dentais in vitro

Study of DNA damage induced by dental bleaching agents *in vitro*Estudo de danos no DNA induzidos por agentes clareadores

Daniel Araki Ribeiro* Mariângela Esther Alencar Marques** Daisy Maria Fávero Salvadori***

ABSTRACT: Dental bleaching is a simple and conservative procedure for aesthetic restoration of vital and non-vital discolored teeth. Nevertheless, a number of studies have demonstrated the risk of tissue damage from the contact of these agents with the oral mucosa. In the current study, the genotoxic potential associated with exposure to dental bleaching agents was assessed by the single cell gel (comet) assay *in vitro*. Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells *in vitro* were exposed to six commercial dental bleaching agents (Clarigel Gold – Dentsply; Whitespeed – Discus Dental; Nite White – Discus Dental; Magic Bleaching – Vigodent; Whiteness HP – FGM and Lase Peroxide - DMC). The results pointed out that all dental bleaching agents tested contributed to DNA damage as depicted by the mean tail moment, being the strongest effect observed with the highest dose of hydrogen peroxide (Whiteness HP and Lase Peroxide, at a 35% concentration). On the other hand, Magic Bleaching (Vigodent) induced the lowest level of DNA breakage. Negative and positive controls displayed absence and presence of DNA-damaging, respectively. Taken together, these results suggest that dental bleaching agents may be a factor that increases the level of DNA damage. A higher concentration of hydrogen peroxide produced higher noxious activities in the genome as detected by single cell gel (comet) assay.

DESCRIPTORS: CHO cells; Comet assay; Tooth bleaching; Mutagenicity tests.

RESUMO: Clareamento dental é um procedimento simples e conservador para restaurar esteticamente a cor de dentes vitais e não-vitais. Entretanto, alguns estudos têm demonstrado o risco de dano tecidual a partir do contato desses agentes com a mucosa bucal. Neste presente estudo, o potencial genotóxico associado à exposição aos agentes clareadores dentais foi avaliado pelo teste de células individualizadas em gel (teste do cometa) in vitro. Células de ovário de hamster chinês (CHO) in vitro foram expostas a seis agentes clareadores dentais comercialmente disponíveis (Clarigel Gold – Dentsply; Whitespeed – Discus Dental; Nite White – Discus Dental; Magic Bleaching – Vigodent; Whiteness HP – FGM e Lase Peroxide – DMC). Os resultados mostraram que todos os agentes clareadores testados contribuíram para os danos no DNA, como demonstrado pela média do momento da cauda, sendo o efeito mais forte observado na mais alta dose de peróxido de hidrogênio (Whiteness HP e Lase Peroxide, na concentração de 35%). Por outro lado, Magic Bleaching (Vigodent) induziu o menor nível de quebras no DNA. Os controles negativo e positivo apresentaram ausência e presença de danos no DNA, respectivamente. Em suma, esses resultados sugerem que os agentes clareadores dentais podem ser um fator que aumenta o nível de danos no DNA. Uma concentração de peróxido de hidrogênio mais elevada produziu atividades nocivas mais severas no genoma como detectado pelo teste do cometa.

DESCRITORES: Células CHO; Ensaio em cometa; Clareamento de dente; Testes de mutagenicidade.

INTRODUCTION

Dental bleaching is a simple and conservative procedure for aesthetic restoration of vital and non-vital discolored teeth. There are many bleaching agents commercially available with various constituents, such as hydrogen peroxide and carbamide peroxide. Carbamide peroxide decomposes to produce hydrogen peroxide²⁹, which may be considered as the active ingredient of choice for bleaching because of its low molecular weight

and its ability to denature proteins. Nevertheless, a number of studies have demonstrated the risk of tissue damage from the contact of these agents with the oral mucosa^{8,13,17}. Hydrogen peroxide is able to interact both directly with DNA and through highly reactive oxygen and radical species causing extensive oxidative DNA damage⁶. So far, oxidative DNA damage has been recognized as a major cause of cell death and mutations in all aerobic

^{*} Post-Doctoral Researcher; **Head Professor; ***Researcher, Center for Genotoxins and Carcinogens Evaluation (TOXICAN) – Department of Pathology, Botucatu Medical School, São Paulo State University.

organisms. In humans, oxidative DNA damage is also considered an important promoter of cancer⁴. As the incidence of head and neck cancer has increased in recent years, particularly in developing countries such as India, Vietnam and Brazil, where it constitutes up to 25% of all types of cancer¹⁸, further risk factors rather than tobacco smoke and the abuse of alcohol are of special concern.

Understanding how cancer develops creates opportunities for cancer prevention or early detection. An important part of this effort is to identify the agents and exposures that cause cancer. Genotoxicity tests can be defined as *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests designed to detect compounds which induce genetic damage such as DNA strand breaks, gene mutation, chromosomal breakage and altered DNA repair capacity. In the last decades, genotoxicity assays have gained widespread acceptance as an important and useful indicator of carcinogenicity².

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate whether dental bleaching agents can induce DNA breakage in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells *in vitro*. CHO cells were chosen because the mechanism of DNA damage induced in these cells has been well documented. To evaluate the magnitude of DNA damage, we used the alkaline version of the single cell gel (comet) assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

CHO K-1 cells were grown to confluence in 75cm² culture flasks (Corning, New York, NY, USA) using Ham's F-10 medium (Invitrogen Corporation, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen Corporation) incubated in a 95% air, 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C. Cells were cultured for 5 days prior to treatment with test substances. Confluent cells were detached with 0.15% trypsin (Invitrogen Corporation) for 5 minutes, after that, 2 ml of complete medium were added and cells were centrifuged at 180 g for 5 minutes. Cell suspension was counted using a Neubauer® chamber (Herka, Berlin, Germany) and seeded in 96-well microtitre plates (Corning) at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well (at a concentration of 1×10^6 /mL). All the procedures in this study concern ethical conducts described by the Ethics Committee, Botucatu Medical School, São Paulo State University, SP, Brazil.

Treatment of cells

For this study, the following dental bleaching agents were used: Clarigel Gold (Dentsply, São Paulo, Brazil, Lot no. 5733); Whitespeed (Discus Dental, Culver City, USA, Lot no. 02564002); Nite White Excel 2 (Discus Dental, Culver City, USA, Lot no. 02287002); Magic Bleaching (Vigodent, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Lot no. 00104); Whiteness HP (FGM, Joinville, Brazil, Lot no. 02262002) and Lase Peroxide (DMC, São Paulo, Brazil, Lot no. 02281). Clarigel Gold, Whitespeed, Nite White Excel 2 and Magic Bleaching provided hydrogen peroxide at a 16% concentration, whereas Whiteness HP and Lase Peroxide provided hydrogen peroxide at a 35% concentration. The respective manufacturers estimated these quantifications. Each material was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA) at a ratio of 1:1 in a final volume of 10 µl. A volume of 10 µl of cells $(\sim 1 \times 10^4 \text{ cells})$ was then added individually to each final solution of dental bleaching agents for 15 minutes on ice. Negative control was treated with 10 μl of DMSO only during 15 min. Since cytotoxicity is a confounding factor in genotoxicity studies, it is not recommended to perform the single cell gel (comet) assay on samples with more than 30% cytotoxicity²⁸. Thus, the exposure period as well as the final concentration used herein were performed as described elsewhere²². Independent positive control was performed with MMS (methylmetanesulfonate, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at a 1 µg/mL dose for 1 hour in order to ensure the reproducibility and sensitivity of the assay. After completing the respective experimental periods, all individual treatments were centrifuged at 180 g during 5 minutes, washed twice with fresh medium (RPMI 1640 glutamax medium; Life Sciences, Paisley, USA) and re-suspended with fresh medium (RPMI 1640 glutamax medium). Each individual treatment was repeated three times consecutively to ensure reproducibility.

Single cell gel (comet) assay

The protocol used for single cell gel (comet) assay followed the guidelines purposed by Tice *et al.*²⁸ (2000). Briefly, a volume of 10 μ l of treated or control cells (~1 × 10⁴ cells) were added to 120 μ l of 0.5% low-melting point agarose (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C, layered onto a pre-coated slide with 1.5% regular agarose, and covered with a coverslip. After brief agarose solidification in refrigerator, the coverslip was removed and the slides were immersed in a lysis solution (2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM EDTA – Merck, St. Louis,

USA; 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH = 10 - Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA; 1% sodium sarcosinate -Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA; with 1% Triton X-100 - Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA; and 10% DMSO - Merck, St. Louis, USA) for about 1 hour. Prior to electrophoresis, the slides were left in alkaline buffer (0.3 mM NaOH, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; and 1 mM EDTA, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; pH > 13) for 20 minutes and electrophoresed for another 20 minutes, at 25 V (0.86 V/cm) and 300 mA. After electrophoresis, the slides were neutralized in 0.4 M Tris-HCl (pH = 7.5), fixed in absolute ethanol (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and stored at room temperature until analysis. In order to minimize extraneous DNA damage from ambient ultraviolet radiation, all steps were performed with reduced illumination.

Throughout this study, diluted and treated aliquots were tested for viability by trypan blue exclusion¹⁹, and constantly more than 70% of cells excluded trypan.

Comet capture and analysis

A total of 50 randomly captured comets from each slide¹² were examined blindly at 400 X magnification using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Orangeburg, USA) connected through a black and white camera to an image analysis system (Comet Assay II, Perceptive Instruments, Sufolk, Haverhill, UK). A computerized image analysis system acquires images, computes the integrated intensity profiles for each cell, estimates the comet cell components and then evaluates the range of derived parameters. Undamaged cells have an intact nucleus without a tail and damaged cells have the appearance of a comet. To quantify the DNA damage, tail moment was evaluated. Tail moment was calculated as the product of the tail length and the fraction of DNA in the comet tail. The comet tail moment is positively correlated with the level of DNA breakage in a cell. The mean value of the tail moment in a particular sample was taken as an index of DNA damage in this sample.

Statistical methods

The parameter from the comet assay (tail moment) was assessed by the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test followed by a post-hoc analysis (Dunn's test) if a significant effect was detected, using SigmaStat software, version 1.0 (Jadel Scientific, Rafael, CA, USA). The level of statistical significance was set at 5%.

RESULTS

The single cell gel (comet) assay was used to measure DNA damage in CHO cells *in vitro*. DNA strand breaks were represented by the mean tail moment for 50 comets/sample. As seen in Table 1, the assay was able to detect significant increase in tail moment (MMS-treated cells) compared with the negative control. Furthermore, all compounds tested induced strand breaks in DNA, being the strongest effect observed at the highest dose of the hydrogen peroxide (Whiteness HP and Lase Peroxide, at a 35% concentration). On the other hand, Magic bleaching induced the lowest level of DNA breakage when compared to the other products evaluated.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to evaluate the genotoxic damage dental bleaching agents-induced on CHO cells in vitro. The investigation was conducted utilizing the single cell gel (comet) assay. The single cell gel (comet) assay in its alkaline version is a rapid, simple, and reliable biochemical technique for evaluating DNA damage in mammalian cells²⁸. The basic principle of the single cell gel (comet) assay is the migration of DNA in an agarose matrix under electrophoretic conditions. When viewed under a microscope, a cell has the appearance of a comet, with a head (the nuclear region) and a tail containing DNA fragments or strands migrating in the direction of the anode. Our own recent studies have demonstrated that the single cell gel (comet) assay is a suitable tool to investigate genotoxicity of compounds used in dental practice^{20,23,25}.

TABLE 1 - Mean \pm Standard deviation of DNA damage (tail moment) in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells treated with dental bleaching agents (n = 3).

Groups	Tail moment
Negative control ¹	0.89 ± 0.24
Clarigel Gold	4.74 ± 0.64*
Whitespeed	5.27 ± 0.45*
Nite White Excel	5.25 ± 0.55*
Magic Bleaching	2.80 ± 0.56*
Whiteness HP	7.17 ± 0.70*
Lase Peroxide	7.96 ± 0.44*
Positive control ²	3.51 ± 0.34*

^{*}p < 0.05 when compared to the negative control group. $^{1}DMSO.^{2}MMS$ (1 $\mu g/ml$).

In vitro studies are simple, inexpensive to perform, provide a significant amount of information, can be conducted under controlled conditions, and may elucidate the mechanisms of cellular toxicity⁹. The results obtained from in vitro assays might be indicative of the effects observed in vivo. It is important to notice that the alkaline version of the single cell gel (comet) assay used here is sensitive for a wide variety of DNA lesions. Among them are DNA strand breaks; alkali-labile sites lesions including abasic sites and incomplete repair sites²⁸. Tail moment is a virtual measure calculated by the computerized image analysis system considering both the length of DNA migration in the comet tail and the tail intensity. This parameter is one of the best indices of induced DNA damage among the various parameters calculated by this method. Considering that alkylating agents are expected to be the most potent and abundant chemical DNAdamaging found in our environment¹⁵ we were able to employ, in this study, the MMS as a model for alkylation damage (positive control).

Many trials have suggested deleterious effects of dental bleaching agents upon penetration into the pulp chamber or even cytotoxicity^{3,10}. Nevertheless, genotoxicity studies are rare up to now³⁰. Taking into consideration that the single cell gel (comet) assay is potentially a part of a battery of in vitro/in vivo assays used for regulatory submissions, we decided to apply this assay in this setting. The results clearly demonstrated that all dental bleaching compounds contributed to the DNA damage. Hydrogen peroxide, a component of the dental bleaching agents, is a molecule that easily goes through the cell membrane and is transformed in hydroxyl radicals by a non-enzymatic process in the presence of metal ions (Fe²⁺ or Cu²⁺) occurring in the cytoplasm, known as the Haber-Weiss or Fenton reaction. Hydroxyl radicals, a potent-derived free radical species, can induce single-strand breaks, double-strand breaks, alkali-labile sites and various species of oxidized purines and pyrimidines^{11,14,26}. Other free oxygen radicals derived from hydrogen peroxide can also interact with DNA and induce a broad spectrum of DNA lesions⁶. In fact, it has been widely reported that oxygen reactive species derived from hydrogen peroxide induce DNA breakage, mutations, as well as carcinogenesis^{1,7,30}.

We also noticed that the strongest effect observed was with the highest dose of hydrogen peroxide (Whiteness HP and Lase Peroxide, at a 35% concentration). Our findings are in accordance with previous studies showing bad effects of higher concentrations when compared to low-

er concentrations of bleaching agents^{3,10}. Overall, these data reinforce the need for caution in the use of bleaching agents since there exists a strong evidence of the relationship between DNA damage and carcinogenesis²¹. It has been established that the environment contains significant amounts of carcinogenic processes; the carcinogenic effect will increase proportionately to the amounts of carcinogen observed⁵. As different concentrations of solutions eventually yield the same color change, although following different rates¹⁶, bleaching agents of lower concentrations are better since they do minimize the side-effects produced by hydrogen peroxide. It is important to emphasize that the single cell gel (comet) assay does not necessarily predict the mutagenic potential of agents²⁷. One possible explanation for the absence of a close relationship to mutagenesis is that the effects seen in the single cell gel (comet) assay for dental bleaching agents may occur as a consequence of an error free DNA repair process. Thus, for a more detailed judgment on the genotoxic potential of dental bleaching agents, a battery of tests is feasible.

Considering that dead cells may present highly damaged DNA, the Single Cell Gel (comet) Assay Expert Group recommends a concurrent assessment of cellular viability²⁸. The CHO cells in the present study were tested for trypan blue exclusion and our results indicated that constantly more than 70% of cells excluded trypan. Furthermore, we excluded from the analysis comets that presented a "cloud" of DNA considering that these cells could represent dead cells, resulting from putative cytotoxic effects of dental bleaching agents rather than primary DNA-damage following a direct interaction between DNA and genotoxic agent²⁴.

CONCLUSION

In summary, our results indicate that exposure to dental bleaching agents may be a factor that increases the level of DNA damage in CHO cells. A higher concentration of hydrogen peroxide produced higher noxious activities in the genome as detected by single cell gel (comet) assay.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Grant number 141501/2002-2).

REFERENCES

- Asad NR, Leitao AC. Effects of metal ion chelators on DNA strand breaks and inactivation by hydrogen peroxide in *Escherichia coli*: detection of iron-dependent lesions. J Bacteriol 1991;173:2562-8.
- Auletta A, Ashby J. Workshop on the relationship between short-term information and carcinogenicity; Williamsburg, Virginia, January 20-23, 1987. Environ Mol Mutagen 1988;11:135-45.
- 3. Benetti AR, Valera MC, Manzini MNG, Miranda CB, Balducci I. *In vitro* penetration of bleaching agents into the pulp chamber. Int Endod J 2004;37:120-4.
- Bjelland S, Seeberg E. Mutagenicity, toxicity and repair of DNA base damage induced by oxidation. Mutat Res 2003;29:37-80.
- 5. Crump KS, Hoel DG, Langley CH, Peto R. Fundamental carcinogenic processes and their implications for dose extrapolation. Cancer Res 1976;36:2973-9.
- Daroui P, Desai SD, Li TK, Liu AA, Liu LF. Hydrogen peroxide induces topoisomerase I-mediated DNA damage and cell death. J Biol Chem 2004;279:14587-94.
- 7. Demple H, Linn B, Hallbrook J, Linn S. *Escherichia coli* with mutants are hypersensitive to hydrogen peroxide. J Bacteriol 1983;153:1079-82.
- 8. Floyd RA. The effect of peroxides and free radicals on body tissues. J Am Dent Assoc 1997;128:375.
- Geurtsen W. Substances released from dental resin composites and glass ionomer cements. Eur J Oral Sci 1998;106:687-95.
- 10. Gokay O, Mujdeci A, Algin E. Peroxide penetration into the pulp from whitening strips. J Endod 2004;30:887-9.
- 11. Haliwell B. Superoxide-dependent formation of hydroxyl radicals in the presence of iron chelates. FEBS Lett 1978;92:321-6.
- 12. Hartmann A, Agurell E, Beevers C, Brendler-Schwaab S, Burlinson B, Clay P *et al.* Recommendations for conducting the *in vivo* alkaline comet assay. Mutagenesis 2003;18:45-51.
- Haywood VB, Heymann HO. Nightguard vital bleaching. Quintessence Int 1989;20:173.
- 14. Joenje H. Genetic toxicology of oxygen. Mutat Res 1989;219:193-208.
- 15. Kuehl DW, Serrano S, Naumann S. Identification of potentially mutagenic contaminants in the aquatic environmental by liquid chromatographic-thermospray mass spectrometric characterization of in vitro DNA adducts. J Chromatogr A 1994;684:1113-9.
- 16. Leonard RH, Sharma A, Haywood VB. Use of different concentrations of carbamide peroxide for bleaching teeth. Quintessence Int 1998;28:503-7.
- 17.Li Y. Tooth bleaching using peroxide-containing agents: current status of safety issues. Compendium 1998;19:783.

- 18. Magrath I, Litvak J. Cancer in developing countries: opportunity and challenge. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85:862-74.
- 19. McKelvey-Martin VJ, Green MH, Schmezer P, Pool-Zobel BL, De Meo MP, Collins A. The single cell gel electrophoresis assay (comet assay): a European review. Mutat Res 1993;288:47-63.
- 20. Ribeiro DA, Bazo AP, Franchi CAS, Marques MEA, Salvadori DMF. Chlorhexidine induces DNA damage in rat peripheral leukocytes and oral mucosal cells. J Periodontal Res 2004;39:358-61.
- 21. Ribeiro DA, Marques MEA, Assis GF, Anzai A, Poleti ML, Salvadori DMF. No relationship between subchronic fluoride intake and DNA damage in Wistar rats. Caries Res 2004;38:576-9.
- 22. Ribeiro DA, Marques MEA, Salvadori DMF. Assessment of genetic damage induced by dental bleaching agents on mouse lymphoma cells by single cell gel (comet) assay. J Oral Rehabil 2005;32:766-71.
- 23. Ribeiro DA, Marques MEA, Salvadori DMF. Lack of genotoxicity of formocresol, paramonochlorophenol and calcium hydroxide on mammalian cells by comet assay. J Endod 2004;30:593-6.
- 24. Ribeiro DA, Pereira PC, Machado JM, Silva SB, Pessoa AW, Salvadori DM. Does toxoplasmosis cause DNA damage? An evaluation in isogenic mice under normal diet or dietary restriction. Mutat Res 2004;559:169-76.
- 25. Ribeiro DA, Scolastici C, Alves de Lima PL, Marques MEA, Salvadori DMF. Genotoxicity of antimicrobial endodontic compounds by single cell gel (comet) assay in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2005;99:637-40.
- 26. Sankaranarayanan K. Nature of spontaneous and radiation-induced mutations in mammalian *in vitro* systems and mechanisms of induction of mutations by radiation. Mutat Res 1991;258:75-97.
- 27. Speit G, Hanelt R, Helbig A, Seidel A, Hartmann A. Detection of DNA effects in human cells with the comet assay and their relevance for mutagenesis. Toxicol Lett 1996;88:91-8.
- 28. Tice RR, Agurell E, Anderson D, Burlinson B, Hartmann A, Kobayashi H *et al.* Single cell gel/comet assay: guidelines for *in vitro* and *in vivo* genetic toxicology testing. Environ Mol Mutagen 2000;35:206-21.
- 29. Weitzman SA, Weitberg AB, Stossel TP, Schwartz J, Sklar G. Effects of hydrogen peroxide on oral carcinogenesis in hamsters. J Periodontol 1986;57:685-8.
- 30. Zouain-Ferreira SL, Zouain-Ferreira TR, da Silva CR, Cervantes Dias KR, Caldeira-de-Araujo A, Bernardo-Filho M. Radiation induced-like effects of four home bleaching agents used for tooth whitening: effects on bacterial cultures with different capabilities of repairing deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 2002;48:521-4.

Received for publication on Jun 23, 2005 Sent for alterations on Oct 14, 2005 Accepted for publication on Nov 28, 2005