Editorial



Behavioral Cardiology: Cardiology's New Frontier of Action

Marcelo Katz¹ and Mauricio Wajngarten^{1,2}

Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein¹; Instituto do Coração da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo², São Paulo – Brazil

Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality around the world, and particularly in Brazil, despite advances in their diagnosis and treatment¹. It is speculated that these adverse events are due in part to the lack of patients' adherence to medical (pharmacological and non-pharmacological) recommendations^{2,3}. In this regard, the evaluation of adherence and, eventually, the identification of the causes of failure in adherence are recommended to be part of the cardiovascular anamnesis4. In the study published by Oliveira-Filho et al⁵ in the Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia entitled "Improving Post-Discharge Medication Adherence in Patients with CVD: A Pilot Randomized Trial", the topic of adherence is approached in a randomized clinical study conducted by the authors. In this study, 61 patients diagnosed with cardiovascular disease were, upon discharge from the hospital, randomized to receive an intervention focused on education and information, including dosage simplification, and based on a 4-item adherence scale versus standard treatment. The authors compared adherence outcomes at 1 and 12 months of follow up, as well as clinical outcomes (hospital readmission and death) in the follow-up period. The adherence rate was assessed with the 8-item therapeutic adherence scale by Morisky^{6,7}. At 1-month follow-up, the adherence rate was significantly higher in the intervention group (83.3% versus 48.4%). During long-term follow-up, the adherence rate declined to a statistically non-significant difference between groups, but remained around 61% in the intervention group. As for readmissions and deaths, there was no significant difference between groups, although the study was not designed primarily to analyze these outcomes. However, we emphasize that taking into consideration the adherent patients regardless of allocation group, the rate of clinical outcomes was lower when compared with non-adherent patients. In a recent study, Castellano et al⁸ evaluated the polypill versus standard treatment in patients after acute myocardial infarction and observed an improvement in adherence rate, but not in cardiovascular outcomes. Dosage simplification, which was common to both studies, appears to be effective and may be a trend.

Methodologically well-planned and executed, the study by Oliveira-Filho et al⁵ provides lessons applicable to clinical practice and to the way physicians and health

Keywords

Cardiovascular Diseases / trends; Indicators of Morbidity and Mortality; Medication Adherence; Treatment Outcome.

Mailing Address: Marcelo Katz •

Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, Av. Albert Einstein, 627, Bloco A1, 4° andar, Coordenação do Programa de Cardiologia, São Paulo, SP – Brazil E-mail: mkatz@einstein.br

DOI: 10.5935/abc.20150002

professionals interact with their patients. Patient education and simplification of dosage must be part of the strategy to improve patients' adherence. In addition, the background of this study ultimately refers to behavior. In recent years, the term "behavioral cardiology" has been used to define a new frontier of action in cardiology and currently encompasses the relationship between mental and cardiovascular health, the influence of psychosocial factors on the incidence of cardiovascular diseases, and finally, behavioral aspects of the patients that determine higher or lower adherence to medical recommendations^{9,10}. Several behavioral theories complement each other and seek to explore the different domains that govern the behavior of a patient before a risk factor or a disease. Similarly, there are several examples in the literature demonstrating that health interventions, at an individual or population level, have a higher chance of success when based on a combination of behavioral theories, with emphasis on the health belief model, the stages of change, social cognitive theory, self-efficacy and positive reinforcement¹¹. In all these theories, the issues of information and patient education are central.

Specifically, according to the health belief model¹², the key domains that govern behavior are the perceived susceptibility to a certain risk or disease, the perceived severity of the disease, and the benefits of and barriers to a specific behavioral change. According to this theory, the first step for a patient to adhere to recommendations (whether practicing physical activity or taking a medication to prevent a cardiovascular outcome) is to notice him/herself at risk of developing the disease. The literature indicates that in this first step patients already underestimate their risk¹³.

In addition, the benefits of cardiovascular prevention occur in the long term, whereas the barriers to lifestyle changes are in the present. Physicians and health professionals should seek to calibrate the risk perception of the patient; in this regard, education and health information are fundamental. The patient who knows his/her disease and receives accurate information for handling the therapy tends to have greater adherence to treatment, as shown in the study by Oliveira-Filho et al⁵. In addition, offering information to the patient improves engagement. The behavioral theories have proposed that patient and family involvement in the treatment plan is crucial to overcome barriers and achieve the best benefits.

It is worth noting, however, that not all patients are ready to take responsibility for their treatment. The theory of stages of change¹⁴ defines different stages of aptitude to change. Patients may be in the *pre-contemplative* phase, i.e., not ready for change, and in some cases, even in denial of the disease or the inherent risks. In the *contemplative* phase, patients understand the risks and accept the need for change; in the *preparation* phase, they plan effectively the action of change,

Editorial

discussing "how to execute the change"; in the *action* phase, they effectively initiate the changes; and in the *maintenance* phase, healthy attitudes become a sustainable habit. The role of the physician and other health professionals is fundamental to the advancement of the stages.

One thing useful in effecting change is to work with the patients'self-efficacy, which is self-confidence about the ability to change. To set treatment goals with positive reinforcement after fulfillment of the goals works in most cases. As an example, to establish a target of twice a week for physical activity for a sedentary patient who does not practice physical activity. Even though this is not ideal, it is achievable. When the patient achieves this goal it improves his/her self-confidence, and the positive reinforcement from the health professional improves his/her self-esteem. The next step is to define as a target thrice-a-week activity, and so on.

It is worth mentioning the importance of assessing the environment in which the patient is inserted when there is intention to promote a positive behavioral change. It is necessary to understand family, work and community cores as essentials when planning the change. A good example is the issue of obesity. Discussing diet in this context involves the evaluation of the family, what the patient eats at work, what types of food, and at what cost it is offered in the community in which he/she lives.

We should stress that when it comes to behavior and adherence, more than a hundred factors have been identified as potential predictors of adherence, therefore one cannot expect a "one size fits all intervention". It is indeed fundamental to develop alternative methods to implement interventions that are effective and accessible. Additionally, it is necessary to apply technological resources as tools in the engagement and participation of the patients. Finally, in our understanding, the financing and dissemination of studies on adherence must be a priority.

Therefore, in the field of behavioral theories applied to interventions in health, the study of Oliveira-Filho et al.⁵ brings a great contribution to current knowledge. We believe that this article will be of great usefulness to the readers of the *Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia*.

References

- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380(9859):2095-128. Erratum in: Lancet. 2013;381(9867):628.
- Osterberg L, Blaschke T. Adherence to medication. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(5):487-97.
- Bosworth HB, Granger BB, Mendys P, Brindis R, Burkholder R, Czajkowski SM, et al. Medication adherence: a call for action. Am Heart I. 2011:162(3):412-24.
- 4. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, Graham I, Reiner Z, Verschuren M, et al; European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR); ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG). European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). Eur Heart J. 2012;33(17):2126.
- Oliveira Filho AD, Morisky DE, Costa FA, Pacheco ST, Neves SF, Lyra-Jr DP.
 Otimização da adesão terapêutica pós-alta hospitalar de pacientes com
 DCV: ensaio clínico randomizado estudo piloto. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2014;
 103(5):502-512.
- de Oliveira-Filho AD, Morisky DE, Neves SJ, Costa FA, de Lyra DP Jr. The 8-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale: validation of a Brazilian-Portuguese version in hypertensive adults. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2014;10(3):554-61.

- Morisky DE, Ang A, Krousel-Wood M, Ward HJ. Predictive validity of a medication adherence measure in an outpatient setting. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2008;10(5):348-54.
- Castellano JM, Sanz G, Penalvo JL, Bansilal S, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Alvarez L, et al. A polypill strategy to improve adherence: results from the FOCUS project. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014 Sep 1. [Epub ahead of print].
- Rozanski A. Behavioral cardiology: current advances and future directions. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64(1):100-10.
- Simao AF, Precoma DB, Andrade JP, Correa Filho H, Saraiva JF, Oliveira GM, et al. I cardiovascular prevention guideline of the Brazilian Society of Cardiology - executive summary. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2014;102(5):420-31.
- Glanz K, Bishop DB. The role of behavioral science theory in development and implementation of public health interventions. Annu Rev Public Health. 2010;31:399-418
- Janz NK, Becker MH. The Health Belief Model: a decade later. Health Educ Q. 1984;11(1):1-47.
- Katz M, Laurinavicius AG, Franco FG, Conceicao RD, Carvalho JA, Pesaro AE, et al. Calculated and perceived cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic subjects submitted to a routine medical evaluation: The perception gap*. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2014. Jul 18. [Epub ahead of print].
- Prochaska JM, Prochaska JO, Levesque DA. A transtheoretical approach to changing organizations. Adm Policy Ment Health. 2001;28(4):247-61.