

Original Article

Interspecific variations in external and internal egg quality among various captive avian species from Punjab, Pakistan

Variações interespecíficas na qualidade externa e interna do ovo entre várias espécies de aves em cativeiro de Punjab, Paquistão

T. Sadaf^a , M. Rashid^b , A. Hussain^a , A. Mahmud^c , S. M. Bukhari^a , R. Noor^a , G. Mustafa^a , M. Saleem^a , A. Ali^d , S. Ashraf^e , W. Ali^a and A. Javid^{a*}

- ^a University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Department of Wildlife and Ecology, Lahore, Pakistan
- ^bUniversity of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Faculty of Fisheries and Wildlife, Lahore, Pakistan
- ^c University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Department of Poultry Production, Lahore, Pakistan
- ^dThe Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Department of Zoology, Bahawalpur, Paistan
- ^eThe University of Lahore, Department of Zoology, Sargodha Campus, Sargodha, Pakistan

Abstract

Present study was planned to determine variations in external and internal quality egg parameters of different avian species including ostrich $Struthio\ camelus$, ducks $Anas\ platyrhynchos$, chicken $Gallus\ gallus$, turkeys $Meleagris\ gallopavo\ and\ grey\ francolin\ Francolinus\ pondicerinus$. All the birds were kept under similar rearing conditions. A total of 150 eggs were collected for each species to record external features of these eggs. Statistically significant (p<0.05) variations were recorded in egg weight, egg length and egg width between ostrich, ducks, chicken, turkey and quail eggs. Significantly (p<0.05) higher egg weight, egg length and egg width was observed for ostrich eggs while the same was lowest for grey francolin eggs. Similarly, significantly (p<0.05) greater shape index and egg volume values were observed for ostrich eggs while lowest shape index values were recorded for turkey eggs and egg volume was lowest for grey francolin. Significantly, higher (p<0.05) values of egg density were noted for eggs of the quail and the same were lowest for ostrich eggs. Non-significant variations in egg density values were observed between eggs of the ducks, chicken, turkey and grey francolin. It has been concluded that the positive correlations between the internal and external egg quality traits indicated that the traits can be improved through selection.

Keywords: ostrich, ducks, egg weight, egg density, shape index.

Resumo

O presente estudo foi planejado para determinar variações nos parâmetros externos e internos de qualidade dos ovos de diferentes espécies de aves, incluindo avestruz Struthio camelus, patos Anas platyrhynchos, frango Gallus gallus, perus Meleagris gallopavo e francolin cinza Francolinus pondicerinus. Todas as aves foram mantidas em condições de criação semelhantes. Um total de 150 ovos foi coletado para cada espécie para registrar as características externas desses ovos. Variações estatisticamente significativas (p < 0,05) foram registradas no peso do ovo, comprimento do ovo e largura do ovo entre os ovos de avestruz, patos, galinha, peru e codorna. Significativamente (p < 0,05) maior peso do ovo, comprimento e largura do ovo foram observados para ovos de avestruz, enquanto o mesmo foi menor para ovos de francolina cinza. Da mesma forma, significativamente (p < 0,05) maiores valores de índice de forma e volume de ovo foram observados para ovos de avestruz, enquanto os menores valores de índice de forma foram registrados para ovos de peru e o volume de ovo foi menor para francolina cinza. Significativamente, maiores (p < 0,05) valores de densidade de ovos foram observados para ovos de codorna e os mesmos foram menores para ovos de avestruz. Variações não significativas nos valores de densidade de ovos foram observadas entre os ovos de pato, frango, peru e francolina cinza. Concluiu-se que as correlações positivas entre as características internas e externas de qualidade do ovo indicaram que as características podem ser melhoradas por meio da seleção.

Palavras-chave: avestruz, patos, peso do ovo, densidade do ovo, índice de forma.

1. Introduction

Egg is considered as natural reproductive site, it provides balanced diet to developing embryo and also serves as food source for chick's first days of life (Abanikannda et al., 2007). Egg quality indicates those characters of egg that contributes it's acceptability towards consumer (Altinel et al., 1996). External egg quality

Received: November 4, 2020 – Accepted: November 30, 2020



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*}e-mail: arshadjavid@uvas.edu.pk

parameters including shell weight, egg weight, freshness and cleanliness play role in consumer's acceptability. Meanwhile, internal factors such as haugh unit, yolk index, yolk height, albumin height, albumin width are considered by industry as demand for liquid egg, frozen egg, powder egg increasing day by day (Silversides et al., 2006). In poultry industry internal and external traits of eggs are influencing growth quality, future generation and breeding performance (Di Rosa et al., 2020)

The efficiency of a breeding operation can be checked by number of quality chicks obtained and the number of quality eggs produced. Any shortcomings in physical specifications of an egg have adverse effect on healthy development of the embryo. Moreover, egg weight, egg size and shape index are of significance for hatchability. It is well documented that the rate of survival of chicks from small to large eggs are low as compared to medium sized eggs. Because larger eggs have poor hatchability rate and smaller eggs yield too small chicks. Therefore, medium sized eggs are recommended for incubation (Sahin et al., 2009).

For improving broiler and pullet efficacy and consistency an accurate prediction of chick weight before incubation is helpful in developing breeding programs (Wilson, 1991). In the domestic fowl, egg weight represents the chick weight normally being 61-76% of initial egg weight, and in the course of incubation is determined by weight loss and strain genetic differences, weight of shell and other residues at hatch, incubation time and conditions, chick sex and breeder age (Shanawany, 1987; Wilson 1991, 1992). In determining the livability, health and growth the main factor is the newly hatched chick (Sklan et al., 2003). Chick weight measured as an exact interpreter of final body weight whereas for others this has not been the case (Di Rosa et al., 2020; Shanawany, 1987; Ashraf et al., 2016).

Relationship between egg quality parameters and its relation with chick weight is predictable in chicken although must be discovered in other avian species. Clutch production in oviparous animals, represents giant investment in terms of reserves allotted to eggs and energy in a restricted time period (Nager, 2006). It has been revealed in avian species, that egg mass is extremely heritable and repeatable at individual level proposing a strong genetic constituent (Christians, 2002). Environmental constituents for example health conditions and food availability of the laying female contribute in egg and mass composition of intra-clutch variations (Birkan and Jacob, 1988; Ardia and Clotfelter, 2006). The relations of egg also play a very important role, present study is therefore planned to find out the external and internal egg parameters in selected avian species.

2. Materials and Methods

Present study was planned to determine variations in external and egg quality parameters in some captive avian species. Eggs of ostrich Struthio camelus, ducks Anas platyrhynchos, chicken Gallus gallus, turkeys Meleagris gallopavo and grey francolin Francolinus pondicerinus were collected from Avian Conservation and Research Center, Department of Wildlife

and Ecology, Ravi Campus, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore.

2.1. External and internal egg quality parameters

The weight of each egg was measured using digital weighing balance (Mettler Toledo, PL203 CE) with accuracy of 0.001 g while egg length and egg width were recorded using a vernier caliper. Egg volume, egg density and shape index were determined using following formulae (1-8);

$$Egg \ volume \left(cm^{3}\right) = K\pi LB^{2} / 6 \tag{1}$$

Egg density
$$(g/cm^3) =$$

= Egg weight
$$(g)$$
 / Egg volume (cm^3) (2)

= Short axis of egg / long axis of egg
$$\times$$
 100 (3)

Shell thickness: Shell thickness was measured from all ends (broader ends, middle ends, tapering ends) using screw gauge. Average shell thickness (with membrane) was measured from the average values of these three poles.

2.2. Internal egg quality parameters

Albumin height and length were measured by using venire caliper while albumin index (%) and albumin ratio (%) were recorded using following formulase;

Albumin index (%):

Albumin ratio (%):

Albumen weight
$$(g)$$
 / Egg weight (g)] x 100 (5)

Similarly, yolk height (mm) and width (cm) were measured by using venire caliper while yolk index and yolk ratio were determined using following formulas;

Yolk index (%):

Yolk ratio (%):

[Yolk weight
$$(g)$$
 / Egg weight (g) x 100 (7)

Albumin and yolk weight were measured by using weighing balance.

Shell weight: Cleaned the eggs from albumin residues, the egg shells were washed with water, dried at room temperature and weighed using electronic digital weighing balance.

Haugh unit (Hu): The Huagh unit is measurement of egg protein quality based on height of egg white and is calculated by formula;

$$100 \log 10 \left[H - 1.7 \text{w} 0.37 + 7.6 \right] \tag{8}$$

Shell thickness, shell membrane thickness, shell ratio, yolk ratio, albumen ratio and Haugh unit were determined following Kirikci et al. (2004) and Abd Salman Abu Tabeekh (2011).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Mean and standard deviation values were computed through the statistical software Microsoft Excel (Version 2010) and interspecific variations in external and internal egg quality parameters were determined by applying Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) through statistical software SAS 9.1.

3. Results

3.1. External egg quality parameters of various avian species

Species-wise variations in external egg quality parameters of ostrich *Struthio camelus*, turkeys *Meleagris gallopavo*, ducks *Anas platyrhynchos*, chicken *Gallus gallus* and grey francolin *Francolinus pondicerinus* are mentioned in Table 1.

The external quality parameters of ostrich *S. camelus* eggs (n = 30) are as fallow. Mean egg weight of all the eggs was 1024.4 ± 3.78 g, average egg length and egg width was 15.21 ± 0.173 cm and 10.59 ± 0.32 cm, respectively. Mean shape index was computed 69.62 ± 1.50 cm, mean egg volume was 831.22 ± 58.99 cm³ and mean egg density was 0.15 ± 0.008 g/cm³.

Similarly, mean weight, egg length, egg width, shape index, egg volume and egg density of turkey M. gallopavo eggs (n = 30) was recorded 82.89 \pm 1.836 g, 6.26 \pm 0.201 cm, 4.32 \pm 0.092 cm, 69.06, 56.90 \pm 3.46 cm³ and 1.46 \pm 0.07 g/cm³ respectively.

Average weight of *A. platyrhynchos* eggs (n = 30) was 54.60 ± 5.56 g, egg length was 5.41 ± 0.23 cm, egg width 3.72 ± 0.22 cm, shape index 68.74 ± 2.16 , egg volume 36.69 ± 5.84 cm³ while egg density was recorded 1.52 ± 0.26 g/cm³.

Mean egg weight, egg length, egg width, shape index, egg volume and egg density of *G. gallus* eggs (n = 10) was recorded 67.14 ± 7.70 g, 5.56 ± 0.35 cm, 4.06 ± 0.16 cm,

 73.17 ± 3.22 , 44.82 ± 6.06 cm³ and 1.52 ± 0.07 g/cm³, respectively.

Average weight of the *F. pondicerinus* eggs (n = 30) was 11.77 ± 1.15 g, egg length was 2.77 ± 0.12 cm, egg width was 2.21 ± 0.14 cm, mean shape index was 79.79 ± 3.68 , egg volume was 6.63 ± 1.01 cm³ and egg density was 1.80 ± 0.20 .

3.2. Internal egg quality parameters of various avian species

The internal egg quality parameters of the birds analyzed during present study are mentioned in Table 2. Internal egg quality parameters of Ostrich *S. camelus* eggs (n = 30) including average albumin weight was $52.05 \pm 0.16\%$, yolk weight was $28.42 \pm 0.13\%$, shell weight was $19.51 \pm 0.12\%$, average albumin height was 1.71 ± 0.09 cm, yolk height was 3.17 ± 0.13 cm, mean yolk diameter was 10.83 ± 3.18 cm, shell thickness was 2.36 ± 0.11 mm while pH of albumin and yolk were recorded 8.42 ± 0.26 and 6.48 ± 0.24 , respectively. Haugh unit was observed 73.57 ± 4.05 .

Similarly, average albumin weight, yolk weight, shell weight, albumin height, yolk height, yolk diameter, shell thickness, albumin pH, yolk pH and Haugh unit of turkey Meleagris gallopavo eggs (n = 30) was recorded $58.82 \pm 1.16\%$, $29.61 \pm 1.02\%$, $11.56 \pm 0.60\%$, 0.66 ± 0.139 cm, 1.28 ± 0.11 cm, 4.04 ± 0.13 cm, 0.28 ± 0.02 mm, 8.44 ± 0.19 , 6.25 ± 0.05 and 72.62 ± 10.10 , respectively.

Average albumin weight of *A. platyrhynchos* eggs (n =30) was recorded 49.32 \pm 0.91%, mean yolk weight was 36.36 \pm 2.15%, shell weight 14.31 \pm 1.33%, albumin height was 0.41 \pm 0.09 cm, yolk height 1.72 \pm 0.23 cm, yolk diameter was 4.68 \pm 0.20 cm, shell thickness was 0.33 \pm 0.01 mm, mean albumin pH 8.0 \pm 0.04 and yolk pH was 6.09 \pm 0.02. Haugh unit was 61.44 \pm 9.88. Mean albumin weight, yolk weight, shell weight, albumin height, yolk height, yolk diameter, shell thickness, albumin pH, yolk pH and Haugh unit of *G. gallus* eggs (n = 30) was observed 59.12 \pm 4.63%, 28.60 \pm 3.70%, 12.26 \pm 1.10%, 0.47 \pm 0.22 cm, 1.25 \pm 0.14 cm, 3.72 \pm 0.24 cm, 0.20 \pm 0.02 mm, 8.70 \pm 0.38, 6.27 \pm 0.12 and 58.75 \pm 19.05 respectively.

Average albumin weight of *F. pondicerinus* eggs (n = 30) was $38.58 \pm 1.50\%$, yolk weight was $46.47 \pm 1.71\%$, shell weight was $14.94 \pm 0.45\%$, albumin height was 0.09 ± 0.01 cm, yolk height was 0.65 ± 0.11 cm, yolk diameter was 2.05 ± 0.13 cm, shell thickness was 0.30 ± 0.08 mm. Average albumin and yolk pH was 8.83 ± 0.06 and 6.06 ± 0.02 , respectively. Haugh unit was calculated 63.19 ± 1.40 .

Table 1. Variations in external egg quality parameters in selected avian species.

			Parar	neters		
Avian species	Egg weight (g)	Egg length (cm)	Egg width (cm)	Shape index	Egg volume (cm³)	Egg density (g/cm³)
Struthio camelus	124.94±3.82 ^A	15.21±0.17 ^A	10.59±0.32 ^A	69.61±1.50 ^D	831.22±58.99 ^A	0.15±0.08 ^c
Meleagris gallopavo	82.89±1.83 ^B	$6.26 \pm 0.20^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	4.32 ± 0.09^{B}	69.06±2.34 ^E	56.90±3.46 ^B	$1.46 \pm 0.07^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$
Anas platyrhynchos	54.60±5.55 ^D	5.41±0.23 ^c	3.72±0.22 ^D	68.74±2.16 ^E	36.69±5.83 ^{CB}	1.51±0.25 ^B
Gallus gallus	67.73±6.76 ^c	5.56±0.35 ^c	4.06±0.16 ^c	73.16±3.21 ^c	44.82±6.06 ^B	1.51±0.06 ^B
Francolinus pondicerinus	11.77±1.14 ^F	2.77±0.11 ^F	$2.21 {\pm}~0.13^{\scriptscriptstyle F}$	79.78±3.67 ^A	6.63±1.01 ^D	1.79±0.19 ^A

Means with different letters in a column are statistically significant at p<0.05.

Table 2. Variations in internal egg quality parameters in selected avian species.

					Parameters	eters				
Avian species	Albumin	Yolk weight	Shell weight	Albumin	Yolk height	Yolk	Shell	Hd	-	
	weight (%)	(%)	(%)	height (cm)	(cm)	diameter (cm)	thickness (mm)	Albumin	Yolk	Haugh Unit
Struthio camelus	52.05 ± 0.16^{c}	28.42±0.13 ^E	19.51±0.12 ^A	2.16±0.06 ^A	3.17±0.12 ^A	10.83±3.17 ^A	2.36±0.11 ^A	8.42±0.26 ^B	6.48±0.23 ^A	73.57±4.05 ^A
Meleagris gallopavo	58.82 ± 1.16^{A}	$29.61{\pm}1.02^{\text{DE}}$	$11.56\pm0.60^{\text{DE}}$	$0.66\pm0.12^{\mathrm{B}}$	1.28±0.11 ^c	$4.04\pm0.12^{\mathrm{B}}$	0.27 ± 0.01^{CD}	$8.43{\pm}0.19^{\mathrm{B}}$	$6.24\pm0.04^{\mathrm{B}}$	$72.62{\pm}10.10^{\text{BA}}$
Anas platyrhynchos	49.32 ± 0.91^{D}	36.36 ± 2.15^{B}	14.31 ± 1.33^{B}	$0.41{\pm}0.08^{\text{c}}$	$1.72{\pm}0.22^{\text{B}}$	$4.68{\pm}0.19^{\text{B}}$	$0.33\pm0.01^{\mathrm{B}}$	$8.10\pm0.04^{\text{c}}$	6.09±0.02™	61.44±9.88°
Gallus gallus	59.12 ± 4.63^{A}	$28.60{\pm}3.70^{\text{E}}$	12.26 ± 1.10^{DC}	$0.47\pm0.21^{\text{c}}$	$1.25{\pm}0.14^{\rm c}$	$3.72{\pm}0.24^{\mathtt{B}}$	$0.20{\pm}0.01^{\rm E}$	$8.69\pm0.37^{\text{BA}}$	$6.27{\pm}0.11^{\text{B}}$	58.75 ± 19.05^{c}
Francolinus pondicerinus	$38.58{\pm}1.50^{\text{E}}$	$46.47{\pm}1.71^{\text{A}}$	$14.94\pm0.45^{\mathrm{B}}$	0.09±0.00€	$0.65{\pm}0.10^{\mathrm{D}}$	$2.05{\pm}0.12^{c}$	$0.30{\pm}0.08^{\rm CB}$	$8.87{\pm}0.5^{\text{A}}$	6.05 ± 0.02^{D}	$63.19\pm1.40^{\circ}$

Significantly (p<0.05) higher egg volume, egg weight, egg length and egg width, were observed for the eggs of ostrich Struthio camelus than all the other avian species. Similarly, egg weight varied significantly between Meleagris gallopavo, Gallus gallus, Anas platyrhynchos and Francolinus pondicerinus. Significantly (p<0.05) lower values for egg length, egg width and egg weight were recorded in Francolinus pondicerinus eggs. Statistically significant (p<0.05) variations in shape index were recorded between the eggs of S. camelus, M. gallopavo, G. gallus and F. pondicerinus. Significantly (p<0.05) higher values of shape index were observed for the eggs of F. pondicerinus followed by G. gallus and S. camelus while lower shape index values were noted in M. gallopavo and A. platyrhynchos eggs. Maximum egg density was recorded for F. pondicerinus egg while the same was lowest for S. camelus. However, non-significant variations in egg density were observed among all the other species. Significantly (p<0.05) lower egg volume was recorded in the eggs of F. pondicerinus while non-significant variations were observed among the eggs of M. gallopavo and G. gallus.

4. Discussion

During present study, significantly (p<0.05) higher egg weight 1024.94±3.82 g was recorded in Struthio camelus. These results are in-line with the finding of Moreki et al. (2016) who reported similar egg weight in *S. camelus*. However, Arul Mozhi Selvan et al. (2014) reported higher egg weight in ostrich 1435.1 \pm 20.10 g. The difference in weight of eggs might be due to different strains and body weight. Similarly, eggs of S. camelus had significantly (p<0.05) higher egg length, egg width and egg volume than all the other avian species investigated during present study. These results confirm the findings of Arul Mozhi Selvan et al. (2014) who reported similar egg length, width and volume in ostrich eggs. These results are attributed to higher body weight and heavier egg size of ostrich than other species. During present study, higher egg density was observed in Francolinus pondicerinus followed by Meleagris gallopavo, Gallus gallus, Anas platyrhynchos, F. pondicerinus and S. camelus. Significantly (p<0.05) higher values of shape index were observed for the eggs of F. pondicerinus followed by; G. gallus and S. camelus eggs. Significantly (p<0.05) lower egg volume was recorded in the eggs of F. pondicerinus while non-significant variations were observed among the eggs of M. gallopavo and G. gallus.

Higher values of albumin were observed in the eggs of *Gallus gallus* followed by *M. gallopavo*, *S. camelus* and *A. platyrhynchos*. Similarly, significant (p<0.05) variations in yolk weight was recorded between the eggs of *S. camelus*, *A. platyrhynchos* and *F. pondicerinus*. Significantly (p<0.05) lower values of shell weight were observed for the eggs of *A. platyrhynchos* and *F. pondicerinus* while the same was highest for *S. camelus* eggs. Similar results for the percentage of albumin, yolk and shell were reported by Horbanczuk (2002), Kuli and Seker (2004). It has been concluded that the positive correlations between the internal and external egg quality traits indicated that the traits can be improved through selection.

References

- ABANIKANNDA, O.T.F., OLUTOGUN, O., LEIGH, A.O. and AJAYI, L.A., 2007. Statistical modeling of egg weight and egg dimensions in commercial layers. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, vol. 6, pp. 59-63.
- ABD SALMAN ABU TABEEKH, M., 2011. Evaluation of some external and internal egg quality traits of quails reared in Basrah City. Magallat al-Basrat Li-l-Abhat al-Baytariyyat, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 78-84. http://dx.doi.org/10.33762/bvetr.2011.55029.
- ALTINEL, A., GUNES, H., KIRMIZIBAYRAK, T., COREKCI, S.G. and BILAL, T., 1996. The studies on egg quality characteristics of Japanese quails. *Istanbul* Üniversitesi *Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi*, vol. 22, pp. 203–213.
- ARDIA, D.R. and CLOTFELTER, E.D., 2006. Individual quality and age affect responses to an energetic constraint in a cavitynesting bird. *Behavioral Ecology*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 259-266. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arl078.
- ARUL MOZHI SELVAN, V., ANAND, R.B. and UDAYAKUMAR, M., 2014. Effect of Cerium Oxide Nanoparticles and Carbon Nanotubes as fuel-borne additives in Diesterol blends on the performance. combustion and emission characteristics of a variable compression ratio engine. *Fuel*, vol. 130, pp. 160-167. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.04.034.
- ASHRAF, S., JAVID, A., AKRAM, M., MALIK, S., IRFAN and ALTAF, M., 2016. Influence of egg weight on egg quality parameters and growth traits in ring necked pheasants. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, vol. 26, pp. 331-338.
- BIRKAN, M. and JACOB, M., 1988. The grey partridge. Paris: Hatier.
- CHRISTIANS, J.K., 2002. Avian egg size: variation within species and inflexibility within individuals. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 1-26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1464793101005784. PMid:11911371.
- DI ROSA, A.R., CHIOFALO, B., LO PRESTI, V., CHIOFALO, V. and LIOTTA, L., 2020. Egg quality from siciliana and livorno italian autochthonous chicken breeds reared in organic system. *Animals* (*Basel*), vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 864-866. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ani10050864. PMid:32429459.
- HORBANCZUK, J.O., 2002. The history and current status of ostrich farming in Poland. In *Proceedings of World Ostrich Congress*, 2002, Warsaw, Poland: European Ostrich Group, pp. 7-13.
- KIRIKCI, K., ÇETIN, O., GÜNLÜ, A. and GARIP, M., 2004. Effect of hen weight on egg production and some egg quality characteristics in pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*). Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 684-687. http://dx.doi. org/10.5713/ajas.2004.684.
- KULI and SEKER, D.M., 2004. The programme for calculation of the line asymmetry in spectrum of the Sun and stars. Azerbaijão: Baku State University, vol. 2, pp. 158-165. Report.
- MOREKI, J.C., NELSON, K. and BOITUMELO, W., 2016. Assessment of management practices of Tswana chickens at North East District of Botswana. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences*, vol. 1, pp. 29-38.
- NAGER, R.G., 2006. The challenges of making eggs. *Ardea*, vol. 94, pp. 323-346.
- SAHIN, H., CAHANGIROV, S., TOPSAKAL, M., BEKAROGLU, E., AKTURK, E., SENGER, R.T. and CIRACI, S., 2009. Monolayer honeycomb structures of group-IV elements and III-V binary compounds: first-principles calculations. *Physical Review. B*, vol. 80, no. 15, pp. 155453. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155453.
- SHANAWANY, M.M., 1987. Hatching weight in realation to egg weight in domestic bird. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 107-115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/WPS19870008.

- SILVERSIDES, F.G., SCOTT, T.A., KORVER, D.R., AFSHARMANESH, M. and HRUBY, M., 2006. A study on the interaction of xylanase and phytase enzymes in wheat-based diets fed to commercial white and brown egg laying hens. *Poultry Science*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 297-305. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/85.2.297. PMid:16523630.
- SKLAN, D., SMIRNOV, A. and PLAVNIK, I., 2003. The effect of dietary fibre on the small intestines and apparent digestion in the turkey. *British Poultry Science*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 735-
- 740. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00071660310001643750. PMid:14965095.
- WILSON, H.R., 1991. Interrelationship of egg size, chick size, post hatching growth and hatchability. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 5-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/WPS19910002.
- WILSON, H.R., 1992. Physiological requirements of the developing embryo: temperature and turning. In S.G. Tullet, ed. *Avian incubation*. USA: Butterworth-Heinemann, pp. 145-156.