486	0.0514
M75856	0.6916	0.3084	0.9813	0.0187
U18349	0.6729	0.3271	1.0000	0.0000
U77935	0.8224	0.1776	0.9159	0.0841
X52626	0.8224	0.1776	1.0000	0.0000
X57022	0.7196	0.2804	0.9673	0.0327
X60000	0.1308	0.8692	0.1402	0.8598
X61293	0.4579	0.5421	0.9439	0.0561
X74919	0.6869	0.3131	0.9252	0.0748
X96999	0.7944	0.2056	0.9720	0.0280

(X61293, GATS91, BM154 and BM156) there was no alteration in allelic frequencies or only a slight natural selection effect favoring the alleles derived from the ESAL 686 parent and only for the X60000 loci did selection markedly favor the allele derived from ESAL 686.

In the F<sub>24</sub> population all 30 microsatellite loci were affected by natural selection (Table 2), with the selection favoring the Carioca MG parent in 29 loci and only the X60000 allele keeping the allelic frequencies observed in the F<sub>8</sub> population. When the F<sub>8</sub> and F<sub>24</sub> populations were compared, they showed different allelic frequencies in 29 loci, indicating that natural selection acted not only up to the F<sub>8</sub> generation but also from the F<sub>8</sub> to the F<sub>24</sub> generation,

favoring the alleles from the Carioca MG parent at different intensities. Only the genomic region, amplified by the X60000 primer in the ESAL 686 parent, was selected and only in the first segregant generations up to F<sub>8</sub>.

Variable effects from natural selection in different gene loci for different traits and in different generations have been reported by various authors (Jain and Allard, 1960); Allard and workman, 1963; Allard and Hansche; 1964; Allard *et al.*, 1968) and natural selection effects have also been reported in studies using enzymatic markers (Allard, 1975; Allard, 1990; Allard *et al.*, 1992; Allard 1999), all these authors having suggested that the alleles favored by natural selection are associated with greater adaptations to particular environments.

The fact that natural selection is predominant in favoring allele from the Carioca MG parent is in line with the fact that in Brazil this cultivar is grown in most of the area cropped with *P. vulgaris* and indicates not only the high acceptance of this cultivar but also its greater adaptability (Ramalho and Abreu, 1998). The high yield produced by the Carioca MG cultivar is seen not only in Brazil but also in several other countries and is probably due to the greater tolerance to acid soils shown by this cultivar (Singh, 1992). However, it is also important to note that the Carioca MG cultivar has smaller seed than the ESAL 686 cultivar, which certainly was one of the reason why natural selection favored some of the genomic regions of the Carioca MG cultivar. The small seed size is selected by natural selection in segregant populations (Gonçalves *et al.*, 2001), but, however, this trait is not the only reason for the higher adaptability of the Carioca MG cultivar. The increase of grain yield due to natural selection was higher than that obtained by artificial selection in the population used in this study as well as in other populations, and this trait is directly and indirectly dependent on a high number of genes spread throughout the genome (Corte *et al.*, 2002; Silva *et al.*, 2004).

#### Estimates of the coefficients of relative fitness

The estimates of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_3$  smaller than 1.0 indicate that natural selection acted to reduce the frequencies of these genotypes compared to the heterozygote, which showed greater adaptability. On the other hand, estimates greater than 1.0 indicate that selection increased the homozygote frequency compared to the heterozygote frequency, which in this case would be less adapted (Hedrick, 1999).

The values of the coefficients of accumulated relative fitness from F<sub>7</sub> to F<sub>8</sub> ranged from 0.0202 to 0.732 for  $\omega_1$  and 0.0042 to 0.7186 for  $\omega_3$  (Table 3). It is important to point out that these accumulated coefficients refer to the effect of natural selection on the homozygotes from the F<sub>2</sub> generation to the F<sub>7</sub>, *i.e.* six generations. Although the amplitudes were similar for both, indicating variable selection intensity on adaptation allele associated to each microsatellite locus,

**Table 3** - Estimates per microsatellite locus of the coefficients of accumulated relative fitness ( $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_3$ ) in the F<sub>8</sub> and F<sub>24</sub> generations of a *P. vulgaris* cultivar Carioca MG and ESAL 686 cross. The table shows the results for 30 microsatellite primers.

Primer	F <sub>2</sub> to F <sub>8</sub>		F <sub>8</sub> to F <sub>24</sub>	
	$\omega_1$	$\omega_3$	$\omega_1$	$\omega_3$
BM139	0.1459	0.0216	0.1216	0.0055
BM141	0.0216	0.1135	0.0325	0
BM143	0.0441	0.0150	0.0667	0.0287
BM149	-	-	0.4129	0
BM152	0.0925	0.0108	0.1243	0.0055
BM154	-	-	-	-
BM156	0.0202	0.0221	0.3176	0.0357
BM157	0.3422	0.018	0.0096	0.0012
BM160	0.1571	0.0252	-	-
BM164	0.0830	0.0042	-	-
BM165	0.3076	0.2068	-	-
BM172	0.0600	0.0078	0.0287	0.0011
BM175	0.0840	0.0406	-	-
BM201	0.7732	0.1009	-	-
BM205	-	-	-	-
BM210	0.5106	0.1387	0.4410	0.1089
BM211	-	-	-	-
GATS91	0.0504	0.0664	0.0637	0.0192
JO1263	-	-	-	-
JO4555	0.0804	0.2280	-	-
K03289	0.0882	0.0214	0.0993	0.0090
M75856	-	-	-	-
U18349	-	-	-	-
U77935	-	-	0.0846	0.2890
X52626	-	-	-	-
X57022	-	-	0.5737	0.0433
X60000	-	-	-	-
X61293	0.6051	0.7186	0.5399	0.0229
X74919	0.0326	0.0080	0.1511	0.0207
X96999	-	-	-	-

we found that the mean  $\omega_1$  accumulated coefficient (0.1944) was greater than  $\omega_3$  (0.0982), indicating that natural selection was more intense on the homozygote for the alleles from the ESAL 686 line (A<sup>2</sup>A<sup>2</sup>). We observed the superiority of the heterozygote combinations because  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_3$  estimates were lower than 1.0 for all the loci, and also selection for A<sup>2</sup>A<sup>2</sup> was less intense than for A<sup>1</sup>A<sup>1</sup> for only five (27.8%) loci. These estimates confirm the greater adaptation of most of the homozygotes for alleles derived from the Carioca MG parent.

We could not estimate the accumulated  $\omega_1$  for some primers because there were no heterozygotes, the primers concerned being JO1263, BM211, U18349, X52626,

X57022, X60000, U77935, BM205, M75856, X96999, BM149 and BM154.

The coefficients of accumulated relative fitness varied from  $F_8$  to  $F_{24}$  from 0.0096 to 0.5737 for  $\omega_1$  and 0 to 0.2890 for  $\omega_3$  (Table 3). In this case the  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_3$  estimates included the effect of natural selection on the homozygotes of the  $F_8$  to  $F_{23}$  generation, *i.e.* 16 generations, or 2.67 times the number of generations compared with the  $F_8$  estimates. A lesser amplitude was observed in the  $\omega_3$  estimates (0.2890) compared with the  $\omega_1$  (0.5641) estimates, implying less oscillation in the coefficients of relative fitness for  $A^2A^2$  in the different loci. Comparing the  $\omega_1$  mean (0.2045) with  $\omega_3$  mean (0.0394) of the  $F_{24}$  generation to those of the  $F_8$  generation, the same effect of natural selection in  $F_8$  was observed, although with less intensity in  $F_{24}$ , probably because of the more extreme genotypic frequencies and lower genetic variation.

Between the two generations (*i.e.*  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$ ) the effects of natural selection were more pronounced on the first segregant generations, agreeing with the observations made by Allard *et al.* (1968), and also because the genotypic frequencies were closer because of the greater frequency of unfavorable alleles and, therefore, higher genetic variation.

Also due to absence of heterozygotes in the  $F_{24}$  generation the accumulated relative fitness coefficients could not be estimated for the following primers: JO1263, JO4555, BM211, BM160, U18349, X52626, BM164, BM175, X60000, BM165, BM205, M75856, BM201, X96999 and BM154. Although heterozygotes were not detected in 12  $F_8$  loci and in 15  $F_{24}$  loci, the expected heterozygote frequency in *P. vulgaris* in the absence of natural selection is 0.0124 in the  $F_8$  generation and 0.005 in the  $F_{24}$  generation. These frequencies are due to the reproductive system of *P. vulgaris* which is predominantly self-pollinating under the environmental conditions where the populations were grown. The heterozygote loci frequencies observed in a sample of 107 plant were higher than expected, especially in the  $F_{24}$  generation, showing the higher adaptation of the heterozygotes. According to Allard and Workman (1963), in favoring the maintenance of heterozygotes the effect of natural selection contributes to retaining genetic variability in the population. In line with the results of our study and based on the suggestion of Allard and Workman (1963), the population used was evaluated for grain yield of the families in the different generations. Genetic gain from natural selection was detected in far greater magnitude than those normally obtained by breeders (Corte *et al.*, 2002; Gonçalves *et al.*, 2001; Silva *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, the increase in yield due to the effect of natural selection, even in very advanced selfing generations, is the result of the greater adaptive value of loci in heterozygosis for this trait. Consequently it can be inferred that the high number of microsatellite heterozygote loci in the advanced self-pollination genera-

tions should also reflect genomic regions that contribute to greater adaptation and especially, the alleles from the Carioca MG parent.

Because only the  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$  populations were available, the  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_3$  relative fitness coefficients could not be estimated by generation. However the mean coefficients of relative fitness ( $\bar{\omega}_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_3$ ) were estimated for the two generations (Jain and Allard, 1960) using an iterative procedure and the  $\chi^2$  test to fit the expected genotypic frequencies to those observed in the  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$  generations. Wide fluctuations were observed in the estimates (Table 4), with the variation for the  $F_8$  plants ranging from 0.390 to 1.350 for  $\bar{\omega}_1$  and from 0.210 to 1.290 for  $\bar{\omega}_3$ . Similar ampli-

**Table 4** - Estimates per locus of the coefficients of mean relative fitness ( $\bar{\omega}_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_3$ ) in  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$  generations of a *P. vulgaris* cultivar Carioca MG and ESAL 686 cross. The table shows the results for 30 microsatellite primers.

Primer	Mean relative fitness - $F_8$			Mean relative fitness - $F_{24}$		
	$\bar{\omega}_1$	$\bar{\omega}_3$	$\chi$	$\bar{\omega}_1$	$\bar{\omega}_3$	$\chi$
BM139	0.640	0.400	0.0192	0.560	0.290	0.0336
BM141	0.680	0.610	0.0259	0.480	0.010	0.2771
BM143	0.480	0.350	0.0361	0.535	0.482	0.0408
BM149	1.310	1.020	0.8631	0.500	0.458	1.8440
BM152	0.580	0.320	0.0167	0.575	0.316	0.0491
BM154	1.300	1.290	1.1877	0.579	0.615	0.1711
BM156	0.390	0.400	0.0030	0.600	0.530	0.0455
BM157	0.760	0.390	0.0269	0.480	0.120	0.0315
BM160	0.653	0.428	0.0444	0.768	0.253	0.0261
BM164	0.560	0.210	0.0482	0.768	0.253	0.0441
BM165	0.730	0.675	0.0469	0.600	0.703	0.6109
BM172	0.525	0.285	0.0440	0.513	0.125	0.0330
BM175	0.572	0.482	0.0442	0.768	0.253	0.0437
BM201	0.867	0.576	0.0482	0.582	0.759	0.4006
BM205	1.229	0.985	0.9359	0.503	0.631	0.6169
BM210	0.810	0.621	0.0486	0.555	0.543	0.1635
BM211	1.310	1.066	0.9521	0.505	0.642	0.6628
GATS91	0.514	0.543	0.1328	0.510	0.486	0.0456
JO1263	1.255	0.925	0.8638	0.768	0.690	0.0434
JO4555	0.560	0.400	0.0495	0.615	0.677	0.9626
K03289	0.570	0.400	0.0044	0.556	0.368	0.0465
M75856	1.305	1.130	1.0148	0.575	0.637	0.1288
U18349	1.350	1.189	1.0674	0.768	0.600	0.0199
U77935	1.198	0.909	0.9316	0.416	0.449	0.0474
X52626	1.198	0.909	0.9316	0.768	0.600	0.0109
X57022	1.227	1.036	0.9893	0.490	0.524	0.0433
X60000	0.835	1.179	0.9251	0.710	1.170	0.0305
X61293	0.809	0.831	0.2537	0.548	0.507	0.0494
X74919	0.450	0.280	0.0064	0.575	0.435	0.0467
X96999	1.265	0.995	0.8909	0.625	0.759	0.0415

tudes were observed in the  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_3$  estimates that implied specific selection intensities on each locus and on each genotype per locus. Considering the means of the estimates of  $\bar{\omega}_1$  (0.864) and  $\bar{\omega}_3$  (0.694), natural selection was more intense in the homozygote for the ESAL 686 allele ( $A^2A^2$ ) than on  $A^1A^1$ . However, both had reduced frequencies compared to the heterozygote, confirming its adaptive superiority in all the loci where it was detected. Considering each locus, it was noted that the  $A^1A^1$  homozygote was more preserved by natural selection in 14 of the 18 loci where the heterozygote also occurred. In the remaining four loci the selection effect was similar on the two homozygotes.

In the 12 loci where heterozygotes were not detected in the  $F_8$  generation, the  $\bar{\omega}_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_3$  coefficient assumed values around, or slightly greater, than 1.0, indicating absence of natural selection on the homozygotes or even that it favored them in detriment to the heterozygotes. The greatest  $\bar{\omega}_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_3$  estimates occurred because the heterozygotes did not show adaptive advantage and were eliminated due to the predominantly self pollinating reproductive system of *P. vulgaris*, and were not detected among the 107 plants taken in this generation. Tables 2, 3 and 4 show that the alleles from the Carioca MG parent were selected in 10 loci, while alleles from the ESAL 686 parent were confined to the locus amplified by the X60000 primer. The locus amplified by the BM 154 primer was apparently unaffected by natural selection up to the  $F_8$  generation.

The  $\bar{\omega}_1$  estimated in the  $F_{24}$  populations varied from 0.416 to 0.768 and those of  $\bar{\omega}_3$  from 0.01 to 1.170. The means of these estimates showed that natural selection acted in a similar fashion up to the  $F_8$  generation, although it was apparently more intense especially in the heterozygous loci. Nevertheless, these estimates must contain great sampling errors, mainly because they were obtained using the observed frequencies as a reference. Among them are the heterozygous and homozygous genotypes for the allele of the ESAL 686 parent which occurred at very low frequencies and certainly did not represent what was actually happening in the population of 107  $F_{24}$  plants (Tables 2, 3, and 4). An indication of the large errors in the coefficients of relative fitness estimates for the  $F_{24}$  generation is also shown by the weak association between  $\omega_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_1$  ( $r = 0.39^*$ ) and  $\omega_3$  and  $\bar{\omega}_3$  ( $r = 0.76^{**}$ ). The  $F_8$  generation estimates are much more reliable because they showed much higher associations, between  $\omega_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_1$  ( $r = 0.86^{**}$ ) and  $\omega_3$  and  $\bar{\omega}_3$  ( $r = 0.91^{**}$ ).

It is important to mention that, although the mean coefficients of relative fitness explain the phenotypic proportions observed in  $F_8$  and  $F_{24}$ , the coefficients that occur in each segregant generation probably oscillate around the mean values. The reasons for these oscillations were mainly the different environmental conditions where the populations were grown. These conditions corresponded to

three locations in Minas Gerais State and three cropping seasons: winter, rainy season and dry season, over a period of 8 years and represent the *P. vulgaris* cultivation conditions. In this phase of generation advance, the population was conducted in bulk, using about 1000 plants per generation/environment, thus reducing sampling oscillations. Sharp oscillations in the relative fitness coefficients per cycle have been observed for *P. vulgaris* by Allard and Workman (1963), *Secale cereali* (rye) by Jain and Allard (1960) and in *Phaseolus lunatus* (lima bean) by Allard and Hansche (1964), all self-pollinating species similar to *P. vulgaris* in terms of reproductive system.

It is important to highlight that the microsatellite fragments selected by natural selection can be used as markers by the breeder to perform assisted selection, because they are in genomic regions probably associated to alleles of greater adaptation (Allard, 1999). Thus it is expected that genotype selection in segregant populations, homozygous for the alleles selected by natural selection, contribute to increasing the adaptation of the lines to be selected, in face of the impossibility of direct assessment of adaptability.

In conclusion our work shows that natural selection affected all the microsatellite segregant loci and the allelic frequencies of the most adapted parent were increased in 29 of the 30 loci. We also found that natural selection intensity was specific for each microsatellite locus and generation. From our results it can be inferred that in *P. vulgaris* 30 or more loci must affect adaptation due to the action of natural selection throughout the genome. The data presented in this paper suggest that microsatellite alleles selected by natural selection might be useful in assisted selection to increase adaptability.

## Acknowledgments

Supported by the Brazilian agencies FAPEMIG and CNPq.

## References

- Allard RW (1988) Genetic changes associated with the evolution of adaptedness in cultivated plants and their wild progenitors. *Journal of Heredity* 79:225-238.
- Allard RW (1999) *Principles of Plant Breeding*. 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 254 pp.
- Allard RW (1990) The genetics of host-pathogen coevolution: Implications in genetic resource conservation. *Journal of Heredity* 81:1-6.
- Allard RW (1975) The mating system and microevolution. *Genetics* 79:115-126.
- Allard RW and Hansche PE (1964) Some parameters of population variability and their implications in plant breeding. *Advances in Agronomy* 16:281-325.
- Allard RW and Jain SK (1962) Population studies in predominantly self-pollinated species, II. Analysis of quantitative changes in a bulk hybrid population of barley. *Evolution* 16:90-101.

- Allard RW, Jain SK and Workman PL (1968) The genetics of inbreeding populations. *Advances in Genetics* 14:55-131.
- Allard RW, Zhang QIFA, Saghai Maroof MA and Muona OM (1992) Evolution of multilocus genetic structure in an experimental barley population. *Genetics* 131:957-969.
- Allard RW and Workman PL (1963) Population studies in predominantly self-pollinated species. IV seasonal fluctuations in estimated of genetic parameters in lima bean populations. *Evolution* 17:470-480.
- Corte HR, Ramalho MAP, Gonçalves FMA and Abreu AFB (2002) Natural selection for grain yield in dry bean populations bred by the bulk method. *Euphytica* 123:387-393.
- Díaz PH, González OM, Pablos YRV and Báez GCFA (1999) Aplicaciones de las lectinas. *Revista Cubana Hematología Inmunología Hemoterapia* 15:91-95.
- Gaitán-Solís E, Duque MC, Edwards KJ and Tohme J (2002) Microsatellite repeats in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*): Isolation, characterization, and cross-species amplification in *Phaseolus* ssp. *Crop Science* 42:2128-2136.
- Gonçalves FMA, Ramalho MAP and Abreu AFB (2001) Natural selection for common bean traits. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology* 1:213-220.
- Greco R, Stagi L, Colombo L, Angenent GC, Sari-Gorla M and Pe ME (1997) MADS Box genes expressed in developing inflorescences of rice and sorghum. *Molecular General Genetics* 253:615-623.
- Guan C, Rosen ES, Boonsirichai K, Poff KL and Masson PH (2003) The ARG1-LIKE2 gene of *Arabidopsis* functions in a gravity signal transduction pathway that is genetically distinct from the PGM pathway. *Plant Physiology* 133:100-112.
- Halford NG, Hey S, Jhurreea D, Laurie S, Mckibbin RS, Paul M and Zhang Y (2003) Metabolic signaling and carbon partitioning: Role of Snfl-related (SnRK1) protein kinase. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 54:467-475.
- Hamblin J (1977) Pant breeding interpretations of the effects of bulk breeding on four populations of beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Euphytica* 26:157-168.
- Hedrick PW (1999) *Genetics of Populations*. 2nd edition. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Sudbury, 629 pp.
- Jain SK and Allard RW (1960) Population studies in predominantly self-pollinated species, I. Evidence for heterozygote advantage in a closed population of barley. *Proceedings of the National Academic of Science* 46:1371-1377.
- Liu XM, Smith CM, Gill BS and Tolmay V (2001) Microsatellite markers linked to six Russian wheat aphid resistance genes in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 102:504-510.
- Ma H (1994). The unfolding drama of flower development: Recent results from genetic and molecular analyses. *Genes Development* 8:745-726.
- Marques Júnior OG and Ramalho MAP (1995) Determinação da taxa de fecundação cruzada do feijoeiro (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) nas diferentes épocas de semeadura em Lavras-MG. *Ciência e Prática* 19:339-341.
- Nienhuis J, Tivang J, Sckroch P and Santos JB (1995) Genetic relationships among cultivars and lines of lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) as measured by RAPD marker. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science* 120:300-306.
- Pereira Filho TA and Cavariani C (1994) Taxa de hibridação natural do feijoeiro comum em Patos de Minas, Minas Gerais. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* 19:1181-1183.
- Ramalho MAP and Abreu FB (1998) Cultivares. In: Vieira CV, Paula Júnior TJ and Borém A (eds) *Feijão: Aspectos Gerais*. Editora da UFV, Viçosa, pp 435-450.
- Silva GF, Santos JB and Ramalho MAP (2003) Identification of SSR - and RAPD markers linked to a resistance allele for angular leaf spot in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) line ESAL 550. *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 26:459-463.
- Silva NO, Ramalho MAP, Abreu AFB and Carneiro JES (2004) Performance of common bean families after different generations under natural selection. *Genetic and Molecular Biology* 27:574-578.
- Singh SP (1992) Common bean improvement in the tropics. *Plant Breeding Reviews* 10:199-269.
- Steel HT and Torrie JH (1980) *Principles and Procedures of Statistics - A Biometrical Approach*. 2nd edition. McGraw-Hill, New York, 633 pp.
- Suneson CA (1956) An evolutionary plant breeding method. *Agronomy Journal* 48:188-191.
- Theissen G and Saedler H (1995) MAD box genes in plant ontogeny and phylogeny: Haeckel's "biogenetic law" revisited. *Current Opinion in Genetics Development* 5:628-639.
- Yu K, Park SJ, Poysa V and Gepts P (2000) Integration of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers into a molecular linkage map of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Journal of Heredity* 91:429-434.

Associate Editor: Everaldo Gonçalves Barros