

Application of a Purge-and-Trap System for Fixed-NH₄⁺ Determination by Matrix Interference Free Ion Chromatography in Oil Reservoir Rocks

Fernanda V. M. Pontes,^a Manuel C. Carneiro,^a Delmo S. Vaitzman,^b
Maria Inês C. Monteiro,^{*a} Lílian I. D. da Silva^a and Arnaldo A. Neto^a

^aCoordenação de Análises Mineralis, Centro de Tecnologia Mineral (CETEM), Av. Pedro Calmon, 900, Cidade Universitária, 21941-908 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

^bDepartamento de Química Analítica, Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Av. Athos da Silveira Ramos, 149, Cidade Universitária, 21941-909 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

É proposto um método para determinação de NH₄⁺-fixo utilizando um sistema de arraste imerso em um banho ultrassônico para extração de NH₃ e cromatografia de íons para determinação de NH₄⁺. O método é mais rápido, simples e sensível (LOD = 4,4 µg g⁻¹ NH₄⁺) que o método clássico Kjeldahl.

A method for fixed-NH₄⁺ determination using a system immersed into an ultrasonic bath for NH₃ extraction and ion chromatography for NH₄⁺ determination is proposed. The method is faster, simpler and more sensible (LOD = 4.4 µg g⁻¹ NH₄⁺) than the classical Kjeldahl method.

Keywords: fixed-ammonium, sandstone, ion chromatography, ultrasound-assisted purge-and-trap system.

Introduction

The determination of fixed-NH₄⁺ in sedimentary rocks has been applied in geochemical studies for exploration purposes, especially in the oil industry.¹⁻⁸ The studies are based on a conceptual model of NH₄⁺ fixation in oil source rocks during the burial diagenesis. This model explains why larger relative quantities of NH₄⁺ are fixed in transformed authigenic clays (*e.g.* illite, illite-smectite or illite-smectite-vermiculite), in sandstones and is generally consistent with depth-diagenesis schemes for clay minerals and generation of hydrocarbons from the thermal maturation of organic matter. Relative changes in fixed-NH₄⁺ concentrations serve as indicators of hydrocarbons formation or may indicate their pathways during the migration from source beds to reservoirs.^{2,4}

The wet analytical methods for fixed-NH₄⁺ determination have been carried out in three steps: (i) previous removal of inorganic exchangeable-N with an extractant (*e.g.*, 2 mol L⁻¹ KCl), followed by the organic-N removal with an oxidant reagent (*e.g.*, K₂O₂ or NaOCl)^{2,4,9} or removal of exchangeable-N and organic nitrogen species with

1 mol L⁻¹ KOH; (ii) release fixed-NH₄⁺ by destruction of the silicates with a HF and HCl mixture^{1,10} and (iii) Kjeldahl steam distillation.^{2,4,9,10} The ammonium concentration in the solution obtained after the distillation has been determined by titrimetric,^{2,4,10} or colorimetric methods.¹¹ A limit of detection (LOD) of 56 mg kg⁻¹ for fixed-NH₄⁺ determination in sedimentary rocks was reported.²

A simplified purge-and-trap system for NH₃ extraction was recently used for total nitrogen determination.¹² This system presented many advantages, among them, the matrix interference elimination, mainly Na⁺, which was necessary for NH₄⁺ determination by ion chromatography. In the present work, this system was proposed for fixed-NH₄⁺ determination. All results were compared with those obtained with the classical Kjeldahl method. Sandstones from Brazilian oil reservoirs with different fixed-ammonium were analyzed.

Experimental

Apparatus and operating conditions

An US-bath model USC-1400 from Unique (Indaiatuba, SP, Brazil) with a power of 120 W, frequency

*e-mail: mmonteiro@cetem.gov.br

of 40 kHz and volume of 3 L to convert the released-NH₄⁺ into NH₃ vapor was used. A water-bath model 304-149 from Quimis Aparelhos Científicos (Diadema, SP, Brazil) was used to remove exchangeable-NH₄⁺ and organic-N from the samples by the proposed and comparative methods. A CELM centrifuge model LS-3 (Barueri, SP, Brazil) with 3200 rpm of speed was used. A Metrohm chromatography system (Herisau, Switzerland) with a dialysis membrane model 788, a vacuum degasser model 837 and a conductivity detector model 819 were used for NH₄⁺ determination. Integrated areas were used to quantify ion signals. Ammonium separation was carried out in a METROSEP C2 250/4.0 column (Herisau, Switzerland) with 7.0 μm particle diameter. The flow-rate of the eluent (4.0 mmol L⁻¹ tartaric acid/0.75 mmol L⁻¹ 2,6-pyridinecarboxylic acid) was kept at 1.0 mL min⁻¹; the column temperature was 35 °C and the running time was 15 min. The sample loop volume was 20 μL. The mineralogical characterization of all samples was carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD, powder method) using a Bruker diffractometer model AXS D5005 equipped with Goeble mirror. Working conditions were: CoK_α monochromatic radiation, 40 mA and 35 kV. Samples were run at a speed of 0.02 degree s⁻¹. Qualitative mineralogical determination was estimated with Diffrac^{Plus} software.

Reagents, standards and samples

All chemicals used were of analytical grade. The water was purified with a reverse osmosis system model Elix 5 and deionized Milli-Q from Millipore Indústria e Comércio Ltda. (Barueri, SP, Brazil). The following solutions were used: 1 mol L⁻¹ KOH, 7.5 mol L⁻¹ HF, 1 mol L⁻¹ HCl, 0.1 mol L⁻¹ HCl and 1000 mg L⁻¹ NH₄⁺ (NH₄Cl) stock standard solution. The intermediate standard solutions were daily prepared. Sandstones from Brazilian oil reservoirs with different fixed-NH₄⁺ concentrations were analyzed.

Removal of exchangeable-N and organic-N

An aliquot of 25 mL of 1.0 mol L⁻¹ KOH was added to a 50 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube containing 0.2 g of sample, pre-treated as Pontes *et al.*¹² described. The mixture was then heated at 80 °C for 8 h in a water-bath. After the mixture reached room temperature, the phases were separated by centrifugation. The supernatant was discarded, and the residue was washed three times with 5 mL of 1 mol L⁻¹ KOH and finally with water. This procedure was adopted for the comparative and proposed methods.

Release of fixed-NH₄⁺

An aliquot of 10 mL of a mixture containing 7.5 mol L⁻¹ HF and 1.0 mol L⁻¹ HCl was added to the polypropylene centrifuge tube containing the residue. The mixture was heated in a water-bath at 80 °C for 12-16 h, until it was almost dry. This procedure was adopted for both comparative and proposed methods.

Released-NH₄⁺ determination by the comparative method

After the mixture has reached the room temperature, it was transferred to a polypropylene distillation tube of the Kjeldahl system. Then, NH₃ was distilled, collected as NH₄⁺ and determined by the indophenol colorimetric method.¹²

Ammonia extraction by the US-assisted purge-and-trap system (proposed method)

The flow diagram for the NH₃ extraction by US-assistance was similar to that showed by Pontes *et al.*¹² with the following modifications: all tubes were made of Teflon and the manifold were of polypropylene; the dimensions of the reaction tube and the NH₃ collection tube were 2.7 cm i.d. and 12 cm length; the dimensions of the U tube were 0.3 cm i.d. and 13 cm length; the manifold (0.6 cm i.d.) had six tips to connect six sets and one more tip to connect the vacuum pump. The polypropylene tube containing the released-NH₄⁺ in solution was connected to the U tube, and then the procedure was the same as Pontes *et al.*¹² described.

Results and Discussion

Analytical results

The working concentration of NH₄⁺ was in the range of 100 to 800 μg L⁻¹; the linear regression of the analytical curve gave a slope of 0.1863 mV s L μg⁻¹ and the correlation coefficient of the calibration curve was higher than 0.99. The blank measurement was 13 ± 2 μg L⁻¹ NH₄⁺ (n = 10). The LOD was 4.4 μg g⁻¹ NH₄⁺. It was calculated from the equation LOD = 3S_{BL}/b, where S_{BL} was the standard deviation of ten blank concentration measurements and b was the slope of the calibration curve. The relative standard deviations (RSD) were lower than 11% (n = 3). Good agreement was found between the results of fixed-NH₄⁺ obtained by the proposed method and those obtained by the classical Kjeldahl method (Table 1). The sample diffractograms (not shown) indicated the

Table 1. Concentrations ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) of the fixed-NH₄⁺ in sandstone samples obtained by the classical and proposed methods (n = 3)

Sandstone sample	Method	
	Classical	Proposed
1	378 ± 14	365 ± 9
2	86 ± 6	75 ± 7
3	127 ± 14	108 ± 11
4	519 ± 43	492 ± 55
5	447 ± 12	431 ± 39

presence of illite, which probably was responsible by the NH₄⁺ fixation.

Conclusion

The simplified method for ammonia extraction is faster and simpler than the classical Kjeldahl steam distillation method. The limit of detection (LOD) was $4.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{NH}_4^+$. The relative standard deviations (RSD) were lower than 11% (n = 3).

References

1. Stevenson, F. J.; *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1962**, *26*, 797.
2. Williams, L. B.; Ferrell, Jr. R. E.; Chinn, E. W., Sassen, R.; *Appl. Geochem.* **1989**, *4*, 605.
3. Bradley, A. D.; Vickers, B. P.; Peachey D.; *Appl. Geochem.* **1990**, *5*, 471.
4. Williams, L. B.; Wilcoxon, B. R.; Ferrell, R.E. Sassen, R.; *Appl. Geochem.* **1992**, *7*, 123.
5. Lindgreen, H.; *Clay Miner.* **1994**, *29*, 527.
6. Drita, V. A.; Lindgreen, H.; Salyn, A. L.; *Am. Mineral.* **1997**, *82*, 79.
7. Boyd, S. R.; *Chem. Geol.* **1997**, *137*, 57.
8. Holloway, J.M.; Dahlgren, R. A.; Casey, W. H.; *Chem. Geol.* **2001**, *174*, 403.
9. Bremner, J. M. In *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Agronomy 9*; Black, C. A. ed., 1965, pp. 1149-1178.
10. Dhariwal, A. P. S.; Stevenson, F. J.; *Soil Sci.* **1958**, *86*, 343.
11. Stevenson, F. J.; *Science* **1959**, *130*, 221.
12. Pontes, F. V. M.; Carneiro, M. C.; Vaitsman, D. S.; Da Rocha, G. P.; Da Silva, L. I. D.; Neto, A. A.; Monteiro, M. I. C.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2009**, *632*, 284.

Received: March 6, 2009

Web Release Date: March 4, 2010