BJPS

Medication possession ratio in postmenopausal osteoporotic patients: a cross sectional study

Sajjad Haider¹, Sheikh Abdul Khaliq², Syed Baqir Naqvi³, Anab Fatima⁴

¹Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan, ²Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hamdard University, Karachi, Pakistan, ³Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hamdard University, Karachi, Pakistan, ⁴Department of Pharmaceutics, Dow College of Pharmacy, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan

Osteoporosis is a chronic disease of bone that increases risk of low-trauma fracture. Chronic treatment may lead to lower adherence with medication. The current study aims are to determine adherence rate of antiosteoporotic medication by Medication Possession Ratio. Data has been collected from outpatient settings registering cases of postmenopausal osteoporotic women taking medications for an average duration of one year in Karachi. These patients were classified in lower, middle, and affluent socioeconomic classes. Collected data were analyzed by the standardized formula of Medication Possession Ratio and SPSS. Average Medication Possession Ratio \geq 80% achieved by Risedronate Brands in the middle class (80.28%±4.41), the affluent class (82.50%±5.09), Raloxifen Brands in affluent class (93.62%±1.57), Gonadotropics in the middle class (81.36%±6.02), affluent class (91.09%±2.05), Alendronate Brands in affluent class (85.42%±5.46). Average Medication Possession Ratio is <80% with all antiosteoporotic medication in the lower class, while the average Medication Possession Ratio of Calcium and Vitamin D is \geq 80% in all groups except lower class. Adherence rate was significantly higher among Alendronate group compare to Risedronate (p=0.044). Medication Possession Ratio is greater than 80% among all classes of low-cost antiosteoporotic medications. In addition, patients on once weekly oral medications are more adherent and compliant compare to oral daily or intranasal medications.

Keywords: Osteoporosis. Chronic. Medication. Adherence. Compliance.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a disease pertaining to bone health in which bone mineral density decreases which results in the fragile bone. Osteoporosis is not a life-threatening disease, however, the major issue is hip fracture due to bone fragility.(Raisz 2005, Siris *et al.*, 2006) The 10 years' risk of osteoporotic fracture in women above 50 years is about 5% and this risk increase to 20% by the age of 65 years.(Raisz 2005) Due to such consequences adherence of patients to antiosteoporotic medications is imperative. In health care institutions, the main focus for the determination of adherence to drug therapy is through medication possession ratio (MPR). MPR is measured by dispensed quantity over a short period of time interval or overall length of the time interval.(Sperber *et al.*, 2017) Significantly contributing risk factors in Pakistani postmenopausal women for osteoporosis are; low BMI, personal history of osteoporotic fracture, family history of osteoporotic fracture, smoking, lack of exercise, calcium deficiency, vitamin D deficiency and long term use of corticosteroid.(Khaliq *et al.*, 2017)

The limiting factor for prevention and control of chronic disease is poor compliance with medications. (Cramer and Silverman 2006) Antiosteoporotic medications should be used chronically and may reduce the risk of fracture and indicated for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis.(McCombs *et al.*, 2004, Cummings 2005)

^{*}Correspondence: S. A. Khaliq. Department Pharmacy Practice & Pharmaceutics. Faculty of Pharmacy. Hamdard University. Main Campus, Madinat Al-Hikma. Hakim Mohammed Said Road. Muhammad Bin Qasim Avenue. Karachi – 74600, Pakistan. Email: sheikh1974@gmail.com. ORCID: 0000-0002-5496-0950

However, cost, side effects, and interference with daily life are major factors for poor compliance.(Gold and Silverman 2005, Reginster *et al.*, 2006) Compliance objectives cannot be achieved for asymptomatic diseases like osteoporosis where patients perceive that benefit is low and the cost is high. Bisphosphonate salts are used for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis, however, 20% patients discontinue these salts within 6 months of prescription.(Segal *et al.*, 2003)

The main objective of the current study is to determine antiosteoporotic drug adherence among Pakistani postmenopausal women suffering from osteoporosis with the help of Medication Possession Ratio (MPR) during drug therapy. MPR is also referred to as adherence rate, it is expressed as a percent of days supply receive divided by period time. These periods may be fixed or variable depending upon the type of treatment and requirements.(Kozma *et al.*, 2013)

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Prospective observational study conducted in different outpatient departments of hospitals, clinics and primary care centers of Karachi city from October 2017 to December 2018. Data has been collected by primary method from 455 postmenopausal women aged 45 and older suffering from osteoporosis, their prescriptions were evaluated and interviewed about the frequency of usage by different brands of calcium, calcium & vitamin D and vitamin D along with different brands of antiosteoporotic medications. The antiosteoporotic medications included in the study are; Risedronate, Calcitonin, Raloxifen, Gonadotropics, and Alendronate. Population is classified as lower, middle and affluent socio-economic class. Medication possession ratio is calculated in percentage from different socioeconomic areas of Karachi. Sample size of study is determined by precision analysis technique by keeping level of significance 5%.(Aparasu 2016) October 01, 2018 was considered as first purchase of medication. Study period was defined on average one year from the date of first purchase. Compliance of these medication was evaluated by Medication Possession Ratio (MPR).(Downey et al., 2006) MPR is also called adherence rate and can be determined by number of supply

days per member in 365 days from the first purchase and is expressed in percentage of days within a year. Number of supply days = Number of purchases x Number of pills in packet and by frequency of dosing. For example, if women purchased Bisphosphonate once weekly tablets for one month duration, so she bought four pills. The MPR would be [4 packets x 4 pills x 7 days ÷ 365 days] x 100. Patients will be considered adherent for their medication if MPR is ≥80%. It is assumed that all tablets are taken on time as per physician's recommendations. Statistical analysis of collected data was done on SPSS 20 version software. Descriptive statistics and inferential statistics (t-test) applied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Medication possession ratio is a method to calculate medication adherence and is defined as being Adherent Patients if the amount of medication furnished to the patient is at least 80% based on days supply of medication divided by the number of days patients should be consuming the medication.(Kozma *et al.*, 2013)

The risk of osteoporotic fracture reduction is not appreciable if MPR is lower than 50%, similary, if MPR is 75-80%, the future risk of osteoporotic fracture is declined 50-75%.(Siris et al., 2006) The study reveals that in lower socioeconomic class Brand C and Brand E of Risedronate salts(Harris et al., 1999) have better adherence compare to Brand A, B, and D as their MPR is ≥80%. Similarly, in the same socioeconomic class Brand C and E of Calcium and Vitamin D has MPR >80%. In the middle class only Brand B of Risedronate has a better adherence rate (MPR 280%) and for Calcium and Vitamin D Brand B and C has a high adherence rate. Similarly, in affluent socioeconomic class Brand C and E has a higher adherence rate of Risedronate salts, while for calcium and Vitamin D, except Brand A, all other brands have MPR \geq 80% to prove a higher adherence rate (Table I). Compare to our findings 55% adherence was reported (MPR \geq 80%) with weekly Bisphosphonate salts compare to daily i.e. 40%.(Cramer et al., 2005b)

38) rands		Lower Socioeconomic Class		24)	rands	Middle Socioeconomic Class		25)	rands	Affluent Socioeconomic Class	
Groups (N=	Risedronate B	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Risedronate F	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N [±]	Risedronate F	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)
1	Brand A	54.79%	63.46%	1	Brand A	71.23%	76.92%	1	Brand A	75.34%	76.92%
2	Brand B	78.08%	76.92%	2	Brand B	87.67%	88.46%	2	Brand B	83.29%	78.85%
3	Brand C	83.29%	88.46%	3	Brand C	91.51%	78.85%	3	Brand C	91.51%	90.38%
4	Brand D	71.23%	73.08%	4	Brand D	72.60%	76.92%	4	Brand D	84.93%	79.85%
5	Brand E	93.15%	96.15%					5	Brand E	84.97%	86.54%
Ave	rage MPR*	76.10%	79.61%	Ave	rage MPR*	80.75%	80.28%	Aver	age MPR*	84.00%	82.50%
S D	standard Deviation	12.83%	11.51%	S D	tandard eviation	8.95%	4.41%	S D	tandard eviation	5.17%	5.09%

TABLE I - MPR of Riserdronate Brands, Calcium, Vitamin D in different Socioeconomic Classes

Evaluation of four brands of another antiosteoporotic agent Calcitonin (Intranasal)(Mehta *et al.*, 2003) demonstrated that in lower, middle, and affluent socioeconomic classes, the higher adherence rates are reported by MPR \geq 80% of Brand B, Brand C, and D and Brand B respectively. As far as concerned with Calcium and Vitamin D, Brand B and D, Brand C and D, Brand A and B have MPR \geq 80% in lower, middle, and affluent socioeconomic classes respectively, so these brands have better adherence (Table II). Raloxifen, an agent used for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis(Brixen *et al.*, 2005) was also evaluated with two available brands. The MPR is \geq 80% of Brand A in the lower class, Both Brand A and B in affluent class, while in middle socioeconomic class, none of the brands are reported to have MPR \geq 80%, just Brand A reaches the figure of 79.72%. All brands of Calcium and Vitamin D are having MPR \geq 80% with the treatment of Raloxifen among all socioeconomic classes (Table III).

38)	ands	Lower Socioeconomic Class		24)	ands	Middle Socioeconomic Class		=25)	rands	Affluent Socioeconomic Class	
Groups (N=	Calcitonin Br	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Calcitonin Bı	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Calcitonin Br	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)
1	Brand A	60.27%	58.33%	1	Brand A	68.49%	58.33%	1	Brand A	83.56%	75.00%
2	Brand B	83.29%	83.33%	2	Brand B	77.81%	66.67%	2	Brand B	93.15%	91.67%
3	Brand C	49.32%	33.33%	3	Brand C	94.52%	83.33%	3	Brand C	76.71%	58.33%
4	Brand D	83.56%	50.00%	4	Brand D	91.67%	83.33%	4	Brand D	69.86%	66.67%
Ave	rage MPR*	69.11%	56.24%	Ave	rage MPR*	83.12%	72.91%	Ave	rage MPR*	80.82%	72.91%
S D	standard Deviation	14.82%	18.04%	S D	tandard eviation	10.55%	10.82%	S D	tandard eviation	8.61%	12.32%

TABLE II - MPR of Calcitonin Brands, Calcium, Vitamin D in different Socioeconomic Classes

TABLE III - MPR of Raloxifen Brands, Calcium, Vitamin D in different Socioeconomic Classes

38) Brands		Lower Socioeconomic Class		24)	Brands	Middle Socioeconomic Class		25)	Brands	Affluent Socioeconomic Class	
Groups (N=	Raloxifen HCl	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=; Raloxifen HCI F	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=)	Raloxifen HCl E	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	
1	Brand A	88.35%	81.64%	1	Brand A	83.28%	79.72%	1	Brand A	97.26%	95.20%
2	Brand B	86.30%	77.80%	2	Brand B	80.82%	75.61%	2	Brand B	96.43%	92.05%
Ave	rage MPR*	87.32%	79.72%	Aver	rage MPR*	82.05%	77.66%	Aver	age MPR*	96.84%	93.62%
S D	standard Deviation	1.025%	1.92%	S D	tandard eviation	1.23%	2.055%	S D	tandard eviation	0.415%	1.575%

Hormone replacement therapy (HRT) also termed as gonadomimetics are also indicated in postmenopausal osteoporosis.(Gambacciani and Levancini 2014) Two commercial brands of these agents demonstrated that Brand B in the lower class and Brand A and B in affluent class has a higher adherence rate (MPR \geq 80%), while in lower socioeconomic classes, these agents are having poor compliance. Calcium and Vitamin D demonstrated higher compliance in these groups of patients in all socioeconomic classes (MPR \geq 80%) (Table IV). Another very commonly prescribed antiosteoporotic medication is Alendronate.(Bone *et al.*, 2004) Data of overall eight brands of Alendronate confirmed that in lower socioeconomic class Brand A and E have MPR \geq 80% and these groups of patients have an acceptable adherence rate. Similarly, for middle-class Brand C, D and F are having MPR \geq 80%, while in affluent class Brand B, C, D, E, F, and H have MPR \geq 80%. In these groups of patients, calcium and vitamin D compliance were better (MPR \geq 80%) of Brand C and E in lower socioeconomic class, Brand A, D, and E in the middle-class while Brand C, D, E and G in affluent class (Table V). Among new users of Bisphosphonate salts, the one-year adherence rate (MPR \geq 80%) is about 43%.(Penning-van Beest *et al.*, 2006)

TABLE IV - MPR of Gonadomimetics Brands	s, Calcium, Vitamin D in differen	t Socioeconomic Classes
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------

38)	Agents inds	Lower Socioeconomic Class		24) Agents unds	Middle Socioeconomic Class		25)	Agents inds	Affluent Socioeconomic Class		
Groups (N=	Groups (N=3 Gonadomimetic (HRT**) Bra	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Gonadomimetic (HRT**) Bra	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=)	Gonadomimetic (HRT**) Bra	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)
1	Brand A	84.10%	73.97%	1	Brand A	92.46%	75.34%	1	Brand A	95.89%	93.15%
2	Brand B	80.82%	59.17%	2	Brand B	82.46%	87.39%	2	Brand B	96.43%	89.04%
Ave	rage MPR*	82.46%	66.57%	Ave	rage MPR*	87.46%	81.36%	Aver	age MPR*	96.16%	91.09%
S D	standard eviation	1.64%	7.40%	S D	tandard	5.00%	6.025%	S D	tandard eviation	0.270%	2.055%

TABLE V - MPR of Alendronate Brands, Calcium, Vitamin D in different Socioeconomic Classes

-38)	trands	Lower Socioeconomic Class		24)	rands	Middle Socioeconomic Class		=25)	trands	Affluent Socioeconomic Class	
Groups (N=	Alendronate B	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Alendronate B	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Alendronate l	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)
1	Brand A	78.08%	80.77%	1	Brand A	89.04%	78.85%	1	Brand A	68.49%	78.85%
2	Brand B	69.86%	73.08%	2	Brand B	79.45%	78.85%	2	Brand B	76.71%	84.62%
3	Brand C	83.29%	78.85%	3	Brand C	76.71%	80.77%	3	Brand C	87.67%	88.46%
4	Brand D	63.01%	65.38%	4	Brand D	94.52%	90.38%	4	Brand D	100.00%	92.31%
5	Brand E	90.41%	84.62%	5	Brand E	84.93%	76.92%	5	Brand E	87.67%	93.00%
				6	Brand F	78.36%	84.62%	6	Brand F	65.75%	82.69%

(continues on the next page ...)

38) srands		Lower Socioeconomic Class		=24)	trands	Middle Socioeconomic Class		-25)	trands	Affluent Socioeconomic Class	
Groups (N=	Alendronate B	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Alendronate B	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)	Groups (N=	Alendronate B	MPR* (Calcium, Vitamin D)	MPR* (Antiresoptive)
				7	Brand G	71.23%	71.15%	7	Brand G	93.15%	76.92%
				8	Brand H	75.34%	76.92%	8	Brand H	71.23%	86.54%
Avera	ge MPR*	76.93%	76.54%	Aver	rage MPR*	81.19%	79.80%	Aver	age MPR*	81.33%	85.42%
Sta De	andard viation	9.66%	6.70%	S D	tandard eviation	7.22%	5.35%	St De	tandard eviation	11.72%	5.46%

TABLE V - MPR of Alendronate Brands, Calcium, Vitamin D in different Socioeconomic Classes

In the descriptive statistical analysis of data, it has been found that mean MPR of Risedronate in lower, middle, and affluent socioeconomic classes are 79.61%, 80.28%, and 82.50%, which is fairly logical that lower class in developing countries have low buying power of many medications.(Tanimura et al., 2014) A similar analysis of Calcitonin reveals that regardless of socioeconomic classes MPR is <80%, the probable reason is its intranasal mode of administration.(Combe et al., 1997) Mean MPR $\geq 80\%$ of Raloxifen is only reported in affluent socioeconomic class despite the cost effectiveness of this medication. (Sheehy et al., 2009) HRT (Gonadomimetics) treatments are costly compare to other antiosteoporotic medications,(Zethraeus et al., 2002) because of this probable reason mean MPR of HRT is \geq 80% only in middle and affluent socioeconomic classes. Despite the reasonable cost of Alendroante, mean MPR \geq 80% was only found in affluent class.

Alendronate sodium and Risedronate sodium are very commonly prescribed bispohosphonate salts in the treatment and prevention of Osteoporitic fractures. (Silverman et al., 2007) These medications are oral agents, their comparative evaluation of adherence rate among different socioeconomic classes establish that Alendronate adherence rate is significantly higher among all classes compare to Risedronate, alike overall adherence rate is better significantly with Alendronate in the whole population compare to Risedronate (t = -2.537, p=0.044) (Table VI). J. Kertes et al. (2008) reported that 53% of women 45 years and above are compliant with Bisphosphonate salts and on average women discontinue these salts in seven months.(Kertes et al., 2008) In another comparison, the average persistence was 196 days compared to 216 days of Bispohosphonate salts.(Cramer et al., 2005a) In general, compliance is poor for the dosages require daily administration compare to weekly doses.

Alend	ronate, Calcium, Vitam	in D	Risedronate, Calcium,	t-test		
Class	Medication Adhere		Medication	Adherence %	Significance (p<0.05)	
Lower	Calcium, Vitamin D	49.67% (N=75)	Calcium, Vitamin D	39.47% (N=15)		
Socioeconomic	Alendronate sodium 70mg	42.38% (N=64)	Risedronate sodium 35mg	39.47% (N=15)		
Middle	Calcium, Vitamin D	50% (N=76)	Calcium, Vitamin D	50% (N=12)		
Socioeconomic	Alendronate sodium 70mg	46.71% (N=71)	Risedronate sodium 35mg	25% (N=06)	t = - 2.537 (p=0.044)	
Affluent	Calcium, Vitamin D	62.20% (N=51)	Calcium, Vitamin D	56% (N=14)		
Socioeconomic	Alendronate sodium 70mg	67.07% (N=55)	Risedronate sodium 35mg	40% (N=10)		
All	Calcium, Vitamin D	52.46% (N=202)	Calcium, Vitamin D	47.13% (N=41)		
Socioeconomic	Alendronate sodium 70mg	49.35% (N=190)	Risedronate sodium 35mg	35.63% (N=31)		

TABLE VI - Medication Adherence with Riserdronate, Alendronate, Calcium, Vitamin D in different Socioeconomic Classes

CONCLUSION

MPR is greater than 80% regardless of socioeconomic class of low-cost antiosteoporotic medications. In addition to this finding, patients on once weekly oral medications are more adherent and compliant compare to oral daily or intranasal medications.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Aparasu RR. Sampling methods; Chapter 107. Research methods for pharmaceutical practice and policy. 2016. United Kingdom, Pharmaceutical Press. 1:107-124.

Bone HG, Hosking D, Devogelaer J-P, Tucci JR, Emkey RD, Tonino RP, et al. Ten years' experience with alendronate for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(12):1189-1199. Brixen K, Abrahamsen B, Kassem M. Prevention and treatment of osteoporosis in women. Curr Obs Gynae. 2005;15(4):251-258.

Combe B, C Cohen and F Aubin. Equivalence of nasal spray and subcutaneous formulations of salmon calcitonin. Calcif Tissue Int. 1997;61(1):10-15.

Cramer J, Amonkar M, Hebborn A, Suppapanya N. Bisphosphonate dosing influences treatment adherence in postmenopausal women. Osteo Int. 2005a;16(Suppl 3):s24-s28.

Cramer JA, Amonkar MM, Hebborn A, Altman R. Compliance and persistence with bisphosphonate dosing regimens among women with postmenopausal osteoporosis. Current Med Res and Opin. 2005b;21(9):1453-1460.

Cramer JA, Silverman S. Persistence with bisphosphonate treatment for osteoporosis: finding the root of the problem. Am J Med. 2006;119(4):S12-S17.

Cummings SR. Bone density screening: a new level of evidence? Ann Int Med. 2005;142(3):217-219.

Downey TW, Foltz SH, Boccuzzi SJ, Omar MA, Kahler KH. Adherence and persistence associated with the

Sajjad Haider, Sheikh Abdul Khaliq, Syed Baqir Naqvi, Anab Fatima

pharmacologic treatment of osteoporosis in a managed care setting. Southern Med J. 2006;99(6):570-576.

Gambacciani M, Levancini M. Management of postmenopausal osteoporosis and the prevention of fractures. Panminerva Med. 2014;56(2):115-131.

Gold D, Silverman S. Compliance with osteoporosis medications: challenges for healthcare providers. Medsc Ob/ Gyn & Women's Health. 2005;10(1):1-5.

Harris ST, Watts NB, Genant HK, McKeever CD, Hangartner T, Keller M, et al. Effects of risedronate treatment on vertebral and nonvertebral fractures in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 1999;282(14):1344-1352.

Kertes J, Dushenat M, Landes Vesterman J, Lemberger J, Bregman J, Friedman N. Factors contributing to compliance with osteoporosis medication. Isr Med Assoc J 2008;10(3):207-213.

Khaliq SA, Saad M, Fatima N, Jamil A, Khan Z, Fatima A. Evaluation of risk factors in progression of osteoporosis among postmenopausal women in karachi, pakistan. J Uni Med Dent Coll. 2017;8(2):17-23.

Kozma CM, Dickson M, Phillips AL, Meletiche DM. Medication possession ratio: implications of using fixed and variable observation periods in assessing adherence with disease-modifying drugs in patients with multiple sclerosis. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2013;7(1):509-516.

McCombs JS, Thiebaud P, McLaughlin-Miley C, Shi J. Compliance with drug therapies for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis. Maturitas. 2004;48(3):271-287.

Mehta N, Malootian A, Gilligan J. Calcitonin for osteoporosis and bone pain. Curr Pharmaceut Design. 2003;9(32):2659-2676.

Penning-van Beest FJ, Goettsch WG, Erkens JA, Herings RM. Determinants of persistence with bisphosphonates: a study in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis. Clin Ther. 2006;28(2):236-242.

Raisz LG. Screening for osteoporosis. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(2):164-171.

Reginster J-Y, Rabenda V, Neuprez A. Adherence, patient preference and dosing frequency: understanding the relationship. Bone. 2006;38(4):S2-S6.

Segal E, Tamir A, Ish-Shalom S. Compliance of osteoporotic patients with different treatment regimens. Isr Med Assoc J. 2003;5(12):859-862.

Sheehy O, Kindundu C, Barbeau M, LeLorier J. Adherence to weekly oral bisphosphonate therapy: cost of wasted drugs and fractures. Osteoporos Int. 2009;20(9):1583. Silverman SL, Watts NB, Delmas PD, Lange JL, Lindsay R. Effectiveness of bisphosphonates on nonvertebral and hip fractures in the first year of therapy: the risedronate and alendronate (REAL) cohort study. Osteoporos Int. 2007;18(1):25-34.

Siris ES, Harris ST, Rosen CJ, Barr CE, Arvesen JN, Abbott TA, et al. Adherence to bisphosphonate therapy and fracture rates in osteoporotic women: relationship to vertebral and nonvertebral fractures from 2 US claims databases. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006;81(8):1013-1022.

Sperber CM, Samarasinghe SR, Lomax GP. An upper and lower bound of the Medication Possession Ratio. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2017;11(1):1469-1478.

Tanimura T, Jaramillo E, Weil D, Raviglione M, Lönnroth K. Financial burden for tuberculosis patients in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. Eur Respir J. 2014;43(6):1763-1775.

Zethraeus N, Ben Sedrine W, Caulin F, Corcaud S, Gathon H-J, Haim M, et al. Models for assessing the cost-effectiveness of the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int. 2002;13(11):841-857.

Received for publication on 10th August 2019 Accepted for publication on 20th November 2019