Prevalence of condom use and associated factors in a sample of university students in southern Brazil

Prevalência e fatores associados ao uso de preservativos masculinos entre universitários no Sul do Brasil

> Luciana Carvalho Costa ¹ Maria Inês da Rosa ^{1,2} Iara Denise Endruweit Battisti ²

Abstract

¹ Curso de Graduação em Medicina, Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense, Criciúma, Brasil. ² Curso de Pós-graduação em Epidemiologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brasil.

Correspondence

L. C. Costa Curso de Graduação em Medicina, Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense. Rua Sete de Setembro 170, apto. 501, Criciúma, SC 88801-170, Brasil. al25950@yahoo.com.br

This article focuses on the frequency of condom use and associated factors in university students, based on a cross-sectional study of 633 students in 2006. Associations were investigated using a logistic regression model with 5% significance. Condom use prevalence was 60%. Having candidiasis was a protective factor for condom use in both sexual initiation (OR = 0.49; 95%CI: 0.31-0.79) and the most recent sexual intercourse (OR = 0.39; 95%CI: 0.24-0.65). Condom use was associated with *single marital status (OR = 2.89; 95%CI: 1.60-*5.23) and having a sex partner froim the health field (OR = 0.50; 95%CI: 0.34-0.75). Condom use was high in all sexual relations in this sample of university students. Single marital status and having a sex partner from a health-related course were positively associated with condom use in the most recent intercourse. Self-reported genital candidiasis was protective for condom use during early sexual activity and in the most recent sexual relation. Belonging to the health field did not show a significant impact on the use of male condoms.

Candidiasis; Condoms; Sex Behavior

Introduction

Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) are highly prevalent in the world, constituting a major public health problem ¹. STDs greatly increase the risk of HIV transmission. AIDS is one of the world's most destructive epidemics, having taken 3.1 million lives in 2005, including 570 thousand children ².

In Brazil, according to the National AIDS Bulletin, from 1980 to June 2007 a total of 474,273 AIDS cases were reported in the country: 289,074 in the Southeast, 89,250 in the South, 53,089 in the Northeast, 26,757 in the Central-West, and 16,103 in the North. In Brazil as a whole and in the South, Southeast, and Central-West, AIDS incidence tends to stabilize, while there is an upward trend in the North and Northeast. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), Brazil has a concentrated epidemic, with an HIV prevalence rate of 0.6% in the population from 15 to 49 years of age ³.

STDs are more common in adolescents and youth, who display a higher prevalence of risk behaviors such as early sexual initiation, multiple partners, unprotected sex, and alcohol and illicit drug use 4 .

Correct condom use in all sexual relations has proven effective against STD/AIDS. Some factors influence male condom use, based on which a descriptive cross-sectional study was proposed to identify the frequency of male condom use

(or lack thereof) and associated factors in undergraduate medical and biology students as compared to other undergraduates.

Methods

The research project was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense (UNESC), in Criciúma, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, filed under protocol number 384/2006.

An observational cross-sectional study was performed with students from UNESC, located in the city of Criciúma, Santa Catarina State. According to the student body list for the second semester of 2005 at the Criciúma campus of UNESC, based on data from the Student Affairs Office (CENTAC), the university had a total of 32 undergraduate courses, with 8,287 students enrolled, distributed in the following fields: health and life sciences, engineering and technology, and applied social sciences.

According to the Brazilian National Research Council (CNPq), Physical Education, Nursing, Pharmacy, Physical Therapy, Medicine, and Nutrition are classified as Health Sciences courses, Biology is classified under Life Sciences, and Psychology is classified under the Human Sciences. However, we chose to include Biology and Psychology in the health field, with the understanding that these courses include extensive healthrelated material. Therefore, the current study defined the following as health-related courses: Biology, Physical Education, Nursing, Pharmacy, Medicine, Nutrition, Psychology, and Physical Therapy, totaling 2,587 undergraduate students. Non-health-related courses totaled 5,700 students. The sample size to test the hypothesis of a difference in population proportions 5, considering a 5% significance level, 80% test power, and prevalence of 0.55 and 0.45 for students from health-related versus non-health-related courses was 352 students for each group. Students in the sample were selected proportionally to the number of students in each course. In the process of selecting students from each class to participate in the study, the sampling step was determined, i.e., the number k of elements in each collection. The process began, using the official UNESC enrollment list, by picking the first element among the first k; next, we skipped k elements in order to pick the second element, and so on until collecting the last element in the sample. Sexually uninitiated university students were excluded from the sample. Data collection used a self-applied, individual, anonymous questionnaire, consisting of structured questions on the study's target variables. The questionnaire included written instructions that if by chance the respondents were sexually uninitiated, they did not need to answer the questions, but that in order to preserve their anonymity they should only turn the questionnaire in at the end of the session, together with all the other respondents.

The dependent (outcome) variables were: condom use during the first and most recent sexual relations. This approach attempted to prevent a recall bias, a strategy similar to that adopted by the Multi-Center Study on Youth, Sexuality, and Reproduction in Brazil, or the so-called GRAVAD Survey 6. The independent variables were dichotomized as shown in Table 1.

Data analysis used SPSS version 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). First, each variable was analyzed individually to verify its behavior. Qualitative variables were analyzed with a frequency table and quantitative variables with descriptive measurement.

In the crude analysis, each factor was evaluated in relation to the outcome variable, using the chi-square test and univariate logistic regression. In the adjusted analysis, multiple logistic regression was used to control for possible confounders.

All factors with p < 0.25 in the univariate analysis were candidates for entering the model, according to the methodology of Hosmer & Lemeshow 7. Factors with p < 0.05 (Wald test) remained in the final logistic regression model. During the model's construction process, the importance of each component was also verified by the goodness-of-fit test. The magnitudes of associations between the dependent variable and the factors were estimated using odds ratios (OR), with a 95% confidence interval (95%CI).

Results

As shown in Table 1, mean age of the total sample population was 23.6 ± 9 years (mean \pm SD), and females comprised 63.3% of the sample. Of the students who were interviewed, 60.5% were from non-health-related courses. Only 13.8% of the students were in a stable union, i.e., were married or had been cohabiting for more than two years, reporting only one partner in the last six months, and 11.6% reported having at least one child. The majority (62.7%) reported having their first sexual relations at 17 years of age or earlier, while 25.4% reported sexual initiation after entering the university. Only 3.4% reported alcohol and/or drug use before their first sexual relations.

Of the students interviewed, 77.7% reported having no partner or only one partner at the time

of the interview, and 11.6% responded that the partner belonged to the health field. Some 15.2% had consumed alcohol and/or drugs in association with their most recent sexual intercourse. Of the UNESC undergraduate courses, 55.4% provided information on STD prevention. Some 54.8% of the respondents reported not being influenced by government campaigns to promote condom use. Genital candidiasis was reported by 17.3% of the study population, followed by gonorrhea (0.6%). Other reported STDs included: syphilis (3 respondents), genital warts (2), genital herpes (1), and HIV (1).

Most (60%) of the interviewees reported using condoms in all their sexual relations.

As shown in Table 2, condom use prevalence was high in both the first and most recent sexual relations (71.4% and 61.4%, respectively).

As shown in Table 3, the factor significantly associated with condom use in the first sexual relation was genital candidiasis. Students that reported having candidiasis, predominantly females (95.7%), were more likely to have used condoms in their first sexual relations (OR = 0.49; 95%CI: 0.31-0.79). Single marital status (neither married nor cohabiting) was significantly associated with condom use in the most recent sexual intercourse (OR = 2.89; 95%CI: 1.60-5.23), as compared to married students (including stable unions). Having candidiasis was protective for condom use (OR = 0.39; 95%CI: 0.24-0.65), as was having a current partner from the health field (OR = 0.50; 95%CI: 0.34-0.75). However, belonging to the health field oneself was not significantly associated with condom use in the most recent sexual intercourse. Table 4 shows the above-mentioned results.

Discussion

The study's limitations include possible response biases, such as self-censorship and possibly overestimated condom use. We attempted to minimize these biases by applying the questionnaire with caution and anonymity, allowing interviewees to opt out. Selection bias was minimized, since the sample was random and stratified by course, and when one student refused to respond, the next student on the enrollment list was invited to participate.

Overall prevalence of condom use in all sexual relations in this sample of undergraduate students was 60%. Possible explanations are the high educational level, prevalence of single students, and advent of HIV/AIDS. In the literature, condom use is also higher among single individuals and those with more schooling 6,8,9,10,11.

Table 1 Characteristics of a sample of university undergraduate students. Criciúma, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, 2006.

Age (mean ± SD) 23.6 ± 9.0 Gender 35.9 Female 404 63.3 Marital status 87 13.8 63.2 Marrised/stable union 87 13.8 66.2 Courses area Health 252 39.5 Health 386 60.5 Children 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation 4 2.7 \$17 400 62.7 \$18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 72 11.6 No 468 74.5 72 3.4 No 468 74.5 72 3.4 No 468 74.5 2.2 3.4 72 1.6 72.7 2.2 3.4 72.7 1.2 2.2 3.4 72.7 2.2 </th <th>Variable</th> <th>n</th> <th>%</th>	Variable	n	%
Gender Male	A (02 (+ 0.0	
Male 229 35.9 Female 404 63.3 Marital status 87 13.8 Married/stable union 87 13.8 Single (not married or in stable union) 543 86.2 Courses area Health 386 60.5 Children 252 39.5 11.6 60.5 Children 27 11.6 88 88.4 Sexual initiation 48 88.4 88.4 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment 28 37.3 38 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment 400 62.7 25.4 8.0 468 74.5 36 80.7 3.5 82.7 8.0 468 74.5 36 80.7 3.0 468 74.5 36 80.6 80.8 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6 80.6	5	23.6 ± 9.0	
Female 404 63.3 Marital status 37 13.8 Single (not married or in stable union) 543 86.2 Courses area Health 252 39.5 Non-health 386 60.5 Children Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation ≤ 17 400 62.7 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Yes 139 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation Yes 22 3.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation Yes 22 3.4 No 466 77.7 2 2 3.4 No 468 74.7 2 2 3.4 No 58x partners in last 6 months 72 11.6 9.6 10.2 2 12.2 1.2 2.3 10.2		000	25.0
Married/stable union 87 13.8 Single (not married or in stable union) 543 86.2 Courses area 48.2 39.5 Health 252 39.5 Non-health 386 60.5 Children 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation 21 40 62.7 ≤ 17 400 62.7 2 ≤ 18 238 37.3 3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment 40 62.7 Yes 159 25.4 8 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 22 3.4 Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 22 1.4 0-1 496 7.7 ≥ 2 124 22.3 Current partner from health field 78 8 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Married/stable union 87 13.8 Single (not married or in stable union) 543 86.2 Courses area ************************************		404	63.3
Single (not married or in stable union) 543 86.2 Courses area 486 30.5 Health 252 39.5 Non-health 386 60.5 Children 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation 400 62.7 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment 77.7 Yes 159 25.4 No 468 77.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 72 3.4 Yes 22 3.4 No 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 496 77.7 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis 7 72 16. Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 No 247 40.0 Learned about \$TD prevention in undergraduate course		0.7	42.0
Courses area Health			
Health 252 39.5 Non-health 386 60.5 Children 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation 348 38.3 ≤ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment 359 25.4 Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 22 3.4 Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 72 11.6 Ves 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Current partner from health field Yes 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 28.2 2.7 Condom use 45 82.7 2.7 <td>-</td> <td>543</td> <td>86.2</td>	-	543	86.2
Non-health 386 60.5 Children Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation ≤ 17 400 62.7 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 0-1 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Yes 95 15.2 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178		050	20.5
Children 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation 400 62.7 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 22 3.4 Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 22 3.4 0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field Yes 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 41.6 78.2 Reports some STD			
Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation 3 37.3 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment 338 37.3 Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 22 3.4 Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 22 3.4 0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 441 </td <td></td> <td>300</td> <td>60.5</td>		300	60.5
No 548 88.4 Sexual initiation ≤ 17 400 62.7 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment 45.2 4.8 Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 22 3.4 Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 496 77.7 0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 496 77.7 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis 72 11.6 Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 4 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 41. 7.6		72	11 /
Sexual initiation ≤ 17 400 62.7 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 77.7 22 3.4 0-1 496 77.7 2.2 11.6 7.2 11.6 7.2 11.6 7.7 7.2 11.6 7.0 8.8 8.8.4 8.4 7.2 11.6 7.0 8.8 8.8.4 8.4 7.2 11.6 7.0 8.0 8.8 8.4 8.8 8.4 7.2 11.6 7.0 8.8 9.2 8.8 8.8 9.2 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.2			
≤ 17 400 62.7 ≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 77.7 7.7 2.2 2 2 142 22.3 2.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 7.7 7.2 1.6 7.2 1.6 No 548 88.4 8.4 2.2 2.3 2.4 4.0 2.2 2.2 3.4 4.0 2.2 3.3 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		546	00.4
≥ 18 238 37.3 Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Tyes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 322 3.4 Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 77.7 0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candicliasis 72 11.6 Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenc		400	42.7
Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candicilasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8			
Yes 159 25.4 No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 74.5 Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candicliasis 72 11.6 Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 4 455 82.7 Condom use 247 40.0		230	37.3
No 468 74.5 Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months	_	150	25.4
Alcohol or drug use in first sexual relation Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 77.7 0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis 75 17.3 Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 4 455 82.7 Condom use 247 40.0			
Yes 22 3.4 No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 7.77 0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis 72 11.6 Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 455 82.7 Condom use 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use		400	74.3
No 616 96.6 Number of sex partners in last 6 months 77.7 0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis 72 11.6 Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 455 82.7 Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 7.6 Reports some STD 495 92.3 Yes 41 7.6 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses <td< td=""><td>-</td><td>22</td><td>3./</td></td<>	-	22	3./
Number of sex partners in last 6 months 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis 72 11.6 Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 455 82.7 Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 495 92.3 Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 495 92.3 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 49 40.0 Heal			
0-1 496 77.7 ≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation Health-related courses 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses 183		010	70.0
≥ 2 142 22.3 Current partner from health field 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis **** 75 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use **** 455 82.7 Condom use **** 40.0 60.0<		496	77 7
Current partner from health field Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 44.6 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation Health-related courses 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation Healt	•		
Yes 72 11.6 No 548 88.4 Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Mealth-related courses 148 59.2 Condom use in first se		112	22.0
No 548 88.4 Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 370 60.0 Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 49 49 49 No 333 60.4 49		72	11.6
Candidiasis Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 370 60.0 Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation Health-related courses 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses 183 74.1			
Yes 95 17.3 No 455 82.7 Condom use 370 60.0 Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course 247 40.0 Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 495 92.3 Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 60.4		3.10	00.1
No 455 82.7 Condom use 370 60.0 Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 75 47.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 49 49 Health-related courses 183 74.1		95	17.3
Condom use 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course 345 55.4 Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD Ves 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 795 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 148 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Health-related courses 148 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 49 74.1 Health-related courses 183 74.1			
Always 370 60.0 Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course 345 55.4 Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 41 7.6 Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 183 74.1 Health-related courses 183 74.1	Condom use		
Never, sometimes 247 40.0 Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course 798 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 68 Reports some STD 41 7.6 7.	Always	370	60.0
Learned about STD prevention in undergraduate course Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 41 7.6 Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 795 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Health-related courses 183 74.1		247	40.0
Yes 345 55.4 No 278 44.6 Reports some STD 341 7.6 Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 35 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 45.2 60.4 Health-related courses 183 74.1			
Reports some STD Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 795 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 49.2 49.2 Health-related courses 183 74.1		345	55.4
Yes 41 7.6 No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 795 15.2 Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 148 59.2 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 74.1 Health-related courses 183 74.1	No	278	44.6
No 495 92.3 Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation 795 15.2 Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 74.1 Health-related courses 183 74.1	Reports some STD		
Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 3280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 48 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 48 74.1 Health-related courses 183 74.1	Yes	41	7.6
Yes 95 15.2 No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 448 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 45.2 60.4 Health-related courses 183 74.1	No	495	92.3
No 528 84.8 Influenced by government condom campaigns 280 45.2 Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation 448 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation 45.2 60.4 Health-related courses 183 74.1	Alcohol or drug use in last sexual relation		
Influenced by government condom campaigns Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation Health-related courses 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses 183 74.1	Yes	95	15.2
Yes 280 45.2 No 339 54.8 Condom use in last sexual relation Health-related courses 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses 183 74.1	No	528	84.8
No33954.8Condom use in last sexual relation59.2Health-related courses14859.2Other courses23360.4Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses18374.1	Influenced by government condom campaigns		
Condom use in last sexual relation Health-related courses 148 59.2 Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses 183 74.1	Yes	280	45.2
Health-related courses14859.2Other courses23360.4Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses18374.1	No	339	54.8
Other courses 233 60.4 Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses 183 74.1	Condom use in last sexual relation		
Condom use in first sexual relation Health-related courses 183 74.1	Health-related courses	148	59.2
Health-related courses 183 74.1	Other courses	233	60.4
	Condom use in first sexual relation		
Other courses 256 68.4	Health-related courses	183	74.1
	Other courses	256	68.4

Table 2

Distribution of dependent variables for condom use in first and most recent sexual relations among university students. Criciúma, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, 2006.

Variable	n	%
Condom use in first sexual relation		
Yes	439	71.4
No	176	28.0
Condom use in most recent sexual relation		
Yes	381	61.4
No	240	38.6

Table 3

Adjusted multiple logistic regression for variables associated with condom use in sexual initiation among undergraduate university students. Criciúma, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, 2006.

Variable	Adjusted OR	95%CI
Sexual initiation since undergraduate enrollment		
No	1.01	0.99-1.045
Yes (reference)	1.00	-
Self-reported candidiasis		
Yes	049	0.31-0.79
No (reference)	1.00	-

Table 4

Adjusted multiple logistic regression for variables associated with condom use in most recent sexual relation among undergraduate university students. Criciúma, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, 2006

Variable	Adjusted OR	95%CI
Marital status		
Single	2.89	1.60-5.32
Married (or in stable union)	1.00	-
Self-reported candidiasis		
Yes	0.39	0.24-0.65
No	1.00	-
Children		
Yes	0.60	0.30-1.20
No	1.00	-
Current partner from health field		
Yes	0.50	0.34-0.75
No	1.00	-
Course/Area		
Health	1.15	0.78-1.69
Non-health	1.00	-

Findings from a survey by the Brazilian Ministry of Health in 2000 differed from ours in relation to condom use prevalence in all sexual relations. Only 23.9% of the sexually active population reported using condoms in all sexual relations 12. However, we infer that condom use has increased over the years due to greater knowledge of STD transmission and prevention, especially concerning HIV, and increased knowledge of contraceptive methods.

Our study did not show any statistically significant result in terms of whether the students were enrolled in health-related versus nonhealth-related courses and condom use in all sexual relations (OR = 1.25; 95%CI: 0.78-1.69). This finding could refute the hypothesis that students in health-related courses know more about STDs and would thus know how to prevent them, or it could also mean that students from health-related fields select their partners differently from the average population and that this acts as a form of presumed prevention, despite not using condoms more than the other university students. Prevalence of condom use in the overall sample was 71.4% in the first sexual intercourse and 61.4% in the most recent. Since condom use thus declined by 10%, some explanations might be suggested: the first partner becomes a steady partner, use of other contraceptive methods, alcohol use before sexual relations, not having a condom available at the time of intercourse, and others. According to a Brazilian youth survey (19-24 years), condoms are used in 80% of relations with casual partners and 49% with steady partners 9. Another Brazilian survey showed similar findings to ours: 57.3% of youth (15-24 years) used condoms in their last intercourse, 58.5% always used condoms with casual partners, and 38.8% used them with their steady partners 13.

A study of adolescents in three Brazilian State capitals showed that the factors associated with condom use in the most recent intercourse were: having more schooling than one's mother, late sexual initiation, stable partner, and condom use in first sexual intercourse 6. While in our study the significant factors were single marital status, self-reported candidiasis, and partner enrolled in a health-related course, we did not investigate maternal schooling, nor did we find any association between age at sexual initiation and condom use.

According to another study of adolescents in the city of São Paulo, factors associated with condom use in all sexual relations were male gender plus lower socioeconomic status 14. This finding, which contradicts various studies, may mean that adolescent girls have little power to negoti-

ate condom use with their partners and thus use other contraceptive methods, or there may have been an interpretation bias, given that condoms are a contraceptive method used by males, and higher class youth may be using a more effective method to protect against pregnancy, since they have more access to modern contraceptive methods.

In a study of males, factors associated with condom use were: having a steady female partner and greater HIV risk perception 15. According to a survey of women, condom use in the most recent sexual relation was positively associated with younger age, more schooling, non-white color, single marital status, and more sex partners in the previous three months 6.

According to our findings, genital candidiasis was protective for condom use in the first and most recent sexual relations, thus positively influencing the use of male condoms. A study by Cordeiro et al. 16 in 2003 found the opposite, i.e., condom use as a risk for developing recurrent vulvovaginitis (OR = 2.62; 95%CI: 0.58-11.89), supposing that the lubricant or latex could cause allergic reactions.

Concluding, our study showed that single marital status and having a partner from the health field were protective factors for condom use in the most recent sexual intercourse. Genital candidiasis had a positive influence on condom use in both the first and most recent sexual relations. Given that candidiasis was reported almost exclusively by women, and since female students comprised the majority of the sample, one could speculate that the female interviewees play an important decision-making role in condom use, whether to protect themselves or their partners. On the other hand, we cannot infer whether this finding is true for asymptomatic women, and one cannot rule out the possibility of the male partner himself demanding condom use when he is aware that his partner has candidiasis.

Only 55.4% of the sample had received orientation on STDs and condom use in their undergraduate courses. Neither enrollment in a healthrelated course nor the Ministry of Health campaigns showed any significant impact on condom use in this sample of university students.

It is hoped that the data provided here will help orient future campaigns by the Ministry of Health and encourage universities to include STD education and prevention in all their courses.

Resumo

Este estudo verificou a freqüência e fatores associados ao uso de preservativos em universitários, por meio de estudo transversal com 633 estudantes, em 2006. Para avaliar associação foi utilizado o modelo de regressão logística em nível de 5% de significância. A prevalência do uso de preservativos foi de 60%. Ter candidíase foi um fator de proteção tanto para uso de preservativos na primeira (OR = 0,49; IC95%: 0,31-0,79) quanto na última relação sexual (OR = 0,39; IC95%: 0,24-0,65). O uso de preservativos na última relação sexual foi associado à relação marital não-estável (OR = 2,89; IC95%: 1,60-5,23) e parceiro(a) pertencer à área da saúde (OR = 0,50; IC95%: 0,34-0,75). Concluindo, a freqüência do uso de preservativos, em todas as relações sexuais, entre os estudantes universitários é alta. A relação marital não-estável e possuir parceiro do curso da área da saúde relacionaram-se positivamente ao uso de preservativos durante a última relação sexual. Relato de ter candidíase genital demonstrou proteção para uso de preservativos no início da atividade sexual e na última relação sexual. Pertencer à área da saúde não influenciou significativamente o uso de preservativos masculinos.

Candidíase; Preservativos; Comportamento Sexual

Contributors

L. C. Costa participated in the literature review, data collection, and discussion of the article's results. M. I. Rosa contributed with the supervision, statistical analysis, and discussion of the study. I. D. E. Battistti collaborated in the field research, statistical analysis, and writing of the manuscript.

References

- 1. Godin G, Gagnon H, Lambert LD, Conner M. Determinants of condom use among a random sample of single heterosexual adults. Br J Health Psychol 2005; 10(Pt 1):85-100.
- Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS/ World Health Organization. AIDS epidemic update: December 2006. http://www.unaids.org/ en/KnowledgeCentre/HIVData/EpiUpdate/Epi UpdArchive/2006/default.asp (accessed on 06/ Jun/2007).
- Ministério da Saúde. Boletim Epidemiológico AIDS/DST 2007; IV(1).
- 4. Leoni AF, Martelloto GI, Jakob E, Cohen JE, Aranega CI. Conductas sexuales y riesgo de infecciones de transmisión sexual en estudiantes de medicina de la Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, DST I Bras Doencas Sex Transm 2005: 17:93-8.
- Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. Determinación del tamaño de las muestras en los estudios sanitarios: manual práctico. Geneva: Organización Mundial de la Salud; 1991.
- Teixeira AMFB, Knauth DR, Fachel JMG, Leal AF. Adolescentes e uso de preservativos: as escolhas dos jovens de três capitais brasileiras na iniciação e na última relação sexual. Cad Saúde Pública 2006; 22:1385-96.
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd Ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 2000.
- Berquó ES, organizador. Comportamento sexual da população brasileira e percepções do HIV/ AIDS. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2000.
- Calazans G, Araujo TW, Venturi G, França Junior I. Factors associated with condom use among youth aged 15-24 years in Brazil in 2003. AIDS 2005; 19 Suppl 4:S42-50.

- 10. Paiva V, Venturi G, França Junior I, Lopes F. Condom use: a national survey MS/IBOPE, Brazil 2003. $http://www.aids.gov.br\ (accessed\ on\ 11/Jul/2007).$
- 11. Silveira MF, Santos IS, Béria JU, Horta BL, Tomasi E, Victora CG. Factors associated with condom use in women from an urban area in southern Brazil. Cad Saúde Pública 2005; 21:1557-64.
- 12. Ministério da Saúde/Centro Brasileiro de Análise e Planejamento. Comportamento sexual da população brasileira e percepções do HIV/AIDS. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2000. (Série Avaliação, 4).
- 13. Szwarcwald CL, Barbosa-Júnior A, Pascom AR, Souza-Júnior PR. Knowledge, practices and behaviours related to HIV transmission among the Brazilian population in the 15-54 year age group, 2004. AIDS 2005; 19 Suppl 4:S51-8.
- 14. Martins LBM, Costa-Paiva LHS, Osis MJD, Sousa MH, Pinto-Neto AM, Tadini V. Fatores associados ao uso de preservativo masculino e ao conhecimento sobre DST/AIDS em adolescentes de escolas públicas e privadas do Município de São Paulo, Brasil. Cad Saúde Pública 2006; 22:315-23.
- 15. Vieira EM, Villela WV, Réa MF, Fernandes MEL, Franco E, Ribeiro G. Alguns aspectos do comportamento sexual e prática de sexo seguro em homens do Município de São Paulo. Cad Saúde Pública 2000; 16:997-1009.
- 16. Cordeiro SN, Turato ER, Vicentini RMR, Gonçalves AKS, Giraldo PC. Hábitos de higiene e sexuais de mulheres com vulvovaginites recorrentes. DST J Bras Sex Transm 2003; 15:15-9.

Submitted on 18/Oct/2007 Final version resubmitted on 26/Feb/2008 Approved on 25/Mar/2008