




## Sources of polyunsaturated fatty acids from oilseeds in dairy cows diets can alter yield of and fatty acid profile in milk

Alysson Martins WANDERLEY<sup>1</sup>, Luís Carlos Vinhas ÍTAVO<sup>1\*</sup> , Geraldo Tadeu dos SANTOS<sup>1</sup>, Camila Celeste Brandão Ferreira ÍTAVO<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Menezes DIAS<sup>1</sup>, Gelson dos Santos DIFANTE<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Leandro Chaves GURGEL<sup>1</sup>, Camila Soares CUNHA<sup>1</sup>, Rodrigo Gonçalves MATEUS<sup>2</sup>, Claudia Andréa Lima CARDOSO<sup>3</sup>, Marcus Vinícius Moraes de OLIVEIRA<sup>4</sup>

### Abstract

Our hypothesis was that different sources of unsaturated fatty acids from oilseeds (sunflower, soybeans, and cottonseed) could alter milk yield, fatty acid profile, and the amount of unsaturated fatty acids yielded in milk. It aimed to evaluate the effects of lipid sources on yield and composition and fatty acids in milk of primiparous Girolando cows. Five cows in lactation ( $35 \pm 8$  days), with  $410 \pm 7.15$  kg BW were randomly distributed in a  $5 \times 5$  Latin square. Five experimental diets, namely a control diet without an additional source of lipid and four diets with different lipid sources: cottonseed, sunflower seed, whole soybean, or soybean oil, as lipid source, to reach 70 g/kg of ethereal extract dry matter basis were used. Cottonseed and soybean oil addition reduced daily yield by 15 and 22%. Oilseed can alter fatty acid profile in milk. Whole soybean provided greater daily milk and fatty acids yields.

**Keywords:** biohydrogenation; daily intake; fatty acids; oilseeds.

**Practical Application:** This research contributes to investigate diets with different lipid sources capable of altering the fatty acid profile of primiparous milk cows.

### 1 Introduction

Milk has been part of the human diet since animal domestication about 13,000 years ago, being an important source of fats, proteins and minerals (Verruck et al., 2019). The composition of milk, mainly the fat content, varies according to the time of year and rearing system (Bai et al., 2022); breed, age, lactation stage and health of the animal (National Research Council, 2001; Smykov et al., 2021). However, diet and the way the food is processed may be the factors that most change the composition of milk (Costa et al., 2020; Yonjalli et al., 2020). Therefore, dietary intervention is a well-recognized approach to modifying milk production and changes in physicochemical characteristics (Verruck et al., 2019; Yonjalli et al., 2020).

The use of whole oilseeds on lactating cow nutrition has been reported in recent years (Gandra et al., 2016; Rico et al., 2017; Araújo et al., 2018; Muñoz et al., 2019). The use of these lipid sources can have effects on the yield and composition of milk and on the digestive process of cows. As they have natural protection, seeds can be inert in the rumen and therefore, have less digestibility of their nutrients. However, this protection can reduce the deleterious effects of polyunsaturated fatty acids on fiber degradability in diets with a high content of lipids (Palmquist & Mattos, 2011).

Changes in the fatty acid profile of milk have been reported by authors using different sources and lipids in the diet of lactating cows (Mourthé et al., 2015; Kliem et al., 2017; Bayat et al., 2018). However, Palmquist & Mattos (2011) stated that supplementation with readily available sources of unsaturated lipids has deleterious effects on methanogenic bacteria and ruminal protozoa and also increases the biohydrogenation process, reducing the amount of unsaturated fatty acids absorbed in the intestine. Cabiddu et al. (2017) reported disadvantages on lipid supplementation for ruminants, such as reduced daily dry matter intake and total dry matter digestibility, despite bettering milk composition.

The use of lipid sources from oilseeds can reduce deleterious effects on the rumen. Oilseeds have natural protection and can be inert on ruminal bacteria, not interfering with ruminal fermentation processes, especially fiber (Palmquist & Mattos, 2011). Miyaki et al. (2021) evaluated the influence of dietary oilseeds on physico-chemical and sensory parameters of the meat of Nellore steers and found inclusion of oilseeds modified the composition of fatty acids in the meat. Likewise, several studies have shown different benefits effects of adding different oilseeds to the diet of high-yielding lactating cows (Gandra et al., 2016; Rico et al., 2017; Araújo et al., 2018; Muñoz et al., 2019). However, more studies using cow mid-yielding of 19 kg/day of milk and

Received 14 Dec., 2021

Accepted 20 May, 2022

<sup>1</sup>Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciência Animal, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brasil

<sup>2</sup>Universidade Católica Dom Bosco, Campo Grande, MS, Brasil

<sup>3</sup>Universidade Estadual de Mato Grosso do Sul, Dourados, MS, Brasil

<sup>4</sup>Universidade Estadual de Mato Grosso do Sul, Aquidauana, MS, Brasil

\*Corresponding author: [luis.itavo@ufms.br](mailto:luis.itavo@ufms.br)

11 kg/day for cows in the first lactation (Canaza-Cayo et al., 2018) as Girolando breed (5/8 Holstein × 3/8 Gir) are necessary.

The hypothesis was that different sources of polyunsaturated fatty acids from oilseeds (sunflower, soybeans, and cottonseed) could alter milk yield, fatty acid profile, and the amount of unsaturated fatty acids in the milk of primiparous crossbred cows. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of different additional lipid sources for lactating cows on the production and composition of milk and fatty acids in the milk of Girolando cows.

## 2 Material and methods

This study was carried out at School Farm of the Catholic University Dom Bosco and was approved by the Ethics Committee for the use of animals in experiments (protocol n° 011/2016).

### 2.1 Animals, experimental design and treatments

Five primiparous Girolando (5/8 Holstein × 3/8 Gir) cows were used, with an average of  $410.0 \pm 7.15$  kg BW (average body weight; average standard error) in early lactation  $35 \pm 8$  days (average days after calving; mean standard error), randomly distributed in a 5 × 5 Latin square. Feed was provided for *ad libitum* consumption in the form of mixed total feed and the cows were housed in individual pens with free access to water. The cows were treated with an antiparasitic 30 days before the beginning of the experiment and confirmed negative for mastitis.

The diets were balanced in order to meet the nutritional requirements of primiparous cows with an average production of 18 kg milk/day, being isoprotein and isoenergy (National Research Council, 2001). The treatments consisted of five experimental diets, including a control diet with 32 g/kg of lipids and four diets with 70 g/kg of lipids. Cottonseed, sunflower seed, whole soybean, and soybean oil were used to total mix ration formulated reach 70 g/kg of ethereal extract (Table 1).

### 2.2 Experimental procedures

The experiment lasted 105 days and was divided into five periods of 21 days each. Before the experimental period, fourteen days pre-experimental period was used to adapt to management and facilities, where the cows received the same diet containing 400 g/kg corn silage and 600 g/kg concentrate without lipid source (control). In the remaining five periods, the cows received the different treatments (diets) and the data related to the study were collected (Table 1). Food was provided twice a day at 6 a.m. and 4 p.m.

Two milking were performed each day, at 5 a.m. and 3 p.m. Milk collection was performed on four consecutive milking in the last three days of each experimental period. The samples for determining the milk components were stored at 4 °C with Bronopol-B2 until the moment of analysis and the samples destined for the determination of fatty acids were stored at -20 °C. To determine the fatty acid profile, the samples were frozen without preservative. Milk production was determined by weighing the milk in the last three days of each experimental period.

### 2.3 Intake and apparent digestibility

Nutrient intake was estimated as the difference between the amount of nutrient provided daily and the nutrients from the trough food leftovers. The apparent digestibility of the diet was measured as the difference between the amount of nutrient ingested and the amount of nutrient excreted in the feces. Two stool samples ( $\pm 500$  g) per day were collected during the last three days of each experimental period. To estimate total faecal excretion, the internal marker, acid detergent fiber indigestible (ADFi), was used (Itavo et al., 2002). Samples of food, leftovers and feces were placed in polypropylene bags and incubated in the rumen for 144 h. After the incubation period, the bags were removed and the ADFi content was evaluated.

**Table 1.** Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets (g/kg DM).

|                          | Diets                |             |            |           |               |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
|                          | Control              | Soybean oil | Cottonseed | Sunflower | Whole Soybean |
|                          | Ingredients          |             |            |           |               |
| Corn silage              | 400.0                | 400.0       | 400.0      | 400.0     | 400.0         |
| Corn ground              | 383.0                | 406.7       | 264.5      | 209.4     | 316.0         |
| Soybean meal             | 167.0                | 101.3       | 42.9       | 97.6      | -             |
| Urea extruded            | 20.0                 | 20.0        | 20.0       | 20.0      | 20.0          |
| Mineral mix <sup>1</sup> | 30.0                 | 30.0        | 30.0       | 30.0      | 30.0          |
| Cottonseed               | -                    | -           | 242.6      | -         | -             |
| Sunflower seed           | -                    | -           | -          | 243.0     | -             |
| Whole Soybean            | -                    | -           | -          | -         | 234.0         |
| Soybean oil              | -                    | 42.0        | -          | -         | -             |
|                          | Chemical composition |             |            |           |               |
| OM                       | 936                  | 916         | 902        | 896       | 923           |
| CP                       | 180                  | 180         | 180        | 180       | 180           |
| EE                       | 32                   | 70          | 70         | 70        | 70            |
| NDF                      | 305                  | 290         | 401        | 362       | 332           |
| ADF                      | 231                  | 210         | 292        | 279       | 234           |
| Lignin                   | 29.28                | 28.52       | 44.25      | 35.96     | 31.31         |
| TC                       | 724                  | 666         | 652        | 646       | 673           |
| NFC                      | 419                  | 376         | 251        | 284       | 341           |
|                          | Fatty acids          |             |            |           |               |
| C14:0 - myristic acid    | 0.02                 | 0.01        | 0.31       | 0.02      | 0.02          |
| C16:0 - palmitic acid    | 3.46                 | 7.49        | 13.48      | 5.53      | 7.81          |
| C16:1 - palmitoleic acid | 0.03                 | 0.02        | 0.2        | 0.02      | 0.1           |
| C18:0 - stearic acid     | 0.66                 | 1.93        | 1.37       | 2.26      | 1.85          |
| C18:1 - oleic acid       | 7.79                 | 16.28       | 12.67      | 19.92     | 16.12         |
| C18:2 - linoleic acid    | 17.88                | 37.97       | 39.92      | 40.11     | 38.74         |
| C18:3 - linolenic acid   | 0.98                 | 0.79        | 0.66       | 0.75      | 3.68          |
| C:20 - arachidonic acid  | 0.05                 | 0.05        | 0.05       | 0.05      | 0.06          |
| C20:1 - gadoleic acid    | 0.04                 | 2.53        | 0.04       | 0.04      | 0.05          |
| C22 - behenic acid       | 0.04                 | 0.04        | 0.04       | 0.52      | 0.05          |
| Others                   | 1.05                 | 2.89        | 1.25       | 0.79      | 1.52          |

OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; EE: ethereal extract; NDF: amylase-treated neutral detergent fibre; ADF: acid detergent fibre; TC: total carbohydrates; NFC: non-fibrous carbohydrates. <sup>1</sup>Levels per 1,000 g of product: Na: 100 g/kg; P: 88 g/kg; Ca: 188 g/kg; S: 22 g/kg; Mg: 8000 mg/kg; Zn: 3000 mg/kg; Cu: 1000 mg/kg; Co: 80 mg/kg; I: 60 mg/kg; Se: 20 mg/kg; F: 880 mg/kg.

## 2.4 Chemical analysis

The samples of food and feces were subjected to laboratory analysis to evaluate the contents of dry matter (DM), mineral matter (MM), crude protein (CP) and ethereal extract (EE) according to methods 930.15, 942.05, 976.05 and 920.39 (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2000). The neutral detergent fiber content (NDF) according to Mertens (2002) using alpha-amylase (Termamyl 120 L<sup>®</sup>) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) content by the method of Van Soest et al. (1991).

## 2.5 Analysis of milk components

The milk samples were analyzed at the Central Laboratory of the Paranaense Association of Holstein Cattle Breeders. The levels of protein, fat, lactose and solids were evaluated using the infrared method (International IDF Standard 141C; 2000). To analyze the composition of milk fatty acids, the lipids were esterified according to Hartman & Lago (1973). The fatty acid esters were analyzed by a Thermal Finnegan gas chromatograph, equipped with a fused silica capillary column (Supelco, Sigma-Aldrich) (100 m long x 0.25 mm inner diameter x 0.2 μm film thickness) and detector flame ionization (DIF). The column was heated to 35 °C for 2 min and increased by 10 °C per minute until a temperature of 150 °C was reached, remaining for 2 min. Then, it was increased by 2 °C per minute until reaching 200 °C, remaining for 2 min and again it was increased by 2 °C per minute until reaching 220 °C, remaining for 21 min, with a run total of 73.5 min. Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at 0.9 mL/min. The volume of injected sample (split mode) was 1 μL. The temperature used for the detector was 280 °C. The injections were performed in triplicate. The identification of fatty acids was performed by comparing the retention times of patterns of methyl esters of fatty acids (Sigma-Aldrich).

## 2.6 Calculations and statistical analysis

The daily intake of each nutrient was estimated as the difference between the nutrient supplied and the leftover nutrient in the diets (National Research Council, 2001). Faecal production was estimated by dividing the content of ingested ADF<sub>i</sub> (food – leftovers) by the ADF<sub>i</sub> contained in the feces. Apparent digestibility was determined by subtracting the total of each nutrient ingested from the total of the same nutrient excreted in the feces.

The total carbohydrate (TC) contents were determined by the equation:  $TC = \{100 - [CP (\%DM) + EE (\%DM) + MM (\%DM)]\}$  and the total digestible nutrients (TDN) calculated from the equation:  $TDN (g/day) = \{(CP \text{ ingested} - CP \text{ feces}) + (TC \text{ ingested} - TC \text{ feces}) + [2.25 * (EE \text{ ingested} - EE \text{ feces})]\}$ , proposed by Sniffen et al. (1992). Non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFC) were estimated according to the equation proposed by Hall (2000), where  $NFC = \{100 - [(CP (\%DM) - \% \text{ urea derived} + \% \text{ urea}) + NDF (\%DM) + EE (\%DM) + MM (\%DM)]\}$ .

The daily milk yield was calculated by adding the individual production to each milking, with an average of the last three days of each experimental period. Correction of milk to 4% fat was performed using the equation of National Research Council (2001) (Equation 1):

$$FCM = 0.4 \times \text{milk yield} + 15 \times \text{Fat} / 100 \times \text{milk yield} \quad (1)$$

Where: FCM = milk yield corrected to 4% fat in kg/d; milk yield = milk yield in kg/d; Fat = percentage of milk fat.

## 2.7 Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, the data were processed using the Exp.Des.pt package of the R software (R Development Core Team, 2018). The Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to determine the normality of the data and the Bartlett test to determine homogeneity. After the assumptions were met, an analysis of variance was performed in a 5 x 5 Latin square. The means were compared by Tukey test with 5% significance according to the mathematical model  $Y_{ijk} = \mu + C_i + P_j + T_k(i, j) + e_{ijk}$ , where:  $Y_{ijk}$  = dependent variable value;  $\mu$  = overall average;  $C_i$  = cow effect;  $P_j$  = period effect;  $T_k(i, j)$  = effect of treatment within each cow and period;  $e_{ijk}$  = experimental error.

## 3 Results

The daily dry matter intake (DMI) was not influenced by the lipid content of the diet ( $P = 0.070$ ), with the diet containing cottonseed reducing the DMI. This reduction was also observed in the intake as a percentage of live weight and in g/kg of metabolic weight. The daily intake of organic matter (OMI) was higher in cows that received sunflower and whole soybean. The supply of the whole soybean in the diet promoted a higher daily intake of crude protein (CPI). The daily intake of ethereal extract (EEI) was higher when the diets lipid-rich. The use of soybean oil as a lipid source promoted greater EE intake than the other sources. The daily intake of NDF (NDFI) was lower for cows receiving diets with soybean oil. The diet lipid-rich (70 g/kg EE) promoted an increase in daily intake of ADF (ADFI); however, the use of soybean oil in the diet reduced the ADF intake compared to the use of grains. The daily intake of total carbohydrates (TCI) and non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFC) were higher in cows receiving the control diet. TDN intake was higher in cows receiving sunflower grain and whole soybean (Table 2).

Apparent dry matter digestibility (DMD) was higher in cows consuming the control diet. The same behavior was observed in relation to the apparent organic matter digestibility (OMD), where the control diet was superior to diets lipid-rich. The supply of sunflower grain resulted in a higher CP digestibility (CPD) than cottonseed and whole soybean. The use of a readily available lipid source with soybean oil resulted in a higher EE digestibility than the use of grains. Cottonseed reduced the NDF digestibility in comparison to other treatments.

The control diet showed a higher apparent digestibility of total carbohydrates (TCD). Cows consuming soybean oil showed higher TCD than cows fed oilseeds. Diets lipid-rich reduced the NFC digestibility. The supply of cottonseed reduced the TDN of the diet; consequently, there was a reduction in digestible energy, metabolizable energy, and net energy of lactation (Table 2).

There was an effect of treatment on milk yield, milk yield corrected to 4% fat (FCM), and yield efficiency (YE). Cows fed the whole soybean were higher averages than other oilseeds and control treatments. Cows fed soybean oil had a decrease in the

fat content of milk. The use of diet lipid-rich did not alter milk protein content, lactose, or total solids. The use of soybean oil in the diet decreased the total solids in milk (Table 3).

There was an effect of treatment on saturated acids: C6:0, C12:0, C14:0, C15:0, C16:0, C17:0, C22:0, and C24:0. Likewise, there was an effect of treatment on unsaturated acids: C18:1, C18:2, C18:3. Cows fed control treatment (without lipid source) presented higher averages of C6:0, C16:0, C18:0, and C24:0 content in milk. Cows fed cottonseed and sunflower presented higher averages of C18:1 and C18:2, and C22:0. There was an

effect on the sum of the percentage of fatty acids. The milk of cows fed cottonseed and sunflower had the lower averages of the sum of the fatty acids with 4 to 16 carbons, and higher averages of the sum of C17 - C24 fatty acids (Table 4).

The production of milk fatty acids (g/day) according to the size of the carbon chain or saturation was altered by the lipid content of the diet. Among the sources used to reach 70 g/kg of lipids, the soybean oil reduced and whole soybean increased the production of short-chain, medium-chain, long-chain, saturated, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids (Table 5).

**Table 2.** Nutrient intake (kg/day) and digestibility (g/100 g) of nutrients in crossbred cows fed different lipid sources.

|                        | Diets   |             |            |           |               | CV    | P-value |
|------------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------|---------|
|                        | Control | Soybean oil | Cottonseed | Sunflower | Whole Soybean |       |         |
| Nutrient intake        |         |             |            |           |               |       |         |
| DM (kg/day)            | 13.95a  | 13.02a      | 11.98b     | 13.55a    | 13.51a        | 7.08  | 0.042   |
| DM (% BW)              | 3.39a   | 3.30a       | 2.94b      | 3.42a     | 3.21a         | 9.04  | 0.029   |
| DM (g/kg MW)           | 152.68a | 148.69a     | 132.09b    | 152.37a   | 145.24a       | 8.21  | 0.003   |
| OM (kg/day)            | 13.32a  | 12.11a      | 11.01b     | 12.78a    | 12.85a        | 8.55  | 0.039   |
| CP (kg/day)            | 1.96b   | 1.85b       | 1.79b      | 1.92b     | 2.39a         | 11.63 | 0.011   |
| EE (kg/day)            | 0.46c   | 0.93a       | 0.72b      | 0.86a     | 0.77b         | 13.62 | < 0.001 |
| NDF (kg/day)           | 5.61ab  | 5.29b       | 5.75ab     | 6.26a     | 5.96ab        | 5.95  | 0.009   |
| ADF (kg/day)           | 3.40bc  | 3.21c       | 3.89a      | 4.06a     | 3.78ab        | 5.91  | < 0.001 |
| TC (kg/day)            | 10.90a  | 9.33b       | 8.92c      | 10.00b    | 9.69b         | 7.96  | 0.005   |
| NFC (kg/day)           | 5.29a   | 4.04b       | 3.17c      | 3.74b     | 3.73b         | 12.96 | < 0.001 |
| TDN (kg/day)           | 10.59a  | 10.11a      | 7.36b      | 9.82a     | 9.90a         | 13.07 | 0.012   |
| NEL (MCal/kg)          | 25.93a  | 24.78a      | 18.02b     | 24.06a    | 24.25a        | 13.07 | 0.012   |
| Apparent digestibility |         |             |            |           |               |       |         |
| DM (%)                 | 74.93a  | 72.01a      | 57.08b     | 70.52a    | 68.59a        | 6.63  | < 0.001 |
| OM (%)                 | 76.95a  | 74.47a      | 60.07b     | 72.47a    | 71.04a        | 6.13  | < 0.001 |
| CP (%)                 | 68.64ab | 71.62ab     | 62.05b     | 77.20a    | 69.72ab       | 8.11  | 0.029   |
| EE (%)                 | 84.25b  | 90.95a      | 74.44d     | 77.86cd   | 81.13bc       | 2.50  | < 0.001 |
| NDF (%)                | 67.59a  | 63.39a      | 50.93b     | 62.91a    | 59.94ab       | 9.27  | 0.007   |
| ADF (%)                | 51.91   | 49.51       | 34.66      | 47.04     | 46.20         | 23.89 | 0.186   |
| TC (%)                 | 76.71a  | 72.69a      | 56.27b     | 68.19a    | 70.25a        | 7.03  | < 0.001 |
| NFC (%)                | 87.79a  | 85.61a      | 68.00b     | 78.80a    | 87.60a        | 8.81  | 0.004   |
| TDN (%)                | 75.89a  | 73.57a      | 61.19b     | 72.34a    | 73.07a        | 9.86  | 0.046   |
| DE (MCal/kg)           | 3.35a   | 3.24a       | 2.70b      | 3.19a     | 3.22a         | 9.87  | 0.046   |
| ME (MCal/kg)           | 2.93a   | 2.83a       | 2.27b      | 2.77a     | 2.80a         | 11.17 | 0.045   |
| NEL (MCal/kg)          | 1.86a   | 1.80a       | 1.50b      | 1.77a     | 1.79a         | 9.85  | 0.047   |

DM: Dry matter. OM: organic matter. CP: crude protein. EE: ethereal extract. NDF: amylase-treated neutral detergent fibre. ADF: acid detergent fibre. TC: total carbohydrates. NFC: non-fibrous carbohydrates. BW: body weight. MW: metabolic weight. DE: digestible energy. ME: metabolisable energy. NEL: net energy of lactation. CV: Coefficient of variation.

<sup>a,b</sup> Means followed by different letters differ according to Tukey test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 3.** Milk yield and composition from crossbred cows fed different lipid sources.

|                     | Diets   |             |            |           |               | CV    | P-value |
|---------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------|---------|
|                     | Control | Soybean oil | Cottonseed | Sunflower | Whole Soybean |       |         |
| Milk yield (kg/day) | 13.3b   | 11.5c       | 11.4c      | 13.4b     | 14.6a         | 11.86 | 0.029   |
| FCM (kg/day)        | 10.3b   | 8.0d        | 9.0c       | 10.7b     | 11.9a         | 17.02 | 0.037   |
| YE                  | 0.73bc  | 0.64c       | 0.75bc     | 0.80b     | 0.89a         | 20.86 | 0.042   |
| Fat (g/kg)          | 24.6a   | 19.6b       | 25.4a      | 26.5a     | 27.6a         | 16.27 | 0.021   |
| Protein (g/kg)      | 32.4    | 30.2        | 31.1       | 33.5      | 31.2          | 5.89  | 0.104   |
| Lactose (g/kg)      | 47.0    | 47.2        | 45.3       | 46.4      | 47.9          | 4.91  | 0.501   |
| TS (g/kg)           | 114.0a  | 107.0b      | 113.0a     | 117.0a    | 117.0a        | 4.18  | 0.036   |

Milk yield: milk yield (kg/day); FCM: milk corrected for 4% fat (kg/day); YE: yield efficiency; TS: total solids. <sup>a,b</sup> Means followed by different letters differ according to Tukey test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 4.** Fatty acid profile of milk from crossbred cows fed different lipid sources (g/100 g).

|                       | Diets   |             |            |           |               | CV    | P-value |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------|---------|
|                       | Control | Soybean oil | Cottonseed | Sunflower | Whole Soybean |       |         |
| C4:0                  | 1.58    | 1.59        | 1.59       | 1.57      | 1.58          | 1.35  | 0.111   |
| C6:0                  | 1.70a   | 1.68ab      | 1.66b      | 1.67ab    | 1.68ab        | 1.67  | 0.015   |
| C8:0                  | 2.93    | 2.96        | 2.84       | 2.92      | 2.89          | 3.02  | 0.140   |
| C10:0                 | 6.61    | 6.61        | 6.78       | 6.74      | 6.69          | 2.81  | 0.682   |
| C12:0                 | 4.18b   | 4.25a       | 4.20b      | 4.23a     | 4.19b         | 0.62  | < 0.001 |
| C14:0                 | 10.16b  | 10.77ab     | 11.04a     | 10.78ab   | 10.47b        | 2.21  | 0.001   |
| C14:1                 | 0.050   | 0.050       | 0.053      | 0.050     | 0.053         | 4.90  | 0.084   |
| C15:0                 | 1.41c   | 1.47a       | 1.51a      | 1.50b     | 1.44c         | 2.28  | < 0.001 |
| C15:1                 | 0.19    | 0.20        | 0.20       | 0.19      | 0.19          | 4.26  | 0.288   |
| C16:0                 | 28.79a  | 28.42a      | 27.04b     | 27.25b    | 28.72a        | 3.76  | 0.012   |
| C16:1                 | 0.96    | 0.94        | 0.96       | 0.99      | 0.93          | 2.89  | 0.446   |
| C17:0                 | 0.16ab  | 0.17ab      | 0.19a      | 0.19ab    | 0.16b         | 5.04  | 0.024   |
| C17:1                 | 0.45    | 0.46        | 0.43       | 0.42      | 0.46          | 6.51  | 0.163   |
| C18:0                 | 14.55a  | 14.27c      | 14.30c     | 14.20c    | 14.42b        | 0.52  | < 0.001 |
| trans-11 C18:1        | 7.11b   | 7.02b       | 7.27a      | 7.33a     | 7.04b         | 3.46  | 0.013   |
| cis-9 C18:1           | 13.10b  | 13.12b      | 13.66a     | 13.50a    | 13.11b        | 2.69  | 0.047   |
| C18:2 ω6              | 1.57b   | 1.59b       | 1.88a      | 1.99a     | 1.58b         | 14.92 | 0.019   |
| cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 | 0.87a   | 0.85ab      | 0.86a      | 0.85b     | 0.86ab        | 1.67  | 0.002   |
| C18:3 ω 3             | 1.61b   | 1.57b       | 1.57b      | 1.65a     | 1.58b         | 2.63  | 0.040   |
| C20:0                 | 0.91    | 0.94        | 0.89       | 0.95      | 0.91          | 4.77  | 0.215   |
| C22:0                 | 0.84b   | 0.86ab      | 0.87a      | 0.87a     | 0.83b         | 2.50  | 0.021   |
| C24:0                 | 0.24a   | 0.22ab      | 0.20ab     | 0.18b     | 0.21ab        | 12.15 | < 0.001 |
| Saturated             | 74.05a  | 74.20a      | 73.12b     | 73.03b    | 74.20a        | 1.18  | 0.048   |
| Monounsaturated       | 21.89b  | 21.78b      | 22.58a     | 22.49a    | 21.79b        | 2.77  | 0.044   |
| Polyunsaturated       | 4.05c   | 4.01c       | 4.31b      | 4.48a     | 4.01c         | 6.71  | 0.015   |
| S:U ratio             | 2.85ab  | 2.88a       | 2.72b      | 2.72b     | 2.88a         | 4.15  | 0.040   |
| Short chain           | 3.28    | 3.26        | 3.25       | 3.23      | 3.26          | 1.36  | 0.140   |
| Medium chain          | 13.72   | 13.82       | 13.82      | 13.90     | 13.77         | 1.20  | 0.920   |
| Long chain            | 83.00   | 82.92       | 82.93      | 82.87     | 82.97         | 0.23  | 0.998   |
| Σ C4 – C16            | 58.56a  | 58.93a      | 57.89b     | 57.88b    | 58.83a        | 1.36  | < 0.001 |
| Σ C17 – C24           | 41.44b  | 41.07b      | 42.11a     | 42.12a    | 41.17b        | 1.93  | < 0.001 |

Σ C4 – C16: Sum of the percentages of fatty acids with chains of 4-16 carbons; Σ C17 – C24: Sum of the percentages of fatty acids with U ratio – saturated: unsaturated ratio. <sup>a,b</sup> Means followed by different letters differ according to Tukey test ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

**Table 5.** Production of fatty acids (g/day) from the milk of crossbred cows fed different lipid sources.

|                         | Diets   |             |            |           |               | CV    | P-value |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------|---------|
|                         | Control | Soybean oil | Cottonseed | Sunflower | Whole Soybean |       |         |
| Short chain (g/day)     | 10.66c  | 7.34d       | 9.65c      | 11.63b    | 12.79a        | 25.52 | 0.040   |
| Medium chain (g/day)    | 45.63c  | 31.26e      | 40.37d     | 49.26b    | 53.92a        | 25.45 | 0.041   |
| Long chain (g/day)      | 274.22c | 187.51e     | 244.34d    | 295.47b   | 324.88a       | 25.92 | 0.047   |
| Saturated (g/day)       | 242.49c | 165.07e     | 218.12d    | 264.33b   | 290.65a       | 26.24 | 0.043   |
| Monounsaturated (g/day) | 73.52b  | 51.20d      | 64.31c     | 77.73b    | 85.21a        | 25.13 | 0.048   |
| Polyunsaturated (g/day) | 14.50b  | 9.83c       | 11.92c     | 14.30b    | 15.72a        | 24.17 | 0.041   |

<sup>a,b</sup> Means followed by different letters differ according to Tukey test ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

## 4 Discussion

Except from the diet containing cottonseed, the addition of lipid sources did not alter voluntary intake, suggesting there was no connection between the lipid content of the diet and food intake using lipid content up to 70 g/kg DM. It is likely that the fiber content of the diet was a limiting factor for consumption

since the diet containing cottonseed had a higher NDF and ADF than the others, as well as a lower NFC content. Van Soest (1994) indicated fiber as a limiting component of intake because the NDF and FDA are fractions of slow ruminal degradability, thus remaining inside the digestive tract for a longer time, causing physical filling and consequently, reducing intake.

The highest CP intake was observed in the diet containing whole soybean. Because diets were isoprotein and isoenergy, so higher consumption of CP observed in the diet containing whole soybean can be associated with higher DM intake. Almeida et al. (2017) observed greater CP intake in diets with whole soybean and a control diet compared to a diet containing cottonseed, and attributed this increase to a reduction in DM intake of the diet containing cottonseed.

The reduction in TC intake in diets lipid-rich was closely associated with lower DM intake in the cottonseed diet and was also responsible for the reduction in TC intake since the other lipid sources showed similar values to the control diet. Among the foods supplied, the concentrate was the one with the highest NFC content, and its participation was lower in diets with grains as a source of lipids, which explains the reduction in the consumption of this nutrient. According to Cabiddu et al. (2017) the reduction in the NFC intake in animals receiving lipid sources may also be related to lower food intake.

The apparent digestibility of DM, OM and CP in the diets was reduced with the use of cottonseed as a lipid source. The higher fiber content of this grain led to an increase in the ADF intake, a component with less digestibility in the diet, resulting in less apparent digestibility. The apparent digestibility of EE was higher in the diet containing soybean oil. The greater amount ingested and the greater availability of EE in this source of lipid resulted in this increase, since, in the control diet, EE was exclusively from concentrate and silage, and in diets containing grains, it was protected.

There was a reduction in the apparent digestibility of NDF in the diet with cottonseed as an additional lipid source, as well as in the digestibility of TC and NFC. The higher NDF and ADF content in this diet were probably limiting to the nutrient digestibility. Rico et al. (2017) also reported lower nutrient digestibility in diets containing cottonseed compared to diets without grain inclusion. Freitas et al. (2018) did not observe a reduction in the digestibility of diets with grains or soybean oil, however, the consumption of DM, NDF, ADF and TC was not influenced by the diet, which reinforces the theory that the reduction of digestibility occurs due to the higher consumption of fibrous fractions of the diet.

The reduction in apparent digestibility of most nutrients in the diet also resulted in a lower TDN in the diet containing cottonseed, demonstrating that, probably due to its more fibrous constitution, cottonseed limits the amount of energy available to cows in lactation.

The results for milk production, fatty acid profile and yield were associated with the intake of nutrients and their utilization. It was possible to observe that the diet containing cottonseed reduced the use of nutrients when compared, mainly, with the control diet. The amount of nutrients used by cows consuming this diet was approximately 56% less than the control diet in NFC and 35% less in DM. This reduction resulted in a lower amount of net energy of lactation (NEL), which explains the lower production. The other lipid sources showed values similar to the control diet for these parameters, which also explains the similarity in the production of milk and its fatty acids.

The inclusion of lipid sources in the diet of lactating cows did alter daily milk production. The highest production was observed in cows consuming whole soybean and the lowest in cows that received cottonseed in the diet. Although the DM intake of cows consuming whole soybean was not the highest observed, the consumption of CP was higher than the other diets, which, associated with a higher DTC, may explain the greater milk production. Naves et al. (2016) reported similar values in the milk production of cows consuming whole soybean and a diet without added lipids.

The average milk production of 12.82 kg/day observed was lower than expected by the diet formulation (18 kg/day), however, data published by Alvim et al. (2019) demonstrated that the average production of primiparous Girolando (5/8 Holstein × 3/8 Gir) cows were 9.57 kg/day lower than observed in this study. The National Research Council (2001) indicates that factors such as basal diet, lactation stage, and energy balance, type of lipid source, and level of inclusion of these sources in the diet can influence the response of milk production to lipid supplementation. The diet containing soybean oil showed lower milk yield because of the lower fat content observed in the milk of cows consuming this diet. The effects of the addition of lipids on the fat content of milk are quite varied and may vary according to the source of lipid used, the saturation of the lipids supplied, the basal diet provided, and the type of processing of these lipid sources.

The lower amount of NDF in the diet containing soybean oil or the fact that the lipids supplied in the form of oil are not protected can be pointed out as causes of the reduction in the fat content of the cows receiving soybean oil. The reduction in the NDF intake can reduce the production of acetate in the rumen, leading to a drop in the fat content of milk (Bauman & Griinari, 2003). Short-chain fatty acids during rumen fermentation are produced, and transported to the mammary glands through the bloodstream, where are absorbed and used as precursors in the lipid synthesis. Approximately, 17-45% of milk fat originates from acetate and 8-25% from butyrate (Santos & Fonseca, 2007). Milk fat is the main component of total solids, so the reduction in fat content resulted in a lower percentage of total solids in the milk of cows consuming soybean oil. Murta et al. (2016) reported a reduction in total milk content solids using soybean oil in the diet of lactating cows. Oliveira et al. (2007) in a study testing high and low level of concentrate and lipid, reported that the addition of lipids reduced both fat and total milk solids.

There was a change in the some short, medium and long-chain fatty acids content, it was possible to observe that the general sum for each chain size was affected by the diet offered. In addition, it was possible to verify a reduction of fatty acids from the new synthesis that occurred in the diet containing cottonseed. The theory of intermediate fatty acids from biohydrogenation seems to be the one that best explains the results, since the milk of cows consuming cottonseed showed a lower proportion of fatty acids from lipid synthesis and a higher concentration of oleic and linoleic fatty acids. Griinari et al. (1998) cited that supplementation with polyunsaturated fatty acids in diets with low forage content reduces lipid synthesis in lactating cows.

As in our study, this reduction is associated with a higher proportion of oleic and linoleic acids, demonstrating the deleterious effect of these fatty acids on lipid synthesis. Costa et al. (2018) reported a linear reduction of fatty acids from lipid synthesis in an experiment testing levels of inclusion of 0-24% of cottonseed in the diet, however, contrary to the current study, there was no increase in the proportion of oleic acid and linoleic.

Changes in the percentages of stearic, oleic, linoleic, and linolenic fatty acids varied according to the lipid source used. The lipid sources used were able to increase the content of all these fatty acids in milk, demonstrating that there is a difference in the lipid biohydrogenation of each of them. According to Rabiee et al. (2012) the extent of biohydrogenation from different sources and lipids is quite heterogeneous. Although biohydrogenation can be high, reaching 90%, the characteristics of the lipid source, the retention time of this source in the rumen and the characteristics of the ruminal microbial population can interfere with the amount of lipid to be biohydrogenated. Generally, animals that receive oilseed sources in their diets have higher concentrations of unsaturated fatty acids in their milk, especially polyunsaturated fatty acids and total unsaturated fatty acids (Yonjalli et al., 2020).

Sunflower and Cottonseed were able to significantly increase the content of 18-carbon fatty acids in the milk. The source of unprotected lipid (soybean oil) did not cause changes in the profile of these fatty acids compared to the control diet. The lipid sources used do appear to have undergone ruminal biohydrogenation or this biohydrogenation may have occurred in small quantities.

Amounts of milk fatty acids (g/kg) were altered by the inclusion of lipid sources in the diet. The diets with the inclusion of lipid sources did differ from the control diet. However, a reduction in the amounts in the diet containing soybean oil in comparison to the diets containing whole soybeans and sunflower grain occurred in most cases. This change was associated with a reduction in the fat content of milk presented by the soybean oil diet.

## 5 Conclusion

Whole soybean in the diet of lactating primiparous crossbred cows is recommended. Soybean oil decreases the percentage of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in the milk. Oilseeds provide greater production in kg/day of these fatty acids and can alter milk production and fatty acids profile in milk of Girolando cows.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank to the Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS); Universidade Estadual de Mato Grosso do Sul (UEMS); Universidade Católica Dom Bosco (UCDB); Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq); Fundação de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento do Ensino, Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul (FUNDECT n. 086/2015); and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES, Financing Code 001).

## References

- Almeida, G. F., Valle, T. A., Paiva, P. G., Jesus, E. F., Barletta, R. V., Gandra, J. R., Bettero, V. P., Takiya, C. S., & Rennó, F. P. (2017). Effects of whole raw soybean or whole cottonseed on milk yield and composition, digestibility, ruminal fermentation and blood metabolites of lactating dairy cows. *Animal Production Science*, 57(1), 122-128. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AN15266>.
- Alvim, M. J., Paciullo, D. S. C., Carvalho, M. M., Aroeira, L. J. M., Carvalho, L. A., Novaes, L. P., Gomes, A. T., Miranda, J. E. C., & Ribeiro, A. C. C. L. (2019). *Sistema de produção de leite com recria de novilhas em sistemas silvipastoris*. Juiz de Fora: Embrapa Gado de Leite. Retrieved from <https://sistemasdeproducao.cnptia.embrapa.br/FontesHTML/Leite/LeiteRecriadeNovilhas/index.htm>.
- Araújo, C. E., Gandra, J. R., Barletta, R. V., Mingoti, R. D., Bettero, R. V., Jesus, E. F., Valle, T. A., Ghizzi, L. G., Silva, J. R., & Rennó, F. P. (2018). Dietary calcium salts of fatty acids and soybean oil effects on mid-lactation dairy cows performance. *Archivos de Zootecnia*, 67(257), 119-125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21071/az.v67i257.3499>.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists – AOAC. (2000). *Official methods of analysis of AOAC International*. (17th ed). Gaithersburg: AOAC.
- Bai, Y., Zhang, B., Zhang, X., Zhao, S., Qie, M., Wang, Q., Zhao, Y., & Guo, J. (2022). Discrimination between organic and conventional raw and UHT milk by fatty acid profile in Inner Mongolia, China. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 75(1), 94-105. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1471-0307.12811>.
- Bauman, D. E., & Griinari, J. M. (2003). Nutritional regulation of milk fat synthesis. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, 23(1), 203-227. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nutr.23.011702.073408>. PMID:12626693.
- Bayat, A. R., Tapio, I., Vilkkki, J., Shingfield, K. J., & Leskinen, H. (2018). Plant oil supplements reduce methane emissions and improve milk fatty acid composition in dairy cows fed grass silage-based diets without affecting milk yield. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(2), 1136-1151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13545>. PMID:29224879.
- Cabiddu, A., Addis, M., Fiori, M., Spada, S., Decandia, M., & Molle, G. (2017). Pros and cons of the supplementation with oilseed enriched concentrates on milk fatty acid profile of dairy sheep grazing Mediterranean pastures. *Small Ruminant Research*, 147, 63-72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2016.11.019>.
- Canaza-Cayo, A. W., Lopes, P. S., Cobuci, J. A., Martins, M. F., & Silva, M. V. G. B. (2018). Genetic parameters of milk production and reproduction traits of Girolando cattle in Brazil. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 17(1), 22-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2017.1335180>.
- Costa, E. N., Ferrão, S. P. B., Silva, R. R., Porto, A. F. Jr., Damásio, J. M. A., Santiago, B. M., Costa, E. G. L., & Silva, F. F. (2018). Fatty acid profile and milk cholesterol of crossbred holstein × zebu cows fed on whole cottonseed. *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society*, 29, 1770-1775. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21577/0103-5053.20180053>.
- Costa, L. P. M., Lima, L. S., Damasceno, J. C., Marchi, F. E., Granzotto, F., Santos, F. S., Santos, A. L., & Santos, G. T. (2020). Combination of pelleting and monensin does not affect antioxidant properties and fatty acids in milk of grazing dairy cows supplemented with a concentrate containing soybean seeds. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 52(2), 573-581. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11250-019-02044-4>. PMID:31446587.
- Freitas, J. E. Jr., Takiya, C. S., Valle, T. A., Barletta, R. V., Venturelli, B. C., Vendramini, T. H. A., Mingoti, R. D., Calomeni, G. D., Gardinal, R., Gandra, J. R., Bettero, V. P., Jesus, E. F., Oliveira, M. D. S., & Rennó, F. P. (2018). Ruminal biohydrogenation and abomasal flow

- of fatty acids in lactating cows fed diets supplemented with soybean oil, whole soybeans, or calcium salts of fatty acids. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(9), 7881-7891. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13666>. PMID:30007815.
- Gandra, J. R., Mingoti, R. D., Barletta, R. V., Takiya, C. S., Verdurico, L. C., Freitas, J. E. Jr., Paiva, P. G., Jesus, E. F., Calomeni, G. D., & Rennó, F. P. (2016). Effects of flaxseed, raw soybeans and calcium salts of fatty acids on apparent total tract digestibility, energy balance and milk fatty acid profile of transition cows. *Animal*, 10(8), 1303-1310. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116000264>. PMID:26927502.
- Griinari, J. M., Dwyer, D. A., Mcguire, M. A., Bauman, D. E., Palmquist, D. L., & Nurmela, K. V. V. (1998). Trans-octadecenoic acids and milk fat depression in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 81(5), 1251-1261. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(98\)75686-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(98)75686-3). PMID:9621226.
- Hall, M. B. (2000). *Neutral detergent-soluble carbohydrates-nutritional relevance and analysis-a laboratory manual*. Gainesville: University of Florida. Bulletin 339.
- Hartman, L., & Lago, R. C. (1973). A rapid preparation of fatty methyl esters from lipids. *Laboratory Practice*, 22(6), 475-476. PMID:4727126.
- Ítavo, L. C. V., Valadares, S. C. Fo., Silva, F. F., Valadares, R. F. D., Cecon, P. R., Ítavo, C. C. B. F., Moraes, E. H. B. K., & Paulino, P. V. R. (2002). Nutritional value of *Cynodon* grass hay. Intake, degradability and apparent digestibility by means of internal markers. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 31, 1024-1032.
- Kliem, K. E., Humphries, D. J., Reynolds, C. K., Morgan, R., & Givens, D. I. (2017). Effect of oilseed type on milk fatty acid composition of individual cows, and also bulk tank milk fatty acid composition from commercial farms. *Animal*, 11(2), 354-364. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116001403>. PMID:27388673.
- Mertens, D. R. (2002). Gravimetric determination of amylase-treated neutral detergent fiber in feeds with refluxing in beaker or crucibles: collaborative study. *Journal of AOAC International*, 85(6), 1217-1240. PMID:12477183.
- Miyaki, S., Ítavo, L. C. V., Duarte, M. T., Valeriano, H. H. C., Pereira, M. W. F., Araújo, T. L. A. C., Ítavo, C. C. B. F., Gomes, R. C., & Bonin, M. N. (2021). The effect of dietary oilseeds on physico-chemical characteristics, fatty acid profile and sensory aspects of meat of young zebu cattle. *Food Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.21421>.
- Mourthé, M. H. F., Reis, R. B., Gama, M. A. S., Lopes, F. C. F., Barros, P. A. V., Antoniassi, R., & Bizzo, H. R. (2015). Milk fatty acid profile of Holstein x Gir cows grazing on marandugrass supplemented with increasing levels of roasted soybeans. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, 67, 1150-1158.
- Muñoz, C., Sánchez, R., Peralta, A. M. T., Espíndola, S., Yan, T., Morales, R., & Ungerfeld, E. M. (2019). Effects of feeding unprocessed oilseeds on methane emission, nitrogen utilization efficiency and milk fatty acid profile of lactating dairy cows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 249, 18-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.01.015>.
- Murta, R. M., Veloso, C. M., Pires, A. J. V., Silva, F. F., Carvalho, G. G. P., Eustáquio, A. Fo., Maranhão, C. M. A., & Cruz, C. H. (2016). Intake, apparent digestibility, production, and composition of milk from cows fed diets with different sources of lipids. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 45(2), 56-62. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1806-92902016000200003>.
- National Research Council – NRC. (2001). *Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle*. Washington: The National Academy Press.
- Naves, A. B., Freitas, J. E. Jr., Barletta, R. V., Gandra, J. R., Calomeni, G. D., Gardinal, R., Takiya, C. S., Vendramini, T. H. A., Mingoti, R. D., & Rennó, F. P. (2016). Effect of raw soya bean particle size on productive performance and digestion of dairy cows. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 100(4), 778-788. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12413>. PMID:26453023.
- Oliveira, M. A., Reis, R. B., Ladeira, M. M., Pereira, I. G., Franco, G. L., Saturnino, H. M., Coelho, S. G., Artunduaga, M. A. T., Faria, B. N., & Souza, J. A. Jr. (2007). Milk production and composition of cows fed diets with different contents of concentrate and lipids. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, 59(3), 759-766. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352007000300030>.
- Palmquist, D. L., & Mattos, W. R. S. (2011). Metabolismo de lipídios. In T. T. Berchielli, A. V. Pires & S. G. Oliveira (Eds.), *Nutrição de ruminantes* (pp. 299-322). Jaboticabal: Funep.
- R Development Core Team. (2018). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org>.
- Rabiee, A. R., Breinhild, K., Scott, W., Golder, H. M., Block, E., & Lean, I. J. (2012). Effect of fat additions to diets of dairy cattle on milk production and components: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 95(6), 3225-3247. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4895>. PMID:22612958.
- Rico, J. E., Souza, J., Allen, M. S., & Lock, A. L. (2017). Nutrient digestibility and milk production responses to increasing levels of palmitic acid supplementation vary in cows receiving diets with or without whole cottonseed. *Journal of Animal Science*, 95(1), 436-446. PMID:28177348.
- Santos, M. V., & Fonseca, L. F. L. (2007). *Estratégias para o controle de mastite e melhoria na qualidade do leite*. São Paulo: Manole.
- Smykov, I. T., Topnikova, E. V., & Danilova, E. (2021). Studies on fatty acid profile and microstructure of butter, dairy fat spreads and blended spreads produced in Russia. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 74(4), 629-640. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1471-0307.12780>.
- Sniffen, C. J., O'Connor, J. D., Van Soest, P. J., Fox, D. G., & Russel, J. B. (1992). A net carbohydrate and protein system for evaluating cattle diets: IV. Predicting amino acid adequacy. *Journal of Animal Science*, 70, 3562-3577.
- Van Soest, P. J. (1994). *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant* (2nd ed.). Ithaca: Cornell University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7591/9781501732355>.
- Van Soest, P. J., Robertson, J. B., & Lewis, B. A. (1991). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74(10), 3583-3597. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(91\)78551-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2). PMID:1660498.
- Verruck, S., Balthazar, C. F., Rocha, R. S., Silva, R., Esmerino, E. A., Pimentel, T. C., Freitas, M. Q., Silva, M. C., Cruz, A. G., & Prudencio, E. S. (2019). Dairy foods and positive impact on the consumer's health. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*, 89, 95-164. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.afnr.2019.03.002>. PMID:31351531.
- Yonjalli, R. V., Aghjehgheshlagh, F. M., Mahdavi, A., Navidshad, B., & Sta, J. I. H. (2020). The effects of tannin extract and linseed oil on yield, physicochemical characteristics and fatty acid profile of ewe milk. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 73(4), 656-666. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1471-0307.12716>.