ABCD Arq Bras Cir Dig 2013;26(2):140-143

GOSSYPIBOMA AFTER ABDOMINAL SURGERY IS A CHALLENGING CLINICAL PROBLEM AND A SERIOUS MEDICOLEGAL ISSUE

Gossipiboma após operação abdominal é situação clínica desafiadora e sério problema médico legal

Silvana Marques e SILVA, João Batista de SOUSA

From the Post-Graduate Program in Health Sciences, Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre and Surgical Oncology Service, Hospital Santa Rita of Santa Casa de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS Brazil ABSTRACT - Introduction - The term "gossypiboma" refers to a textile matrix surrounded by foreign body reaction. Gauze and surgical dressings are the most commonly retained materials after laparotomy. **Aim** - To evaluate the incidence of abdominal gossypiboma, its causes and the preventive measures to reduce the frequence and morbimortality Method - Was conducted a literature review in Medline/Pubmed in english. The survey was about the last 10 years, selecting the headings: gossypiboma, textiloma, retained foreign body and abdominal surgery. Thirty articles were considered in this review. **Results** - The incidence of gossypiboma is underreported, mostly due to the legal implications of their detection but also because many patients remain asymptomatic. Occur in 1/1000 to 1/1500 of intraabdominal operations. Clinical presentation is variable, and depends on the location of the foreign body and on the type of inflammatory reaction presented by the host. The recommended course of treatment is excision, which can be accomplished endoscopically, laparoscopically, or via the open route, and seeks to prevent the complications that lead to a high mortality rate. The most important approach is prevention. Preventive measures required include exploration of the abdominal cavity at the end of the procedure, use of textiles with radiopaque markers and a meticulous account of surgical materials. Conclusion - Gossypiboma is a former medical-legal problem, whose incidence is apparently increasing. Therefore needs to be revised to take preventive measures in the operating room.

HEADINGS - Gossypiboma. Textiloma. Retained foreign body. Abdominal surgery

Correspondence:

Silvana Marques e Silva e-mail: silvismarques@yahoo.com.br

Financial source: none Conflicts of interest: none

Received for publication: 11/01/2012 Accepted for publication: 27/11/2012

DESCRITORES - Gossipiboma. Textiloma. Corpo estranho retido. Cirurgia abdominal

RESUMO - Introdução - O termo gossipiboma refere-se à matriz que contém material têxtil e à reação tecidual formada ao redor deste corpo estranho. As gazes e as compressas cirúrgicas são os materiais mais frequentemente retidos após laparotomias. **Objetivo** - Estudar a incidência e as causas de gossipiboma abdominal, além das medidas preventivas para reduzir a sua frequência e morbimortalidade. *Método* - Foi realizada revisão da literatura na língua inglesa no Medline / Pubmed. A pesquisa envolveu os últimos 10 anos, selecionando os seguintes descritores - gossipiboma, textiloma, corpo estranho retido e cirurgia abdominal. Trinta artigos foram considerados para a revisão. Resultados - A incidência é subestimada, principalmente pelas implicações legais decorrentes de tal achado, mas também porque muitos pacientes permanecem assintomáticos. Ocorrem em 1/1000 a 1/1500 operações abdominais. A apresentação clínica é variável e depende da localização do corpo estranho e do tipo de reação inflamatória apresentado pelo hospedeiro. A migração transmural é rara. O tratamento recomendado é a excisão, realizado por via endoscópica, laparoscópica ou por laparotomia, com o objetivo de evitar as complicações que podem atingir alta mortalidade. A abordagem mais importante é a prevenção. As medidas preventivas incluem o uso de material têxtil com marcadores radiopacos, exploração detalhada da cavidade abdominal ao final do procedimento operatório e contagem meticulosa do material cirúrgico. Conclusão - Gossipiboma é problema médico-legal antigo, cuja incidência aparentemente está aumentando e que precisa ser reabordado para que medidas preventivas efetivas sejam adotadas na sala de operação.

INTRODUCTION

ife expectancy worldwide has increased greatly in recent decades, causing aging population. This process is due to several factors such as the increase in the fertility rate prevalent in the past compared to the present, reducing child mortality, implementation of government policies to support the elderly, improvements in working conditions, access to public health services and best quality of life. Also contributes to this picture the improvement of social conditions and incessant development of technological

and medical knowledge.

The Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics showed population growth which changed the pyramid age and Brazil, leading to important social, political and sanitary changes². Senescence, by itself, results in the onset of chronic diseases that can affect the quality and functionality in life in the elderly. For example, they are more often candidates for surgical procedures, either in emergency or elective.

With an aging population, according to the National Cancer Institute, the Program EUROCARE-3 and International Society for Geriatric Oncology, the prevalence of cancer reaches endemic proportions, with about half of cancers diagnosed in elderly patients^{3,4,5}

Within the context of cancer treatment, liver resection is the treatment of choice for many primary and secondary diseases of the liver. Most studies in the elderly undergoing this procedure reported resection of primary and secondary liver tumors, especially hepatocellular carcinoma and colorectal metastatic cancer⁶. However, it was observed in the last two decades that hepatectomy become secure and also performed in the older population, implying paradigm shift in the approach of these patients⁷.

This paper intends to provide information on hepatectomy in elderly patients with the goal of surgical decision evaluating morbidity and improvement in quality of life in this age group.

METHOD

Literature review was conducted through the websites of PubMed, SciELO and Bireme with the keywords "elderly", "hepatectomy", "hepatic resection," "postoperative complications", "morbidity", "mortality". Were selected studies that compared the results of hepatectomy between young and elderly patients.

Hepatobiliary tumors

Hepatobiliary malignancies have their peak incidence between the sixth and eighth decades of life, most prevalent over 65 years⁸.

Surgical resection is the only potentially curative treatment for most primary and secondary hepatobiliary malignancies, when resectable and limited. Moreover, population aging, associated with the peak incidence of primary and secondary malignant tumors of the liver in the age group 65-85 years, has resulted in dramatic increase in the number of elderly patients undergoing liver resection. Unfortunately, many doctors consider that old age is a contraindication to curative surgical treatment, both high risk and limited expectancy of life. Often, the operation is not offered on the basis that patients are not referred for hepatobiliary surgeons and thus suffer the consequences of inadequate treatment⁹.

One argument used improperly for the definition of inadequate treatment is the bias of age, with

the justification that these patients have lower life expectancy compared to younger age⁹. However, life expectancy in Brazil, according to IBGE in 2008, to a person of 60 years of age is expected more 21.3 years. This argument alone can justify that elderly patients with hepatobiliary malignancies should have appropriate treatment while their disease is limited and the coexisting comorbidities are controlled.

Worldwide, approximately 427,000 people die from hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC); is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in the world¹⁰. The peak incidence around the sixth decade of life, combined with an aging population, results in an increase of the elderly population with HCC considered for liver resection. Justification, as observed in experimental models, is that, with advancing age, there is more DNA damage by oxidative stress and action of carcinogenic agents, leading thus to the neoplastic changes¹¹.

In some series, it was found that more than half of patients with HCC has over 65 years of age, and of these, more than 80% suffer from cirrhosis. The observed survival of elderly patients is lower than that of younger patients, usually by the fact that these patients have their later diagnosis or are treated inadequately^{12.13}. However, when comparing the same stages in resected patients, survival is similar between groups, ranging between 18 and 76% in five years^{9,14}.

Among the predictors of worse survival in the elderly population, as well as in younger patients, is cirrhosis and Child classification B/C⁸. Another peculiarity that was observed in aged patients, is a higher rate of infection by the hepatitis C virus and low hepatitis B. Also, there is a higher proportion of women, suggesting different hepatocarcinogenesis in elder¹⁵.

For the treatment of HCC is the non-surgical treatment modalities, generally palliative, such as radiofrequency, alcoholism and quimioembolization¹⁶. Only transplantation and liver resection has curative intent. The surgery is restricted to patients with or without cirrhosis or cirrhosis with Child-Pugh A without portal hypertension. In Western countries it is less than 5% the recurrence rate after resection⁹.

Several studies have examined the outcome of hepatic resection in patients young and old. Even with older patients having more comorbidities, were not observed impact on the rates of postoperative complications in the overall analysis of the studies. Mortality data also vary in the literature, with higher rates of complications in studies of decades ago^{17,18,19,20} and lower in more recent ones, due to better technical quality and skills improvement of surgeons⁹. Several studies considered resection of HCC safe in elderly patients with controlled comorbidities^{1,9,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31}.

Even with expected lower life expectancy in selected elderly patients the five-year survival after resection of HCC was 28-58%, equivalent to that of younger ones. These data justify extensive liver resection in patients of this age with CHC 9.21,22,27,28,29,31

Colorectal metastases

Approximately 437,000 people die each year from colorectal cancer in the world, placing it as the third leading cause of cancer death. About 80% of colorectal tumors are diagnosed in patients between 65 and 85 years old^{5.9}, but it is important to note that, even with the increasing prevalence of colorectal neoplasia in elderly patients, the survival rate in this age group has not grown, explained by the fact that older people underwent curative surgical treatment of the primary tumor and its metastases less frequently³³.

The liver is the organ most frequently affected by distant metastases of colorectal neoplasia. The synchronic types are present in about 20% and metachronous colorectal cancer in approximately 20-50%^{4,34}. It is known that liver resection is the only cure therapeutic option for patients with isolated hepatic metastases^{9,34,35}. However, studies have shown that the rate of resection of liver metastases of colorectal tumors is 8 to 20% in patients with more age^{34,35,36,37}. This justification becomes valid, because when weighing them against the benefits of surgical resection of liver metastases against the potential risks of surgery, many doctors are still reluctant to indicate surgical treatment in the elderly. While this approach is not based on scientific evidence, it is likely that concerns about morbidity and postoperative mortality in the elderly may influence the decision of not to offer the operation to them34.

Recent improvements in techniques of liver resection and the anesthetic interventions have greatly improved the results. The postoperative mortality has fallen in recent decades, and today, perioperative mortality varies between 0 and 11% for resections of colon and liver metastasis combined. Still, neoadjuvant chemotherapy is used with the intention of improving disease-free survival after hepatic resection, and also provide patients with unresectable initial operation with curative potential³⁴.

Resection of liver metastases of colorectal cancer in elderly patients has been shown to be safe, with similar mortality in the non-elderly and elderly ^{1,7,35,38,3} ^{9,40,41,42,43,44,45,46, 47,48,49}

The five-year survival after liver resection reported by several studies varied from 28 to 58%, with median survival 16-46 months, similar to younger patients. Several recent studies have revealed that age by itself is not a risk factor for the outcome. The findings suggest that individuals with liver metastasis from colorectal cancer benefit from the same degree as younger patients. Moreover, hepatic resection for metastatic colorectal tumor should be the preferred option in elderly patients with comorbidities controlled ^{1,35,38,38,39},40,41,43,45,48,49</sup>

Tumors of the biliary hilum

The tumor of the biliary hilum (Klatskin tumor) is

the most common cancer of the biliary tract. The peak incidence of the disease occurs in the seventh decade of life⁹. The natural history is reserved, with few reports of survivors after five years from the diagnosis⁵⁰. Although surgical treatment is difficult and unquestionable, it is limited to specialized centers where radical resection is the only potential chance of cure.

Due to the increasing proportion of elderly patients diagnosed with this tumor are important questions to be answered about the extent of resection that can be performed safely and if this treatment would increase survival. Unfortunately there is no adequate studies to assess these issues in relation to age. There are only two publications in the literature suggesting that the five-year survival and median survival is similar in patients young and old. Despite the absence of other comparative studies of age, survival data of these two small studies showed that elderly patients may benefit from extensive liver resection as well as young people, since well selected^{51,52}.

Other indications for hepatectomy

In the literature there are also series comparing resection of metastases from colorectal and other tumors, benign hepatic cysts, hemangiomas, focal nodular hyperplasia, hepatocellular adenoma and hepatolithiasis between young and elderly patients. It was ascertained that the endpoint following the procedure in terms of morbidity, mortality and survival is similar in young as compared to elderly^{7,10,41,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60}. However, these data are inserted into larger papers where the frequency of hepatocellular carcinoma, hilar bile duct tumors and metastasis of colorectal neoplasms stand out; it is not possible to compare separately the results of surgery in these diseases in the elderly.

Security of hepatectomy

It was observed that with the hepatectomy was obtained mortality reduction in recent years. In 1970, it was 20%, and presently is less than 5% ^{6.61}.

At the same time, there have been advances in perioperative care. There was an improvement in the selection and preoperative preparation of patients, advances in anesthetic and intensive care postoperatively. There was better understanding of hepatic segmental anatomy and innovations in devices for transection of the liver, surgical technique more refined and more accurate control of hemostasis. These facts have improved the safety of operations. Innovations have allowed the expansion and constant modification of the eligibility criteria for hepatectomy including patients with associated chronic liver disease, especially the elderly⁴⁵.

Several studies have shown that liver resections are increasingly performed in elderly patients, with encouraging results. However, comparative studies that specifically examine the role of age on the outcome

of liver resection in young and elderly subjects are still uncommon in the literature in relationship to the growing number of procedures performed in elder⁷. Previous studies in Europe, North America and Asia have shown that there is safety in hepatectomy in elderly patients with morbidity and mortality ranging between 9-52.5% and 0-11%, respectively.

CONCLUSION

Age did not influence morbidity, in-hospital mortality and survival of patients undergoing hepatectomy, since they are well selected. This procedure should be encouraged in older patients, due to the increasing number of individuals candidates for surgical treatment of their liver diseases.

REFERENCES

- Akbulut S, Arikanoglu Z, Yagmur Y, Basbug M. Gossypibomas mimicking a splenic hydatid cyst and ileal tumor: a case report and literature review. J Gastrointest Surg. 2011 Nov;15(11):2101-7.
- Alis H, Soylu A, Dolay K, Kalayci M, Ciltas A. Surgical intervention may not always be required in gossypiboma with intraluminal migration. World J Gastroenterol. 2007 Dec 28;13(48):6605-7.
- 3. Aminian A. Gossypiboma: a case report. Cases J. 2008;1(1):220.
- 4. Bani-Hani KE, Gharaibeh KA, Yaghan RJ. Retained surgical sponges (gossypiboma). Asian J Surg. 2005 Apr;28(2):109-15.
- Choi JW, Lee CH, Kim KA, Park CM, Kim JY. Transmural migration of surgical sponge evacuated by defecation: mimicking an intraperitoneal gossypiboma. Korean J Radiol. 2006 Jul-Sep;7(3):212-4.
- Dhillon JS, Park A. Transmural migration of a retained laparotomy sponge. Am Surg. 2002 Jul;68(7):603-5.
- Gawande AA, Studdert DM, Orav EJ, Brennan TA, Zinner MJ. Risk factors for retained instruments and sponges after surgery. N Engl J Med. 2003 Jan 16;348(3):229-35.
- 8. Gencosmanoglu R, Inceoglu R. An unusual cause of small bowel obstruction: gossypiboma--case report. BMC Surg. 2003;3:6.
- Gibbs VC, Coakley FD, Reines HD. Preventable errors in the operating room: retained foreign bodies after surgery--Part I. Curr Probl Surg. 2007 May;44(5):281-337.
- 10. Grassi N, Cipolla C, Torcivia A, Bottino A, Fiorentino E, Ficano L, et al. Trans-visceral migration of retained surgical gauze as a cause of intestinal obstruction: a case report. J Med Case Rep. 2008;2:17.
- 11. Gumus M, Gumus H, Kapan M, Onder A, Tekbas G, Bac B. A serious medicolegal problem after surgery: gossypiboma. Am J Forensic Med Pathol. 2012 Mar;33(1):54-7.
- 12. Kansakar R, Hamal BK. Cystoscopic removal of an intravesical gossypiboma mimicking a bladder mass: a case report. J Med Case Rep. 2011;5(1):579.

- 13.Kim CK, Park BK, Ha H. Gossypiboma in abdomen and pelvis: MRI findings in four patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2007 Oct;189(4):814-7.
- 14. Klaric Custovic R, Krolo I, Marotti M, Babic N, Karapanda N. Retained surgical textilomas occur more often during war. Croat Med J. 2004 Aug;45(4):422-6.
- 15. Lata I, Kapoor D, Sahu S. Gossypiboma, a rare cause of acute abdomen: A case report and review of literature. Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci. 2011 Jul;1(2):157-60.
- 16. Lincourt AE, Harrell A, Cristiano J, Sechrist C, Kercher K, Heniford BT. Retained foreign bodies after surgery. J Surg Res. 2007 Apr;138(2):170-4.
- 17. Manikyam SR, Gupta V, Gupta R, Gupta NM. Retained surgical sponge presenting as a gastric outlet obstruction and duodenoileo-colic fistula: report of a case. Surg Today. 2002;32(5):426-8.
- 18. Manzella A, Filho PB, Albuquerque E, Farias F, Kaercher J. Imaging of gossypibomas: pictorial review. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009 Dec;193(6 Suppl):S94-101.
- O'Connor AR, Coakley FV, Meng MV, Eberhardt SC. Imaging of retained surgical sponges in the abdomen and pelvis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003 Feb;180(2):481-9.
- Ozyer U, Boyvat F. Imaging of a retained laparotomy towel that migrated into the colon lumen. Indian J Radiol Imaging. 2009 Jul-Sep;19(3):219-21.
- 21. Rajagopal A, Martin J. Gossypiboma--"a surgeon's legacy": report of a case and review of the literature. Dis Colon Rectum. 2002 Jan;45(1):119-20.
- 22. Rajput A, Loud PA, Gibbs JF, Kraybill WG. Diagnostic challenges in patients with tumors: case 1. Gossypiboma (foreign body) manifesting 30 years after laparotomy. J Clin Oncol. 2003 Oct 1;21(19):3700-1.
- 23. Sakorafas GH, Sampanis D, Lappas C, Papantoni E, Christodoulou S, Mastoraki A, et al. Retained surgical sponges: what the practicing clinician should know. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2010 Nov;395(8):1001-7.
- Sarda AK, Pandey D, Neogi S, Dhir U. Postoperative complications due to a retained surgical sponge. Singapore Med J. 2007 Jun;48(6):e160-4.
- 25. Shyung LR, Chang WH, Lin SC, Shih SC, Kao CR, Chou SY. Report of gossypiboma from the standpoint in medicine and law. World J Gastroenterol. 2005 Feb 28;11(8):1248-9.
- 26.Singh R, Mathur RK, Patidar S, Tapkire R. Gossypiboma: its laparoscopic diagnosis and removal. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2004 Oct;14(5):304-5.
- 27. Sinha SK, Udawat HP, Yadav TD, Lal A, Rana SS, Bhasin DK. Gossypiboma diagnosed by upper-GI endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc. 2007 Feb;65(2):347-9.
- 28. Sun HS, Chen SL, Kuo CC, Wang SC, Kao YL. Gossypiboma--retained surgical sponge. J Chin Med Assoc. 2007 Nov;70(11):511-3.
- 29. Wan W, Le T, Riskin L, Macario A. Improving safety in the operating room: a systematic literature review of retained surgical sponges. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2009 Apr;22(2):207-14.
- 30.Yildirim S, Tarim A, Nursal TZ, Yildirim T, Caliskan K, Torer N, et al. Retained surgical sponge (gossypiboma) after intraabdominal or retroperitoneal surgery: 14 cases treated at a single center. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2006 Aug;391(4):390-5.