J. Braz. Chem. Soc., Vol. 26, No. 10, 1988-1993, 2015. Printed in Brazil - ©2015 Sociedade Brasileira de Química 0103 - 5053 \$6.00+0.00

Determination of Cd, Ni and V in Spices by Solid Sampling High-Resolution Continuum Source Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry

Alex Virgilio,^a Jardes F. Rêgo,^b Ariane I. Barros^b and José A. Gomes Neto^{*,b}

^aDepartamento de Química, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, CP 676, 13565-905 São Carlos-SP, Brazil

^bDepartamento de Química Analítica, Universidade Estadual Paulista "Júlio de Mesquita Filho", CP 355, 14801-970 Araraquara-SP, Brazil

This work describes a procedure for determination of Cd, Ni and V in spices by high-resolution continuum source graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (HR-CS GF AAS) using direct solid sampling (SS). The use of Pd/Mg(NO₃)₂ as modifier for Cd, and an additional air-assisted pyrolysis step allowed the use of aqueous calibration for all analytes. Accuracy was checked by analysis of certified reference materials (CRMs) and the results were in agreement to certified values at 95% confidence level (*t*-test). Eight samples of spices were analyzed by the proposed procedure and by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The found concentrations were 88-233 ng g⁻¹ Cd , 113-2842 ng g⁻¹ Ni and 154-1007 ng g⁻¹ V, with precision generally better than 12%. Results obtained by the proposed procedure were in agreement with those obtained by microwave-assisted digestion and ICP-MS determination. The limits of detection were 0.2, 18 and 7 ng g⁻¹ for Cd, Ni and V, respectively.

Keywords: direct solid sampling, high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometry, trace elements, food analysis, spices

Introduction

Spices are defined as pungent substances obtained from dried seeds, roots, barks, fruits or vegetables which are primarily used for flavoring, coloring, preserving and disguising some off-flavors in foods.^{1,2} It can be added to foods in different forms such as whole spices, ground spices, essential oils and infusions.^{3,4} Among several components, spices may contain both essential and toxic trace metals in a wide concentration range, originated from the raw material, contamination or adulteration sources.^{4,5} In order to ensure the safety and quality control of those food additives, the development of accurate and sensitive analytical methods for determination of trace elements is essential.

Among spectrometric techniques, direct solid sampling (SS) coupled to graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GF AAS) is attractive for determination of trace metals due to its high sensitivity, minimal use of hazardous and toxic reagents, least sample handling and reduced risks of contamination or analyte loss.^{6,7} More recently, SS procedures became even more versatile with high-resolution continuum source graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (HR-CS GF AAS) due to its unique features as higher sensitivity by using wavelength-integrated absorbance or summation of absorbances for different lines,⁸ multielement capability^{9,10} and superior background correction based on least-squares algorithm.^{11,12}

The SS HR-CS GF AAS has been employed for elemental analysis in a wide variety of matrices including plastic materials and polymers,¹³⁻¹⁵ carbon-based materials,^{10,16-18} plant materials,^{19,20} food samples,²¹⁻²³ and biological samples,²⁴⁻²⁶ however, this technique is still unexplored for trace element analysis in food additives.

This work reports a simple, fast and rugged procedure for determination of Cd, Ni and V in solid samples of spices by HR-CS GF AAS. The performance of the proposed procedure was checked by analyzing solid certified reference materials (CRMs) and also by comparison with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after sample digestion in a microwave oven.

^{*}e-mail: anchieta@iq.unesp.br

Experimental

Instrumentation

A ContrAA 700 high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometer (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany) was used for absorbance measurements throughout the work. This instrument consists of a xenon short-arc lamp (XBO 301, 300 W, GLE, Berlin, Germany) operating in "hot-spot" mode as a continuum radiation source, a compact high-resolution double-echelle monochromator with a resolution of about 2 pm per pixel and a charge-coupled device (CCD) array detector. The spectrometer is equipped with a transversely heated pyrolytically coated graphite atomizer without dosing hole and an automated solid sampling device (SSA 600) consisted of a pair of tweezers and a Sartorius WZ2PW micro-balance (Göttingen, Germany) with precision of 0.001 mg. Further details about the instrumentation are described elsewhere.²⁷ Solid samples were transferred to pyrolytically-coated solid sampling platforms with a stainless steel micro spatula. For calibration, analytical reference solutions were injected manually onto the platforms using micropipettes. High purity argon 99.996% (White Martins, São Paulo, SP, Brazil,) was used as purge and protective gas.

Samples were digested using an Ethos 1600 (Milestone-MLS, Sorisole, Italy) closed-vessel cavity microwave oven. An Agilent 8800 inductively coupled plasma tandem mass spectrometer (ICP-MS/MS) with a triple quadrupole (Agilent Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) was used to perform the comparative analysis. Further details about this instrument and its features can be found elsewhere.²⁸

Reagents, analytical solutions and samples

High purity de-ionized water obtained using a Millipore Rios 5[®] reverse osmosis and a Millipore Milli-Q Academic® deionizer system (resistivity 18.2 MΩ cm, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA), and nitric acid (Suprapur®, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used to prepare all solutions. Analytical standard solutions were prepared by appropriate dilutions of the 1.00 g L⁻¹ Cd, Ni and V stock standard solutions (Normex[®], Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy) in 0.1% (v/v) HNO₃. For Cd, a modifier solution containing 0.10% (m/v) $Pd(NO_3)_2 + 0.05\%$ (m/v) $Mg(NO_2)_2$ was prepared by dilution of 10 g L⁻¹ Mg(NO₂)₂ and 10 g L⁻¹ Pd(NO₃)₂ stock solutions (Merck) in 0.05% (m/v) Triton X-100 (Mallinckrodt Baker, Paris, KY, USA). For V, a 1.00 g L⁻¹ BaF₂ modifier solution was prepared by dissolving 50 mg of the salt (BaF₂ 99.9%, Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in 50 mL of water plus 0.05% (m/v) Triton X-100 (Mallinckrodt Baker). For sample digestion, concentrated nitric acid (Spectrum, Gardena, CA, USA) and 30% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide (Merck) were used.

The CRMs 1515 Apple Leaves, 1572 Citrus Leaves, 1547 Peach Leaves, 1570a Spinach Leaves and 1573a Tomato Leaves from National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) were used for optimizations, solid calibration and method validation. Spice samples of annatto, paprika, nutmeg, ginger, garlic, wasabi, saffron and cinnamon in dried and ground forms were purchased at a local market in São Carlos (SP, Brazil).

Procedures

Atomic absorption measurements were carried out at the main analytical lines at 228.802, 232.003 and 318.540 nm for Cd, Ni and V, respectively. Integrated absorbance values were normalized for 1.0 mg of sample and were obtained at least in three replicates using peak volume selected absorbance (PVSA) equivalent to 3 pixels.

Analytical curves using analyte masses of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, 10, 20 and 30 pg for Cd; 0, 50, 100, 250, 500, 750 and 1000 pg for Ni and 0, 100, 250, 500, 750 and 1000 pg V were made using both aqueous standard solutions and solid CRMs. The blank signal for each analyte was determined subjecting the empty platform through the complete temperature program, according to "zero mass" concept.⁷ For solid sample analysis by HR-CS GF AAS, sample masses (ca. 0.5 mg) were manually transferred to the solid sampling platforms, weighed and automatically introduced into the atomization chamber. The samples were analyzed using external calibration with aqueous standard solutions. For comparative analysis, digested samples were analyzed by ICP-MS/MS using the operational conditions described in Table 1.

For digestion, sample masses around 300 mg were accurately weighed and transferred to the digestion vessels followed by addition of 6 mL of 7 mol L⁻¹ HNO₃ plus 2 mL of 30% m/m H₂O₂. The microwave heating program involving power, time and temperature was composed of three steps: (*i*) an 800 W for 10 min ramp in which the temperature slowly increased up to 200 °C; (*ii*) a 1000 W for 10 min plateau at 200 °C and (*iii*) a 0 W for 15 min cooling down step. The digested samples were transferred to 50 mL polypropylene flasks and the volume was made up to 50 mL with distilled-deionized water.

Results and Discussion

Thermochemical behavior of the analytes

One of the most interesting features in SS-GF AAS is the possibility of using aqueous calibration to analyze

 Table 1. Instrumental operating conditions for ICP-MS/MS

| Parameter | Operating condition | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Scan mode | MS/MS | | |
| Nebulizer | micromist concentric nebulizer | | |
| Spray chamber | Scott type, double pass | | |
| Spray chamber temperature / °C | 2 | | |
| RF power / W | 1550 | | |
| Plasma gas flow rate / (L min ⁻¹) | 18 | | |
| Carrier gas flow rate / (L min ⁻¹) | 1.09 | | |
| Auxiliary gas flow rate / (L min ⁻¹) | 1.8 | | |
| Sample uptake flow rate / (mL min ⁻¹) | 0.3 | | |
| O_2 gas flow rate / (mL min ⁻¹) | 0.3 | | |
| Sampling depth / mm | 8 | | |
| Monitored mass / m/z | V (51); VO (67); Ni (60); NiO (76); Cd (112); CdO (128) | | |
| Replicates | 3 | | |
| Sweeps per replicate | 100 | | |
| Integration time per mass / s | 3.00 | | |
| Total analysis time <i>per</i> sample / s | 145.7 | | |
| | | | |

MS/MS: tandem mass spectrometry; RF: radio frequency.

solid samples, but the settlement of optimal pyrolysis and atomization temperatures is a major parameter to make it feasible.⁷ For volatile elements as Cd, the presence of chemical modifiers is important to provide thermal stabilization at higher pyrolysis temperatures, in order to achieve the proper matrix elimination. The universal modifier $Pd/Mg(NO_2)_2$ is well described in the literature^{29,30} for Cd determination and was evaluated in this work. For Ni and V, modifiers are generally unnecessary since they are considered refractory elements.³¹ Nevertheless, the successful use of Mg(NO₃)₂ for Ni²⁹ and BaF₂ as a chemical modifier for V is reported in the literature.^{32,33} In the latter, authors claimed that the use of fluoride ions may promote the formation of volatile compounds with V, which can contribute to reduce the carbides formation and provide a similar behavior of V vaporization in solid and aqueous media. In addition, magnesium nitrate may be used to thermally stabilize Ni and allow higher pyrolysis temperatures for matrix decomposition.²⁹ Considering those aspects and the goal of the calibration with aqueous standards, chemical modifiers for Ni and V were also studied in detail.

Despite the use of modifiers, the observed absorbances for solid samples were generally lower than those obtained using the same amount of the analytes in aqueous solutions. This occurrence may be explained by the presence of the solid matrix in the atomizer due to inadequate matrix removal. When solid samples were analyzed, a persistent carbonaceous residue in solid sample platforms is often observed after each measurement, even for a longer pyrolysis step or higher atomization and cleaning temperatures. Those residues may increase the background, cause light scattering, memory effects, and change the vaporization kinetics of the analytes. The residues can be mechanically removed off the platforms but considering the auto sampler convenience, a new strategy was evaluated. The use of oxygen as modifier³¹ by means of an additional air-assisted pyrolysis (ashing) step at 600 °C for 30 s showed to be effective for matrix decomposition and carbonaceous residue elimination. This strategy may also match the sensitivities of analytical curves obtained in aqueous and solid media, thus improving the possibility of adopting calibration with aqueous standards.

The thermochemical behavior of the analytes in presence and absence of modifiers for aqueous and solid media was evaluated by means of pyrolysis and atomization curves. Pyrolysis temperatures were investigated in the 500-1600 °C interval with a default atomization temperature of 1800 °C for Cd and 2600 °C for Ni and V. The optimal pyrolysis temperature was determined by the maximum temperature achieved without analytes losses. The atomization step was optimized by varying the temperature in the 1300-2700 °C range, using the previously optimized pyrolysis temperatures. In this case, the intensity and profile of atomic absorption peak, precision and background intensity were considered to select the optimal atomization temperatures. Optimal temperatures of pyrolysis and atomization in absence and presence of modifiers and for different media are shown in Table 2.

In order to increase the possibility of aqueous calibration, the characteristic mass (m₀) was calculated using the absorbance signals obtained in each atomization temperature for solution and solid samples, since the closest values of m₀ may indicate similar sensitivities for both media. Data presented in Table 2 reveals that similar m₀ values for aqueous and solids were obtained only when Pd(NO₃)₂ was used for Cd and in absence of it for Ni and V. In absence of chemical modifiers, the maximum pyrolysis observed in aqueous and solid media without analytes losses are 700 °C for Cd and 1400 °C for Ni and V. For Cd, temperatures may increase up to 900 °C in the presence of $Pd(NO_3)_2 + Mg(NO_3)_2$ while for V, the use of BaF₂ showed no influence on its volatility in both media. For Ni, the use of $Mg(NO_3)_2$ can stabilize the analyte up to 1500 °C in aqueous medium while in solid medium the same temperature is achieved even without the modifier. This may be explained by the high content of Mg present in plant materials which may interact with the analyte during the pyrolysis step and provide further thermal stabilization.

The optimized temperature program used for determinations of Cd, Ni and V is shown in Table 3. Best

1991

| Analyte | Medium | Modifier | Tp / °C | Ta / °C | m ₀ / pg |
|---------|----------------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| Cd | aqueous solution | - | 700 | 1800 | 1.1 |
| | 1515 Apple Leaves | _ | 700 | 1800 | 1.3 |
| | aqueous solution | Pd + Mg | 900 | 1600 | 0.6 |
| | 1515 Apple Leaves | Pd + Mg | 900 | 1600 | 0.7 |
| Ni | aqueous solution | - | 1400 | 2700 | 5.2 |
| | 1570a Spinach Leaves | _ | 1500 | 2700 | 4.0 |
| | aqueous solution | $Mg(NO_3)_2$ | 1500 | 2700 | 8.7 |
| | 1570a Spinach Leaves | $Mg(NO_3)_2$ | 1500 | 2700 | 3.7 |
| v | aqueous solution | - | 1400 | 2700 | 18.3 |
| | 1573a Tomato Leaves | _ | 1400 | 2700 | 18.1 |
| | aqueous solution | BaF_2 | 1400 | 2700 | 22.7 |
| | 1573a Tomato Leaves | BaF_2 | 1400 | 2700 | 18.2 |

Table 2. Optimized pyrolysis (Tp) and atomization (Ta) temperatures and characteristic mass (m_0) obtained in absence and presence of modifiers for determination of Cd, Ni and V in aqueous and solid medium by SS HR-CS GF AAS

results were obtained using $Pd(NO_3)_2 + Mg(NO_3)_2$ for Cd and with no modifier for Ni and V. Considering the approximate time spent for manual loading of the sample (15 s), weighing (30 s), manual addition of modifier solution (15 s) and the time/temperature program of atomizer (140 s), the whole time for one measurement is close to 200 s, which corresponds to a sample throughput of 16-18 solid samples *per* hour.

 Table 3. Optimized temperature program of graphite atomizer for Cd, Ni

 and V determinations by SS HR-CS GF AAS

| Step | Temperature / °C | Ramp / (°C s ⁻¹) | Hold / s | Gas flow rate / (L min ⁻¹) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---|
| Drying 1 | 110 | 10 | 20 | 2.0 (Ar) |
| Drying 2 | 130 | 5 | 10 | 2.0 (Ar) |
| Ash | 600 | 50 | 30 | 2.0 (air) |
| Cooling | 300 | 0 | 10 | 2.0 (Ar) |
| Pyrolysis | Tp^{a} | 100 | 30 | 2.0 (Ar) |
| Auto-zero | Tp^{a} | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Atomization | Ta ^b | 3000 | 5 | 0 |
| Cleaning | 2750 | 500 | 5 | 2.0 (Ar) |

^aPyrolysis temperatures: Cd = 900 °C; Ni and V = 1400 °C; ^batomization temperatures: Cd = 1600 °C; Ni and V = 2700 °C.

Calibration and figures of merit

Despite the importance of characteristic mass as an indicator on how effective the heating program is in matrix elimination, only the comparison between slopes of analytical curves in different media for Cd, Ni and V can confirm the equivalence between aqueous and solid calibration. The main figures of merit for calibration plots using at least 5 standards with concentrations in the 0.5-30 pg Cd, 50-1000 pg Ni and 100-1000 pg V ranges and using the optimal parameters described in Table 3 are listed in Table 4. For all analytes, the slopes of analytical curves obtained using aqueous and solid standards were quite similar, thus indicating the feasibility of aqueous calibration for determination of Cd, Ni and V in solid samples. In all cases, the peak profiles were similar in solid and aqueous media.

 Table 4. Figures of merit for Cd, Ni and V using calibration curves

 obtained in aqueous and solid media by SS HR-CS GF AAS

| Figure of merit | Cd | Ni | V |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Aqueous cali | bration | |
| Linear range / pg | 0.5-30 | 50-1000 | 100-1000 |
| Slope / (s pg ⁻¹) | 6.9×10^{-3} | 3.2×10^{-4} | 2.4×10^{-4} |
| R | 0.9993 | 0.9994 | 0.9985 |
| LOD / (ng g ⁻¹) | 0.2 | 18 | 7 |
| m ₀ / pg | 0.6 | 14.0 | 18.6 |
| RSD / % | 3.7 (0.5) ^b | 6.4 (50) ^b | 4.0 (100) ^b |
| | Solid calibr | ation ^a | |
| Linear range / pg | 0.5-30 | 50-1000 | 100-1000 |
| Slope / (s pg ⁻¹) | 7.0×10^{-3} | 2.9×10^{-4} | 2.3×10^{-4} |
| R | 0.9963 | 0.9986 | 0.9965 |
| LOD / (ng g ⁻¹) | 0.3 | 19 | 8 |
| m ₀ / pg | 0.5 | 15.1 | 18.3 |
| RSD / % | 7.1 (0.5) ^b | 8.3 (100) ^b | 6.5 (100) ^b |

^aCRMs used: NIST standard reference material (SRM) 1515 Apple Leaves (Cd), NIST SRM 1570a Spinach Leaves (Ni), NIST SRM 1573a Tomato Leaves (V); ^banalyte mass related to the RSD value (in pg); R: correlation coefficient; LOD: limit of detection; RSD: relative standard deviation.

Limits of detection (LOD) for Cd (0.2 ng g⁻¹), Ni (18 ng g⁻¹) and V (7 ng g⁻¹) were comparable to those reported in literature using solid sampling HR-CS GF AAS for determination of Cd in grain products (0.6 ng g⁻¹),²¹ biomass (1.1 ng g⁻¹),³⁴ and coal (2 ng g⁻¹),¹⁶ Ni in biological samples (25 ng g⁻¹),³⁵ and for Ni and V (6 and 10 ng g⁻¹, respectively) in carbon and activated charcoal samples.¹⁷ Relative standard deviations (RSDs) lower than 8.3% and correlation coefficients better than 0.996 were typically obtained.

Trueness was checked by the determination of Cd, Ni and V in plant CRMs (1515 Apple Leaves, 1572 Citrus Leaves, 1547 Peach Leaves, 1570a Spinach Leaves, 1573a Tomato Leaves) using aqueous calibration (Table 5). It is important to consider that the minimum mass amount recommended for CRM use (ca. 150 mg) is relatively large for SS GF AAS analysis, however, the use of sample masses smaller than 2 mg may also provide reliable results.²⁰ The found values presented no statistical differences when compared with the certified values at a 95% confidence level (paired *t*-test). For two CRMs (1570a Spinach Leaves and 1573a Tomato Leaves) Cd contents were not determined because the measured absorbances were above the linear dynamic range, even for smaller sample masses. The accurate results presented in Table 5 reaffirmed the effectiveness of the aqueous calibration for determination of Cd, Ni and V in plant materials by direct solid sampling.

Analysis of samples

The optimized SS HR-CS GF AAS procedure was applied to the determination (n = 3) of Cd, Ni and V in eight samples of spices and condiments (Table 6). The found concentrations varied from 88-233 ng g⁻¹ Cd, 113-2842 ng g⁻¹ Ni and 154-1007 ng g⁻¹ V and can be considered in the same concentration range as previously reported in literature for this type of samples.⁵ The RSDs were generally better than 12% (n = 3) and no interferences caused by structured background were observed for Cd, Ni and V in the spice samples. The obtained results were compared to ICP-MS and in most cases were in agreement at a 95% confidence level (paired *t*-test), except for Cd in wasabi and paprika, Ni in nutmeg and saffron and V in wasabi.

Conclusions

The proposed SS HR-CS GF AAS method is fast, sensitive, accurate and did not require conventional sample treatment. A careful temperature program optimization using $Pd(NO_3)_2/Mg(NO_3)_2$ as chemical modifier for Cd and

Table 5. Results (mean ± standard deviation, n = 3) for determination of Cd, Ni and V in CRMs by SS HR-CS GF AAS using aqueous standard calibration

| NIST CRM | Cd certified / (ng g ⁻¹) | Cd determined / (ng g ⁻¹) | Ni certified / (ng g ⁻¹) | Ni determined / (ng g ⁻¹) | V certified / (ng g ⁻¹) | V determined / (ng g ⁻¹) |
|----------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1515 | 13 ± 2 | 13 ± 1 | 910 ± 120 | 960 ± 50 | 260 ± 30 | 230 ± 20 |
| 1572 | 30 ± 10 | 29 ± 1 | 600 ± 300 | 530 ± 90 | - | _ |
| 1547 | 26 ± 3 | 25 ± 1 | 690 ± 90 | 660 ± 30 | 370 ± 30 | 350 ± 20 |
| 1570a | 2.89 ± 0.07^{a} | nd ^b | 2.14 ± 0.10^{a} | 2.1 ± 0.2^{a} | 570 ± 30 | 500 ± 40 |
| 1573a | 1.52 ± 0.04^{a} | nd ^b | 1.59 ± 0.07^{a} | $1.49\pm0.07^{\rm a}$ | 835 ± 10 | 850 ± 70 |

^aValues in µg g⁻¹; ^bnot determined, since Cd contents were higher than the upper limit of linear range; NIST CRM: National Institute of Standards and Technology certified reference material.

Table 6. Results (mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3) for determination of Cd, Ni and V in spices by the proposed (SS HR-CS GF AAS) and comparative (ICP-MS) methods

| C 1 | SS HR-CS GF AAS | | | ICP-MS | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------|--|
| Sample | Cd / (ng g ⁻¹) | Ni / (ng g ⁻¹) | V / (ng g ⁻¹) | Cd / (ng g ⁻¹) | $\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$ | | |
| Annatto | < 0.2 | 632 ± 31 | < 7 | < 0.1 | 658 ± 5 | 10 ± 1 | |
| Paprika | 220 ± 3 | 2842 ± 48 | 1007 ± 10 | 277 ± 4 | 2661 ± 84 | 1069 ± 19 | |
| Nutmeg | < 0.2 | 1415 ± 20 | 195 ± 23 | < 0.1 | 1798 ± 19 | 188 ± 7 | |
| Ginger | 233 ± 28 | 592 ± 41 | < 7 | 240 ± 22 | 550 ± 21 | < 0.04 | |
| Garlic | < 0.2 | < 18 | 278 ± 5 | < 0.1 | < 0.4 | 269 ± 4 | |
| Wasabi | 225 ± 8 | 694 ± 9 | 280 ± 35 | 198 ± 2 | 721 ± 6 | 214 ± 3 | |
| Saffron | < 0.2 | 113 ± 7 | < 7 | < 0.1 | 140 ± 2 | 2.2 ± 0.2 | |
| Cinnamon | 88 ± 2 | < 18 | 154 ± 19 | 102 ± 7 | 16 ± 3 | 166 ± 5 | |

SS HR-CS GF AAS: solid sampling high-resolution continuum source graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry; ICP-MS: inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry.

air-assisted pyrolysis for all analytes were efficient strategies to determine Cd, Ni and V in spice samples using the benefits and convenience of calibration with aqueous standard solutions. The concentrations of Cd, Ni and V determined in the spice samples are in a low concentration range (from ng g⁻¹ to a few μ g g⁻¹) and were shown to be similar to those previously reported in the literature. The procedure is straightforward and can be considered an alternative to routine analysis of spice samples for quality control.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP, grant 09/52480-0) for financially supporting this work and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) for fellowships to A. V. and J. F. R. The authors are also grateful to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for fellowship to A. I. B. and research grant to J. A. G. N. The authors are thankful to Agilent Technologies for the loan of the ICP-MS/MS instrument.

References

- Scully, T.; *The Art of Cookery in the Middle Ages*; Boydell Press: Woodbridge, 1995.
- Raghavan, S.; Handbook of Spices, Seasonings, and Flavorings; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2006.
- Raj, A. A.; Aaron, I. S.; Seihenbalg, S. S.; Tiroutchelvamae, D.; Ranganathan, T. V.; *Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl.* 2014, 4, 75.
- Peter, K. V.; Handbook of Herbs and Spices; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2001.
- Gonzálvez, A.; Armenta, S.; Cervera, M. L.; Guardia, M.; *Talanta* 2008, 74, 1085.
- Belarra, M. A.; Resano, M.; Vanhaecke, F.; Moens, L.; *TrAC*, *Trends Anal. Chem.* 2002, *21*, 828.
- Kurfürst, U.; Solid Sample Analysis: Direct and Slurry Sampling Using GF-AAS and ETV-ICP; Springer: Berlin, 1998.
- Bechlin, M. A.; Gomes Neto, J. A.; Nóbrega, J. A.; *Microchem. J.* 2013, 109, 134.
- Resano, M.; García-Ruiz, E.; Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2011, 399, 323.
- Resano, M.; Bolea-Fernández, E.; Mozas, E.; Flórez, M. R.; Grinberg, P.; Sturgeon, R. E.; *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **2013**, *28*, 657.
- 11. Becker-Ross, H.; Florek, S.; Heitmann, U.; J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 2000, 15, 137.
- Araujo, R. G. O.; Welz, B.; Vignola, F.; Becker-Ross, H.; *Talanta* 2009, 80, 846.
- 13. Resano, M.; Briceño, J.; Belarra, M. A.; *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **2009**, *64*, 520.

- Duarte, A. T.; Dessuy, M. B.; Vale, M. G. R.; Welz, B.; Anal. Methods 2013, 5, 6941.
- Flórez, M. R.; Resano, M.; Spectrochim. Acta, Part B 2013, 88, 31.
- Silva, A. F.; Borges, D. L. G.; Lepri, F. G.; Welz, B.; Curtius, A. J.; Heitmann, U.; *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2005, *382*, 1835.
- Lepri, F. G.; Borges, D. L. G.; Araujo, R. G. O.; Welz, B.; Wendler, F.; Krieg, M.; Becker-Ross, H.; *Talanta* **2010**, *81*, 980.
- Mior, R.; Morés, S.; Welz, B.; Carasek, E.; Andrade, J. B.; *Talanta* 2013, 106, 368.
- Rêgo, J. F.; Virgilio, A.; Gomes Neto, J. A.; Nóbrega, J. A.; *Talanta* 2012, 100, 21.
- Virgilio, A.; Nóbrega, J. A.; Rêgo, J. F.; Gomes Neto, J. A.; Spectrochim. Acta, Part B 2012, 78, 58.
- Santos, L. M. G.; Araujo, R. G. O.; Welz, B.; Jacob, S. C.; Vale, M. G. R.; Becker-Ross, H.; *Talanta* 2009, 78, 577.
- 22. Ozbek, N.; Akman, S.; J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 61, 4816.
- 23. Gunduz, S.; Akman, S.; Food Chem. 2013, 141, 2634.
- Resano, M.; Briceño, J.; Belarra, M. A.; J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 2009, 24, 1343.
- Briceño, J.; Belarra, M. A.; De Schamphelaere, K. A. C.; Vanblaere, S.; Janssen, C. R.; Vanhaecke, F.; Resano, M.; *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 2010, 25, 503.
- Rello, L.; Lapeña, A. C.; Aramendía, M.; Belarra, M. A.; Resano, M.; Spectrochim. Acta, Part B 2013, 81, 11.
- Welz, B.; Becker-Ross, H.; Florek, S.; Heitmann, U.; Vale, M. G. R.; *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *14*, 220.
- Thomas, R. J.; *Practical Guide to ICP-MS*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2013.
- Bermejo-Barrera, P.; Moreda-Piñeiro, J.; Moreda-Piñeiro, A.; Bermejo-Barrera, A.; J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 1998, 13, 777.
- Welz, B.; Sperling, M.; Atomic Absorption Spectrometry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1999.
- Butcher, D. J.; Sneddon, J.; A Practical Guide to Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry; Wiley: New York, 1998.
- Fernandes, K. G.; Nogueira, A. R. A.; Gomes Neto, J. A.; Nóbrega, J. A.; J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2004, 15, 676.
- Ambrozini, B.; Amorim Filho, V. R.; Oliveira, S. R.; Sacramento, L. V. S.; Gomes Neto, J. A.; *Food Chem.* 2009, *116*, 1024.
- Duarte, A. T.; Dessuy, M. B.; Vale, M. G. R.; Welz, B.; Andrade, J. B.; *Talanta* 2013, *115*, 55.
- Gómez-Nieto, B.; Gismera, M. J.; Sevilla, M. T.; Procopio, J. R.; *Talanta* 2013, *116*, 860.

Submitted: May 11, 2015 Published online: July 21, 2015

FAPESP has sponsored the publication of this article.