

Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., v.75, n.2, p.333-346, 2023

# Nutritional and mineral composition of *Opuntia stricta Haw*: Balance of macrominerals, renal function and blood metabolites in sheep

[Composição nutricional e mineral da Opuntia Sttricta Haw: balanço de macrominerais, função renal e metabólitos sanguíneos em ovinos]

M.P. Silva<sup>1</sup>, F.F.R. Carvalho<sup>2</sup>, Â.M.V. Batista<sup>2</sup>, C.M. Araujo<sup>1</sup>, P.C. Soares<sup>2</sup>, A.P. Souza<sup>3</sup>, B.D.O. Fernandes<sup>1</sup>, S. Gonzaga Neto<sup>1</sup>, R.G. Costa<sup>1</sup>, A.N. Medeiros<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Areia, PB, Brasil <sup>2</sup>Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brasil <sup>3</sup>Universidade Federal do Sul e Sudeste do Pará, Xinguara, PA, Brasil

#### ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of the inclusion of spineless cactus (*Opuntia stricta* Haw) in the diet of sheep on the balance of macrominerals, renal function, and blood metabolites. Five sheep cannulated in the rumen ( $61.5\pm9.5$ kg body weight) were used in a 5 × 5 Latin square composed of five diets and five experimental periods. The experimental period lasted 105 days, with five periods of 21 days each. Four diets containing levels of spineless cactus (121, 245, 371, and 500g/kg of dry matter (DM)), and a control diet were evaluated. Samples of the ingredients, orts, feces, urine, and blood were collected. Spineless cactus inclusion in sheep diets increased the DM intake, ash, oxalate, and all macrominerals intake (*P* < 0.05), but did not affect the urinary and fecal excretion of P, as well as the concentration of P in the blood (*P* > 0.05). It is possible to verify that the inclusion of spineless cactus, up to the level of 500g/kg of DM in the sheep diets, does not appear to cause damage to the animal's health. Its inclusion does not compromise kidney function or blood metabolites evaluated herein.

Keywords: cactus, kidneys, microelements, oxalic acid

#### **RESUMO**

O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar o efeito da inclusão de palma forrageira (Opuntia stricta Haw) na dieta de ovinos sobre o balanço dos macrominerais, a função renal e os metabólitos sanguíneos. Cinco ovinos canulados no rúmen ( $61,5\pm9,5kg$  de peso corporal) foram distribuídos em um quadrado de  $5 \times 5$  latinos, composto de cinco dietas e cinco períodos experimentais. O período experimental teve duração de 105 dias, sendo cinco períodos de 21 dias cada. Foram avaliadas quatro dietas contendo níveis de palma forrageira (121, 245, 371 e 500g/kg de matéria seca (MS)), e uma dieta controle. Foram coletadas amostras dos ingredientes, sobras, fezes, urina e sangue. A inclusão de palma forrageira na dieta dos ovinos aumentou o consumo de MS, cinzas, oxalato e todos os macrominerais (P<0,05), mas não afetou a excreção urinária e a fecal de P, bem como a concentração de P no sangue (P>0,05). É possível verificar que a inclusão de palma forrageira, até o nível de 500g/kg de MS na dieta de ovinos, não parece causar danos à saúde do animal. Sua inclusão não compromete a função renal ou os metabólitos sanguíneos.

Palavras-chave: ácido oxálico, cactáceas, rins, microelementos

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The spineless cactus (*Opuntia* spp.) is an energetic food used in the diets of sheep in arid and semi-arid regions (Alhanafi *et al.*, 2019), and presents in its composition a large amount of non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFC), water, and

minerals (Oliveira *et al.*, 2017; Siqueira *et al.*, 2017). The minerals in the spineless cactus are mainly presented as oxalate (Rahman *et al.*, 2013). The form of soluble oxalate is one of the anti-nutritional compounds of forage plants (Rahman *et al.*, 2013) that exerts its effect, making calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) unavailable for assimilation.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: ariosvaldo.medeiros@academico.ufpb.br Submitted: June 13, 2022. Accepted: September 1, 2022.

In general, most forage plants have enough Ca for animal production, but this mineral may be unavailable through the gastrointestinal tract being excreted in the feces (Rahman et al., 2013). The presence of oxalic acid in the forage palm forms insoluble salts with Ca and can influence the intake and digestion in sheep (Ben Salem and Smith, 2008). The Ca (27.7 -52.6g/kg), potassium (K; 19.0 - 47.8g/kg), and Mg (12.7 - 14.2 g/kg) contents of the spineless cactus are high, but the spineless cactus has low levels of phosphorus (P; 0.8 - 2.1g/kg) and sodium (Na; 0.5g/kg) (Cordova-Torres et al., 2015; Mayer and Cushman, 2019), resulting in high K:Na ratio and high Ca:P ratio. In ruminants, when the Ca:P ratio exceeds 7:1, or is less than 1:1, it may cause the appearance of renal calculus affecting the animal performance (Santos et al., 2009).

Although there are studies evaluating mineral supplementation for sheep, studies evaluating the effect of the imbalance of minerals in the spineless cactus on the balance of macrominerals and renal function of sheep are scarce. Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the effect of the inclusion of spineless cactus (*Opuntia stricta* Haw) in the diet of sheep on the balance of macrominerals, renal function, and blood metabolites.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Procedures used in this work agreed with the Ethical Principles of the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (code number 053/2015). Five adult sheep Santa Ines breed, castrated and cannulated in the rumen (61.5±9.5kg body weight (BW)), were used in a  $5 \times 5$  Latin square composed of five diets and five experimental periods (21 days each). The experimental period lasted 105 days, with five periods of 21 days each. The first 14 days of each period were used for adaptation to the diets and the following seven days for data collection. Each animal was treated against endoparasites and ectoparasites and then kept in individual stalls  $(0.82 \times 1.60m)$  equipped with feeders and drinking fountains, as previously described by [9].

Five diets were evaluated, being a control diet, consisting of only Buffel hay (*Cenchrus ciliares* L.) as forage (ground to pass through a 4 mm screen), and four other diets presenting the inclusion of spineless cactus (*Opuntia Stricta* Haw) at levels of 121, 245, 371, and 500 g/kg of DM. The spineless cactus was two years old at the time of cutting and came from an irrigated and fertilized area. Before feeding, the cactus was chopped to reach a particle size of approximately 1 cm as previously described by Araújo *et al.*, (2020) in a complementary study.

The diets (Tables 1 and 2) presented a forage:concentrate ratio of 65:35 and were formulated to be isoproteic and to meet the requirements of the castrated male sheep for 100g of average daily gain according to the Nutrient Requirements of Small Ruminants Council (NRC, 2007).

Feed was supplied twice a day, at 8:00 am (60%), and at 4:00 pm (40%), and the diet was offered as a complete mixed ration. The orts were weighed daily, and the amount of offered food was adjusted based on the previous day's intake (allowing 20% orts).

During each experimental period, 20% of feces, orts, and the ingredients composing the diets were sampled. These samples were homogenized to obtain a composite sample for each animal per period. The material was pre-dried in a forced ventilation oven at 55°C for 72 h and milled with Willey-type knives using a sieve screen with 1 mm mesh for orts and ingredients and 2 mm mesh for feces.

The samples were analyzed following the protocols described in the Official Methods of Analysis (AOAC, 2005) for DM (method 934.01), crude protein (CP, method 990.13), ether extract (EE, method 920.85), and ash (method 942.05). The total oxalate of the ingredients and orts was estimated, following the procedure described by Moir (1953). The measurement of neutral detergent fiber (NDF) was performed using an ANKOM fiber analyzer (ANKOM200 Fiber Analyzer; ANKOM Technology Corporation, Fairport, NY, USA). The NDF was corrected for ash and nitrogen.

## Nutritional and mineral...

		Ingredients							
Composition (g/kg DM)	Ground corn	Soybean meal	Spineless cactus <sup>2</sup>	Buffel hay	Mineral mix	Water <sup>3</sup>			
Dry matter <sup>1</sup>	879	885	131	936.2	-	-			
Organic matter	987	938	844	936.7	-	-			
Ash	12.5	61.2	156	63.3	-	-			
Crude protein	88.0	493	50.1	65.7	-	-			
Ethereal extract	52.1	21.4	14.6	13.3	-	-			
aNDFn <sup>4</sup>	199	153	230	651	-	-			
Total carbohydrates	847	424	779	857	-	-			
Non-fibrous carbohydrates	649	272	549	206	-	-			
Oxalate	1.92	1.42	9.82	2.84	-	-			
Calcium	0.19	1.27	23.9	1.81	136	22.1			
Phosphor	3.35	5.53	2.41	0.86	94.7	0.24			
Magnesium	0.53	2.41	8.16	0.99	11.8	9.02			
Sodium	0.26	0.53	0.75	0.52	70.5	38.3			
Potassium	1.87	22.6	27.8	10.2	0.12	7.00			
Ox:Ca	9.79	1.12	0.41	1.56	-	-			
Ca:P	0.05	0.23	9.93	2.09	-	-			
K:Na	7.00	42.9	37.0	19.4	-	-			
K:Ca	9.52	17.8	11.3	5.68	-	-			
K:Mg	3.54	9.40	3.40	5.68	-	-			

Table 1. Chemical composition of the ingredients of the experimental diets

K:Mg 3.54 9.40 3.40 5.68 - - -

Table 2. The pr				

Learne diarete (e des DM)			f spineless cactu		
Ingredients (g/kg DM)	Control	121	245	371	500
Ground corn	199.9	172.7	173.4	175.2	177.0
Soybean meal	132.0	166.6	168.3	170.0	170.7
Buffel hay	649.8	525.1	397.8	267.9	135.3
Spineless cactus	0.0	121.2	244.9	371.2	500.0
Urea	7.4	3.7	4.7	4.8	5.8
Ammonium sulfate	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6
Mineral mix	10.1	10.2	10.3	10.4	10.5
		Chemi	ical composition	(g/kg)	
Dry matter	918.4	529.4	369.7	282.7	227.9
Organic matter	937.4	924.9	913.4	901.7	889.7
Ash	61.8	74.6	86.1	97.7	109.6
Crude protein	147.2	149.0	150.6	149.5	150.8
Ethereal extract	21.9	21.3	21.5	21.7	22.0
aNDFn <sup>1</sup>	483.3	429.8	375.8	320.9	264.7
Non-fibrous carbohydrates	285.9	325.2	366.0	410.1	453.0
Total carbohydrates	769.2	755.1	741.8	731.0	717.6
Oxalate	2.42	3.25	4.11	4.98	5.87
Calcium	2.76	5.48	8.22	11.02	13.87
Phosphor	2.91	3.21	3.42	3.64	3.85
Magnesium	1.19	2.12	3.01	3.92	4.84
Sodium	1.18	1.22	1.25	1.29	1.32
Potassium	10.05	12.86	15.03	17.25	19.48
Ca:P	0.94	1.67	2.30	2.84	3.33
K:Na	8.53	10.54	11.98	13.37	14.70
K:Ca	2.55	2.01	1.70	1.52	1.40
K:Mg	8.46	6.06	4.99	4.40	4.02
Ox:Ca	0.61	0.51	0.46	0.44	0.42

<sup>1</sup>Neutral detergent fiber with thermolabile amylase corrected for ash and nitrogen.

Metabolic water production was estimated according to the chemical analysis of the diets and calculated by multiplying carbohydrates, protein, and digestible ether extract intake by the factors 0.55, 0.42, and 1.07, respectively (Araújo *et al.*, 2010).

To estimate the balance of macrominerals, the amount of minerals consumed, absorbed, and retained by the animals was counted. The mineral intake of the mineral mixture and drinking water was measured to obtain the intake values of the minerals. The mineral mixture presented the following levels of macrominerals: 136.1g Ca/kg DM, 94.91g P/kg DM, 11.79g Mg/kg DM, 70.50g Na/kg DM, and 0.127g K/kg of DM. The water presented the following levels of macrominerals: 22.10 mg of Ca/L, 0.241 mg of P/L, 9.02mg of Mg/L, 38.30mg of Na/L, and 7.0mg of K/L.

The intake of macrominerals was calculated by the difference between the amount of mineral offered and orts. Absorption was determined using the results of the amount of mineral ingested which was excreted in the feces, and to obtain the amount of mineral retained, the difference between the ingested mineral, and the mineral excreted in the feces and urine was used.

The urine samples were collected on the 5th day of the collection period by spontaneous urination, via "spot", 4 hours after morning feeding. To obtain the urinary volume (UrV) of each animal, creatinine was used as an indicator, BW was multiplied by the daily excretion of creatinine (mg/L), dividing the product by the concentration of creatinine (mg/L) in the urine. The average excretion of daily creatinine of 23.2mg/L was determined according to Kozloski *et al.* (2005) estimates in sheep.

Samples of the ingredients, orts, feces, and urine were subjected to digestion via the wet process, using nitric acid using a microwave accelerated reaction system, Model MARS®, (Technology inside) adapted from Horwitz (1997). Subsequently, a dilution was performed according to (Method 968.08, AOAC) (Official..., 2005).

The minerals Na and K in the samples were estimated using the DM-62 flame photometer. The minerals Ca and Mg were determined using

atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AA240FS), and P was determined by colorimetric spectrophotometry.

Blood samples were collected on the 5th day of the experimental period, 4 hours after morning feeding, by jugular venipuncture, using a hypodermic needle  $(25 \times 8 \text{ mm})$  in silicone tubes vacutainer®, without anticoagulants, and with (sodium fluoride with 10% anticoagulant EDTA), to obtain serum and plasma, respectively. Blood samples without anticoagulants were kept at room temperature to retract the blood clot for subsequent while samples centrifugation, with anticoagulants were homogenized, promptly refrigerated in an isothermal environment, and sent to the laboratory.

All tubes were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3.500 rpm and then conditioned in polyethylene tubes with a capacity of 2mL and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. The urine was made by spontaneous urination of the animals, using a plastic bag used in colostomy (Mark Med®), and was applied to the preputial region by adhesion with adhesive glue. Immediately after urination, urine samples were centrifuged and aliquoted in conical polyethylene tubes with a capacity of 2 mL, followed by storage at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

The biochemical indicators evaluated in the blood serum were: fructosamine, total cholesterol, triglycerides, creatinine, urea, total protein, albumin, uric acid, Ca, P, Mg, Na, K, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gammaglutamyltransferase (GGT), alkaline and phosphatase (AP). Those determined in blood β-Hydroxybutyrate plasma were: (βHB), unesterified fatty acids (UFA), and glucose. The determinations were determined using а commercial LABTEST® kit, and processing was carried out in an automated biochemical analyzer LABMAX 240®.

The estimative of urinary metabolite and mineral indexes was made adopting equations described by Garry *et al.* (1990); Lunn and McGuirk (1990). The endogenous creatinine clearance rate (ECr; Eq. 1), the urinary excretion rate of "Y" substance (UrEY; Eq. 2), and the fractional excretion rate of "Y" substances (FEY; Eq. 3), such as creatinine, urea, Ca, P, Mg, Na and K. For the determination of the respective indices,

Arg. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., v.75, n.2, p.333-346, 2023

the analysis of metabolites and minerals ("Y" substance) were determined both in serum and

urine, according to:

$ECr (mL/min/BW^{0.75}) = [(CrUr \times UrV/CrSr)]/BW^{0.75}$	(Eq. 1)
$UrEY (mMol/L) = (UrY/CrUr) \times BW^{0.75}$	(Eq. 2)
$FEY (\%) = [(Ury/Sry)/(CrUr/CrSr)] \times 100$	(Eq. 3)

Where: UrY = Y substance in urine; SrY = Ysubstance in the serum; CrUr = Urinarycreatinine concentration; CrSr = Serumcreatinine concentration; UrV = Urinary volume;  $BW^{0.75} = Metabolic BW.$ 

In all equations in which BW is expressed in kg, it was decided to change it to metabolic body weight (BW<sup>0.75</sup>). The ECr corresponds clinically to creatinine clearance and is a useful measure to estimate the glomerular filtration rate of the kidneys. The UrEY corresponds to the amount eliminated from a substance in the urine corrected by urinary creatinine and weight (Fleming *et al*, 1991). The FEY refers to the fraction of material filtered by the glomerulus that is eliminated in the urine. This rate of any substance reflects both the effort of the kidneys to maintain homeostasis and defects in the ability to perform this function (Kaneko *et al.*, 2008).

The variables were analyzed using the PROC MIXED procedure in the SAS software program (Statistical Analysis System, version 9.2), adopting 0.05 as the critical level of probability or tendency (P < 0.10) for a type I error and using the mathematical model described below (Equation 04):

$$\gamma_{ijk} = \mu + \tau_i + \alpha_j + \beta_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$

Where  $\gamma_{ijk}$  is the dependent variable measured in animal j that was submitted to treatment i in period k;  $\mu$  is the overall mean;  $\tau_i$  is the fixed effect of treatment i;  $\alpha_j$  is the random effect of animal j;  $\beta_k$  is the random effect of period k;  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$ is the random error.

After analyzing the variance effects, the significance of the linear and quadratic effects of the inclusion of cactus was evaluated.

#### RESULTS

The inclusion of spineless cactus in sheep diets increased the intake of DM (from 1250.7 to 1748.2 g/d; P = 0.025), ash (from 76.41 to 198.50 g/d; P < 0.001) and oxalate (from 3.19 to 11.51 g/d; P < 0.001; Table 3). The inclusion of

spineless cactus in sheep diets also increased the intake of Ca (from 3.66 to 24.32 g/d; P < 0.001) and P (from 3.86 to 6.68 g/d; P < 0.001), but only Ca showed an increase in fecal (from 2.69 to 10.51 g/d; P = 0.006) and urinary excretion (from 0.004 to 0.011 g/d; P = 0.001). Inclusion also increased Ca absorption (from 0.97 to 13.81 g/d; P < 0.001) and P (from 1.20 to 3.22 g/d; P = 0.004), and Ca retention (from 0.97 to 13.79 g/d; P < 0.001) and P (From 1.20 to 3.22 g/d; P = 0.004; Table 3).

The intake of Mg (from 1.50 to 7.88 g/d; P < 0.001), as well as its fecal (from 1.30 to 2.95 g/d; P = 0.04) and urinary excretion (from 0.006 to 0.021 g/d; P < 0.001) increased with the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diet (Table 3). The inclusion of spineless cactus also increases the absorption (from 0.19 to 4.93 g/d; P < 0.001) and retention of Mg (from 0.18 to 4.91 g/d; P < 0.001).

The inclusion of spineless cactus in sheep diets increased K intake (from 11.97 to 31.90g/d; P < 0.001), as well as urinary K excretion (from 0.24 to 1.34g/d; P < 0.001), K absorption (from 11.04 to 31.81g/d; P < 0.001) and retention (from 10.81 to 30.47 g/d; P < 0.001), but decreased fecal excretion (P = 0.017), occurring reduction from 0.926 to 0.094g/d. As for Na, there was an increase in intake (from 1.62 to 2.43 g/d; P = 0.007), an increase in urinary excretion (from 0.08 to 0.44 g/d; P = 0.032) as well as an increase in its absorption (from 1.30 to 2.22 g/d; P = 0.003).

The inclusion of spineless cactus in sheep diets reduced the intake of drinking water (from 2.13 to 0.02kg/d; P < 0.001; Table 4) and increased water intake from the diet (from 0.11 to 5.45kg/d; P < 0.001), as well as the total water intake (from 2.92 to 6.38kg; P < 0.001). The inclusion of spineless cactus in the sheep diet increased the excretion of water in feces (from 127 to 242g/d; P = 0.03; Table 4), in urine (from 354 to 1296g/d; P < 0.001). On the other hand, the

water balance was not affected (P = 0.46) by the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets.

In assessing the dynamics of urinary excretion rates of metabolites and minerals, there was an increase in urinary volume (from 1133 to 4009mL/day; P < 0.001; Table 5) and in the rate of urine formation; from 0.79 to 2.78mL/min; P<0.001) with the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets. On the other hand, the inclusion did not affect the urinary concentrations of Ca (P = 0.87), Mg (P = 0.21) and Na (P = 0.46) but increased the urinary concentration of K (from

2077.4 to 3499.8 mg/L; P = 0.009). Regarding the urinary concentration of P, there is a tendency for reduction with the inclusion of spineless cactus in the sheep diet (from 0.47 to 0.23mmol/L; P = 0.051).

The inclusion of spineless cactus in sheep diets did not affect the urinary excretion rate of creatinine, urea, and macrominerals (P > 0.05; Table 5), as well as ECr (P = 0.65). The inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets increased the fractional excretion rate of urea (P = 0.02), but it did not affect the fractional excretion rate of creatinine and macrominerals.

Table 3. Intake of dry matter, ash, and oxalate, and balance of sheep macrominerals fed with spineless cactus

Variable	In	clusion of sp	ineless cactu	s (g/kg DM <sup>1</sup>	)	- SEM <sup>2</sup>	P-v	alue
variable	Control	121	245	371	500	SEIVI	L <sup>3</sup>	$Q^4$
			Intake, (g/di					
$DM^1$	1250.7*	1487.3	1759.8	1671.8	1748.2	0.067	0.025	0.225
Ash	76.41	116.47	155.88	168.41	198.50	0.010	<.001	0.368
Oxalate	3.19*	5.56	8.67	9.27	11.51	0.307	<.001	0.338
			Calcium (C					
Intake (g/day)	3.66*	9.77	15.47	22.36	24.32	0.512	<.001	0.210
Feces (g/day)	2.69*	6.26	10.03	10.13	10.51	0.470	0.006	0.215
Urine (g/day)	0.004*	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.011	0.0006	0.001	0.280
Absorption (g/day)	0.97*	3.51	5.44	12.24	13.81	0.794	0.001	0.997
withheld (g/day)	0.97*	3.51	5.43	12.23	13.79	1.202	0.001	0.997
			Phosphor (I	P)				
Intake (g/day)	3.86	5.30	6.07	6.45	6.68	0.187	<.001	0.084
Feces (g/day)	2.41	3.11	3.43	3.05	3.46	0.832	0.258	0.558
Urine (g/day)	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.0003	0.520	0.785
Absorption (g/day)	1.20	2.19	2.64	3.09	3.22	0.870	0.004	0.349
withheld (g/day)	1.20	2.19	2.64	2.89	3.22	0.869	0.004	0.349
		Ν	Magnesium (l	Mg)				
Intake (g/day)	1.50*	3.51	5.47	7.42	7.88	0.198	<.001	0.132
Feces (g/day)	1.30*	2.16	2.94	3.10	2.95	0.177	0.044	0.320
Urine (g/day)	0.006*	0.011	0.012	0.015	0.021	0.0009	<.001	0.767
Absorption (g/day)	0.19*	1.35	2.52	4.32	4.93	0.168	0.001	0.693
withheld (g/day)	0.18*	1.34	2.51	4.31	4.91	0.410	0.001	0.693
			Potassium (1	K)				
Intake (g/day)	11.97*	18.81	26.32	28.49	31.90	0.708	<.001	0.068
Feces (g/day)	0.926*	0.193	0.201	0.117	0.094	0.023	0.017	0.094
Urine (g/day)	0.24*	0.52	0.64	1.24	1.34	0.060	<.001	0.882
Absorption (g/day)	11.04*	18.62	26.12	30.06	31.81	0.942	<.001	0.053
withheld (g/day)	10.81*	18.09	25.48	28.82	30.47	1.720	<.001	0.054
			Sodium (Na	a)				
Intake (g/day)	1.62*	2.08	2.29	2.42	2.43	0.076	0.007	0.183
Feces (g/day)	0.32	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.21	0.022	0.136	0.673
Urine (g/day)	0.08	0.13	0.42	0.26	0.44	0.044	0.032	0.626
Absorption (g/day)	1.30*	1.80	2.01	2.15	2.22	0.071	0.003	0.202
withheld (g/day)	1.22	1.67	1.59	1.88	1.79	0.110	0.081	0.391

<sup>1</sup>Dry matter. <sup>2</sup>Standard error means. <sup>3</sup>Linear effect. <sup>4</sup>Quadratic effect. P<0.05.

# Nutritional and mineral...

Variable	Inclu	Inclusion of spineless cactus (g/kg DM <sup>1</sup> )						alue		
variable	Control	121	245	371	500		L <sup>3</sup>	$Q^4$		
			Intake wate	er						
Beverage (kg/day)	2.13*	1.24	0.22	0.04	0.02	0.040	<.001	0.0001		
Diet (kg/day)	0.11*	1.45	3.14	4.24	5.45	0.110	<.001	0.425		
Metabolic (kg/day)	633.5*	738.4	866.9	814.2	839.9	28.97	0.039	0.188		
Total intake (kg)	2.92*	3.49	4.30	5.16	6.38	0.160	<.001	0.270		
		W	later excret	ion						
Feces (kg/day)	126.7*	144.6	204.5	211.3	241.9	14.70	0.028	0.823		
Urine (kg/day)	354.4*	714.4	816.1	863.7	1296.1	56.00	0.001	0.874		
Total excretion (kg/day)	481.1*	858.9	1020.6	1075.0	1538.1	48.83	<.001	0.918		
Hydric balance (HB)										
HB (kg/day)	0.48	0.29	0.39	0.62	0.56	0.080	0.465	0.657		

Table 4. Intake, excretion, and water balance of sheep fed with spineless cactu	us
---	----

<sup>1</sup>Dry matter. <sup>2</sup>Standard error means. <sup>3</sup>Linear effect. <sup>4</sup>Quadratic effect. P<0.05.

Table 5. Urinary volume, mineral composition, and renal function of sheep fed with spineless cactus

		1	,		1	SEM <sup>2</sup>	-				
Variable		Inclusion of spineless cactus (g/kg DM <sup>1</sup> )						alue			
variable	Control	121	245	371	500		L <sup>3</sup>	$Q^4$			
	Ur	inary volume	(UrV) / Urine	Formation Ra	ate (UFR)						
UrV (mL/d)	1133	2263	2552	2657	4009	175.6	0.001	0.883			
UFR (mL/min)	0.79	1.58	1.77	1.84	2.78	0.120	0.001	0.880			
Urinary mineral concentration (mmol/L)											
Calcium	0.72	0.56	0.54	0.66	0.69	0.040	0.870	0.221			
Phosphor	0.47	0.40	0.32	0.28	0.23	0.032	0.051	0.791			
Magnesium	2.11	2.01	1.98	2.15	2.13	0.017	0.213	0.111			
Potassium	53.27	60.98	64.44	104.94	89.74	714.9	0.009	0.794			
Sodium	34.21	24.42	68.10	35.76	43.33	124.0	0.465	0.377			
		Urinar	y Excretion In	dex (mmol/L)							
Creatinine	22.61	21.80	22.41	21.09	21.43	0.352	0.242	0.891			
Urea	1.22	1.46	1.89	1.69	1.55	0.085	0.196	0.093			
Calcium	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.0004	0.401	0.208			
Phosphor	0.0013	0.0015	0.0012	0.0016	0.0016	0.0001	0.593	0.861			
Magnesium	0.018	0.008	0.009	0.014	0.015	0.002	0.993	0.298			
Potassium	5.28	4.73	4.25	5.75	6.83	0.585	0.444	0.422			
Sodium	54.34	80.45	90.48	78.56	71.23	6.304	0.515	0.130			
		Endogenous	Creatinine C	learance Rate	(ECr)						
ECr	2.24	2.37	2.41	2.28	2.40	0.082	0.651	0.757			
(mL/min/BW <sup>0.75</sup> )	2.24					0.082	0.051	0.757			
		Fraction	al Excretion I	Rate (FEY) (%							
Urea	45.91	46.25	62.91	62.79	65.53	3.555	0.023	0.612			
Calcium	0.55	0.28	0.27	0.78	0.64	0.077	0.401	0.455			
Phosphor	0.30	0.35	0.25	0.29	0.32	0.028	0.947	0.701			
Magnesium	6.89	2.78	2.61	5.13	5.41	1.837	0.954	0.281			
Potassium	4.80	3.98	2.92	4.95	5.75	0.482	0.546	0.284			
Sodium	0.014	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.297	0.286			
<sup>1</sup> Dry matter <sup>2</sup> Standar	rd error means	<sup>3</sup> Linear effect	t <sup>4</sup> Ouadratic e	ffect P<0.05							

<sup>1</sup>Dry matter. <sup>2</sup>Standard error means. <sup>3</sup>Linear effect. <sup>4</sup>Quadratic effect. P<0.05.

The inclusion of spineless cactus in sheep diets did not influence plasma concentrations of glucose, UFA and serum cholesterol, triglycerides, and fructosamine (P > 0.05; Table 6). However, the insertion of the spineless cactus influenced the plasma concentration of blood  $\beta$ HB (P = 0.04), with quadratic behavior and having a maximum point of 0.44 mmol/L for the inclusion of 275g/kg of spineless cactus. The spineless cactus in sheep diets did not alter the serum protein profile, with no variation in serum concentrations of total protein, albumin, creatinine, urea, and uric acid (P > 0.05). The same occurred with the mineral profile, in which there was no variation in the serum concentrations of Ca, P, Na, and K, (P > 0.05),

Arg. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., v.75, n.2, p.333-346, 2023

however, the serum Mg concentration showed an increasing trend (from 0.77 to 0.85mmol/L; P = 0.06). The inclusion of spineless cactus in sheep

diets did not vary in the enzymatic activities of AST, GGT, and AP (P > 0.05; Table 6).

Table 6. Energy, pr	protein, mineral, and en	zymatic profile	s of the blood of shee	p fed with s	pineless cactus
---------------------	--------------------------	-----------------	------------------------	--------------	-----------------

Variable	I	nclusion of s	spineless cact	us (g/kg DM	<sup>1</sup> )	SEM <sup>2</sup>		alue		
variable	Control	121	245	371	500	-	L <sup>3</sup>	$Q^4$		
Energy Profile (mmol/L)										
βHB <sup>5</sup>	0.38	0.42	0.45	0.43	0.41	0.017	0.276	0.041		
UFA <sup>6</sup>	0.48	0.74	0.36	1.23	0.42	0.114	0.722	0.440		
Glucose	2.84	2.72	2.82	2.96	2.75	0.072	0.928	0.779		
Cholesterol	1.20	1.19	1.24	1.16	1.24	0.053	0.865	0.864		
Triglycerides	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.008	0.652	0.851		
Frutosamine	196.1	190.1	187.2	195.8	194.9	3.921	0.885	0.456		
		]	Protein Profil	e						
Taotal protein (g/L)	66.35	62.99	62.53	68.08	64.34	2.155	0.921	0.716		
Albumin (g/L)	299.2	293.5	274.1	300.3	295.1	8.671	0.984	0.473		
Creatinine (µmol/L)	67.63	61.17	61.31	63.26	60.78	2.257	0.401	0.549		
Urea (mmol/L)	8.10	7.87	7.89	7.99	6.97	0.252	0.114	0.290		
Uric acid (µmol/L)	68.41	111.24	110.64	191.54	71.38	0.273	0.718	0.106		
		Ν	Mineral profil	e						
Calcium (mmol/L)	2.18	2.20	2.14	2.04	2.13	0.065	0.577	0.823		
Phosphor (mmol/L)	1.35	1.23	1.39	2.09	1.36	0.114	0.808	0.803		
Magnesium (mmol/L)	0.77	0.79	0.85	0.93	0.85	0.034	0.060	0.268		
Potassium (mEq/L)	3.22	3.27	3.52	3.53	3.37	0.064	0.258	0.250		
Sodium (mEq/L)	146.0	143.4	139.3	144.5	138.2	2.756	0.440	0.940		
		Enzyr	natic activity	(U/L)						
AST <sup>7</sup>	64.68	61.96	65.45	62.75	63.23	2.850	0.843	0.934		
GGT <sup>8</sup>	43.89	48.18	44.80	48.03	46.02	1.856	0.682	0.608		
$AP^9$	139.1	145.2	170.8	154.2	151.3	12.80	0.543	0.346		
<sup>1</sup> Dry matter: <sup>2</sup> Standard err	or means: <sup>3</sup> Lii	near effect:	<sup>4</sup> Ouadratic ef	fect: P<0.05.	<sup>5</sup> Beta hydro	oxybutyrate	e. <sup>6</sup> Uneste	erified		

<sup>1</sup>Dry matter; <sup>2</sup>Standard error means; <sup>3</sup>Linear effect; <sup>4</sup>Quadratic effect; P<0.05. <sup>5</sup>Beta hydroxybutyrate. <sup>6</sup>Unesterified fatty acids. <sup>7</sup>Aspartate aminotransferase. <sup>8</sup>Gamma-glutamyltransferase. <sup>9</sup>Alkaline phosphatase.

#### DISCUSSION

The inclusion of spineless cactus in the diet increased the macrominerals intake and affected the balance of macrominerals in sheep. Renal function was not impaired by the inclusion of cactus and blood metabolites were practically not influenced by the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diet.

The macrominerals intake possibly increased as a response to the DM intake increase. Findings of this study were also reported by Araujo *et.al* (2020) in a complementary study evaluating the intake, digestibility, and ruminal digesta of sheep. The intake of DM from diets rich in spineless cactus increased possibly due to its high palatability and rumen degradability, which favors the increase in the rate of ingestion by animals (Costa *et al.*, 2016; Cardoso *et al.*, 2019). The increase in DM intake, together with the high levels of ash and oxalates in the

spineless cactus in the present study contributed to an increase in the intake of these components when included in the diets.

Spineless cactus is rich in a range of minerals, mainly Ca, Mg, and K (Mayer and Cushman, 2019), which makes it a potential food for the formation of kidney stones. The presence of oxalate can also form crystals of Ca oxalate, by capturing minerals at the renal level and thus triggering urolithiasis and renal failure (Barboza et al., 2019). Renal function can be measured in several ways, from the evaluation of urinary compounds to the analysis of blood metabolites. Therefore, the balance of minerals, renal function, and blood metabolites are influenced by the level of intake of food and water, which is necessary for their measurement (Maciel et al., 2016). In the present study, the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets increased the flow (intake, excretion, absorption, and retention) of most of these macrominerals. Although the

Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., v.75, n.2, p.333-346, 2023

spineless cactus has low levels of P and Na, it is still higher than the levels found in the Buffel Hay used, consequently providing an increase in mineral intake with the inclusion of cactus.

The greatest excretion of Ca occurs in the feces, with very low urine losses due to the process of reabsorption in the kidneys in which parathyroid hormone increases the mechanisms of renal reabsorption of this mineral, reducing its urinary loss (Goff, 2018). The Ca present in diets with a higher level of spineless cactus is probably chelated with oxalate, which increased its fecal excretion, providing less Ca for absorption. The lower the availability of Ca in the intestinal lumen, the greater the efficiency in its absorption, by increasing the production of the active form of vitamin D in the kidneys, which helps in the intestinal absorption of Ca and P (Riet-Correa et al., 2008). For all treatments the intake of Ca was above the minimum requirements for sheep, varying from 3.66 to 24.32g/d, which according to the NRC, 2007 is 2.2g / day, for an intake of DM 1.10kg/d. The higher intake of Ca resulted from the different chemical compositions of the diets (from 2.76 to 13.87g of Ca/kg of DM), however, in all diets, the Ca supply was below the level considered toxic for sheep, which is 15g/kg of DM (NRC, 2007).

The presence of Mg in the composition of the spineless cactus increased its intake and absorption. Although spineless cactus has high levels of K and there is an antagonism between Mg and K (Martín-Tereso and Martens, 2014), the absorption of Mg was not compromised, possibly because of the levels of Mg in the diet also increased with the inclusion of the spineless cactus. When calculating the K and Mg intake ratio in the experimental diets, the values found in the diet containing the largest amount of spineless cactus (4.04) are close to the ideal ratio for sheep recommended by the NRC, 2007, which is 4.88 g/d. The increase in the absorption rate of K, possibly caused it to increase its urinary excretion so that there was a mineral balance.

There is a negative correlation between Na intake and K excretion, in which the lower the Na intake, the greater the K excretion. Thus, the increase in K intake with ingestion of spineless cactus, possibly promoted the release of the hormone aldosterone, increasing the activity of the Na, K- ATPase pump, stimulating the renal reabsorption of Na, and increasing the excretion of K by urine. Despite the increase in the renal reabsorption of Na, its urinary excretion increased, and this can be justified by the higher water intake of sheep fed on spineless cactus. This intake leads to excess body water, inhibiting the center of thirst and the pituitary gland, reducing the synthesis of antidiuretic hormone (ADH), allowing the kidneys to excrete excess water in the urine, and by excreting water, the kidney will also excrete some solutes, such as Na (Reece, 2006).

Due to the high moisture content of the spineless cactus, the drinking water intake was reduced (from 2.13 to 0.02kg/d), as the animals started to meet their requirements through the intake of water from the food. The reduction of involuntary intake of drinking water by small ruminants was also found by other authors (Abidi et al., 2009; Andrade-Montemayor et al., 2011), with a decrease in the intake of up to 2.11kg/d of water when the animals were fed with cactus. The increase in the production of metabolic water, with the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets, can be explained by obtaining the water from the nutrient metabolism itself. Cactus, in general, has a low content of lipids and high amounts of carbohydrates, which when fermented in the rumen increasing the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA).

The increase in fecal and urinary excretion of water reflects the increase in the total intake of this nutrient with the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets, also the presence of oxalate in the composition of the cactus can cause a laxative effect in the animal (Nefzaoui and Ben Salem, 2001). The Mg levels can also be related to the laxative effect of spineless cactus, since the  $Mg^{2+}$  ion is partially motivating, water retention in the intestinal lumen to maintain osmotic balance, causing the formation of soft stools and increasing the passage rate, increasing the production of soft stools, and increasing the fecal excretion of water. While the increase in urinary volume with the inclusion of spineless cactus is a way to maintain the water balance in the animal's body, since high water intake, promoted by increased intake of spineless cactus, inhibits the release of the hormone ADH, which is responsible for the reabsorption of water in the

renal tubules, so the reduction in its secretion results in increased excretion of water via urine.

the results of the balance of When macrominerals were evaluated, we observed that with the increase in the intake of these nutrients, the excretion, retention, and absorption increased for most of them, however evaluating exclusively the urine concentration, there are situations in which the excretion was reduced. Urine showed a decrease in P concentration with the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets, which may be related to hypophosphatemia, which promotes increased renal reabsorption of this mineral. The increase in the concentration of K in the urine occurred possibly due to the diuretic effect caused by the intake of spineless cactus, as well as due to the increased absorption of this mineral, the excess being excreted in the urine.

The rate of urinary excretion of the minerals Ca, P and Mg with the inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets did not vary, possibly because the main route of excretion of these minerals is the fecal route, with their excretion via urine being very low (Costa e Silva *et al.*, 2015). The increase in the fractional excretion rate of urea in diets with spineless cactus is due to the greater volume of water in the urine, which increases the peritubular pressure, and increased rates of urinary flow, generally, are accompanied by an increase in the amount of urea excreted in the urine. This increase agrees with a similar result reported by other researchers working with fresh spineless cactus (Pordeus Neto *et al.*, 2016).

The animals in this study presented an increase in the plasma concentration of UFA. Ruminants have a small supply of hepatic glycogen, with fat deposits being the main form of energy stored in the body. The mobilization of fats is done by the action of lipases. In the energy surplus, fats are stored, with the opposite occurring in cases of a deficit. Thus, high insulin secretion blocks the action of lipases, but glucagon, growth hormone, adrenaline, negative energy balance, stress, and fasting strongly stimulate lipases, which mobilize triglycerides and transform them into glycerol and free fatty acids, also called UFA. The latter are carried to hepatocytes to be oxidized or esterified (Xue et al., 2020). The UFA has a direct relationship with an energy deficit but is very susceptible to an increase in common

stressful situations, due to the increase in catecholamines, in moments of collection of biological material for exams (González, 2000; Mikulková *et al.*, 2020), and this condition was the probable reason why the animals in this study had a slight elevation of this metabolite in the energy profile in all animals, regardless of the level of spineless cactus in the diet.

Even with UFA formation indication, the level of oxidation and esterification in hepatocytes did not promote liver dysfunction in the animals in this work, since the activities of the enzymes evaluated in this work were within the normal range for the species, according to Kaneko et al. (2008). In situations where high oxidation of UFAs occurs in the animal organism, there is a decrease in the availability of glucose in the blood (Qaid and Abdelrahman, 2016), a fact that potentiates the discussion of the little influence of diet on the formation of UFAs, at normal levels, since there was also no significant variation in the plasma glucose concentration in these animals. Although the insertion of spineless cactus influenced the plasma concentration of BHB with quadratic behavior and with a maximum point of 0.44mmol/L for the inclusion of 275g/kg of spineless cactus, the respective concentration at all levels remained within normality, which did not influence energy metabolism. Another aspect to be considered is that high concentrations of UFAs and BHB depress appetite (Bisinotto et al., 2012) and, consequently, intake, something that was also not observed in the animals of this study, even receiving different levels of spineless cactus in the diet.

The inclusion of spineless cactus in the diet did not influence the serum cholesterol concentration, although its concentration is very close to the lower limit for the species. This profile may be related to the low lipid content in diets. The spineless cactus has a low lipid content, which can cause a decrease in the cholesterol of the animals when fed with high levels of the cactus. In the study reported by Araújo et al. (2012), the authors also found low values (1.21mmol/L) of cholesterol in sheep fed with spineless cactus. However, the intake of spineless cactus increases the production of SCFA, which represents the main source of energy for the animal, decreasing the use of lipids ingested in the diet as an energy source.

The concentration of urea in the blood is important to assess protein metabolic activity in animals (Puppel and Kuczyńska, 2016), which is directly related to the level of protein in the diet, as well as the relationship between energy and dietary protein and ruminal ammonia absorption. Higher values are indicative of excess protein in the diet or energy deficit (González, 2000; Kaneko et al., 2008). The diets were formulated to have a forage:concentrate ratio 65:35 based on DM and were formulated to be isoproteic and to meet the requirements of castrated male sheep, to gain 100g, according to the NRC, 2007, so that the observed variation was very little above the upper reference limit for this species (Kaneko et al., 2008). The protein and energy inputs of the diets were adequate for the animals and did not reflect negatively on the protein profile evaluated by the dynamics of the concentration of this metabolite in the animals. It should be noted that the concentration of serum albumin did not change significantly, and that this metabolite also reflects the protein content in diets, although the changes occur more slowly.

Serum urea elevation was not accompanied by the dynamics of serum creatinine concentrations, which remained low, which were probably due to physiological or dietary changes. Considering that its excretion is only carried out via the kidney since it is not reabsorbed or reused by the animal organism, the decreased values of this variable can be considered as an indication of an increase in the rate of renal filtration, because of the effective participation of ingestion of water as well as the presence of forage palm, which provides water ingestion via food (Araújo et al., 2012). Other researchers also observed a reduction in the serum creatinine concentration of sheep in response to the increase in the percentage of spineless cactus in the diet (Araújo et al., 2012; Soares et al., 2020). In the present study, the experimental diets were based on spineless cactus, and as is known, this food has high water content and a low dry matter content, which causes a diuretic effect, also related to its high content of K.

The influence of the concentration of spineless cactus in the diet promoted a decrease in the serum Ca concentration and this profile has been followed in other studies (Santos *et al.*, 2009; Araújo *et al.*, 2012; Soares *et al.*, 2020). The

serum concentration of Ca is related to the intake of Ca in the diet, as well as by the action of components capable of making this mineral unavailable, through interaction mechanisms (González, 2000). The decrease may have reflected the consequent insolubility suffered by the action of oxalates present in the spineless cactus. The blood level of Ca is quite constant, but it is influenced by the endocrine system involving vitamin D, parathyroid hormone, and calcitonin, which act to adjust to the amount of Ca absorbed from the diet (González, 2000). The spineless cactus has a high ash content, especially the Ca content. The levels of P and Na, however, are low, which results in an extremely high Ca:P ratio [8]. According to Ben Salem et al. (2005), the high percentage of oxalate present in the spineless cactus can cause a reduction in the bioavailability of Ca and explain the laxative effect that the spineless cactus promotes in animals, with a consequent decrease in serum Ca concentration and an increase in AP, although its activity enzyme was not influenced by the diet and its values were found to be normal.

When there is an increase in the formation of calcium oxalate chelates, decreasing the rate of Ca in the metabolism, it will affect the osmotic balance of the animal organism, consequently, stimulating bone resorption to maintain the serum levels of this mineral. Considering that the spineless cactus has high levels of Ca and low P, nutritionally the binding of oxalate to Ca to a certain extent may be a positive effect on the animal.

The spineless cactus has a high ash content, especially the Ca content. The levels of P and Na, however, are low, which results in an extremely high Ca:P ratio (Santos *et al.*, 2009). The high percentage of oxalate present in the spineless cactus reduces the availability of Ca and explain the laxative effect of the cactus, and, consequently, the decrease in serum Ca levels and increased AP, as previously seen (Ben Salem *et al.*, 2002). The enzymatic activities represented by AST, GGT, and AP remained within the limit considered normal for the species (Costa *et al.*, 2016), noting that none of the levels of spineless cactus in the diet signaled impairment of liver and bone metabolism.

The findings indicate that, although the cactus evaluated herein presents an imbalance in the content of macrominerals, the sheep organism can bypass this situation through homeostatic mechanisms, up to the inclusion of 500 g/kg DM of spineless cactus. Therefore, the inclusion of higher levels of spineless cactus can overcome the body's homeostasis capacity, requiring precaution with this use. It is interesting to also evaluate in future studies the insertion of chlorine in the analyzes, considering that this mineral is essential for determining the body's electrolyte balance.

# CONCLUSION

The spineless cactus evaluated (*Opuntia stricta* Haw) can be added to the diets of sheep up to 500g/kg DM. Its inclusion does not compromise kidney function or blood metabolites evaluated herein, thus demonstrating the ability of sheep to adapt to diets with different proportions of macrominerals.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) for the scholarship – Finance code 001, and to the fellows of scientific initiation for their contribution toward the accomplishment of the research.

### FUNDING

This study was supported by the Fundação de Amparo a Ciência e Tecnologia de Pernambuco (FACEPE) [grant numbers APQ-0425- 5.04/14].

## REFERENCES

ABIDI, S.; BEN SALEM, H.; VASTA, V.; PRIOLO, A. Supplementation with barley or spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus indica f. inermis) cladodes on digestion, growth and intramuscular fatty acid composition in sheep and goats receiving oaten hay. *Small Ruminant Res.*, v.87, p.9-16, 2009.

ALHANAFI, F.; KAYSI, Y.; MUNA, M. *et al.* Spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica) and saltbush (Atriplex halimus L.) as feed supplements for fattening Awassi male lambs: effect on digestibility, water consumption, blood metabolites, and growth performance. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, v.51, p.1637-1644, 2019. ANDRADE-MONTEMAYOR, H.M.; CORDOVA-TORRES, A.V.; GARCÍA-GASCA, T.; KAWAS, J.R. Alternative foods for small ruminants in semiarid zones, the case of Mesquite (Prosopis laevigata spp.) and Nopal (Opuntia spp.). *Small Ruminant Res.*, v.98, p.83-92, 2011.

AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 18.ed. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC International, 2005.

ARAÚJO, C.M.; BATISTA, A.M.V.; CARVALHO, F.F.R. *et al.* Inclusion of *Opuntia stricta* (Haw.) in sheep diets affects nutrition and the physicochemial characteristics of the rumen content. *Rev. Bras. Zootec,.* V.49, p.1-12, 2020.

ARAÚJO, G.G.L.; VOLTOLINI, T.V.; CHIZZOTTI, M.L. *et al.* Water and small ruminant production. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.*, v.39, p.326-336, 2010.

ARAÚJO, P.B.; ANDRADE. R.P.X.; FERREIRA, M.A. *et al.* Efeito da substituição do feno de capim tifton (cynodon spp) por casca de mamona (ricinus communis) em dietas a base de palma forrageira (nopalea cochenilifera salm dick) sobre o metabolismo energético, protéico e mineral em ovinos. *Rev. Bras. Med. Vet.*, v.34, p.327-335, 2012.

BARBOZA, S.C.R.; OLIVEIRA, J.S.; SOUZA, M.T.C. *et al.* Ovines submitted to diets containing cassava foliage hay and spineless cactus forage: histological changes in the digestive and renal systems. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, v.51, p.1689-1697, 2019.

BEN SALEM, H.; ABDOULI, H.; NEFZAOUI, A. *et al.* Nutritive value, behaviour, and growth of Barbarine lambs fed on oldman saltbush (Atriplex nummularia L.) and supplemented or not with barley grains or spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica f. inermis) pads. *Small Ruminant Res.*, v.59, p.229-237, 2005.

BEN SALEM, H.; NEFZAOUIA, A.; BEN SALEM, L. Supplementation of Acacia cyanophylla Lindl. foliage-based diets with barley or shrubs from arid areas (Opuntia ficusindica f. inermis and Atriplex nummularia L.) on growth and digestibility in lambs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, v.96, p.15-30, 2002.

BEN SALEM, H.; SMITH, T. Feeding strategies to increase small ruminant production in dry environments. *Small Ruminant Res.*, v.77, p.174-194, 2008.

Arg. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., v.75, n.2, p.333-346, 2023

BISINOTTO, R.S.; GRECO, L.F.; RIBEIRO, E.S. *et al.* Influences of nutrition and metabolism on fertility of dairy cows. *Anim. Reprod.*, v.9, p.260-272, 2012.

CARDOSO, D.B.; CARVALHO, F.F.R.; MEDEIROS, G.R. *et al.* Levels of inclusion of spineless cactus (Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck) in the diet of lambs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, v.247, p.23-31, 2019.

CORDOVA-TORRES, A.V.; MENDOZA-MENDOZA, J.C.; BERNAL-SANTOS, G. *et al.* Nutritional composition, in vitro degradability and gas production of opuntia ficus indica and four other wild cacti species. *Life Sci. J.*, v.12, p.42-54, 2015.

COSTA E SILVA, L.F.; VALADARES FILHO, S.C.; ENGLE, T.E. *et al.* Macrominerals and trace element requirements for beef cattle. *PLoS One*, v.10, p.e0144464, 2015.

COSTA, C.T.F.; FERREIRA, M.A.; CAMPOS, J.M.S. *et al.* Intake, total and partial digestibility of nutrients, and ruminal kinetics in crossbreed steers fed with multiple supplements containing spineless cactus enriched with urea. *Livest. Sci.*, v.188, p.55-60, 2016.

FLEMING, S.A.; HUNT, E.L.; RIVIERE, J.E.; ANDERSON, K.L. Renal clearence and fractional excretion of eletrolytes over four 6-hour periods in cattle. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, v.52, p.5-8, 1991.

GARRY, F.; CHEW, D.J.; RINGS, D.M. *et al.* Renal excretion of creatinine, electrolytes, protein, and enzymes in healthy sheep. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, v.51, p.414-419, 1990.

GOFF, J.P. Invited review: mineral absorption mechanisms, mineral interactions that affect acid–base and antioxidant status, and diet considerations to improve mineral status. *J. Dairy Sci.*, v.101, p.2763-2813, 2018.

GONZÁLEZ, F.H.D. *O perfil metabólico em ruminantes*: seu uso em nutrição e doenças nutricionais. Porto Alegre: UFRGS, 2000. 108p.

HORWITZ, W. *Official methods of analysis of AOAC International*. 16.ed. Washington: Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1997. v.1, cap.3, p.3-4.

KANEKO J.J.; HARVEY J.W.; BRUSS M.L. *Clinical biochemistry of domestic animals.* 6.ed. San Diego: Academic Press, 2008. 916.

KOZLOSKI, G.V.; FIORENTINI, G.; HÄRTER, C.J.; SANCHEZ, L.M.B. Uso da creatinina como indicador da excreção urinária em ovinos. *Ciênc. Rural*, v.35, p.98-102, 2005.

LUNN, D.P.; MCGUIRK, S.M. Renal regulation of electrolyte and acid- base balance in ruminants. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract.*, v.6, p.1-28, 1990.

MACIEL, T.A.; L. JÚNIOR, N.; ARAÚJO, V.V. *et al.* Avaliação dos perfis minerais séricos, urinários e sedimentares de ovinos recebendo dieta calculogênica. *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec.*, v.68, p.967-976, 2016.

MARTÍN-TERESO, J.; MARTENS, H. Calcium and magnesium physiology and nutrition in relation to the prevention of milk fever and tetany (Dietary management of macrominerals in preventing disease). *Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract.*, v.30, p.643-670, 2014.

MAYER, J.A.; CUSHMAN, J.C. Nutritional and mineral content of prickly pear cactus: A highly water-use efficient forage, fodder and food species. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, v.205, p.625-634, 2019.

MIKULKOVÁ, K.; ILLEK, J.; KADEK, R. Glutathione redox state, glutathione peroxidase activity and selenium concentration in periparturient dairy cows, and their relation with negative energy balance. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.*, v.29, p.19-26, 2020.

MOIR, K. W. The determination of oxalic acid in plants. *Queensland J. Agric. Sci.*, v.10, p.1-3, 1953.

NEFZAOUI, A.; BEN SALEM, H. Opuntia: a strategic fodder and efficient tool to combat desertification in the WANA region. Cactus (Opuntia spp) as Forage. MONDRAGON, C.; PEREZ, S. (Eds.). *Plant production and protection paper*. Rome, Italy: FAO, 2001. p.73-89.

NRC. Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: sheep, goats, cervids and new world camelids. Washington: National Academy Press, 2007. 384p.

OLIVEIRA, J.P.F.; ANDRADE FERREIRA, M.; ALVES, A.M.S.V. *et al.* Spineless cactus as a replacement for sugarcane in the diets of finishing lambs. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, v.49, p.139-144, 2017.

PORDEUS NETO, J.; SOARES, P.C.; BATISTA, A.M.V. *et al.* Balanço hídrico e excreção renal de metabólitos em ovinos alimentados com palma forrageira (Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck). *Pesqui. Vet. Bras.*, v.36, p.322-328, 2016.

PUPPEL, K.; KUCZYŃSKA, B. Metabolic profiles of cow's blood; a review. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, v.96, p.4321-4328, 2016.

QAID, M.M.; ABDELRAHMAN, M.M. Role of insulin and other related hormones in energy metabolism - a review. *Cogent Food Agric.*, v.2, p.1-18, 2016.

RAHMAN, M.M.; ABDULLAH, R.B.; WAN KHADIJAH, W.E. A review of oxalate poisoning in domestic animals: Tolerance and performance aspects. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.*, v.97, p.605-614, 2013.

REECE, W.O. Função renal nos mamíferos. In: SWENSON, M.J.; REECE, W.O. Dukes, fisiologia dos animais domésticos. 12.ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan, 2006. p.67-96.

RIET-CORREA, F.; SIMÕES, S.V.D.; VASCONCELOS, J.S. Urolitíase em caprinos e ovinos. *Pesqui. Vet. Bras.*, v.28, p.319-322, 2008.

SANTOS, K.L.L.; GUIM, A.; BATISTA, Â.M.V. *et al.* Balanço de macrominerais em caprinos alimentados com palma forrageira e casca de soja. *Rev. Bras. Saúde Produção Anim.*, v.10, p.546-559, 2009.

SIQUEIRA, M.C.B.; FERREIRA, M.A.; MONNERAT, J.P.I.S. *et al.* Optimizing the use of spineless cactus in the diets of cattle: total and partial digestibility, fiber dynamics and ruminal parameters. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, v.226, p.56-64, 2017.

SOARES, P.C.; GOUVEIA, L.N.F.; SILVA, T.G.P. *et al.* Metabolic dynamics of native lambs fed with Tifton 85 hay or Maniçoba hay associated with the spineless cactus. *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec.*, v.72, p.215-223, 2020.

XUE, Y.; GUO, C.; HU, F. *et al.* PPARA/RXRA signalling regulates the fate of hepatic nonesterified fatty acids in a sheep model of maternal undernutrition. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids*, v.1865, p.158548, 2020.