Methods to classify maize cultivars in use efficiency and response to nitrogen¹

Cleiton Lacerda Godoy², Glauco Vieira Miranda³, Rodrigo Oliveira DeLima², Leandro Vagno de Souza⁴, João Carlos Cardoso Galvão³, Izabel Cristina dos Santos⁵

ABSTRACT

n plant breeding programs that aim to obtain cultivars with nitrogen (N) use efficiency, the focus is on methods of selection and experimental procedures that present low cost, fast response, high repeatability, and can be applied to a large number of cultivars. Thus, the objectives of this study were to classify maize cultivars regarding their use efficiency and response to N in a breeding program, and to validate the methodology with contrasting doses of the nutrient. The experimental design was a randomized block with the treatments arranged in a split-plot scheme with three replicates and five N doses (0, 30, 60, 120 and 200 kg ha⁻¹) in the plots, and six cultivars in subplots. We compared a method examining the efficiency and response (ER) with two contrasting doses of N. After that, the analysis of variance, mean comparison and regression analysis were performed. In conclusion, the method of the use efficiency and response based on two N levels classifies the cultivars in the same way as the regression analysis, and it is appropriate in plant breeding routine. Thus, it is necessary to identify the levels of N required to discriminate maize cultivars in conditions of low and high N availability in plant breeding programs that aim to obtain efficient and responsive cultivars. Moreover, the analysis of the interaction genotype x environment at experiments with contrasting doses is always required, even when the interaction is not significant.

Key words: regression, responsive, selection, index, Zea mays L.

RESUMO

Métodos para classificar cultivares de milho em eficiência no uso e na resposta ao nitrogênio

Nos programas de melhoramento de plantas que têm como objetivo obtenção de cultivares mais eficientes e responsivos à quantidade de nitrogênio (N), há interesse em métodos seletivos que sejam de baixo custo, rápida resposta, alta repetibilidade e aplicáveis em grande número de cultivares. Assim, os objetivos deste trabalho foram avaliar técnicas experimentais de campo aplicáveis à rotina de programas de melhoramento para classificar cultivares de milho eficientes e responsivos ao N. Foi realizado um experimento em delineamento de blocos casualizados, e os tratamentos foram arranjados em parcela subdividida com três repetições e cinco doses de N (0, 30, 60, 120 e 200 kg ha⁻¹) em parcelas e seis cultivares de milho em subparcelas. Foram comparados os métodos de eficiência e resposta (ER) com duas doses contrastantes de N, a análise de variância com teste de média e a análise de regressão. Concluiu-se que o método da eficiência no uso e na resposta com base nas duas doses mais contrastantes de N classifica os cultivares

Received: 10/01/2011; Accepted: 30/07/2013.

¹ Part of the Master's dissertation of the first author, submitted to the Graduate Program in Plant Science of Federal University of Viçosa.

²Agronomist Engineers, Masters of Science. Departament of Plant Science, Federal University of Viçosa, *Campus* Viçosa, Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs, s/n, 36570-000, Viçosa, Minas Gerais State, Brazil. becofe@bol.com; rodrigoodelima@gmail.com (corresponding author). ³Agronomist Engineers, Doctors of Science. Departament of Plant Science, Federal University of Vicosa, *Campus* Vicosa, Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs, s/n, 36570-000, Vicosa, 3/4 Control Science, Science, Federal University of Vicosa, *Campus* Vicosa, Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs, s/n, 36570-000, Vicosa, 3/4 Control Science, Science,

Agronomist Engineers, Doctors of Science. Departament of Flant Science, Federal Oniversity of Viçosa, Aumpus Viçosa, Avenda Feder Henry Rons, Sin, 50570-000, Viçosa, ⁴Agronomist Engineer, Doctor of Science. Dow Agrosciences Industria Ltda., Rodovia Anhanguera, s/n, Km 344, Zona Rural, 14680-000, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. Ivsouza2@dow.com

⁵Agronomist Engineer, Doctor of Science. Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais, Avenida José Cândido da Silveira, 1647, Cidade Nova, 31170-000, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais State, Brazil. icsantos@epamig.br

similares à análise de regressão e ele é apropriado para uso em programas de melhoramento; há necessidade de identificar os níveis de N requerido para discriminar cultivares de milho em condições de baixo e alto N em programas de melhoramento que objetivam obter cultivares eficientes e responsivos ao N; e que a análise de interação genótipos x ambientes em experimentos com doses contrastantes é sempre necessária, mesmo quando a interação não é significativa.

Palavras-chave: regressão, responsivo, seleção, índice, Zea mays L.

INTRODUCTION

Maize productivity is a function of cultivar, environment and cultivar x environment interaction (Miranda *et al.*, 2009; Fritsche-Neto *et al.*, 2010a). The behavior of each cultivar varies between regions, which can be capitalized upon to maximize productivity (Deitos *et al.*, 2006; Faluba *et al.*, 2010). Local food security can be increased via the identification of higher-yield cultivars in specific environments such as soils with low nitrogen availability (Miranda *et al.*, 2005; Souza *et al.*, 2010), low phosphorus (Parentoni & Souza Júnior, 2008; Fritsche-Neto *et al.*, 2010b) and low input (Souza *et al.*, 2008a; Souza *et al.*, 2009).

One of the main causes of low maize productivity in Brazil is the use of small quantities of fertilizers, especially nitrogen. Different strategies can be used to mitigate this problem, but usually involve changing the environment by adding nutrients that are low in status or by selecting plants with better nutrient use efficiency (Gallais & Hirel, 2004; Souza et al., 2008b; Rotili et al., 2010). The selection of cultivars with better nutrient use efficiency has been used with different definitions and it is essential when attempting to optimize the cultivar x environment interaction. The response of a cultivar is associated with the availability of nutrients for plant growth, while efficiency is associated with the limiting environment. Fageria & Kluthcouski (1980) observed that the most efficient cultivars produce more grains in relation to other cultivars in conditions of low nutrient availability and the most responsive cultivars are those that increase productivity as the relative availability of nutrient increases.

In soil science, the response of cultivars is traditionally determined by the dose-response experiment, in which the response is determined by the coefficient of regression of grain yield against the dose of nutrients. However, the use of such regressions is not feasible for the evaluation of hundreds of lines that are synthesized each year for a breeding program, because it is time-consuming and costly. Another experimental technique that is widely used to investigate the cultivar x nutrient interaction applies

Rev. Ceres, Viçosa, v. 60, n.5, p. 699-705, set/out, 2013

analysis of variance with the mean test to evaluate the performance of cultivars at two or three doses of nutrients. Nevertheless, this type of analysis often cannot detect differences in the cultivar x environment interaction, despite the presence of significant main effects of cultivars and environments (Fidelis *et al.*, 2007; Parentoni & Souza Júnior, 2008). In abiotic stress plant breeding, there is a focus on experimental and statistical methods that are associated with field investigations that fit in with the breeding routine, with low costs and a fast response and high repeatability of results. The methodology proposed by Fageria & Kluthcouski (1980) suggests that the use of two doses of nutrients is suitable for classifying cultivars in breeding programs as in dose-response experiments.

The objectives of this study were to classify maize cultivars regarding the efficiency and response to nitrogen in a breeding program and to validate the methodology used to identify nutrient-use-efficient cultivars.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted at the experimental station of the Department of Plant Science at Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV) in the municipality of Coimbra, Minas Gerais State (lat 20° 45' S, long 42° 51' W, and alt 720 m asl). The experimental design was a randomized block with the treatments arranged in a split-plot scheme with three replications and five nitrogen (N) doses (0, 30, 60, 120 and 200 kg ha⁻¹) in the plots, and six cultivars in subplots. Each plot consisted of 12 rows of 4 m in length, spaced 0.9 m apart and with 0.2 m between plants. The subplots consisted of two rows of 4 m.

The main chemical characteristics of the soil were: water pH = 5.5; P = 5.7 mg dm⁻³; K =71 mg dm⁻³; Ca²⁺ = 2.5 cmol_c dm⁻³; Mg²⁺ = 1.02 cmol_c dm⁻³; Al³⁺ = 0.00 cmol_c dm⁻³; H+Al = 3.96 cmol_c dm⁻³; SB = 3.70 cmol_c dm⁻³; t = 3.70 cmol_c dm₋₃; CTC = 7.66 cmol_.; V = 48.3%.

In fertilized plots the N application was split into 30 kg ha^{-1} at sowing and the remainder was applied when the plant reached the six-leaf stage. All plots received 80 kg ha^{-1} of P_2O_5 and 80 kg ha^{-1} K₂O applied in the furrows on the day of sowing. The nutrient sources were the fertilizers

simple superphosphate and potassium chloride. Pests, weeds and diseases were controlled. Irrigation was carried out as required to maize crop, based on information from a portable weather station.

The cultivars evaluated were P30F80 (single hybrid responsive for inputs), BR 201 (double hybrid adapted to acid soils), BR 106 (open-pollinated cultivar recommended for different regions of Brazil) and DKB 901 (single hybrid early cycle) which are nitrogen-responsive compared to BRS Sol da Manhã (open-pollinated cultivar selected for environments with low nitrogen) and CMS 39 (population with few cycles of selection) (Alves *et al.*, 2002). The traits evaluated were female flowering (FF), plant height (PH), ear height (EH), grain yield (GY) and nitrogen content. The concentration of total nitrogen in maize leaves was determined according to Malavolta *et al.* (1997).

Standard statistical analyses were carried out, i.e., analysis of variance for all cultivars, regression analysis of nitrogen doses for each cultivar and correlation with cultivar performance, by using the program Statistical Analysis System (SAS) version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., 2003). The Fageria & Kluthcouski (1980) method was evaluated in ten possible combinations of five nitrogen doses in order to identify two nitrogen doses that would classify the cultivars in the same way as the regression analysis. Among the possible genotype classifications for determining the lowest dose (critical level), to identify the efficiency cultivar was grain yield. To establish the highest dose required to determine the superior critical level to responsive cultivar, we considered grain yield, the percentage of cultivar yield potential that reached at least 80%, the results of the regression analysis and the nitrogen content in the maize plant.

After determination of the lower and upper levels, an index (α) was calculated to define the cultivar response. The index α was calculated as follows:

$\alpha = \frac{difference \text{ in grain yield between the nitrogen levels}}{difference \text{ between the nitrogen doses}}$

The grain yield of each cultivar at the lower critical level and its corresponding α are represented in the Cartesian coordinate system. The axes intersect at the point defined by the mean productivity at the lower critical level and the average \dot{a} of all cultivars. These four quadrants represent the efficiency and response of the cultivars. Cultivars belonging to the first quadrant (upper Y axis and right X axis) were classified as efficient and responsive, those in the second quadrant (upper Y and left X) were non-responsive and efficient, those in the third quadrant (lower Y and left X) were non-efficient and non-responsive and those in the fourth quadrant (lower Y and right X) were efficient and non-responsive.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nitrogen (N) levels and cultivars were significant for all traits, but the cultivar x N doses interaction were not significant for grain yield, plant height and ear height (Table 1). The grain yield of the different cultivars did not differ at doses of zero, 30 kg ha⁻¹ and 120 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). At N dose of 60 kg ha⁻¹, only CMS 39 was higher than BRS Sol da Manhã, and at 200 kg ha-1, the BRS Sol da Manhã produced less than all the other cultivars. The cultivar BR 201 had the highest mean grain yield at dose 200 kg ha⁻¹ of N. The non-significance of the cultivar x N doses interaction showed that this method was inadequate even when used with different experimental N doses and cultivars that was differentiated regarding their response to N. Thus, analysis of variance of the test traditionally used to evaluate the performance of the cultivars was not appropriate for abiotic stress plant breeding.

The lack of significance of the cultivar x N doses interaction for the traits of plant height, ear height and grain yield indicated that the differences between the average traits of the cultivars were maintained at all N doses and traditionally the statisticians suggest that the interpretation of interaction is not necessary. However, from the statistical or plant breeding point of view, the interpretation of interaction must be interesting.

Regarding plant breeding, the interpretation of the cultivar x N doses interaction becomes interesting as the more recently released maize cultivars are responsive to increased inputs and are very productive because they were selected in the presence of high inputs (Sawazaki & Paterniani, 2004). However, it was possible to identify differences between the cultivar responses to increased N, even when the analysis of variance detected non-significance, because the differences between cultivars were not large enough in relation to the coefficient of variation usually found for grain yield, which ranges from 8 to 16% in field trials (Scapim *et al.*, 1995).

Moreover, accepting the hypothesis that there is a big difference among cultivars in the grain yield of maize cultivars in the contrasting N levels and that can be detected by the ANOVA and F test in experiments in the field is very unlikely to occur because the cultivars are obtained to response the input. It was found by many authors when using elite germplasm (Fidelis *et al.*, 2007; Souza *et al.*, 2008b). Thus, Perecin & Cargnelutti Filho (2008) recommended a level of significance for the cultivars x environments interaction of p = 0.25 when interpreting the interaction effect of "the experiment". Based on our results and on literature, the interpretation of the cultivars x N doses interaction is always advisable, even when the interaction is not significant, regardless of the significance level suggested Perecin & Cargnelutti Filho (2008).

Rev. Ceres, Viçosa, v. 60, n.5, p. 699-705, set/out, 2013

Although correlation does not imply a cause and effect relationship, it may help to understand the general association between two variables. The correlation for grain yield between N doses was high and significant when the N levels like $0.74 \text{ at } 0.30 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$, $0.91 \text{ at } 60\text{-}120 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$, $0.66 \text{ at } 60\text{-}200 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $0.71 \text{ at } 120\text{-}200 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$. All the other correlations were not significant. This shows the similar performance between the cultivars in similar environments.

All cultivars were responsive to higher N doses based on the regression analysis, but it was possible to identify those that were more responsive, based on the regression coefficient (Table 3). The estimated regression coefficient (β_i) for the responsive cultivars (P30F80, BR 106 and BR 201) showed $\beta_i = 20$, which was 34% higher in relation to the least responsive (BRS Sol da Manhã, CMS 39 and DKB 901) with $\beta_i = 15$. Thus, for every 12 pounds of N applied, the most responsive cultivars will produce 60 kg ha^{-1} of grain more than the least responsive, corresponding to 1,000 kg ha^{-1} of grain at a dose of 200 kg ha^{-1} .

The cultivars CMS 39, DKB 901 and BR 201 were considered the most effective at low N doses (zero and 30 kg ha⁻¹) for grain yield and were above the overall average of the cultivars (Tables 2 and 3). The BR 201 was the only cultivar that was considered the most responsive and the most effective at low N doses (zero kg ha⁻¹).

The classification of the efficiency and response to N of cultivars using regression equations was consistent with the preliminary classification. For the methodology proposed by Fageria & Kluthcouski (1980), it is essential to determine the lower and upper critical levels in response to nutrients. These levels can be interpreted as the joint action of different genes in relation to the mechanisms of absorption, translocation and utilization of nutrients (Atlin & Frey, 1989; Souza *et al.*, 2008b; Fritsche-Neto *et al.*, 2010b). Gallais & Hirel (2004) suggested that in low N

Table 1. Mean square of grain yield (GY), plant height (PH), ear height (EH) and female flowering time (FF) of maize cultivars evaluated

Q	DE	Mean square					
Source variation	DF	GY	PH	EH	FF 2.23 ^{ns} 42.22** 1.58 97.89** 0.91* 0.48		
Blocks	2	4,350,886**	87 ^{ns}	47 ^{ns}	2.23 ^{ns}		
Nitrogen doses	4	44,373,675**	3,706**	3,118**	42.22**		
Error (a)	8	616,695	125	104	1.58		
Cultivars (C)	5	2,707,311**	7,407**	5,204**	97.89**		
C x N doses	20	525,722 ^{ns}	86 ^{ns}	59 ^{ns}	0.91*		
Error (b)	50	395,274	82	59	0.48		
CV (%)		12	5	8	1		

^{ns}: not significant; *, **: significant at p < 0.01 and p < 0.05 by the F test, respectively.

Table 2. Means of grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹), percentage of pro	oduction potential (PPP)) and nitrogen concentration ((N, dag kg ⁻¹) obtained
by maize cultivars in different nitrogen doses evaluated			

Nitrogen doses (kg ha ⁻¹)	P30F80	BR 201	BR 106	BRS Sol da Manhã	DKB 901	CMS 39
0 PPP	3,148a ^{1/}	3,964a	2,759a	3,246a	4,046a	3,987a
	(39%)	(50%)	(39%)	(46%)	(47%)	(48%)
Ν	2.20	2.32	2.10	2.10	1.95	2.25
30 PPP	3,374a	3,817a	3,590a	3,286a	4,612a	3,999a
	(42%)	(48%)	(51%)	(50%)	(54%)	(49%)
Ν	1.92	2.21	1.92	2.08	1.90	1.88
60 PPP	6,361ab	6,146ab	5,283ab	5,114b	5,393ab	6,802a
	(80%)	(77%)	(75%)	(73%)	(63%)	(83%)
Ν	2.82	2.62	2.79	2.78	2.42	2.77
120 PPP	6,515a	6,142a	5,516a	5,331a	5,658a	6,230a
	(81%)	(77%)	(79%)	(76%)	(66%)	(76%)
Ν	2.65	2.68	2.67	2.82	2.53	2.93
200 PPP	7,405ab	8,064a	7,187ab	6,153	7,151ab	7,454ab
	(93%)	(100%)	(100%)	(88%)	(83%)	(91%)
N	3.11	3.29	3.33	3.19	2.99	3.32

^{1/} Means with the same letter in line are similar, by Tukey test in 5%.

Rev. Ceres, Viçosa, v. 60, n.5, p. 699-705, set/out, 2013

conditions, the efficiency of use is critical for crop production whereas in high N conditions the absorption efficiency is the most important factor.

The absorption efficiency in conditions of low N availability is associated with a high-affinity transport system and in conditions of high N availability, it is associated with low-affinity transport (Lea & Azevedo, 2006). The utilization efficiency is associated with N metabolism enzymes such as nitrate reductase, glutamine synthetase and glutamate synthase (Hirel *et al.*, 2007). The use efficiency is associated with aminotransferase, which is responsible for the accumulation and transportation of N to the grain (Lea & Azevedo, 2007).

The N level that determines the upper critical level is that at which the grain yield is at least 80% of the productive potential (Fageria & Kluthcouski, 1980). At the N dose of 200 kg ha⁻¹, all cultivars reached over 80% of their production potential (PP) (Table 2). In addition the N content that is recommended as the ideal (2.75 dag kg⁻¹) for maize was reached by all cultivars at the dose of 200 kg ha⁻¹ (Malavolta *et al.*, 1997).

For abiotic stress plant breeding, determining the lower critical level to select efficiency cultivars is important because the trait heritability is reduced as stress increases (Rumbaugh *et al.*, 1984). Using the methodology of Fageria & Kluthcouski (1980), the lower critical level is characterized by the lowest dose at which the differences between the grain yields are significant according to the mean test: in this study an N dose of 60 kg ha⁻¹ was indicated (Table 2). However, at this dose, four of the six cultivars showed adequate N levels and two of these reached 80% of productive potential.

The dose of 30 kg ha⁻¹ led to a reduction of approximately 50% of the productive potential of the

Table 3. Regression equation, coefficient of determination (R^2), relative percentage of response (RPR), efficiency in low N (ELN) and relative percentage of efficiency (RPE) at dose zero of N to maize cultivars evaluated

Cultivar	Equations (y = kg ha ⁻¹)	R ² (%)	RPR (%)	ELN	RPE (%)
P30F80	$y = 3,584 + 21.66 x^*$	77	118	3,584	95
BR 106	$y = 3,153 + 20.90 x^*$	92	114	3,153	84
BR 201	$y = 3,920 + 20.81 x^*$	87	113	3,920	104
CMS 39	$y = 4,294 + 17.07 x^{**}$	71	93	4,294	114
BRS Sol da Manhã	$y = 3,396 + 14.99 x^{**}$	84	82	3,396	90
DKB 901	$y = 4,177 + 14.57 x^{**}$	96	79	4,177	111
Mean	$y = 3,754 + 18.33 x^{**}$		100	3,754	100

* ** Coefficients of regression were similar to 20 and 15, by t test, at 5% of probability, respectively.



Figure 1. Efficiency and response to nitrogen of six maize cultivars (See details in Materials and Methods).

Nitrogen doses kg ha ⁻¹	BR 106	BR 201	P30F80	DKB 901	BRS Sol da Manhã	CMS 39
0 e 30	Х		Х	Х		
0 e 60	Х		Х			Х
0 e 120	Х		Х			Х
0 e 200	Х		Х	Х		
30 e 60		Х	Х			Х
30 e 120		Х	Х			Х
30 e 200	Х	Х	Х			
60 e 120	Х		Х	Х	Х	
60 e 200	Х	Х		Х		
120 e 200	Х	Х		Х		

Table 4. Maize cultivars classified like responsive to nitrogen according with combination of nitrogen doses and methodology of Fageria & Kluthcousk (1980)

cultivars (Table 2). This reduction corresponded to a grain yield of approximately 3,500 kg ha⁻¹, close to the mean obtained by the Brazilian producers who use lower inputs, especially N fertilizers. Another fact that indicates the dose of 30 kg ha⁻¹ is adequate because N concentration in maize leaves is below of 2.75 dag kg⁻¹ by Malavolta *et al.* (1997) as critical for obtaining satisfactory grain yields. In treatments that received no N fertilizer (below 60 kg ha⁻¹), none of the cultivars reached the critical N leaf concentration, showing that these doses are below those required to satisfactory grain yields.

At doses of 30 kg ha⁻¹ and 200 kg ha⁻¹ (the lower and upper critical levels according to the method of Fageria & Kluthcouski (1980)), cultivars BR 106, P30F80 and BR 201 were classified as responsive and cultivars DKB 901, CMS 39 and BR 201 as efficient (Figure 1). This classification is consistent with that obtained by regression analysis of the cultivars in terms of rates of N used as standard.

There is a dosage difference of 100 kg ha⁻¹ between the highest and the lowest nutrient availability treatments (Miranda *et al.*, 2005; Souza *et al.*, 2008b). The small difference in productivity between the doses defined as the lower and upper critical level may compromise the selection of maize cultivars for the efficient use of N, as it may be appropriate for studies on soil fertility, because grain yield between high and low N environments will be correlated (Atlin & Frey, 1989).

By applying the methodology Fageria & Kluthcouski (1980) for the ten combinations of N rates used, we observed that the cultivar BR 106 and P30F80 were classified eight times as responsive, BR 201 and DKB 901 five times, CMS 39 four times and, BRS Sol da Manhã one time (Table 4). It was also noted that combinations of doses when the higher dose was 200 kg ha⁻¹ or when the difference between doses was superior to 100 kg ha⁻¹, the cultivars BR 106, BR 201 and P30F80 were responsive. The DKB 901 is considered an efficient cultivar and only

Rev. Ceres, Viçosa, v. 60, n.5, p. 699-705, set/out, 2013

showed response to N at doses of 200 kg ha⁻¹. The BRS Sol da Manhã was developed in soil with low N availability and was correctly classified by the regression analysis, response and efficiency methodology.

CONCLUSIONS

Use efficiency and response method based on two N levels classifies the cultivars in the same way as the regression analysis and is appropriate in plant breeding routine.

There is a need to identify the N levels required to discriminate maize cultivars in conditions of low and high nitrogen availability in plant breeding programs that aim to obtain efficient and responsive cultivars.

The analysis of the interaction genotype x environment at experiments with contrasting doses is always necessary, even when the interaction is not significant.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Foundation for Research Support of Minas Gerais State (Fapemig), the Brazilian Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education (Capes) and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- Alves FG, Ramalho MAP & Souza JC (2002) Alterações nas propriedades genéticas da população CMS-39 submetida à seleção massal para prolificidade. Revista Brasileira de Milho e Sorgo 3:89-101.
- Atlin NG & Frey KJ (1989) Breeding crop varieties for low -Input agriculture. American Journal of Alternative Agriculture, 4:53-58.
- Deitos A, Arnhold E & Miranda GV (2006) Yield and combining ability of maize cultivars under different ecogeographic conditions. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology, 6:222-227.

- Fageria NK & Kluthcouski J (1980) Metodologia para avaliação das cultivares de arroz e feijão para condições adversas de solo. EMBRAPA/CNPAF. 22p.
- Faluba JS, Miranda GV, DeLima RO, Souza LV, DeBem EA & Oliveira AMC (2010) Potencial genético da população de milho UFV 7 para o melhoramento em Minas Gerais. Ciência Rural, 40:1250-1256.
- Fidelis RR, Miranda GV, Santos IC, Galvão JCC, Peluzio JM & Lima SO (2007) Fontes de germoplasma de milho para estresse de baixo nitrogênio. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical, 37:147-153.
- Fritsche-Neto R, Miranda GV, DeLima RO & Souza HN (2010a) Factor analysis and SREG GGE biplot for the genotype x environment interaction stratification in maize. Ciência Rural, 40:1043-1048.
- Fritsche-Neto R, Miranda GV, DeLima RO, Souza LV & Silva J (2010b) Herança de caracteres associados à eficiência de utilização do fósforo em milho. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 45:465-471.
- Gallais A & Hirel B (2004) An approach to the genetics of nitrogen use efficiency in maize. Journal of Experimental Botany, 55:295-306.
- Hirel B, Gouis JL, Ney B & Gallais A (2007) The challenge of improving nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants: towards a more central role for genetic variability and quantitative genetics within integrated approaches. Journal of Experimental Botany, 58:2369-2387.
- Lea PJ & Azevedo RA (2006) Nitrogen use efficiency 1: Uptake nitrogen from the soil. Annals of Applied Biology, 149:243-247.
- Lea PJ & Azevedo RA (2007). Nitrogen use efficiency 2: Amino acid metabolism. Annals of Applied Biology, 151:269-275.
- Malavolta E, Vitti GC & Oliveira SA (1997) Avaliação do estado nutricional das plantas: princípios e aplicações. Piracicaba, Potafós. 319p.
- Miranda GV, Souza LV, Guimarães LJM, Namorato H, Oliveira LR & Soares MO (2005) Selection of discrepant maize cultivars for nitrogen use efficiency by a chlorophyll meter. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology, 5:451-459.
- Miranda GV, Souza LV, Guimarães LJM, Namorato H & Soares MO (2009) Multivariate analyses of genotype x environment interaction of popcorn. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 44:45-50.

- Parentoni SN & Souza Júnior CL (2008) Phosphorus acquisition and internal utilization efficiency in tropical maize cultivars. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 43:893-901.
- Perecin D & Cargnelutti Filho A (2008) Efeitos por comparações e por experimento em interações de experimentos fatoriais. Ciência Agrotecnologia, 32:68-72.
- Rotili EA, Fidelis RR, Santos MM, Castro Neto MD, Kichel E & Cancellier EL (2010) Eficiência no uso do fósforo de variedades de arroz cultivadas em solos de várzea irrigada. Revista Ceres, 57:415-420.
- Rumbaugh MD, Assay PH & Johnson DA (1984) Influence of drought stress on genetic variances of alfalfa and wheatgrass seedlings. Crop Science, 24:297-303.
- SAS Institute Inc. (2003) Statistical Analysis System user's guide. Version 9.1, Cary. Statistical Analysis System Institute. 220p.
- Sawazaki E & Paterniani MEAGZ (2004) Evolução dos cultivares de milho no Brasil. In: Galvão JCC & Miranda GV (Eds.) Tecnologias de produção do milho. Viçosa, UFV. p.13-53.
- Scapim CA, Carvalho CGP & Cruz CD (1995) Uma proposta de classificação dos coeficientes de variação para a cultura do milho. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 30:683-86.
- Souza ARR, Miranda GV, Pereira MG, Souza LV & Lopes PF (2008a) Agronomic performance of white maize landrace in different environmental conditions. Revista Ceres, 55:497-503.
- Souza LV, Miranda GV, Galvão JCC, Eckert FR, Mantovani EE, Lima RO & Guimarães LJM (2008b) Genetic control of grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency in tropical maize. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 43:1517-1523.
- Souza LV, Miranda GV, Galvão JCC, DeLima RO, Guimarães LJM, Eckert FR & Mantovani EE (2010) Inter-relações de nitrogênio e fósforo na capacidade de combinação e na seleção de milho. Revista Ceres, 57:633-641.
- Souza LV, Miranda GV, Galvão JCC, Guimarães LJM & Santos IC (2009) Combining ability of maize grain yield under different levels of environmental stress. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 44:1297-1303.