

# Should routine liver biopsy be considered in bariatric surgical practice? An analysis of the limitations of non-invasive NAFLD markers

Matheus Mathedi **CONCON**, Martinho Antonio **GESTIC**, Murillo Pimentel **UTRINI**, Felipe David Mendonça **CHAIM**, Elinton Adami **CHAIM** and Everton **CAZZO**

Received: 20 August 2021

Accepted: 13 October 2021

**ABSTRACT – Background** – Non-invasive markers are useful and practical tools for assessing non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), but liver biopsy remains the gold-standard method. Liver biopsy can be easily obtained on individuals undergoing bariatric surgery, but there is no ultimate evidence on the relationship between costs, risks and benefits of its systematic performance. **Objective** – To compare the diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive methods with liver biopsy for detection and staging of NAFLD in obese individuals undergoing bariatric surgery. **Methods** – This is a cross-sectional, observational and descriptive study which enrolled individuals who underwent bariatric surgery from 2018 through 2019 at a public tertiary university hospital. Ultrasound scan, hepatic steatosis index, Clinical Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis Score (C-NASH), hypertension, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and insulin resistance (HAIR), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI), NAFLD Fibrosis Score (NFS) and body mass index, AST/ALT ratio, and diabetes (BARD) were the methods compared with the histopathological examination of wedge liver biopsies collected during surgery. **Results** – Of 104 individuals analyzed, 91 (87.5%) were female. The mean age was  $34.9 \pm 9.7$  years. There was no biopsy-related morbidity. The respective overall accuracies of each marker analyzed were: ultrasound scan (79.81% for steatosis), hepatic steatosis index (79.81% for steatosis), HAIR (40.23% for steatohepatitis), C-NASH (22.99% for steatohepatitis), APRI (94.23% for advanced fibrosis), NFS (94.23% for advanced fibrosis), and BARD (16.35% for advanced fibrosis). **Discussion** – Given the high prevalence of liver disease within this population, even the most accurate markers did not present enough discretionary power to detect and/or rule out the NAFLD aspects they were designed to assess in comparison with liver biopsy, which is safe and easy to obtain in these patients. **Conclusion** – Wedge liver biopsy during bariatric surgery helps to diagnose and stage NAFLD, presents low risks and acceptable costs; given the limitations of non-invasive methods, it is justifiable and should be considered in bariatric routine.

**Keywords** – Non-alcoholic fatty hepatopathy; fatty liver; obesity; bariatric surgery; liver function tests.

## INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is defined as the abnormal deposition of fat in the liver without significant alcohol consumption and/or other secondary causes. NAFLD may present with different forms within a histopathological spectrum, from simple steatosis to liver cirrhosis, and can even evolve to hepatocellular carcinoma. In 2030, it is believed to be the main indication for liver transplantation in the world. Weight loss is one of the most effective treatments for NAFLD, which can be obtained by surgical, pharmacological and/or dietary-behavioral means<sup>(1)</sup>.

Due to its broad histopathological spectrum, biopsy is the ideal test to define and stage this disease. Biopsy during abdominal operations can be performed by wedge resection of a small fragment of the periphery of the liver, of about two centimeters in length, followed by its cauterization to control hemostasis. It is a simple procedure, which does not prolong the operation and can be per-

formed in both open and laparoscopic procedures. In addition to this method, it can be performed by means of needle puncture to search for a core fragment of the liver<sup>(2,3)</sup>.

However, in situations where it is not performed during an abdominal surgery, biopsy is an invasive, high-cost procedure that presents risks and, for these reasons, several indirect, non-invasive diagnostic methods have been proposed to replace it. These can be obtained by means of laboratory, anthropometric analysis, and imaging tests<sup>(4)</sup>.

Considering that NAFLD is an extremely prevalent disease, and the gold standard diagnostic method is invasive, widespread routine use of liver biopsy for the entire population with high risk for NAFLD is not feasible. Non-invasive markers can assist in screening for detection and/or exclusion of the disease. At our facility, liver biopsy is performed routinely on all individuals undergoing bariatric surgery (BS), but there is no ultimate evidence on the relationship between costs, risks, and benefits of its systematic performance.

Declared conflict of interest of all authors: none

Disclosure of funding: no funding received

Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Departamento de Cirurgia, Campinas, SP, Brasil.

Corresponding author: Everton Cazzo. E-mail: evertoncazzo@yahoo.com.br

This study aims at comparing the diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive methods with liver biopsy for detection and staging of NAFLD in obese individuals undergoing BS and at proposing the routine performance of liver biopsy during BS.

## METHODS

### Study design

This is a cross-sectional, observational, and descriptive study. Data were collected through the analysis of medical records of individuals who underwent BS (open Roux-en-Y gastric bypass) from 2018 through 2019 at a public tertiary university hospital. The study protocol was approved by the local institutional review board and all participants provided informed consent.

Work developed at Department of Surgery – Faculty of Medical Sciences – State University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas (SP), Brazil.

### Study population

Inclusion criteria were patients who underwent BS indicated according to the National Institutes of Health criteria (body mass index [BMI] greater than 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or BMI greater than 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with comorbidities associated with obesity), of any gender, aged between 18 and 70 years<sup>(5)</sup>. Exclusion criteria were use of alcohol and hepatotoxic drugs, chronic viral hepatitis or serological abnormalities, bile duct obstruction and incomplete medical records. All individuals underwent a preoperative mandatory weight loss program and surgery was performed when a minimal 10% weight loss was achieved.

### Variables

The data collected were age, gender, weight, BMI, results of abdominal ultrasound (US) scan and liver biopsy findings. The following laboratory tests were consulted: glucose, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), triglycerides, insulin, alkaline phosphatase (ALK), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), platelets and albumin.

### Non-invasive methods

US, hepatic steatosis index (HSI), Clinical Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis Score (C-NASH), Hypertension, ALT and Insulin Resistance (HAIR), AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI), NAFLD Fibrosis Score (NFS) and BMI, AST/ALT ratio, and diabetes (BARD) were the methods evaluated. TABLE 1 summarizes their rationales and outcomes<sup>(6-13)</sup>.

### Liver biopsy technique and histopathological evaluation

Wedge liver biopsy was performed during surgery after the main surgical proceeding. A 2-cm fragment was extracted with blunt scissors from segments III or IV.

NAFLD-related features were classified into categories: 1) steatosis (absent, mild, moderate or severe); 2) fibrosis (according to the Kleiner-Brunt classification): 0- absent; 1- perisinusoidal or periportal alone; 2- periportal and perisinusoidal; 3- presence of fibrous septa (“bridging fibrosis”); 4- cirrhosis); 3) steatohepatitis (classified in grades: 0, 1+, 2+, 3+)<sup>(1)</sup>.

### Statistical analysis

Calculations of diagnostic accuracy tests were performed:

sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV), positive and negative likelihood ratios and overall accuracy. The gold standard was the histopathological evaluation. The software SAS Release 8.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used to perform the analysis.

## RESULTS

Of 104 individuals selected for study, 91 (87.5%) were female. The mean age was 34.9±9.7 years. The main comorbidity was hypertension (34.6%), followed by dyslipidemia (24%) and type 2 diabetes (21.2%). The mean BMI was 36.1±3.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. There were no biopsy-related complications.

The main NAFLD-related histopathological aspects were steatosis (80.8%), fibrosis (82.7%) and steatohepatitis (81.7%). Most individuals presented mild macrovesicular steatosis (55.8%), whereas the commonest stages of fibrosis were 1a and 2 (both 36.5%). Most individuals with steatohepatitis presented mild acinar inflammation (55.8%) and/or mild portal inflammation (58.7%). The details of histopathological and biochemical findings are shown in TABLE 2.

The respective overall accuracies of each marker analyzed were: US (79.81% for steatosis), HSI (79.81% for steatosis), HAIR (40.23% for steatohepatitis), C-NASH (22.99% for steatohepatitis), APRI (94.23% for advanced fibrosis), NFS (94.23% for advanced fibrosis), and BARD (16.35% for advanced fibrosis). TABLE 3 presents the complete diagnostic accuracy analyses of the non-invasive markers evaluated.

## DISCUSSION

The current study focused on a highly prevalent disease in the population, especially in the group of individuals with obesity, with a risk of progression to chronic liver disease and high morbidity and mortality in advanced stages, mainly liver cirrhosis, including the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. Individuals with an indication for BS surgery according to the NIH criteria are likely to present metabolic abnormalities and consequent abnormal deposit of fat in the liver, thus constituting a high-risk population for NAFLD. As such, the possibility of an early diagnosis of NAFLD could lead to relevant benefits, such as receiving guidance on risks, prognosis and evolution of the disease. A previous study by our group found a prevalence of over 50% of liver fibrosis in a population undergoing BS<sup>(14)</sup>.

That is, in obese individuals, in addition to the usual investigation for type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia and other metabolic co-morbidities, they could benefit from an eventual screening for NAFLD, especially through a method with the possibility of defining the different aspects of this disease. With this aim in mind, an ideal diagnostic method with few risks and low cost, in addition to high accuracy and high availability in clinical practice is highly sought. Given the high observed prevalence of steatohepatitis, significant (≥F2) and advanced (≥F3) fibrosis within the population of the current study, an accurate assessment of NAFLD becomes even more important in this context.

US scan is a low-cost, non-invasive and operator-dependent method, which is also highly available. An important factor to be highlighted in the US of obese patients is the technical difficulty in individuals with severe obesity, due to the thick subcutaneous tissue. It presented high sensitivity (99%) and high positive predictive value (81%). Despite this, it proved to be an ineffective method to

TABLE 1. Main characteristics of each non-invasive score assessed.

Score	Rationale	How to perform or calculate	Cut-off values and interpretation	
US	Used to determine the presence of liver steatosis <sup>(5)</sup> .	Qualitative visualization of hepatic echogenicity, measurement of the difference of the hepatic parenchyma compared to the renal parenchyma, evaluation of the penetration of the deep portion of the liver and through the determination of the hepatic structures, for example.	Subjective: normal, mild, moderate or severe.	
HSI	Created to predict the occurrence of steatosis in the general population <sup>(6)</sup> .	$HSI = 8 \times ALT/AST + BMI (+2 \text{ if T2DM}, +2 \text{ if female})$	A score >36 indicates the presence of steatosis, while a score <30 indicates absence of steatosis.	
NFS	Designed for prediction of advanced fibrosis in NAFLD patients <sup>(6)</sup> .	$NFS = -1.675 + 0.037 \times \text{age (years)} + 0.094 \times \text{BMI (kg/m}^2\text{)} \times \text{IGT/T2D (Yes = 1 OR No = 0)} + 0.99 \times \text{AST/ALT} - 0.013 \times \text{Platelet count (X 10}^9\text{/L)} - 0.66 \times \text{Albumin (g/dL)}$	A score over 0.676 indicates advanced fibrosis, while a score below -1.455 excludes advanced fibrosis.	
APRI	Developed to predict advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with hepatitis C, it was then validated for detection of advanced fibrosis in NAFLD <sup>(6)</sup> .	$APRI = \{[(\text{observed AST/AST (upper limit in iU/L)}) / \text{Platelet count (10}^9\text{/L)}] \times 100$	A score 0.98 indicates advanced fibrosis.	
BARD	Derived to detect advanced fibrosis in a population comprised exclusively of individuals with biopsy-proven NAFLD <sup>(7)</sup> .	BMI greater than or equal to 28 earns 1 point, AST/ALT ratio greater than or equal to 0.8 earns 2 points and presence of diabetes earns 1 point.	<b>BARD Score</b>	<b>Risk of advanced fibrosis</b>
			0–1	Low
			2–4	High
HAIR	Developed to detect steatohepatitis in bariatric surgery patients <sup>(8)</sup> .	The variables used are the presence of hypertension, ALT greater than 40 and an insulin resistance index greater than 5. Each variable earns 1 point.	A sum of points ≥2 indicates a high probability of NASH.	
C-NASH	Designed to predict the occurrence of NASH based in clinical characteristics <sup>(6)</sup> .	<b>Clinical aspect</b>	<b>Points</b>	
		BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		
		40–45	1	
		>45	2	
		AST >40 iU/L	2	
		Triglycerides >140 mg/dL	1	
			A sum of points ≥3 indicates the presence of steatohepatitis.	

NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH: non-alcoholic fatty liver steatohepatitis; US: ultrasound scan; HSI: hepatic steatosis index; NFS: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease fibrosis score; APRI: AST-to-platelet ratio index; C-NASH: clinical score for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; HAIR: hypertension, ALT and insulin resistance index; BARD: BMI, AST/ALT ratio and diabetes score; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; BMI: body mass index.

**TABLE 2.** Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease related features and biochemical examinations observed in the study population.

	N (%)
Steatosis	84 (80.8%)
Macrovesicular	83 (79.8%)
Mild	58 (55.8%)
Moderate	21 (20.2%)
Severe	3 (2.9%)
Microvesicular	30 (28.8%)
Fibrosis	86 (82.7%)
0	18 (17.3%)
1a	38 (36.5%)
1b	4 (3.8%)
1c	2 (1.9%)
2	38 (36.5%)
3	4 (3.9%)
4	0 (0%)
Steatohepatitis	85 (81.7%)
Acinar inflammation	80 (76.9%)
Mild	58 (55.8%)
Moderate	19 (18.3%)
Severe	3 (2.9%)
Portal inflammation	84 (80.8%)
Mild	61 (58.7%)
Moderate	22 (21.2%)
Severe	1 (1%)
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	91.5±27.8
Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)	22.9±11.1
Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)	28±22.8
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	65.2±18.2
Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase (U/L)	23.1±14.7
Platelet count (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	271.7±81.6
Albumin (g/dL)	4.3±0.3
Insulin (mU/L) (N=87)	15.6±10.7
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	106.9±55.9
High density lipoprotein (mg/dL)	38.2±8.5
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	166.8±33.5
Homeostasis model assessment – insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (N=87)	3.5±2.6

rule out the occurrence of steatosis, which would be important in a population with high prevalence. Hernaez et al., in a meta-analysis that analyzed 49 studies (4,720 individuals), observed that US is an accurate and reliable method for detecting moderate to severe NAFLD in the general population, with sensitivity and specificity greater than 80%<sup>(15)</sup>. Almeida et al., in a cross-sectional study in which 105 obese individuals were analyzed, demonstrated that US was not an accurate method for the diagnosis of NAFLD in obese individuals, with 65% sensitivity, 91% specificity, 98% positive predictive value and a negative 23%, with a limitation comparable to that observed in the current study<sup>(16)</sup>. Thus, the main strength of US, which is to detect the presence of NAFLD becomes almost dispensable in a population in which the overall prevalence of NAFLD is extremely high; discarding its occurrence and staging its characteristics would be more important, but the US did not have enough discretionary power for these purposes. Similarly to US, the results of the HSI score for the detection of steatosis demonstrated high sensitivity (99%), but low specificity. In a population with a high prevalence of hepatic steatosis at some level, a negative HSI result has low significance. Therefore, the HSI's discretionary power to rule out steatosis in this population was not satisfactory. Lind et al., analyzing HSI in different contexts, observed that its accuracy is higher in populations at high risk compared to populations at low risk for steatosis (78% vs 74%)<sup>(17)</sup>.

In regard to methods for the evaluation of steatohepatitis, the HAIR score showed an accuracy of only 40%. In clinical practice, a negative result is of little significance, while a positive result will be correct in approximately 80% of cases. On the other hand, the C-NASH score showed high specificity; despite that, a negative result has little significance, since due to the high prevalence of steatohepatitis in the obese population, there is a high chance that it is a false negative result. Thus, its accuracy is only 23%. Therefore, both tests do not present enough accuracy for widespread use in this population.

APRI demonstrated high specificity, 98%, and a negative predictive value of 96.8%. Therefore, this non-invasive score, created to assess patients with fibrosis in viral hepatitis, proved to be effective in ruling out significant fibrosis, but little accurate for its detection. Its accuracy reached 94.23% and proved effective for advanced fibrosis. De-Cleva et al. similarly observed that the APRI is highly accurate for advanced fibrosis in obese patients undergoing BS<sup>(18)</sup>. The NFS showed similar results to the APRI, presenting similarly usefulness in clinical practice to rule out advanced fibrosis, but also failing to detect incipient fibrosis, an aspect that would be of greater interest in this population. Singh et al., in a study with 1,319 individuals with biopsy-proven NAFLD, observed that the NFS showed a specificity of 93% and sensitivity of 44%, demonstrating little use in a high-risk population<sup>(19)</sup>. However, it is unusual for patients with advanced fibrosis, who present the risk of chronic liver failure, to undergo elective BS. The detection of incipient fibrosis, which would be more relevant in the context of the individual undergoing BS, is not possible with both these scores. Similarly, the BARD scoring system showed high sensitivity, but low specificity, which provides an accuracy of only 16%. In this way, it becomes a method that is difficult to interpret when assessing the presence of advanced fibrosis. De Carli et al., in a study that analyzed 323 individuals with morbid obesity, observed that the BARD showed an accuracy of 44%; the population studied in that study had a higher prevalence of advanced fibrosis than in the current study (9%), which may explain its greater accuracy<sup>(20)</sup>.

TABLE 3. Diagnostic accuracy of each non-invasive method for assessment of NAFLD aspects.

	Test/disease	Present	Absent	Total
Ultrasound scan (diagnosis of steatosis)	Present	True positive: 83	False positive: 20	103
	Absent	False negative: 1	True negative: 0	1
	Total	84	20	104
	Sensitivity: 98.81%; specificity: 0; positive predictive value: 80.58%; negative predictive value: 0; positive likelihood ratio: 0.99; negative likelihood ratio: 0; overall accuracy: 79.81%.			
HSI (diagnosis of steatosis)	Present	True positive: 83	False positive: 20	103
	Absent	False negative: 1	True negative: 0	1
	Total	84	20	104
	Sensitivity: 98.81%; specificity: 0; positive predictive value: 80.58%; negative predictive value: 0; positive likelihood ratio: 0.99; negative likelihood ratio: 0; overall accuracy: 79.81%.			
HAIR (diagnosis of steatohepatitis)	Present	True positive: 26	False positive: 7	33
	Absent	False negative: 45	True negative: 9	54
	Total	71	16	87
	Sensitivity: 36.62%; specificity: 56.25%; positive predictive value: 78.79%; negative predictive value: 16.67%; positive likelihood ratio: 0.84; negative likelihood ratio: 1.13; overall accuracy: 40.23%.			
C-NASH (diagnosis of steatohepatitis)	Present	True positive: 4	False positive: 0	4
	Absent	False negative: 67	True negative: 16	83
	Total	71	16	87
	Sensitivity: 5.63%; specificity: 100%; positive predictive value: 100%; negative predictive value: 19.28%; positive likelihood ratio: 0; negative likelihood ratio: 0.94; overall accuracy: 22.99%.			
APRI (diagnosis of advanced fibrosis)	Present	True positive: 0	False positive: 2	2
	Absent	False negative: 4	True negative: 98	102
	Total	4	100	104
	Sensitivity: 0; specificity: 98%; positive predictive value: 0; negative predictive value: 96.08%; positive likelihood ratio: 0; negative likelihood ratio: 1.02; overall accuracy: 94.23%.			
NFS (diagnosis of advanced fibrosis)	Present	True positive: 0	False positive: 2	2
	Absent	False negative: 4	True negative: 98	102
	Total	4	100	104
	Sensitivity: 0; specificity: 98%; positive predictive value: 0; negative predictive value: 96.08%; positive likelihood ratio: 0; negative likelihood ratio: 1.02; overall accuracy: 94.23%.			
BARD (diagnosis of advanced fibrosis)	Present	True positive: 4	False positive: 87	91
	Absent	False negative: 0	True negative: 13	13
	Total	4	100	104
	Sensitivity: 100%; specificity: 13%; positive predictive value: 4.40%; negative predictive value: 100%; positive likelihood ratio: 1.15; negative likelihood ratio: 0; overall accuracy: 16.35%.			

NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; HSI: hepatic steatosis index; HAIR: Hypertension, ALT, Insulin Resistance; C-NASH: Clinical Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis; APRI: AST to platelet ratio index; NFS: NAFLD fibrosis score; BARD: Body mass index, AST/ALT ratio, diabetes.

Therefore, the scores for the detection of advanced fibrosis should be analyzed with caution, being more useful in populations with a higher prevalence of individuals with severe and already manifest forms of NAFLD. A meta-analysis conducted by Xiao et al. demonstrated that the FIB-4 score tends to present an accuracy higher than APRI and comparable to NFS; thus, it might also be considered as an option<sup>(21)</sup>.

Most scores analyzed were not developed specifically to assess obese populations at high risk for NAFLD, especially those whose objective is to analyze liver fibrosis. Their initial scopes were to evaluate patients with advanced chronic liver diseases, mostly viral hepatitis. The natural history of these diseases and the evolution of non-NAFLD fibrosis are different, with a more insidious evolution in the group of patients analyzed in the current study. A recent study conducted by our group also showed that the accuracies of these markers are variable according to BMI status, pointing another difficulty in their interpretation<sup>(22)</sup>.

Other non-invasive methods, such as ultrasound elastography or magnetic resonance imaging, are promising in the attempt to define which NAFLD patient will progress to fibrosis without the need for percutaneous biopsy. However, elastography itself is a high-cost and largely unavailable diagnostic tool in our country. In addition, its accuracy when performed by ultrasound is considerably lower in obese individuals<sup>(23)</sup>.

Considering all these flaws, liver biopsy during BS assumes an important role. During BS, the patient is already undergoing an invasive procedure. The biopsy under direct vision does not add significant operative time and risk. It is not associated with prolonged hospital stay, postoperative pain and the cost is only due to histological analysis. Khorgami et al. observed that intraoperative needle liver biopsy was significantly associated with an increased cost in bariatric procedures, but this increase was an average 17% higher, which our group considers fair in relation to the benefits, also considering that wedge biopsies are even safer and less expensive<sup>(24)</sup>. In addition to promoting early diagnosis of NAFLD and its severe forms, liver biopsy helps to understand their postoperative evolution<sup>(2-4)</sup>. Hence, there are several reasons for performing a wedge liver biopsy during a bariatric operation. The operated patient presents with a high-risk for NAFLD, the biopsy proceeding is safer in this surgical context, the increase in costs is not prohibitive and, most importantly, the method is the most accurate for these goals. Liver biopsy, in addition to promoting early diagnosis of both NAFLD and severe forms such as liver cirrhosis, helps to understand the natural evolution of the disease. BS usually causes changes in the evolution of this condition, causing improvement in most patients<sup>(25,26)</sup>. There is no consensus on the most appropriate technique for obtaining liver biopsy specimens during surgery, whether it should be by means of wedge or either

needle techniques. Ooi et al. demonstrated that there is an appropriate agreement between these approaches, but a weaker agreement in the assessment of fibrosis stage<sup>(27)</sup>.

This study, due to its cross-sectional design, has its methodological limitation since this type of study does not allow causal links to be established. The lack of consensus in the literature to systematize liver biopsy during BS shows the need for prospective studies to increase knowledge about this discussion. Since it is not standard at our facility, there was no data available on the Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network (CRN) classification, which uses the NASH Activity Score (NAS) as its most important parameter. Another limitation is the preoperative weight loss to which patients in our service are subjected and, therefore, the BMI at the time of the analysis has a low average. The general bariatric population is also very specific, with no patients with advanced liver disease who underwent surgery at our facility. On the other hand, it is possible to safely say that liver biopsy, according to the findings of the current study, leads to considerable benefits in relation to the detection of different aspects of the histopathological spectrum of NAFLD in a high-risk population.

Given the high prevalence of liver disease within this population, even the most accurate markers did not present enough discretionary power to detect and/or rule out the NAFLD aspects that they were designed to assess in comparison with liver biopsy, which is safe and easy to obtain in these patients.

## CONCLUSION

Wedge liver biopsy during bariatric surgery helps to diagnose and stage NAFLD, presents low risks and acceptable costs; given the limitations of non-invasive methods, it is justifiable and should be considered in bariatric routine.

## Authors' contribution

Concon MM collected the data and wrote the first draft. Gestic MA, Utrini MP and Chaim FDM collected the data and performed the biopsies and operations. Chaim EA provided critical intellectual inserts and reviewed the final draft. Cazzo E conceived and designed the analysis, performed the analysis and wrote the final draft.

## Orcid

Matheus Mathedi Concon: 0000-0001-9445-5839.  
Martinho Antonio Gestic: 0000-0002-4527-676X.  
Murillo Pimentel Utrini: 0000-0002-6597-4258.  
Felipe David Mendonça Chaim: 0000-0002-4195-6143.  
Elinton Adami Chaim: 0000-0003-4627-0631.  
Everton Cazzo: 0000-0002-5804-1580.

Concon MM, Gestic MA, Utrini MP, Chaim FDM, Chaim EA, Cazzo E. A realização sistemática de biópsia hepática deveria ser considerada na prática cirúrgica bariátrica? Uma análise das limitações de marcadores não-invasivos de DHGNA. *Arq Gastroenterol.* 2022;59(1):110-16.

**RESUMO – Contexto** – Marcadores não-invasivos são ferramentas úteis e práticas para avaliar a doença hepática gordurosa não alcoólica (DHGNA), porém, a biópsia hepática continua sendo o método padrão-ouro. A biópsia pode ser facilmente obtida em indivíduos submetidos à cirurgia bariátrica, mas não há evidências definitivas acerca da relação entre custos, riscos e benefícios de sua realização sistemática. **Objetivo** – Comparar a acurácia diagnóstica de métodos não-invasivos com a biópsia hepática para detecção e estadiamento da DHGNA em obesos submetidos à cirurgia bariátrica. **Métodos** – Trata-se de um estudo transversal, observacional e descritivo que envolveu indivíduos que se submeteram à cirurgia bariátrica de 2018 a 2019 em um hospital universitário público terciário. Ultrassonografia (US), índice de esteatose hepática (HSI), Escore Clínico de Esteato-hepatite Não-Alcoólica (C-NASH), Índice de Hipertensão, alanina aminotransferase (ALT) e resistência à insulina (HAIR), Razão entre aspartato aminotransferase (AST) e plaquetas (APRI), Escore de Fibrose da DHGNA (NFS) e índice de massa corporal (IMC), relação AST/ALT e diabetes (BARD) foram os métodos comparados com o exame histopatológico de biópsias hepáticas em cunha coletadas durante a cirurgia. **Resultados** – De 104 indivíduos analisados, 91 (87,5%) eram do sexo feminino. A média de idade foi de 34,9±9,7 anos. Não houve morbidade relacionada à biópsia. As respectivas acurácias globais de cada marcador analisado foram: US (79,81% para esteatose), HSI (79,81% para esteatose), HAIR (40,23% para esteato-hepatite), C-NASH (22,99% para esteato-hepatite), APRI (94,23% para fibrose avançada), NFS (94,23% para fibrose avançada) e BARD (16,35% para fibrose avançada). **Discussão** – Considerando a alta prevalência de doença hepática nesta população, mesmo os mais acurados destes marcadores não apresentaram poder discricionário suficiente para detectar e/ou descartar os aspectos da DHGNA que foram desenvolvidos para avaliar em comparação com a biópsia hepática, que é segura e de fácil obtenção nestes pacientes. **Conclusão** – A biópsia hepática em cunha durante a cirurgia bariátrica auxilia no diagnóstico e estadiamento da DHGNA, apresenta baixo risco e custos aceitáveis e, dadas as limitações dos métodos não-invasivos, é justificável e deve ser considerada na rotina bariátrica.

**Palavras-chave** – Hepatopatia gordurosa não alcoólica; fígado gorduroso; obesidade; cirurgia bariátrica; testes de função hepática.

## REFERENCES

1. Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology.* 2016;64:73-84.
2. Denzer U, Arnoldy A, Kanzler S, Galle PR, Dienes HP, Lohse AW. Prospective randomized comparison of minilaparoscopy and percutaneous liver biopsy: Diagnosis of cirrhosis and complications. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2007;41:103-10.
3. Kaul A, Singla V, Baksi A, Aggarwal S, Bhambrani A, Shalimar D, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Bariatric Surgery in Advanced Liver Fibrosis. *Obes Surg.* 2020;30:4359-65.
4. Tai CM, Yu ML, Tu HP, Huang CK, Hwang JC, Chuang WL. Derivation and validation of a scoring system for predicting nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in Taiwanese patients with severe obesity. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2017;13:686-92.
5. National Institutes of Health. Gastrointestinal surgery for severe obesity: National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Statement. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1992;55(Suppl 2):615S-9S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/55.2.615s.
6. Lee SS, Park SH. Radiologic evaluation of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2014;20:7392-402.
7. Lee JH, Kim D, Kim HJ, Lee CH, Yang JI, Kim W, et al. Hepatic steatosis index: a simple screening tool reflecting nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2010;42:503-8.
8. Dixon JB, Bhathal PS, O'Brien PE. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Predictors of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and liver fibrosis in the severely obese. *Gastroenterology.* 2001;121:91-100.
9. Tan CH, Al-Kalifah N, Ser KH, Ser KH, Lee YC, Chen JC, et al. Long-term effect of bariatric surgery on resolution of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH): An external validation and application of a clinical NASH score. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2018;14:1600-6.
10. Lin ZH, Xin YN, Dong QJ, Wang Q, Jiang XJ, Zhan SH, et al. Performance of the aspartate aminotransferase-to-platelet ratio index for the staging of hepatitis C-related fibrosis: an updated meta-analysis. *Hepatology.* 2011;53:726-36.
11. Angulo P, Hui JM, Marchesini G, Bugianesi E, George J, Farrell GC, et al. The NAFLD fibrosis score: A noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. *Hepatology.* 2007;45:846-54.
12. Harrison SA, Oliver D, Arnold HL, Gogia S, Neuschwander-Tetri BA. Development and validation of a simple NAFLD clinical scoring system for identifying patients without advanced disease. *Gut.* 2008;57:1441-7.
13. Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* 2005;41:1313-21.
14. Cazzo E, Jimenez LS, Gestic MA, Utrini MP, Chaim FHM, Chaim FDM, et al. Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Simple Glucose Metabolism Parameters may Reliably Predict Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Features. *Obes Surg.* 2018;28:187-94.
15. Hernaez R, Lazo M, Bonekamp S, Kamel I, Brancati FL, Guallar E, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and reliability of ultrasonography for the detection of fatty liver: A meta-analysis. *Hepatology.* 2011;54:1082-90.
16. Almeida AM, Cotrim HP, Barbosa DBV, de Athayde LGM, Santos AS, Bitencourt AGV, et al. Fatty liver disease in severe obese patients: Diagnostic value of abdominal ultrasound. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2008;14:1415-8.
17. Lind L, Johansson L, Ahlström H, Eriksson JW, Larsson A, Risérus U, et al. Comparison of four non-alcoholic fatty liver disease detection scores in a Caucasian population. *World J Hepatol.* 2020;12:149-59.
18. De Cleva R, Duarte LF, Crenitte MRF, De Oliveira CPM, Pajek D, Santo MA. Use of noninvasive markers to predict advanced fibrosis/cirrhosis in severe obesity. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2016;12:862-7.
19. Singh A, Gosai F, Siddiqui MT, Gupta M, Lopez R, Lawitz E, et al. Accuracy of Noninvasive Fibrosis Scores to Detect Advanced Fibrosis in Patients with Type-2 Diabetes with Biopsy-proven Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2020;54:891-7.
20. de Carli MAL, de Carli LA, Correa MB, Junqueira G, Valle Tovo C, Coral GP. Performance of noninvasive scores for the diagnosis of advanced liver fibrosis in morbidly obese with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2020;32:420-5.
21. Xiao G, Zhu S, Xiao X, Yan L, Yang J, Wu G. Comparison of laboratory tests, ultrasound, or magnetic resonance elastography to detect fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis. *Hepatology.* 2017;66:1486-501.
22. Jimenez LS, Marques RA, Gestic MA, Utrini MP, Chaim FDM, Ramos AC, et al. Non-Invasive Markers in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Reliability Is Variable According to BMI Status. *Obes Surg.* 2021;31:3888-92.
23. da Silva L de CM, de Oliveira JT, Tochetto S, de Oliveira CPMS, Sigrist R, Chammas MC. Ultrasound elastography in patients with fatty liver disease. *Radiol Bras.* 2020;53:47-55.
24. Khorgami Z, Aminian A, Shoar S, Andalib A, Saber AA, Schauer PR, et al. Cost of bariatric surgery and factors associated with increased cost: an analysis of national inpatient sample. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2017;13:1284-9.
25. Bedossa P, Tordjman J, Aron-Wisniewsky J, Poitou C, Oppert JM, Torcivia A, et al. Systematic review of bariatric surgery liver biopsies clarifies the natural history of liver disease in patients with severe obesity. *Gut.* 2017;66:1688-96.
26. Jimenez LS, Mendonça Chaim FH, Mendonça Chaim FD, Utrini MP, Gestic MA, Chaim EA, Cazzo E. Impact of Weight Regain on the Evolution of Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease After Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass: a 3-Year Follow-up. *Obes Surg.* 2018;28:3131-5.
27. Ooi GJ, Clouston A, Johari Y, Kemp WW, Roberts SK, Brown WA, Burton PR. Evaluation of the histological variability of core and wedge biopsies in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in bariatric surgical patients. *Surg Endosc.* 2021;35:1210-8.