Iheringia Série Zoologia

e-ISSN 1678-4766 www.scielo.br/isz

Article -



Niche partitioning of two piscivorous fish species in a river in the western Brazilian Amazon

Igor David da Costa^{1,2} 💿 & Natalia Neto dos Santos Nunes³ 💿

 Universidade Federal Fluminense, Instituto do Noroeste Fluminense de Educação Superior, Av. João Jasbick, s/n°, 28470-000 Santo Antônio de Pádua, RJ, Brazil.
Universidade Federal de Rondônia, Mestrado Profissional em Gestão e Regulação de Recursos Hídricos (PROF-ÁGUA), Rua Rio Amazonas, 351, 76900-726, Ji-Paraná, RO, Brazil. (igorbiologia@yahoo.com.br)

 Universidade Federal de Rondônia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Ambientais, Av. Norte Sul 7300, 76940-000, Rolim de Moura, RO, Brazil. (nataliansnunes@gmail.com)

Received 13 March 2021 Accepted 2 December 2021 Published 4 February 2022

DOI 10.1590/1678-4766e2022002

ABSTRACT. We analyzed the seasonal variation in the diet, trophic niche breadth (Levins index), the partitioning of food resources (Pianka's symmetric index) and trophic level (weighed average of trophic level of each prey determined in FishBase and SeaLifeBase platform) of *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) and *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) in the Machado River, Rondônia, Brazil. Fish samplings were conducted bimonthly from June 2013 to May 2015 in five sites, using eight sets of gillnets. The occurrence frequency and volumetric frequency were used to quantify the food items. We analyzed the stomach contents of 283 individuals, 134 of *H. scomberoides* and 149 of *P. squamosissimus*. Fish were the most consumed food item by both piscivorous species. However, *H. scomberoides* mostly ingested pelagic fish (*e.g.* Characiformes fishes and *Prochilodus nigricas* Spix & Agassiz, 1829), while *P. squamosissimus* mostly consumed benthic fish [*e.g. Pimelodus blochii* Valenciennes, 1840 and *Tenellus trimaculatus* (Boulenger, 1898)]. *Hydrolycus scomberoides* presented the trophic level 3.55 for both periods analyzed, while *P. squamosissimus* 4.01 in the flood period and 3.82 in the drought period. Seasonal variations in the diet of *H. scomberoides* and *P. squamosissimus* were observed (PERMANOVA). Specifically, *P. squamosissimus* consumed mainly "Siluriformes" fishes and *P. blochii* in the drought period. The species in the flood period. The species *P. squamosissimus* and *H. scomberoides* had low (0.35) food niche overlap in both eseasons analysed. The data indicated that *P. squamosissimus* has a generalist feeding habit, while *H. scomberoides* is specialized in prey selection. The overlap of food niche between the species in both periods of the hydrological cycle was low, indicating that niche partitioning was probably the main mechanism of coexistence of these species, with little relationship with variations of the hydrological cycle.

KEYWORDS. Diet, trophic niche, Machado River, seasonal variation.

RESUMO. Particionamento de nicho de duas espécies de peixes piscívoros em um rio na Amazônia Ocidental Brasileira. Nós analisamos a variação sazonal na dieta, a amplitude do nicho trófico (Índice de Levins), a partição dos recursos alimentares (Índice simétrico de Pianka) e o nível trófico (média do nível trófico de cada presa determinada pela plataforma FishBase e SeaLifeBase) de Plagioscion squamosissimus (Heckel, 1840) e Hydrolycus scomberoides (Cuvier, 1819) no rio Machado, Rondônia, Brasil. As amostragens de peixes foram realizadas bimestralmente de junho de 2013 a maio de 2015 em cinco locais, utilizando oito conjuntos de redes de emalhar. A frequência de ocorrência e a frequência volumétrica foram utilizados para quantificar os itens alimentares. Analisamos o conteúdo estomacal de 283 indivíduos, 134 de H. scomberoides e 149 de P. squamosissimus. Peixes foram o item alimentar mais consumido pelas duas espécies piscívoras. No entanto, H. scomberoides ingeriu principalmente peixes pelágicos (ex. peixes Characiformes e Prochilodus nigricas Spix & Agassiz, 1829), enquanto P. squamosissimus consumiu principalmente peixes bentônicos [ex. Pimelodus blochii Valenciennes, 1840 e Tenellus trimaculatus (Boulenger, 1898)]. Hydrolycus scomberoides apresentou nível trófico de 3,55 para ambos os períodos analisados, enquanto para P. squamosissimus o nível trófico foi de 4,01 no período da cheia e 3,82 no período de seca. Variações sazonais na dieta de H. scomberoides e P. squamosissimus foram observadas (PERMANOVA). Especificamente, P. squamosissimus consumiu principalmente peixes "Siluriformes" e P. blochii no período de seca. A largura do nicho trófico de P. squamosissimus foi maior que a de H. scomberoides no período da cheia. Plagioscion squamosissimus e H. scomberoides apresentaram baixa (0,35) sobreposição de nicho alimentar nas duas estações analisadas. Os dados indicaram que P. squamosissimus tem hábito alimentar generalista, enquanto H. scomberoides é especializado na seleção de presas. A sobreposição de nicho alimentar entre as espécies em ambos os períodos do ciclo hidrológico foi baixa, indicando que a partição de nicho foi provavelmente o principal mecanismo de coexistência dessas espécies, com pouca relação com as variações do ciclo hidrológico.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE. Dieta, nicho trófico, rio Machado, variação sazonal.

The Amazon basin covers approximately 6,000,000 km², discharging about 16% of the world's freshwater into the Atlantic Ocean (VENTICINQUE *et al.*, 2016; LATRUBESSE *et al.*, 2017), and have high global freshwater biodiversity (TISSEUIL *et al.*, 2013). Specifically, the fish fauna is represented by 2,257 species described (including over 1,000 endemic

species; not found anywhere else in the world). Consequently, in the Amazon basin making up approximately 15% of the described global freshwater ichthyofauna (TEDESCO *et al.*, 2017).

The theories related to species coexistence, which consequently try to explain high species diversity, mostly in

Amazonia, are based on two competing theories, Hutchinson's niche theory (HUTCHINSON, 1957) and Hubbell's neutral theory (HUBBELL, 2001). Niche theory states that guilds of competing species will diverge, leading to reduced niche overlap. The ubiquity of ecological niches provides a general explanation for the positive relationship between diversity and functioning: through competitive divergence each species only covers some part of the total niche space available in a community (TILMAN *et al.*, 1997). The neutral theory considers that groups of trophically similar species typically can occur in sympatry and compete for similar resources, because the diversity of the assemblage results from stochastic processes acting on both local and regional scales (GASTON & CHOWN, 2005).

However, MACARTHUR (1965) described that gradients of richness could be explained by two contrary patterns of niche occupation: (*i*) the niche expansion model, where an increase in richness is linked to the occupation of new regions of niche space (habitat dimensions and resources), which are not available or still need to be explored by more assemblages (MACARTHUR, 1965; KARR & JAMES, 1975); and (*ii*) the niche packing model that proposes higher diversity is associated to denser niche packing, which arises through more restricted specialization or greater overlap in resource use (KLOPFER & MACARTHUR, 1961; KARR & JAMES, 1975) that, in turn, may reflect differences in the ecological capacity of coexistence or regional differences in speciation rates (HUBBELL, 2006).

One approach for evaluating interactive processes in aquatic assemblages (ESTEVES & ARANHA, 1999), is the investigation of the diet of fishes (REIS & SANTOS, 2014), whose feeding habits can be influenced by environmental conditions, the biological traits of the species (ABELHA et al., 2001) and spatial-temporal variations (XIMENES et al., 2011). According to JUNK et al. (1989), the hydrological cycle plays an important role in controlling natural fluvial systems by affecting the structure of habitats and the life cycle of species. Seasonal river fluctuations unite large extensions of terrestrial environments in the fluvial system, which promotes greater availability of habitats and food (AGOSTINHO et al., 2007), supports a high diversity of species with distinct morphological traits (WILLIS et al., 2005), and facilitates the coexistence of species via resource partitioning (WINEMILLER et al., 2000).

Piscivorous fish represent a high percentage of the total biomass of Neotropical aquatic environments (PEREIRA *et al.*, 2017). Piscivorous fish play an important role in the dynamics and structuring of fish assemblages (PETRY *et al.*, 2010), coupled with the fact that flooding affects interand intraspecific relationships between synoptic species (PEREIRA *et al.*, 2017). Species belonging to this guild are usually top predators and are able to sustain biodiversity and prevent strong trophic cascades (MONTEIRO & FARIA, 2016). Species with similar diets, such as piscivores, but with different feeding strategies, should behave differently in relation to the hydrological cycle and resource availability (LUZ-AGOSTINHO *et al.*, 2009).

Large piscivorous fishes show notable versatility in their feeding habits and high plasticity in feeding due to the high variation in aquatic environments of tropical regions (LOWE-MCCONNELL, 1999; MOYLE & CECH, 2004; CORREA & WINEMILLER, 2014; BARBOSA et al., 2018). In several environments and biomes (HAHN et al., 1999; BENNEMANN et al., 2000, 2006; SANTOS et al., 2016), Plagioscion squamosissimus (Heckel, 1840), the South American silver croaker is piscivorous (BARBOSA et al., 2018) or a generalist carnivore (NEVES et al., 2015). This species is a sedentary fish native to the Amazonian region (SANTOS et al., 2006), a valuable resource for human consumption and recreational fishing (BARROS et al., 2012) and preferentially dwells in the water column and at the bottom of rivers and lakes (TEIXEIRA & BENNEMANN, 2007). In recent studies by BARBOSA et al. (2018), this species consumed preferably species of the order Siluriformes. Similarly, Hydrolycus scomberoides (Cuvier, 1819), the dogtooth characin, is distributed throughout the Amazon basin (QUEIROZ et al., 2013), has a piscivore dietary habit, consumes entire fish, but has insignificant commercial importance (SANTOS et al., 2006).

Considering their ecological importance, this study aimed to evaluate piscivorous fish feeding in a stretch of the Machado River, Amazônia, comparing flood and drought periods. The questions that this survey aims to investigate are: i) The hydrological periods change the diet and trophic niche breadth of P. squamosissimus and H. scomberoides in the river? ii) There are trophic relationships (niche overlap) between fish species. Whereas water level changes, and the allochthonous and autochthonous inputs varies in their importance for fish assemblages (VAZZOLER, 1996; JUNK et al., 1989, 2010), we hypothesized that the diet composition of both species of fish varies between the seasons of the hydrological cycle (flood and drought periods), in a river in southwestern Amazonia. Considering the occurrence and high abundance of both species in the Machado river, we infer that such species have specialized food habits, however, a smaller niche partitioning between P. squamosissimus and H. scomberoides occurs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area. We carried out the study in the Machado River (commonly known as Ji-Paraná River) basin, which covers 75,400 km² in the State of Rondônia, Brazil (Fig. 1).

This seventh-order river annually drains about 700 m^3 .s⁻¹ into the middle course of the Madeira River (KRUSCHE *et al.*, 2005). The Machado River has large individual rocks, rocky portions, as well as trunks and branches observed during the drought season with low sediment loads, typifying it as a clear-water Amazonian river (GOULDING *et al.*, 2003).

The climate of the region is characterized by temperatures that vary between 19 and 33°C and annual precipitation of around 2,500 mm (KRUSCHE *et al.*, 2005). The hydrological regime is characterized by the peak of the flood in March and the minimum level in September (COMPANHIA DE PESQUISA DE RECURSOS MINERAIS, 2012). The Machado

River runs through the Jarú Biological Reserve (ReBio Jaru, Fig. 1), which has a total area of 47,733 km² (MMA, 2010), with a preserved riparian zone covered by ombrophylous forest that is mainly open and has low floristic variations (IBGE, 1992).

Fish sampling. Samplings were performed bimonthly from June 2013 to May 2015 in five sites (Carmita, Farofa, Suretama, São Sebastião and Poção) (Fig. 1). A total of 12 samples were taken (four samples in 2013, six in 2014 and two in 2015; flood season = six samples and drought



Fig. 1. Sampling site (black circles), Ji-Paraná Town (black triangle) and Machado River basin, Madeira River drainage, Brazil. Samples taken in June 2013 to May 2015. REBIO Jaru = Jaru Biological Reserve; Rondônia State = black square

season: six samples). Eight sets of gillnets (2 x 20 m with mesh sizes varying from 30 to 100 mm) were used. Sampling effort was standardized, and scientific fishing was carried out for 24 hours continuously at each sampling site. The gillnets reviews were carried out every three hours. Some specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in 70% ethanol. Subsequently, these specimens were deposited in the Ichthyology collection at the Universidade Federal de Rondônia (voucher: UFRO-ICT 023107) and Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso (voucher: CPUFMT 3390). License for fish collections was provided by the Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis (IBAMA # 4355-1).

Stomach content analysis. In all analyzed individuals, the standard length (L_s in cm) was measured. A total of 283 stomachs were analyzed: 134 stomachs of *H. scomberoides* and 149 of *P. squamosissimus* (Tab. I).

Fish abdominal cavities were opened and their stomachs were removed. After, the gut contents were stored in 70% alcohol, and food items were analyzed and identified to the lowest taxonomic level (HAMADA & FERREIRA-KEPPLER, 2012; HAMADA et al., 2014). The occurrence frequency (Fi%) and the method of volumetric frequency (Vi%) were used to quantify the gut contents (HysLOP, 1980). The occurrence frequency method, whereby the number of stomachs in which a particular item is found, is expressed as the percentage of the total number of examined stomachs containing food. For the volumetric frequency, the volume of each item was obtained using the percentage in relation to the total value of all gut contents. The volume was obtained using a gridded dish, and cubic millimeters were converted to milliliter (HELLAWELL & ABEL, 1971). This value was combined in a feeding index (IAi) proposed by KAWAKAMI & VAZZOLER (1980). The index is given by the equation $IAi = (Fi^*Vi)/\Sigma$ Fi^*Vi)*100, where i = 1 to number of food items; Fi =Frequency of occurrence of food item *i*; and Vi = Volumeof food item *i*. Food items were grouped according to type (animal or plant) and origin (autochthonous or allochthonous). Fullness index (FI) was determined according to HAHN et al. (1999) and gut contents were coded as follows: 0 (empty), 1 (volume < 25%), 2 (25% < volume < 75%) and 3 (75%) < volume < 100%).

Data analysis. A Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (two-way PERMANOVA – ANDERSON *et al.*, 2005) was performed to test the null hypothesis that diet composition of *P. squamosissimus* and *H. scomberoides* does not differ between hydrological periods. Was applied to a matrix of food items of individual fish, with volume values log transformed. The significance of multivariate dispersion generated by PERMANOVA was assessed using a Monte Carlo test with 9999 permutations, followed by a post hoc pair wise comparison between hydrological seasons.

A non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (nMDS) was used to examine multidimensional temporal variation in diet using the total volume of each item. The dissimilarity matrix used in the ordination was built using the Bray-Curtis index.

		H. scom	beroides		P. squamosissimus					
Site		Flood	Drought			Flood	Drought			
	Ν	Ls	N	L _s	N	$L_{\rm s}$	N	Ls		
Carmita	8	57.5 ± 7.4	10	59.6 ± 5.0	8	49.4 ± 4.9	13	47.2 ± 6.8		
Farofa	7	49.7 ± 3.9	13	57.2 ± 4.2	22	46.3 ± 3.8	10	47.0 ± 2.2		
Suretama	9	50.2 ± 2.9	14	58.6 ± 4.8	19	48.5 ± 5.1	8	48.1 ± 1.8		
São Sebastião	26	58.7 ± 3.4	32	51.3 ± 4.9	12	49.3 ± 2.1	40	46.9 ± 1.2		
Poção	5	57.9 ± 2.4	10	56.2 ± 4.0	12	48.9 ± 1.6	5	47.3 ± 3.2		

Tab. I. Sampling site, abundance (N) and standard length ($L_{\text{S; mean}} \pm$ standard deviation) of *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) and *Plagioscium squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) at flood and drought periods of Machado River, Brazil (June 2013 to March 2015).

To estimate the trophic niche breadth, we used the standardized Levins index (Ba): $Ba = [(\Sigma j P 2ij)] - 1(n-1) - 1$, where Ba = niche breadth, Pij = proportion of item j in the diet of species i, and n = total number of items (KREBS, 1998). This index ranges from zero (when the species consumes only one type of item) to one (when the species consumes all items in equal proportions). Species feeding overlap in each period was calculated using Pianka's symmetric index (PIANKA, 1974) that varies in a scale from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating complete overlap. Overlap values were arbitrarily set at the following levels: high (> 0.6), intermediate (0.4 - 0.6) or low (<0.4) (GROSSMAN, 1986). This index assumes prey to be equally available to all predators (REINTHAL, 1990).

The differences in the niche breadth between species and periods were tested using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVAs), when normality (Shapiro-Wilks test) and homoscedasticity (Levene's test) assumptions were met. The nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used for data with non-normal distributions.

The trophic level (TL) was calculated using the formula TL = 1 + (weighed average of TL's of each prey) (PAULY & CHRISTENSEN, 1995). Trophic level and maximum length of *P. squamosissimus* and *H. scomberoides* fish prey were determined using FishBase platform (FROESE & PAULY, 2019) and SeaLifeBase (http://sealifebase.org).

The niche breadth was performed using the software PAST (version 2.1.7) (HAMMER *et al.*, 2001). Statistical tests were performed using the software R (version 3.5.2) (R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM, 2018), with the package's vegan, MASS for ANOVA, PERMANOVA, NMDS and SPAA for niche overlap. Results were considered significant when $p \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

Both species ingested a wide variety of food items, such as fishes, shrimps, terrestrial and aquatic insects at different life stages, and plants. *Hydrolycus scomberoides* consumed a total of 14 food items (flood season: eleven items; drought season: eight items), while *P. squamosissimus* consumed a total of ten items (flood season: ten items; drought season: eight items) (Tab. II). We highlight that *H. scomberoides* mainly ingested pelagic fish and *P. squamosissimus* mainly ate benthic fish.

Prochilodus nigricans Spix & Agassiz, 1829 was the most important food item (high IAi) for *H. scomberoides* in the flood period, as well as Characiformes fishes and unidentified fish fragments (Tab. I; Fig. 2A). For the drought period, Characiformes fish, nematodes and terrestrial insects were the main items (high IAi) encountered in the diet of *H. scomberoides* (Tab. I; Fig. 2B). Unidentified fish fragments were the most important food item for *P. squamosissimus* in the flood period, as well as *Pimelodus blochii* Valenciennes, 1840 and Siluriformes fishes (Tab. I; Fig. 2C). In the drought period, unidentified fish fragments, Siluriformes fishes and *Tenellus trimaculatus* (Boulenger, 1898) were the most important items in the diet of *P. squamosissimus* (Tab. I; Fig. 2D).

The PERMANOVA indicated that the diet between *H. scomberoides* and *P. squamosissimus* for both periods analyzed was significantly different (pseudo-F = 2.54, p = 0.02) (Tab. III). "Siluriformes" (PERMANOVA, pseudo-F = 2.00; df = 3; p = 0.006) and *Pimelodus blochii* (PERMANOVA, pseudo-F = 1.77; df = 3; p = 0.01) were the food items consumed mainly by *P. squamosissimus* in the drought period.

In the NMDS analysis, clustering was observed based on the distinct use of food resources between *H. scomberoides* and *P. squamosissimus* for both seasonal periods, with a "stress" of 0.12 (Fig. 3).

Mean values for niche breadth were lower as follows: 0.181 and 0.172 for *H. scomberoides* in the flood and drought periods, respectively. For *P. squamosissimus* the mean of niche breadth was high, 0.813 and 0.632 in the flood and drought periods, respectively. The trophic niche breadth of *P. squamosissimus* was greater than that of *H. scomberoides* in the flood period (ANOVA, F = 7.1; p < 0.05; df = 3) (Fig. 4).

The general niche overlap between *P. squamosissimus* and *H. scomberoides* was low (0.35). There was no niche overlap between the species in the flood period (overlap = 0), whereas in the drought period it was 0.03. Considering the periods, the average overlap between the diets was low (0.10), showing a high degree of food resource partitioning (Fig. 5).

Tab. II. Trophic level (TL), occurrence frequency (Fi%), volumetric frequency (Vi%), and feeding index (IAi) for diet items from *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) and *Plagioscium squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) at flood and drought periods of Machado River, Brazil (June 2013 to March 2015). Allochthonous items[†]: autochthonous items[‡].

		H. scomberoides				P. squamosissimus							
Food item	TL	Flood		Drought		Flood			Drought				
		Fi%	Vi%	IAi	Fi%	Vi%	IAi	Fi%	Vi%	IAi	Fi%	Vi%	IAi
Animal origin													
Characiformes [‡]	3.2	0.371	0.057	0.385	0.286	0.259	0.501	0.111	0.041	0.033			
Acestrohynchus falcatus‡	4.2	0.057	0.037	0.038									
Prochilodus nigricans [‡]	2.4	0.029	0.815	0.424									
Moenkhausia sp.‡	3.2	0.029	0.004	0.002									
Siluriformes [‡]	3.2	0.057	0.008	0.008	0.143	0.074	0.072	0.111	0.077	0.061	0.176	0.300	0.358
Pimelodus blochii‡	3.2	0.029	0.020	0.011	0.071	0.111	0.054	0.074	0.152	0.080	0.176	0.013	0.016
Tenellus trimaculatus [‡]	2							0.111	0.077	0.061	0.118	0.140	0.111
Gymnotyformes [‡]	3.2							0.111	0.049	0.039	0.176	0.035	0.042
Fish no identified [‡]	3.2	0.200	0.029	0.107	0.071	0.037	0.018	0.185	0.483	0.639	0.176	0.345	0.412
Shrimp [‡]	2							0.111	0.067	0.053	0.059	0.022	0.009
Scales [‡]	3.2	0.086	0.009	0.013	0.071	0.037	0.018	0.111	0.046	0.037	0.059	0.002	0.001
Nematodes [‡]	2				0.214	0.111	0.161						
Aquatic insects [‡]	2							0.037	0.005	0.001			
Terrestrial insects [†]	2				0.071	0.296	0.143	0.037	0.003	0.001	0.059	0.142	0.056
Coleoptera [†]	2	0.057	0.008	0.008									
Annelida‡	2	0.057	0.008	0.008									
Plant origin													
Seeds [†]	1	0.029	0.004	0.002									
Unidentified Material													
Detritus/mud [‡]	1				0.071	0.074	0.036						

In both periods, *H. scomberoides* showed similar trophic level values (mean of $TL_{flood} = 3.55$; $TL_{drought} = 3.55$; $\chi 2 = 0.0$; df = 1; p = 0.95), which was also found for *P. squamosissimus* (mean of $TL_{flood} = 4.01$; $TL_{drought} = 3.82$; $\chi 2 = 0.0$; df = 1; p = 0.99).

DISCUSSION

In our study, H. scomberoides and P. squamosissimus consumed different food items. For each fish species analyzed, we did not observe significant differences in the items ingested between the periods. Further, the trophic level values for both species were similar between the drought and flood periods. Our results could indicate that the high TLs and the similarity between them refer to habitat use, which is used by H. scomberoides in the pelagic region, to capture prey, and to P. squamosissimus in the benthic region. According to BENNEMANN & SHIBATTA (2002), variations in the pelagic and benthic food chains and opportunistic behavior was already identified for these species in other environments. The competitive exclusion and limiting similarity are expected to favor the co-occurrence of dissimilar species by promoting the exploitation of different resources ("niche partitioning" hypothesis; PIANKA, 1974). However, microhabitat heterogeneity or resource availability can greatly influence the partitioning of resources among species (MOUQUET *et al.*, 2002). We highlight that structurally complex environments, such as the Machado River (comprising rapids, rocks, trunks, and branches from the forest margin), are stable and have resources (PELICICE *et al.*, 2005; WILLIS *et al.*, 2005), favoring the exploration of resources in a compartmentalized way.

Generalist carnivorous species has large feeding spectrum, consuming different food resources that are appropriate for its feeding behavior, digestive capacity and morphology (NEVES et al., 2015). The greater consumption of pelagic fish by H. scomberoides can be explained by the ecomorphological traits of the species. In general, H. scomberoides is able to eat whole prey due their large mouth with underslung jaw (BEAUMORD, 1991; CARDOSO et al., 2019), but have also been shown to capture their prey using their long canine teeth (Howes, 1976). Due to their large and upward-oriented mouths, these species focus prey-capture at the water surface or at the limnetic zone (SAINT-PAUL et al., 2000). The H. scomberoides is predator with surface-oriented vision that have remarkably similar morphology. The narrow head allows stereoscopic vision anteriorly, ventrally, and dorso-anteriorly (Howes, 1976).



Fig. 2. Simplified food chain for *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) (*Hs*) in the flood (A) and drought (B), and for *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) (*Ps*) in the flood (C) and drought (D) periods in Machado River (Brazil), June 2013 to May 2015. Arrow width illustrates importance in the diet Y-axis = trophic level (TL), X-axis: maximum length (cm). TL and maximum length are from fishbase.org and and CASATTI (2003). *Ps* = *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840), *Hs* = *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819), *Af* = *Acestrohynchus falcatus* (Bloch, 1794), *Pn* = *Prochilodus nigricas* Spix & Agassiz, 1829, *Pb* = *Pimelodus blochii* Valenciennes, 1840, *Tt* = *Tenellus trimaculatus* Boulenger, 1898, Ch = Characiformes, Si = Siluriformes, Gy = Gymnotiformes, Fni = Fish no identified, Sc = Scales, Ne = Nematoda, Sr = Shrimp, Ti = Terrestrial insect and De = Detritus.

Tab. III. Results of Two-way PERMANOVA applied to diet of *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) and *Plagioscium squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) in flood and drought periods of Machado River, Brazil (June 2013 to March 2015). F = Flood; D = Drought.

Species	Pseudo-F = 2.75 ; p = 0.0002
Hydrological periods	Pseudo-F = 2.54 ; p = 0.02
H. scomberoides (F) x H. scomberoides (D)	p = 0.172
H. scomberoides (F) x P. squamosissimus (D)	p < 0.0001
H. scomberoides (D) x P. squamosissimus (F)	p = 0.014
P. squamosissimus (F) x H. scomberoides (F)	p = 0.001
P. squamosissimus (D) x H. scomberoides (D)	p = 0.009
P. squamosissimus (F) x P. squamosissimus (D)	p = 0.786

The enlarged pectoral fins of these fish probably are used for rapid upward acceleration, followed by prey capture either by impalement on large inferior canines in the upturned mouth, or by suction caused by expansion of the buccal cavity (Howes, 1976; GOULDING, 1980).

Given their morphology, *P. squamosissimus* is efficient swimmer and have the capacity of expanding their mouths to

ingest entire prey (RODRIGUES & MENIN, 2006; TEIXEIRA & BENNEMANN, 2007). These characteristics allow this species to exploit the most accessible and abundant feeding items, which could change seasonally from fish to shrimp, insects, among others (PRUDENTE *et al.*, 2016). The *P. squamosissimus* is a silvery fish with a large mouth and a flattened ventrum. This species often lives in turbid waters and have elaborate

sound producing and receiving systems and a well-developed lateral line (MOYLE & CECH, 2004). Also, NICO & TAPHORN (1984) have shown that *P. squamosissimus* feeds near or on the bottom during the night.



Fig. 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (nMDS) of IAi data of *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) and *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) in the flood and drought periods in the Machado River, Rondônia State, Brazil, June 2013 to May 2015. *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819)/flood (square); *Hydrolycus scomberoides*/drought (square opened); *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840)/flood (circle) and *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840)/drought (circle opened).



Fig. 4. Values of trophic niche breadth (mean±standard error) of *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) (Ps) and *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) (Hs) in the flood (F) and drought (D) periods in the Machado River, Rondônia State, Brazil, June 2013 to May 2015.



Fig. 5. Trophic niche overlap between *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) (Ps) and *Hydrolycus scomberoides* (Cuvier, 1819) (Hs) in the flood (F) and drought (D) periods in the Machado River, Rondônia State, Brazil, June 2013 to May 2015.

Thus, fish is the main food item for *P. squamosissimus*, but with reduced fish availability this species becomes opportunistic (BENNEMANN & SHIBATTA, 2002). However, the optimal foraging theory must be considered, this predicts that optimal patterns of behavior based on the costs and benefits are associated with various strategies of species survival (BROUGHTON, 2002). According to predictions of optimal foraging theory, foragers are expected to have more specialized diets when preferred resources are abundant, and to broaden their diets during periods of food scarcity (STEPHENS & KREBS, 1986; PERRY & PIANKA, 1997). Aside from the differences between pelagic and benthic food webs, it is common to associate deforestation with increased inputs of organic matter (THOMAZ et al., 2004), as well as upwelling organic matter to eutrophication (BRASIL et al., 2016), which could lead to blooms of toxin-producing microalgae and force detritivory (BEZERRA et al., 2018), limiting the pelagic trophic network (PAERL & PAUL, 2012). Under these circumstances, resources are available to benthic organisms and other generalist species that could drive the omnivorous fishes to benthivory (BEZERRA et al., 2018). Such alternative state increases energy dissipation in the upper trophic levels (D'ALELIO et al., 2016), which is also linked to omnivory (GONZÁLEZ-BERGONZONI et al., 2016) and the consumption of benthos (BEZERRA et al., 2018). This phenomenon can be described as "benthification" in oligotrophic waters (MAYER et al., 2014), representing a sudden change from turbidity to clarity caused by invertebrate filter feeders consuming phytoplankton, a change which benefits generalist fish (KARATAYEV et al., 2007). This concept could also be used in environments as the Machado River.

The relation between Amazonian ichthyofauna and the hydrological cycle has been discussed in many studies (JUNK *et al.*, 1989; FREITAS *et al.*, 2010). The period with high water is expected to be advantageous to prey species, since there is more space for dispersal and refuge (GOMES *et al.*, 2012), and flooded forest areas with tree roots, trunks, branches, rocks and holes (ARAÚJO-LIMA & GOULDING, 1998; CLARO-JR *et al.*, 2004). Drought season favors predators because of the restricted environment in the region of the river channel and low availability of refuge areas, making it easy to find prey (LUZ-AGOSTINHO *et al.*, 2009).

In our study, significant differences were not identified for the diet of *H. scomberoides* and *P. squamosissimus* between the drought and flood periods. The consumed items were compatible with ecomorphological of each species, as previously described. The only exception was the greater contribution, but not statistically significant, of terrestrial insects in the diet of *H. scomberoides* in the drought period. The increased presence of terrestrial insects in the diet of fish during a flood period has been reported in many studies (ANGERMEIER & KARR, 1983; WINEMILLER, 1990; ZAVALA-CAMIN, 1996; LOWE MCCONNELL, 1999; YAMAMOTO *et al.*, 2004), which is because these insects are carried by rain water and water courses expand along marginal areas during this period. Our results were contrary to those described in the literature and the consumption of terrestrial insects by *H. scomberoides* could be related to the life cycle of the arthropods eaten by this species.

Additional studies have shown that seasonal variation in the overlap of species' niches can occur within some systems (POKHAREL et al., 2015). As a result, there have been renewed efforts to explore species' trophic plasticity and the influence natural and/or human factors have on the adaptability of food web structures (CORRÊA et al., 2011). Opposite to that described by POKHAREL et al. (2015), the overlap of food niche between H. scomberoides and P. squamosissimus in both periods of the hydrological cycle was low, showing that niche partitioning was probably the main mechanism promoting the coexistence of these species, with little relationship with variations of the hydrological cycle. According to PEREIRA et al. (2017), low diet overlap between species would reflect the high heterogeneity of habitats that these species are able to reach; this fact is highlighted by the consumption of and selectivity for different prey types. The niche differentiation will lead to a reduction in the niche overlap between possible competitors, reducing competition and allowing coexistence (MACARTHUR, 1958; PIANKA, 1974). These differences in niche might involve changes in some combination of strategies for habitat use, such as feeding time, energy allocation, defense, and diet restrictions, through feeding selectivity or niche retraction (WINEMILLER et al., 2015).

Thus, understanding and predicting how extreme inundation and flood events (which are increasing with climate change) influence the diet of fish assemblages are of relevant importance to the development of control programs and reducing impacts on ichthyofauna. As fishing pressure is eased through management efforts to restore overexploited stocks, making it necessary to enter information about interactions between species, especially trophic interactions between prey and predators, in models that promote the prediction and management of recovered fish stocks effectively (JENNINGS & KAISER, 1998). For this, it is necessary to understand the trophic relationships between species and the factors that influence prey-predator interactions.

Acknowledgments. Alan Mendonça of the Universidade Federal de Rondônia assisted with designing figure 1 and the fieldwork, respectively. We are grateful to Dr. Willian Ohara of the Universidade Federal de Rondônia for their help with identification of the specimens.

REFERENCES

- ABELHA, M. C. F.; AGOSTINHO, A. A. & GOULART, E. 2001. Plasticidade trófica em peixes de água doce. Acta Scientiarum 23(2):425-434.
- AGOSTINHO, A. A.; MARQUES, E. E.; AGOSTINHO, C. S.; ALMEIDA, D. A. D.; OLIVEIRA, R. J. D. & MELO, J. R. B. D. 2007. Fish ladder of Lajeado Dam: migrations on one-way routes? **Neotropical Ichthyology 5**(2):121-130.
- ANDERSON, M. J.; GORLEY, R. N. & CLARKE, R. K. 2005. Permanova. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance, a computer program. Auckland, Department of Statistics. 24p.
- ANGERMEIER, P. L. & KARR, J. R. 1983. Fish communities along environmental gradients in a system of tropical streams. Environmental Biology of fishes 9(2):117-135.

- ARAÚJO-LIMA, C. A. & GOULDING, M. 1998. Os frutos do tambaqui: ecologia, conservação e cultivo na Amazônia. Manaus, MCT/CNPq. 35p.
- BARBOSA, T. A.; ROSA, D. C.; SOARES, B. E.; COSTA, C. H.; ESPOSITO, M. C. & MONTAG, L. F. 2018. Effect of flood pulses on the trophic ecology of four piscivorous fishes from the eastern Amazon. Journal of Fish Biology 93(1):30-39.
- BARROS, L. C.; SANTOS, U.; ZANUNCIO, J. C. & DERGAM, J. A. 2012. Plagioscion squamosissimus (Sciaenidae) and Parachromis managuensis (Cichlidae): A threat to native fishes of the Doce River in Minas Gerais, Brazil. Plos ONE 7(2):391-395.
- BEAUMORD, A. C. 1991. As Comunidades de Peixes do Rio Manso, Chapada dos Guimarães, MT: Uma abordagem Ecológica Numérica. Rio de Janeiro, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro. 107p.
- BENNEMANN, S. T.; CAPRA, L. G.; GALVES, W. & SHIBATTA, O. A. 2006. Dinâmica trófica de *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Perciformes, Sciaenidae) em trechos de influência da represa Capivara (rios Paranapanema e Tibagi). **Iheringia**, Série Zoologia **96**(4):115-119.
- BENNEMANN, S. T. & SHIBATTA, O. A. 2002. Dinâmica de uma assembléia de peixes do rio Tibagi. *In:* MEDRI, M. E.; BIACHINI, E.; SHIBATTA, O. A. & PIMENTA, J. A. eds. A bacia do Rio Tibagi. Londrina, Universidade Estadual de Londrina – UEL, p. 433-442.
- BENNEMANN, S. T.; SHIBATTA, O. A. & GARAVELLO, J. C. 2000. Peixes do rio Tibagi: Uma abordagem ecológica. Londrina, Eduel. 45p.
- BEZERRA, L. A. V.; ANGELINI, R.; VITULE, J. R. S.; COLL, M. & SÁNCHEZ-BOTERO, J. I. 2018. Food web changes associated with drought and invasive species in a tropical semiarid reservoir. Hydrobiologia 817(1):475-489.
- BRASIL, J.; ATTAYDE, J. L.; VASCONCELOS, F. R.; DANTAS, D. D. F. & HUSZAR, V. L. M. 2016. Drought induced water-level reduction favors cyanobacteria blooms in tropical shallow lakes. Hydrobiologia 770(1):145-164.
- BROUGHTON, J. M. 2002. Prey spatial structure and behavior affect archaeological tests of optimal foraging models: Examples from the Emeryville Shellmound vertebrate fauna. World Archaeology 34(1):60-83.
- CARDOSO, D. C.; DE HART, P.; FREITAS, C. E. D. C. & SIQUEIRA-SOUZA, F. K. 2019. Diet and ecomorphology of predator fish species of the Amazonian floodplain lakes. Biota Neotropica 19(3):1-9.
- CASATTI, L. 2003. Sciaenidae (Drums or croakers). In: REIS, R. E.; KULLANDER, S. O. & FERRARIS, JR, C. J. eds. Checklist of the Freshwater Fishes of South and Central America. Porto Alegre, EDIPUCRS. p. 599-602.
- CLARO-JR, L.; FERREIRA, E.; ZUANON, J. & ARAUJO-LIMA, C. 2004. O efeito da floresta alagada na alimentação de três espécies de peixes onívoros em lagos de várzea da Amazônia Central, Brasil. Acta Amazonica 34(1):133-137.
- COMPANHIA DE PESQUISA DE RECURSOS MINERAIS. 2012. **Relatório Técnico:** Levantamento de áreas de inundação Município de Cacoal – RO. Rondônia, CPRM. 23p.
- CORRÊA, R. N.; HERMES-SILVA, S.; REYNALTE-TATAJE, D. & ZANIBONI-FILHO, E. 2011. Distribution and abundance of fish eggs and larvae in three tributaries of the Upper Uruguay River (Brazil). Environmental of Biology Fishes 91(1):51-61.
- CORREA, S. B. & WINEMILLER, K. O. 2014. Niche partitioning among frugivorous fishes in response to fluctuating resources in the Amazonian floodplain forest. Ecology 95(3):210-224.
- D'ALELIO, D.; LIBRALATO, S.; WYATT, T. & D'ALCALÀ, M. R. 2016. Ecological-network models link diversity, structure and function in the plankton food-web. **Scientific Reports 6**(1):21-34.
- ESTEVES, K. E. & ARANHA, J. M. R. 1999. Ecologia trófica de peixes de riachos. *In:* CARAMASCHI, E. P.; MAZZONI, R.; BIZERRIL, C. R. S. F. & PERES-NETO, P. R. eds. Ecologia de Peixes de Riachos: Estado Atual e Perspectivas. Rio de Janeiro, Oecologia Brasiliensis, p. 157-182
- FREITAS, C. E. D. C.; SIQUEIRA-SOUZA, F. K.; GUIMARÃES, A. R.; SANTOS, F. A. & SANTOS, I. L. 2010. Interconnectedness during high water maintains similarity in fish assemblages of island floodplain lakes in the Amazonian Basin. Zoologia 27(6):234-242.
- FROESE, R. & PAULY, D. 2019. FishBase. Available at http://www.fishbase. org. 2019>. Accessed on 06 June 2019.
- GASTON, K. J. & CHOWN, S. L. 2005. Neutrality and the niche. Functional Ecology 19(1):1-6.

- GOMES, L. C.; BULLA, C. K.; AGOSTINHO, A. A.; VASCONCELOS, L. P. & MIRANDA, L. E. 2012. Fish assemblage dynamics in a Neotropical floodplain relative to aquatic macrophytes and the homogenizing effect of a flood pulse. Hydrobiologia 685(1):97-107.
- GONZÁLEZ-BERGONZONI, I.; JEPPESEN, E.; VIDAL, N.; TEIXEIRA-DE MELLO, F.; GOYENOLA, G.; LÓPEZ-RODRÍGUEZ, A. & MEERHOFF, M. 2016. Potential drivers of seasonal shifts in fish omnivory in a subtropical stream. Hydrobiologia 768(1):183-196.
- GOULDING, M. 1980. The fishes and the forest: explorations in amazon natural history. Berkeley, University of California Press. 89p.
- GOULDING, M.; BARTHEM, R. & FERREIRA, E. J. G. 2003. The Smithsonian: Atlas of the Amazon. Washington, Smithsonian Books. 253p.
- GROSSMAN, G. D. 1986. Food resource partitioning in a rocky intertidal fish assemblage. Journal of Zoology 1(1):317-355.
- HAHN, N. S.; LOUREIRO, V. E. & DELARIVA, R. L. 1999. Atividade alimentar de curvina *Plagioscion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840) (Perciformes, Sciaenidae) no rio Paraná. Acta Scientiarum, Biological Sciences 21(2):309-314.
- HAMADA, N. & FERREIRA-KEPPLER, R. L. 2012. Guia ilustrado de insetos aquáticos e semiaquáticos da Reserva Florestal Ducke. Manaus, Editora da Universidade Federal do Amazonas. 54p.
- HAMADA, N.; NESSIMIAN, J. L. & QUERINO, R. B. 2014. Insetos aquáticos na Amazônia brasileira: taxonomia, biologia e ecologia. Manaus, Editora do INPA. 38p.
- HAMMER, O.; HARPER, D. A. T. & RYAN, P. D. 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. Paleotolongia Eletronica 4(1):1-9.
- HELLAWELL, J. M. & ABEL, R. 1971. A rapid volumetric method for the analysis of the food of fishes. Journal Fish Biology 3(1):29-37.
- Howes, G. J. 1976. The cranial musculature and taxonomy of characoid fishes of the tribes Cynodontini and Characini. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) 29(1):203-248.
- HUBBELL, S. P. 2001. The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography. Princeton, Princeton University Press. 102p.
- HUBBELL, S. P. 2006. Neutral theory and the evolution of ecological equivalence. Ecology 87(6):1387-1398.
- HUTCHINSON, G. E. 1957. Concluding remarks. Cold Spring Harbor Symp 22(2):415-427.
- HYSLOP, E. J. 1980. Stomach contents analysis a review of methods and their application. Journal Fish Biology 17(1):411-429.
- IBGE INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICA. 1992. Anuário Estatístico do Brasil. Rio de Janeiro, IBGE. 56p.
- JENNINGS, S. & KAISER, M. J. 1998. The effects of fishing on marine ecosystems. *In*: BLAXTER, J. H. S.; SOUTHWARD, A. J. & TYLER, P. A. eds. Advances in Marine Biology. London, Academic Press. p. 201-352.
- JUNK, W. J.; BAYLEY, P. B. & SPARKS, R. E. 1989. The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain systems. Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 106(3):110-127.
- JUNK, W. J.; PIEDADE, M. T.; WITTMANN, F.; SCHÖNGART, J. & PAROLIN, P. 2010. Amazonian floodplain forests: ecophysiology, biodiversity and sustainable management. London, Springer Science & Business Media. 636p.
- KARATAYEV, A. Y.; PADILLA, D. K.; MINCHIN, D.; BOLTOVSKOY, D. & BURLAKOVA, L. E. 2007. Changes in global economies and trade: the potential spread of exotic freshwater bivalves. Biological Invasions 9(2):161-180.
- KARR, J. R. & JAMES, F. C. 1975. Eco-morphological configurations and convergent evolution in species and communities. *In:* DIAMOND, J. & CODY, M. L. eds. Ecology and evolution of communities. Boston, Harvard University Press. p. 123-139.
- KAWAKAMI, E. & VAZZOLER, G. 1980. Método gráfico e estimativa de índice alimentar aplicado no estudo de alimentação de peixes. Boletim do Instituto Oceanográfico 29(2):205-207.
- KLOPFER, P. H. & MACARTHUR, R. H. 1961. On the causes of tropical species diversity: niche overlap. American Naturalist 95(2):223-226.
- KREBS, C. H. 1998. Programs for ecological methodology. Columbia, Published by Addison-Welsey. 624p.
- KRUSCHE, A. V.; BALLESTER, M. V. R.; VICTORIA, R. L.; BERNARDES, M. C.; LEITE, N. K.; HANADA, L. & GOMES, B. M. 2005. Efeitos das mudanças do uso da terra na biogeoquímica dos corpos d'água da bacia do rio Ji-Paraná, Rondônia. Acta Amazonica 35(2):197-205.

- LATRUBESSE, E. M.; ARIMA, E. Y.; DUNNE, T.; PARK, E.; BAKER, V. R.; D'HORTA, F. M.; WIGHT, C.; WITTMANN, F.; ZUANON, J.; BAKER, P. A.; RIBAS, C. C.; NORGAARD, R. B.; FILIZOLA, N.; ANSAR, A.; FLYVBJERG, B. & STEVAUX, J. C. 2017. Damming the rivers of the Amazon basin. Nature 546(1):363-369.
- LOWE-MCCONNELL, R. H. 1999. Estudos ecológicos de comunidades de peixes tropicais. São Paulo, Edusp. 208p.
- LUZ-AGOSTINHO, K. D. G.; AGOSTINHO, A. A.; GOMES, L. C. & FUGI, R. 2009. Effects of flooding regime on the feeding activity and body condition of piscivorous fish in the Upper Paraná River floodplain. Brazilian Journal of Biology 69(2):481-490.
- LUZ-AGOSTINHO, K. D. G.; AGOSTINHO, A. A.; GOMES, L. C. & JÚLIO JR, H. F. 2009. Influence of flood pulses on diet composition and trophic relationships among piscivorous sh in the upper Paraná River floodplain. Hydrobiologia 607(2):187-198.
- MACARTHUR, R. H. 1958. Population ecology of some warblers of northeastern coniferous forests. Ecology 39(1):599-619
- MACARTHUR, R. H. 1965 Patterns of species diversity. **Biological Reviews 40**(2):510-533.
- MAYER, C. M.; BURLAKOVA, L. E.; EKLÖV, P.; FITZGERALD, D.; KARATAYEV, A. Y.; LUDSIN, S. A.; MILLARD, S.; MILLS, E. L.; OSTAPENYA, A. P.; RUDSTAM, L. G.; ZHU, B. & ZHUKOVA, T. V. 2014. The benthification of freshwater lakes: exotic mussels turning ecosystems upside down. *In:* NALEPA, T. F. & SCHLOESSER, D. W. eds. Quagga and Zebra Mussels: Biology, Impacts, and Control. Boca Raton, CRC Press. p. 575-586.
- MMA MINISTÉRIO DO MEIO AMBIENTE. 2010. **Reserva Biológica do Jaru.** Porto Velho, Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade. 189p.
- MONTEIRO, A. B. & FARIA, L. D. B. 2016. Simple assumptions predicts prey selection by piscivorous fishes. Ecological Complexity 28(1):158-162.
- MOUQUET, N.; MOORE, J. & LOREAU, M. 2002. Plant species richness and community productivity: why the mechanism that promotes coexistence matters. Ecology Letters 5(2):56-65.
- MOYLE, P. B. C. & CECH, J. J. 2004. Fishes: an introduction to Ichthyology. New Jersey, Prentice-Hall. 321p.
- NEVES, M. P.; DELARIVA, R. L.; GUIMARÃES, A. T. B. & SANCHES, P. V. 2015. Carnivory during ontogeny of the *Plagioscion squamosissimus*: a successful non-native fish in a lentic environment of the Upper Paraná River basin. **PLoS One 10**(11):141-151.
- NICO, L. G. & TAPHORN, D. C. 1984. Limnology of Orinoco basin Annual killifish pools. Journal of American Killifish Association 19(2):59-72.
- PAERL, H. W. & PAUL, V. J. 2012. Climate change: links to global expansion of harmful cyanobacteria. Water Research 46(2):1349-1363.
- PAULY, D. & CHRISTENSEN, V. 1995. Primary production required to sustain global fisheries. Nature 374(1):255-257.
- PELICICE, F. M.; AGOSTINHO, A. A. & THOMAZ, S. M. 2005. Fish assemblages associated with *Egeria* in a tropical reservoir: investigating the effects of plant biomass and diel period. Acta Oecologica 27(1):9-16.
- PEREIRA, L. S.; TENCATT, L. F. C.; DIAS, R. M.; DE OLIVEIRA, A. G. & AGOSTINHO, A. A. 2017. Effects of long and short flooding years on the feeding ecology of piscivorous fish in floodplain river systems. Hydrobiologia 795(1):65-80.
- PERRY, G. & PIANKA, E. 1997. Animal foraging: past, present and future. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 12(1):360-364.
- PETRY, A. C.; GOMES, L. C.; PIANA, P. A. & AGOSTINHO, A. A. 2010. The role of the predatory trahira (Pisces: Erythrinidae) in structuring fish assemblages in lakes of a Neotropical floodplain. Hydrobiologia 651(1):115-126.
- PIANKA, E. R. 1974. Niche overlap and diffuse competition. Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences 71(5):2141-2145.
- POKHAREL, K.; PEIPPO, J.; ANDERSSON, G.; LI, M. H. & KANTANEN, J. 2015. Transcriptome profiling of Finnsheep ovaries during out-of-season breeding period. Agricultural and Food Science 24(1):1-9.
- PRUDENTE, B. D. S.; CARNEIRO-MARINHO, P.; VALENTE, R. D. M. & MONTAG, L. F. D. A. 2016. Feeding ecology of *Serrasalmus gouldingi* (Characiformes: Serrasalmidae) in the lower Anapu River region, eastern Amazon, Brazil. Acta Amazonica 46(3):259-270.
- QUEIROZ, L. J.; TORRENTE-VILARA, G.; VIEIRA, F. G.; OHARA, W. M.; ZUANON, J. & DORIA, C. R. 2013. Fishes of Cuniã Lake, Madeira River Basin, Brazil. Check list 9(3):540-548.

- R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM. 2018. R: a language and environment for statistical computing, Version 3.5.2. Available at http://www.R-project.org. Accessed on: 06 June 2018.
- REINTHAL, P. N. 1990. The feeding habits of a group of herbivorous rockdwelling cichlid fishes (Cichlidae: Perciformes) from Lake Malawi, Africa. Environmental Biology Fishes 27(1):215-233
- REIS, L. R. G. & SANTOS, A. C. A. 2014. Dieta de duas espécies de peixes da família Cichlidae (*Astronotus ocellatus* e *Cichla pinima*) introduzidos no rio Paraguaçu, Bahia. Biotemas 27(1):83-91.
- RODRIGUES, S. S. & MENIN, E. 2006. Anatomia da cavidade bucofaringeana de Salminus brasiliensis (Cuvier, 1817) (Pisces, Characidae, Salmininae). Biotemas 19(1):41-50.
- SAINT-PAUL, U.; ZUANON, J.; VILLACORTA-CORREA, M. A.; GARCIA, M.; FABRÉ, N. N.; BERGER, U. & JUNK, W. J. 2000. Fish communities in Central Amazônia white- and the backwaters floodplains. Environmental Biology Fishes 57(1):235-250.
- SANTOS, G.; FERREIRA, E. & ZUANON, J. 2006. Peixes comerciais de Manaus. Manaus, Pro-Várzea. 46p.
- SANTOS, N. C. L.; DO NASCIMENTO, M. T.; ROCHA, A. A. F.; DIAS, R. M. & SEVERI, W. 2016. Uso de recursos alimentares por *Plagioscion squamosissimus* - piscívoro não-nativo no reservatório de Sobradinho-BA, Brasil. **Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 40**(1):397-408.
- STEPHENS, D. W.; KREBS, J. R. 1986. Foraging theory. New Jersey, Princeton University Press. 202p.
- TEDESCO, P. A.; BEAUCHARD, O.; BIGORNE, R.; BLANCHET, S.; BUISSON, L.; CONTI, L.; CORNU, J. F.; DIAS, M. S.; GRENOUILLET, G.; HUGUENY, B.; JÉZÉQUEL, C.; LEPRIEUR, F.; BROSSE, S. & OBERDORFF, T. 2017. A global database on freshwater fish species occurrence in drainage basins. Data Science 4(3):141-152.
- TEIXEIRA, I. & BENNEMANN, S. T. 2007. Ecomorphology reflect the fish diet in a reservoir in South Brazil. Biota Neotropica 7(2):34-41.
- THOMAZ, S. M.; PAGIORO, T. A.; BINI, L. M.; ROBERTO, M. C. & ROCHA, R. R. A. 2004. Limnological characterization of the aquatic environments and the influence of hydrometric levels. *In:* THOMAZ, S. M.; AGOSTINHO, A. A. & HAHN, N. S. eds. **The upper Paraná River and its floodplain:**

physical aspects, ecology and conservation. Leiden, Backhuys Publishers. p.75-102.

- TILMAN, D.; LEHMAN, C. L. & THOMSON, K. T. 1997. Plant diversity and ecosystem productivity: theoretical considerations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 94(1):1857-1861.
- TISSEUIL, C. J.; CORNU, F. O.; BEAUCHARD, S.; BROSSE, W.; DARWALL, R.; HOLLAND, B.; HUGUENY, P. A.; TEDESCO, T. & OBERDORFF, A. 2013. Global diversity patterns and cross-taxa convergence in freshwater systems. Journal Animal of Ecology 82(1):365-376.
- VAZZOLER, A. E. A. M. 1996. Biologia da reprodução de peixes teleósteos: teoria e prática. Maringá, Eduem. 196p.
- VENTICINQUE, B.; FORSBERG, R.; BARTHEM, P.; PETRY, L.; HESS, A.; MERCADO, C.; CAÑAS, M.; MONTOYA, C.; DURIGAN, M. & GOULDING, M. 2016. An explicit GIS-based river basin framework for aquatic ecosystem conservation in the Amazon. Earth System Science Data 8(2):651-661.
- WILLIS, S. C.; WINEMILLER, K. O. & LOPEZ-FERNANDEZ, H. 2005. Habitat structural complexity and morphological diversity of fish assemblages in a neotropical floodplain river. Oecologia 142(3):284-295.
- WINEMILLER, K. O. 1990. Spatial and temporal variation in tropical fish trophic networks. Ecological Monographs 60(3):331-367.
- WINEMILLER, K. O.; FITZGERALD, D. B.; BOWER, L. M. & PIANKA, E. R. 2015. Functional traits, convergent evolution, and periodic tables of niches. Ecology Letters 18(8):737-751.
- WINEMILLER, K. O.; TARIM, S.; SHORMANN, D. & COTNER, J. B. 2000. Spatial variation in fish assemblages of Brazos River oxbow lakes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 129(3):451-468.
- XIMENES, L. Q. L.; DE FATIMA MATEUS, L. A. & PENHA, J. M. F. 2011. Variação temporal e espacial na composição de guildas alimentares da ictiofauna em lagoas marginais do Rio Cuiabá, Pantanal Norte. Biota Neotropica 11(1):1-11.
- YAMAMOTO, K. C.; SOARES, M. G. M. & FREITAS, C. E. C. 2004. Alimentação de *Triportheus angulatus* (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) no lago Camaleão, Manaus, AM, Brasil. Acta Amazonica 34(4):653-659.
- ZAVALA-CAMIN, L. A. 1996. Introdução aos estudos sobre alimentação natural em peixes. Maringá, EDUEM. 129p.