Agronomic performance of 27 cocoa progenies and plant selection based on productivity, self-compatibility and disease resistance

Milton Macoto Yamada¹, José Luis Pires¹, Fábio Gelape Faleiro², Uilson Vanderlei Lopes¹, Mariosvaldo Morais Macedo³

ABSTRACT

The objective of the present work was to evaluate 27 progenies of cocoa crosses considering the agronomic traits and select F1 plants within superior crosses. The experiment was installed in March 2005, in the *Experimental Station Joaquim Bahiana* (ESJOB), in Itajuipe, Bahia. The area of the experiment is of approximately 3 ha, with a total of 3240 plants. Thirteen evaluations of vegetative brooms, five of cushion brooms and 15 of number of pods per plant were accomplished. Thirty pollinations were made for each selected plant to test for self-compatibility. The production, based on the number of pods per plant, and resistance to witches 'broom indicated CEPEC 94 x CCN 10, RB 39 x CCN 51 and CCN 10 x VB 1151 as superior progenies. All selections tested were self-compatible. The analyses of progenies and individual tree data, associated to visual field observations, allowed the selection of 17 plants which were included in a network of regional tests to determine the phenotypic stability.

Key words: *Theobroma cacao*, clones, hybrids, genetics and breeding.

RESUMO

Desempenho agronômico de 27 progênies de cacaueiro e seleção de plantas com base na produtividade, autocompatibilidade e resistência a doenças

Este trabalho teve o objetivo de avaliar 27 progênies de cruzamentos de cacaueiro, de acordo com as características agronômicas e, dentro destas, selecionar plantas F1 superiores. O experimento foi instalado em Março de 2005, na Estação Joaquim Bahiana (ESJOB), no município de Itajuípe, Bahia. A área do experimento é de aproximadamente 3 ha, com total de 3240 plantas. Foram realizadas 13 avaliações de vassoura vegetativa, cinco avaliações de vassoura de almofada e 15 avaliações do número de frutos/planta. Foram feitas 30 polinizações em cada planta selecionada, para testar sua autocompatibilidade. Os dados de produção, baseados no número de frutos por planta e na resistência à vassoura-de-bruxa, indicaram o CEPEC 94 x CCN 10, RB 39 x CCN 51 e CCN 10 x VB 1151 como progênies superiores. Todas as seleções testadas foram autocompatíveis. A análise de dados de progênies e de plantas individuais, associada a observações visuais no campo, permitiu a seleção de 17 plantas, que foram incluídas em uma rede de testes de ensaios regionais, para determinar a estabilidade fenotípica

Palavras-chave: Theobroma cacao, clones, híbridos, genética e melhoramento.

Received: 14/06/2012; Accepted: 21/05/2013.

Agronomist engineers. Doctors of Science. Setor de Genética, Centro de Pesquisas do Cacau (CEPEC)/CEPLAC, Km 22, Rodovia Ilhéus-Itabuna, Caixa Postal 7, 45600-970, Itabuna, Bahia, Brasil. macoto@cepec.gov.br (corresponding author); joseluis@cepec.gov; uilson@cepec.gov.br

²Agronomist engineer. Doctor of Science. Embrapa - Centro de Pesquisa Agropecuária dos Cerrados, BR 020, Km 18, Caixa Postal 08223, 73301-970, Planaltina, Distrito Federal, Brasil. ffaleiro@cpac.embrapa.br

³Agronomist engineer. Setor de Genética, Centro de Pesquisas do Cacau (CEPEC)/CEPLAC, Km 22, Rodovia Ilhéus-Itabuna, Caixa Postal 7, 45600-970, Itabuna, Bahia, Brasil. mariosvaldo.macedo@hotmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The cocoa tree was introduced to the state of Bahia in 1746, with accessions coming from the state of Pará, originating the population named Comum da Bahia. In the 1950's, the first selections and genetic improvement programs were accomplished on this population, to obtain the selections designated as SIC (Seleção Instituto de Cacau), SIAL (Seleção do Instituto Agronômico do Leste) and EEG (Estação Experimental de Guatacazes). In the 1960's, after the discovery of the heterosis in cocoa, the genetic breeding programs of cocoa turned their focus to several countries for the production of interclonal hybrids. In CEPLAC, with the creation of the Cocoa Research Center (CEPEC) in 1963, started the production of hybrids using the SIC, SIAL and EEG selections as well as clones introduced from Trinidad, Costa Rica, Colombia, Mexico, Peru, Ecuador and the Brazilian Amazonia (Vello et al. 1969).

After the arrival of the disease in 1989, in Bahia, CEPEC started selecting for resistance to witches' broom on farms, mainly in areas of hybrid plantations and in experimental areas. The first clones were recommended to the farmers in 1997. In spite of many selections obtained in the farms, the source of resistance to witches' broom in those selections was restricted, almost exclusively, to Scavina clones (Yamada *et al.*, 2009a).

Currently, the cocoa genetic breeding program at CEPEC tries to associate (pyramiding) genes of different sources of resistance to increase the stability on space and durability of the resistance. In that sense, several progenies have been obtained by the CEPEC's breeding program.

The objective of the present work was to evaluate 27 cocoa progenies for their agronomic traits and select F1 plants within the superior crosses to be used in regional tests of clones and also in advancing generations of breeding.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was established in March 2005 at the *Experimental Station Joaquim Bahiana* (ESJOB), in Itajuipe, Bahia (14°41'7" S 39°21'52" W). The experimental area is of approximately 3 ha, with 3240 plants in total, established on a 3 x 3 m spacing. Banana trees were used as temporary shade in the midpoint of four cocoa trees, and erythrinas as permanent shade, which were already planted in the area. The plots consisted of 30 seedlings, in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Some parents of the 27 crosses had proven to have good agronomic characteristics in previous trials and germplasm collections and some were already recommended for planting by growers. The mating design used to obtain these progenies was a Single Pair Mating

(SPM) design (Lopes *et al.*, 2011). The crosses evaluated are showed in Table 1.

In this trial, it was evaluated the number of vegetative brooms, the number of cushion brooms, the number of pods per plant, and the self-compatibility status. The evaluations of vegetative brooms were done from August 2005 to June 2010 (13 evaluations) and of cushion brooms from February 2009 to June 2010 (5 evaluations). The pod numbers per plant were evaluated from June 2007 to June 2010 (15 evaluations). The compatibility tests were accomplished by making 30 pollinations in each plant, as described by Yamada *et al.* (1982).

One of the objectives of the trial was also to select resistant plants to Ceratocystis wilt disease, caused by *Ceratocystis cacaofunesta*, under controlled conditions. However many of the plants died naturally from the disease in the field; probably because the experimental area was previously cultivated with Theobahia, which is very susceptible to the disease, increasing the inoculum density in that area.

The statistical analyses were accomplished aiming to evaluate the productive potential of the progenies and the incidence of vegetative (VV) and cushion brooms (VA). The same data were also used for estimation of genetic dissimilarities among the progenies. The dissimilarity matrix obtained was used for the cluster analysis using the Centroid method. Correlations among the average of pod number per progeny and vegetative broom and cushion broom were also estimated. All analyses were accomplished by using the SAS package version 6.03 (SAS, 1988).

The number of plants used in the analysis varied among crosses, because of death of some plants by Ceratocystis, mainly, and in other cases because the delay

Table 1. List of the 27 crosses evaluated in the experiment installed in the experimental Station Joaquim Bahiana

Crosses	Crosses
T1- PA 285 x TSH 565	T16- CPEPEC 94 x CCN 10
T2- VB 184 x SGU 54	T17- TSH 1188 x VB 1151
T3- CEPEC 523 x CCN 51	T18- EET 62 x VB 514
T4- CEPEC 515 x CC 10	T19- TSH 565 x CSG 70
T5- CEPEC 94 x AC 01	T20- EET 45 x CC 10
T6- TSH 565 x VB 184	T21- CCN 10 x VB 1151
T7- PA 300 x CCN 10	T22- VB 184 x SIC 19
T8- CASA x CCN 10	T23- TSH 1188 x SGU 54
T9- TSH 1188 x CC 10	T24- CC 10 x CCN 10
T10- SGU 26 x CCN 51	T25- EET 392 x CCN 51
T11- RB 39 x CCN 51	T26- CEPEC 42 x SIC 19
T12- TSH1188 x CEPEC 515	T27- CEPEC 515 x SGU 54
T13- PA 169 x CCN 10	
T14- TSH 565 x VB 1139	
T15- TSH 1188 x CCN 10	
TD TD	

T=Treatment from 1 to 27

in production of plants in some crosses. Based on the statistical analysis and on visual observations in the field, some plants were selected for regional tests.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The largest average number of pods per tree was observed in the progenies CEPEC 94 x CCN 10, RB 39 x CCN 51, CCN 10 x VB 1151 and PA 169 x CCN 10 (Table 2). Also, these four crosses presented the largest number of plants in production (more than 110 plants out of 120); although some other crosses with large number of plants in production presented a low number of pods per plant (Table 2). For this reason, selections were done mainly in these four crosses, as yield production was one of the major characters to be considered in the selection process. However, in relation to vegetative and cushion brooms, those four progenies were not the most resistant, except for RB 39 x CCN 51 (low VV) and CEPEC 94 x CCN 10 (low VA) and PA 169 x CCN 10, which were in the group of the most susceptible progenies (Table 3). Those four crosses,

Table 2. Analysis of productivity of 27 cocoa progenies, based on cumulative number of pods per tree

	Number total	Cumulative			
PROG	of trees		number of pods		
	or trees	per tree*			
CEPEC94xCCN10	112	9.43	a		
RB39xCCN51	115	9.32	a		
CCN10xVB1151	115	8.72	ab		
PA169xCCN10	110	8.71	ab		
TSH1188xCC10	71	8.13	abc		
TSH1188xVB1151	119	7.54	abcd		
EET45xCC10	75	6.45	abcde		
VB184xSIC19	108	6.33 abcde			
PA300xCCN10	59	5.71	bcdef		
TSH565xVB1139	84	5.04	cdefg		
TSH565xCSG70	55	4.33	defgh		
VB184xSGU54	115	3.35	efghi		
TSH1188xCEPEC515	116	3.29	efghi		
TSH1188xSGU54	111	2.96	efghi		
CEPEC523xCCN51	83	2.66	fghi		
CEPEC515xCC10	84	2.34	fghi		
TSH565xVB184	87	2.31	fghi		
EET392xCCN51	86	2.24	fghi		
CC10xCCN10	76	2.12	ghi		
CEPEC515xSGU54	100	2.11	hi		
CEPEC94xAC01	88	1.50	hi		
EET62xVB514	77	1.44	hi		
PA285xTSH565	86	1.23	hi		
CASAxCCN10	116	1.15	hi		
TSH1188xCCN10	103	0.88	hi		
CEPEC42xSIC19	54	0.74	i		
SGU26xCCN51	61	0.38	i		

^{*} Mean the same letter do not differ statistically followed by for the Tukey test at 1% of probability.

in general, presented also a high susceptibility to Ceratocystis wilt (*Ceratocystis cacaofunesta*), as observed by the reduced number of plants that survived (Table 3).

In the cluster analysis, those four crosses stayed in the same group (cluster 5, Table 4), except RB 39 x CCN 51(cluster 6), because of the smallest number of vegetative and cushion brooms observed (Table 3). In the progeny RB 39 x CCN 51, some plants were free of witches' broom or with very low numbers (Table 5, T11) during the evaluation period, reducing the average of this progeny for the resistance traits.

Comparing Tables 2 and 4, the highest number of pods per plant was observed in progenies of the clusters 4, 5 and 6. These results can be explained considering that some parents involved in those progenies (CCN 51, CCN 10, TSH 1188, VB 1151) are high yielding parents (Table 4) recommended for planting by farmers.

Progenies involving CC 10, despite showing high yield (Table 2), were very susceptible to witches' broom (Table 3). It has been observed in other trials and germplasm collections that the CC 10 clone possesses a high seed size and is very productive, although susceptible to witches' broom disease.

The progenies in cluster 4 and 5 presented the highest number of cushion brooms (VA, Table 3). On the other hand, with respect to the number of vegetative brooms, progenies of cluster 1 and 2 presented the smallest averages (Table 3); although those progenies were good in relation to pod production (Table 2).

Some progenies, such as TSH 1188 x VB 1151, despite the good pod production, had a large number of vegetative brooms and specially cushion brooms than other crosses (Table 3). For this reason, limited selection was done in this cross. Interestingly, the clones TSH 1188 and VB 1151 are already recommended to farmers, because their high resistance to witches' broom. When the two clones were crossed, they presented a large number of cushion brooms. However, other crosses involving TSH 1188, such as those with SGU 54 and CCN 10, presented a low level of cushion broom infection (Table 3).

The Pearson correlation coefficients between the number of pods and the number of vegetative brooms was (0.41*) and between the number of pods and number of cushion brooms.(0,66**). Therefore, in general, the productive progenies are more susceptible to witches' broom.

Considering the pod production, vegetative broom (VV) and cushion broom numbers (VA), the best progenies were RB 39 x CCN 51 (T11) and CCN 10 x VB 1151 (T21). Other progeny of interest was the CEPEC 94 x CCN 10 (Tables 2 and 3). The analyses of progenies and individual data, associated with visual observations in the field, allowed to select 17 plants (Table 5). The selections made

in each progeny (treatment) were: five in T11, four in T21, two in T23 and for the others 6 selections, one plant per treatment. All 17 selected plants were self-compatible and had good agronomic characteristics, such as number of pods, seed size, shell thickness and resistance to witches' broom. An initial selection of clones can be based on the number of pods and visual observation of the pod size (Efron et al., 2006). Some selections were based only on the visual observation, e.g., ESJOB 07. Others, like ESJOB 34, in spite of the presence of many vegetative brooms, had a large number of pods (Table 5). Those field observations are also important considering that in the genetic breeding work, the breeders should consider the scientific knowledge with the art (individual ability) as defined by Resende et al. (2011). In the future, observations and data collection will be continued in this trial and other selections will be accomplished. Those outstanding selections have been multiplied and tested in other edaphoclimatic conditions in regional clonal trials in farms of South Bahia, aiming to determine the phenotypic stability of the clones and to confirm their yield and resistance potential. The success in obtaining those selections can be due to the reasonable population size, i.e. around 120 plants per cross (Efron et

al., 2006) and the high genetic distances and heterozygosity among the parents involved in those crosses as shown in previous studies (Yamada *et al.*, 2009b).

Table 4. Cluster analysis of 27 crosses

Cluster	Crosses			
	CEPEC515xCC10	ET392xCCN51		
Cluster 1	TSH565xCSG70	TSH1188xCEPEC 515		
	SGU26xCCN51			
	CASAxCCN10	TSH565xVB1139		
	CEPEC94xAC 01	TSH1188xSGU54		
Cluster 2	CEPEC515xSGU54	TSH1188xCCN10		
	PA300xCCN10	VB184xSGU54		
	CEPEC523xCCN51	TSH565xVB184		
Cluster 3	EET62xVB514	PA285xTSH565		
Cluster 4	EET45xCC10	TSH1188xCC10		
	CCN10xVB1151	VB184xSIC19		
Cluster 5	CEPEC94xCCN10	TSH1188xVB1151		
	PA169xCCN10			
Cluster 6	RB39xCCN51			
Cluster 7	CC10xCCN10			
Cluster 8	CEPEC42xSIC19			

Table 3. Statistical analysis of the vegetative broom (VV) and cushion broom (VA) of 27 crosses

Crosses	N	Mean (VV)	Crosses	Mean(VA)
TSH1188xCC10	95	10.54 a	TSH1188xVB1151	3.08 a
EET45xCC10	96	9.92 a	TSH1188xCC10	2.66 ab
CC10xCCN10	100	9.82 a	EET45xCC10	2.25abc
VB184xSIC19	108	9.62 ab	PA169xCCN10	1.71abcd
PA169xCCN10	110	9.24 abc	VB184xSIC19	1.53 bcde
TSH1188xSGU54	111	8.31 abcd	RB39xCCN51	1.43 bcdef
TSH1188xVB1151	119	7.13 bcde	CCN10xVB1151	1.11 cdef
CEPEC523xCCN51	111	7.08 cdef	CEPEC515xSGU54	1.10 cdef
VB184xSGU54	115	6.83 cdef	TSH1188xCEPEC515	0.99 cdef
SGU26xCCN51	88	6.70 def	CEPEC515xCC10	0.80 def
PA300xCCN10	117	6.26 defg	EET392xCCN51	0.73 def
CEPEC515xSGU54	100	5.72 efgh	PA300xCCN10	0.72 def
CCN10xVB1151	115	5.62 efgh	TSH1188xSGU54	0.71 def
TSH1188xCEPEC515	116	5.03 efghi	CEPEC94xCCN10	0.68 def
CEPEC515xCC10	111	4.59 fghij	VB184xSGU54	0.62 def
CEPEC94xCCN10	112	4.56 fghij	CC10xCCN10	0.61 def
RB39xCCN51	115	3.90 ghijk	SGU26xCCN51	0.54 def
CEPEC42xSIC19	110	3.84 ghijk	CEPEC523xCCN51	0.49 def
TSH565xVB1139	114	3.74 hijk	CASAxCCN10	0.47 def
TSH1188xCCN10	103	3.72 hijk	TSH1188xCCN10	0.41 def
EET392xCCN51	114	3.54 hijk	EET62xVB514	0.33 ef
CASAxCCN10	116	3.40 hijk	PA285xTSH565	0.24 ef
TSH565xCSG70	114	2.96 ijk	TSH565xVB1139	0.21 ef
TSH565xVB184	114	2.75 ijk	TSH565xVB184	0.18 ef
PA285xTSH565	113	2.46 jk	CEPEC94xAC01	0.17 ef
EET62xVB514	107	2.13 jk	TSH565xCSG70	0.14 f
CEPEC 94 x AC01	117	1.69 k	CEPEC 42 x SIC 19	0.11 f

^{*} Mean followed by the same letter do not differ statistically for the Tukey test at 1 % of probability. N=Total number of trees

ESJOB	Identification	VV	VA	NP	COMP	OBS
01	R3-T21-P17	4	0	38	SC	
02	R3-T21-P18	1	1	32	SC	
03	R1-T23-P1	1	1	31	SC	
06	R1-T11-P26	0	2	25	SC	TS
07	R4-T17-P24	9	0	0		
08	R1-T21-P2	2	0	6	SC	BS
10	R2-T14-P25	4	0	11	SC	
11	R2-T7-P15	2	4	15	SC	
13	R3-T21-P16	3	0	24		BS
15	R1-T11-P1	0	0	9	SC	
21	R3-T11-P30	0	0	18		
32	R1-T23-P12	1	0	21	SC	BS,SI
34	R1-T13-P28	24	6	33	SC	
37	R2-T16-P1	2	0	13		
39	R1-T11-P8	1	0	26	SC	

0

17

13

Table 5. The 17 selections with ESJOB code, locations of plants in the experiment, data of vegetative broom (VV), cushion broom (VA), number of pods (NP), compatibility (COMP) and preliminary observations (OBS)

 $R=Replications \ T=Treatments \ P=Number \ of \ plant \ within \ plots \ BS=Big \ Seeds \\ SC=Self-compatible \ SI=Self-incompatible \ TS=Thin \ Shell \ SP=Small \ Plants$

8

CONCLUSIONS

43

47

The progenies CEPEC 94 x CCN 10, RB 39 x CCN 51, CCN 10 x VB 1151 and PA 169 x CCN 10 were the most productive among those tested in the present trial. The first three also presented a high resistance to witches' broom in the canopy and in the cushions. Selection of individual plants were mainly concentrated in those progenies, resulting in self-compatible selections, with high yield and resistance to witches' broom.

R2-T6-P5

R1-T11-P20

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To the agreement CEPLAC/CNPq for suporting technical project. To Geroncio Nascimento daTrindade and field workers Vivaldo de Souza Ramos and Silvio Ferreira dos Santos who dedicated for the maintenance of the experiment. To Vanessa Paim de Souza and Reinaldo Figueiredo dos Santos for the entrance of data and Lindolfo Pereira Santos Filho for advising on the entrance of the data.

REFERENCES

Efron Y, Epaina P & Marfu J (2006) Guidelines for accelerated clone development (ACD) In: Eskes AB & Efron Y (Eds.) Global approaches to cocoa germplasm utilization and conservation. Final Report of the CFC/ICCO/IPGRI Project on "Cocoa Germplasm Utilization and Conservation: A Global Approach (1998-2004)" Montpellier, France, p.87-89.

Lopes UV, Monteiro WR, Pires JL, Clement D, Yamada MM & Gramacho KP (2011) Cacao breeding in Bahia, Brazil - strategies and results. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology, 1:73-81. Resende KFM, Santos FMC, Dias MAD & Ramalho MAP (2011) Implication of the changing concept of genes on plant breeder's work. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology, 11:345-351.

SC

SC

TS

SAS Institute Corporation SAS/STAT (1988) Users'Guide Release 6.03. Cary, NC SAS Inst INC. 1028p.

Vello F, Mariano AH, Garcia JR, Nascimento TF & Magalhães WS (1969) O programa de melhoramento genético do cacau na Bahia. In: Conferencia Internacional de Pesquisas em Cacau, Salvador. Memórias, CEPLAC. p.43-45.

Yamada MM, Bartley BGD, Castro GCT de & Melo GRP (1982) Herança do fator compatibilidade em Theobroma cacao L. I. Relações fenotípicas na família PA (Parinari). Revista Theobroma, 12:163-167.

Yamada MM, Gaiotto FA, Flores AB & Faleiro FG (2009a) Parent pair analysis of cacao trees selected in farms for resistance to Moniliophthora perniciosa using microsatellites. Agrotrópica, 21:123-126.

Yamada MM, Faleiro FG, Flores AB, Lopes UV, Pires JL, Corrêa RX & Santos RF (2009b) Microsatellite diversity and heterozygosity of parents of cocoa breeding population. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology, 9:17-22.