

SECONDARY METABOLITES FROM DIPLODIA MAYDIS AND SCLEROTIUM ROLFSII WITH ANTIBIOTIC ACTIVITY

Marisa Alves Nogueira^{1*}; Gaspar Diaz²; William Andrioli¹; Fabiana André Falconi¹; José Renato Stangarlin³

¹Departamento de Farmácia, Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Cascavel, PR, Brasil; ²Departamento de Química, Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Cascavel, PR, Brasil; ³Departamento de Agronomia, Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Cascavel, PR, Brasil

Submitted: July 11, 2005; Returned to authors for corrections: October 20, 2005; Approved: January 23, 2006

SHORT COMMUNICATION

ABSTRACT

Ethyl acetate extracts of the phytopathogenic fungi *Diplodia maydis* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* presented antibacterial activity against multidrug resistant bacteria isolated from patients in the University Hospital (HUOP-Unioeste, Brazil).

Key words: *Diplodia maydis*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, multidrug resistance, phytopathogenic fungi, secondary metabolites

Biotechnology consists in the use of cellular systems for the development of processes and products holding economical and social interest. Within these systems, the fungi are of great biotechnological interest in fermentative processes that culminate in the production of secondary metabolites that are important for search of new antibiotics. The discovery and development of antibiotics was one of the most significant advances in medicine in the 20th century. Nevertheless, many antibacterial agents that were used to treat a variety of human infectious diseases are now ineffective. Therefore, to ensure that effective drugs will be available in the future, it is necessary to improve the antibacterial use patterns and to devise strategies to identify new antibiotics through previously unexplored targets (5). As part of an ongoing research for biological active secondary metabolites from phytopathogenic fungi (1,2) we have detected antibacterial activity in fermentation ethyl acetate extracts of *Diplodia maydis* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* fungi that were isolated from culture of mays and soya, respectively. They were evaluated against twelve Gram-negative bacteria and four Gram-positive bacteria using the bioautography assay (3,4). The fungi were obtained at the

Phytopathology Department of the Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, PR, Brazil. The production was carried out by inoculating 10^8 spore/mL in BDA medium at 28°C in a shaking incubator at 150 rpm for 168h. The cultures were filtered and submitted to the process of liquid-liquid partition furnishing the ethyl acetate extract that were concentrated by rotaevaporation. For the antibacterial assay 2.0 µg/mL of the extracts were applied to pre-coated TLC plates, without elution of the samples. The inoculum was prepared by culturing each organism on tryptone soya agar medium (TSA, Oxoid) at 37°C to turbidity equivalent to McFarland 0.5 standard (1.5×10^8 CFU/mL). One microliter of each diluted inoculum (10^4 - 10^6 CFU) was applied onto Mueller Hinton Agar medium (MHA-DIFCO), and distributed over TLC plates (2x4 cm). After solidification of the media, the TLC plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. Ciclopirox olamine was used as positive control. The experiments were repeated twice. The extracts presented activity on all tested bacteria with the strongest activity on the multidrug resistant bacteria *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Enterococcus cloacae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Table 1 and 2).

*Corresponding Author. Mailing address: Laboratório de Produtos Naturais, Departamento de Farmácia, Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Rua Universitária, 1069. Jardim Universitário. 85819-110, Cascavel, PR, Brasil. Tel.: (+5545) 3220-3278. Fax: (+5545) 3220-8032. E-mail: manogueira@unioeste.br

Table 1. Antibacterial activity of *Diplodia maydis* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* fungi.

| Bacteria | Control 10 µL/mL | <i>Diplodia maydis</i> 2 µg/mL | <i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> 2 µg/mL |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Zone of inhibition (mm) | | |
| <i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> * | 13 | 19 | 20 |
| <i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| <i>Enterococcus cloacae</i> * | 8 | 10 | 13 |
| <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> | 14 | 5 | 15 |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> | 8 | 6 | 17 |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> * | 5 | 18 | 20 |
| <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> * | 4 | 8 | 15 |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> | 5 | 6 | 20 |
| <i>Salmonella</i> sp. | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| <i>Shigella</i> sp. | 6 | 13 | 18 |
| <i>Bacillus cereus</i> | 5 | 7 | 15 |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> * | 7 | 4 | 17 |
| <i>Staphylococcus coagulase negative</i> * | 12 | 12 | 16 |
| <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> | 5 | NT | 15 |
| <i>Plesiomonas</i> | 5 | NT | 18 |
| <i>Citrobacter diversus</i> | 5 | NT | 12 |

*= Multidrug resistant bacteria; Control= Ciclopirox olamine; NT= not tested.

Table 2. Multidrug resistant bacteria.

| Bacteria | Antibiotics |
|--|--|
| <i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> | amikacin, ampicillin, aztreonam, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, chloranphenicol, gentamicin, imipenem and sulfazotrin. |
| <i>Enterococcus cloacae</i> | ampicillin, cephalothin, cefotaxime, chloranphenicol, gentamicin and sulfazotrin. |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> | nalidixic acid, pipemidine acid, ampicillin, cephalothin, cefepime, ceftazidime, gentamicin, nitrofurantoin and sulfazotrin. |
| <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> | nalidixic acid, pipemidine acid, ampicillin, cephalothin, cefepime, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, cefoxitin, ceftazidime, nitrofurantoin and sulfazotrin. |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, chloranphenicol, erythromycin, oxacillin, penicillin and sulfazotrin. |
| <i>Staphylococcus coagulase negative</i> | azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, chloranphenicol, erythromycin, oxacillin, penicillin, sulfazotrin, tetracycline and vancomycin. |

The results showed a variable effect of fungi extracts on the microorganisms. The fungus *S. rolfsii* was more active showing greatest values of zone of inhibition than *D. maydis* and antibiotic used as positive control. Screening for antibacterial activity of phytopatogenic fungi has not been described yet. This study demonstrated that ethyl acetate extracts of *D. maydis* and *S. rolfsii* fungi have a broader spectrum of

inhibiting action to growth of all the bacteria tested showing that the fungi produced secondary metabolites that may be used as antibiotic agents or as prototypes of them. Results indicate that the potential of these microorganisms to produce antibacterial compounds is great and must be better explored, stimulating us to investigate antibacterial chemical constituents that will be reported in due course.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank to Professor Gislaine, F.M. Costa for providing the microorganisms used in this study.

RESUMO

Metabólitos secundários de *Diplodia maydis* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* com atividade antibiótica

Extratos de acetato de etila obtidos dos fungos fitopatogênicos *Diplodia maydis* e *Sclerotium rolfsii* mostraram atividade bactericida contra bactérias multi droga resistentes isoladas de pacientes de Hospital Brasileiro.

Palavras-chave: *Diplodia maydis*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, multi droga resistência, fungos fitopatogênicos, metabólitos secundários

REFERENCES

1. Nogueira, M.A.; Andrioli W. *Screening for Antibacterial Activity of Secondary Metabolites Obtained from Phytopathogenic Fungi*. Cascavel, 2004, 50p. (Undergraduate Pharmacy's Monography, Departamento de Farmácia. Unioeste).
2. Nogueira, M.A.; Tiosso T.B. *Screening for Antibacterial Activity of Secondary Metabolites Obtained from Phytopathogenic and Ambiental Fungi*. Cascavel, 2003, 50p. (Undergraduate Pharmacy's Monography, Departamento de Farmácia. Unioeste).
3. Performance Standards for Antibacterial Disk Susceptibility Testing (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory) 7^a Ed. Supplement: M100-S9, 2000.
4. Saxena, G; Farner, S.; Towers, G.H.N. Hancock REW. Use of specific dyes in the detection of antibacterial compounds from crude plant extracts a thin layer chromatography agar overlay technique. *Phytochemical Analysis*, (6)3, 125-129, 1995.
5. Smith, T.L.; Jarvis, W.R. Antibacterial resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Microbes Infect.*, 1, 795-805, 1999.