

Synthesis, Characterization and Catalytic Performance of a Novel Picolinic Acid-12-Molybdophosphoric Acid Hybrid Catalyst

Lijun Liu, Honghong Wang, Shuwen Gong,* Jing Lu* and Qian Zhang

Institute of Organic Functional Molecules and Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Liaocheng University, 252000 Liaocheng, China

A novel 12-molybdophosphoric acid (HPM)-based complex $(H_2PI)_2(H_3O)[PMo_{12}O_{40}]$ [Mo₂O₅(H₂O)₂(PI)₂]·11H₂O (PI-HPM) was prepared by modification with picolinic acid (HPI) and characterized by the methods of Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, thermogravimetry (TG), X-ray powder and single crystal diffraction. The complex retained the classical Keggin structure of bulk HPM, there were some strong hydrogen bonds existing between the [PMo₁₂O₄₀]³⁻ polyanion, the [Mo₂O₅(H₂O)₂(PI)₂] coordination moiety, the protonated HPI and the lattice water molecules. Then PI-HPM was employed as heterogeneous catalyst for esterification reaction to evaluate its acid-catalytic activity. The complex exhibited high activity and good durability in reaction mixtures, indicating that it was a promising heterogeneous acid catalyst for esterification that including the conversion of oleic acid to oleates.

Keywords: heteropoly acid, picolinic acid, heterogeneous catalysis, acid catalyst, esterification

Introduction

Acid catalyzed reaction is one of the most important reactions, including esterification, acetalization, etherification, nitration reaction and so on.1-5 Conventional acid catalysts that are widely used in industries are mineral acids, such as H₂SO₄, H₃PO₄, HCl, CF₃COOH and CISO₂OH.^{6,7} These liquid acids have excellent catalytic activities, but they suffer from several drawbacks, such as the corrosiveness, the existence of side reactions and difficulty of separation from the reaction mixtures.^{7,8} Due to stringent and growing environmental regulations, the chemical industry needs the development of environmentfriendly synthetic methodologies. Heterogeneous acids, which have low corrosion, reusability and high acidity, are considered to be the suitable substitutes for liquid acids.^{9,10} Taking esterification reaction as an example, some heterogeneous acids have gained attention, which included ion exchange resins, zeolites, sulfated oxides, biomass carbon-based solid acid and heteropoly acids (HPAs).6,11-17

HPAs are typical strong Brønsted acids with low volatility, low corrosiveness and flexibility.^{11,17} However, as a heterogeneous catalyst, the disadvantages of HPAs lie in their low surface area (1-10 m² g⁻¹) and solubility in

polar solvents.^{17,18} Therefore, supported HPAs and salts of HPAs are developed to overcome the abovementioned problems.^{2-4,17-20} Although these technologies can obviously improve the separation problem of HPAs from reaction system, the acid strength of supported HPAs and salts of HPAs was commonly lower than that of bulk HPAs.²¹ The supported HPAs materials also suffered from the leaching of HPAs active species in polar reaction media. Therefore, it is still desirable to design new HPAs catalysts suitable for application in polar reaction mixtures.

In previous works, it was reported that there is strong electronic interaction between the metal oxygen cluster and the organic segment for organic compound modified hybrid HPAs catalysts.²²⁻²⁴ So we considered modifying HPAs by heterocyclic aromatic compounds containing carboxylic acid group.25 The initial idea was to combine the HPAs with aromatic compound basis on the existing electronic interaction, which was different from supporting and salification. The combination would decrease the solubility and improve the durability of HPAs in polar mixtures. At the same time, the carboxylic acid group may be favorable to improve the acidity of the complex. In this paper, a novel picolinic acid (HPI)-12-molybdophosphoric acid (HPM) hybrid complex was prepared and characterized. The prepared complex was applied in esterification as heterogeneous catalyst to evaluate acid-catalytic activity. More interestingly, the single crystal of the modified

^{*}e-mail: gshw76@163.com; lujinglcu@163.com

complex was obtained, which helped to reveal the combination between HPM and HPI.

Experimental

Synthesis of catalysts

All solvents and reagents were purchased commercially and used as received without any further purification. The aqueous solution of HPI and HPM were prepared; 0.002 mol (0.246 g) HPI (Aladdin Industrial Corporation) were dissolved in 5 mL distilled water, and 0.001 mol (1.825 g) HPM (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.) were dissolved in 10 mL distilled water. Then the solution of HPI was slowly dropped to the solution of HPM under vigorous stirring at room temperature. After stirring for 2 h, the resulting green precipitate was filtered. The prepared sample was dried at 110 °C and collected as investigated catalyst that was referred to as picolinate (PI)-HPM.

When the green precipitate was filtered, the filtrate was placed carefully and allowed to diffuse. After two weeks, the green single crystal of PI-HPM was obtained.

Characterization

X-Ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out using a XD-3 diffractometer (Beijing Purkinje General Instrument Co. Ltd.) with Cu K_{α} (1.542 Å) radiation.

X-Ray single crystal diffraction data was collected on a Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer, equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation with a radiation wavelength of 0.71073 Å by using the ω -scan technique. The structure was solved by direct method and refined by full-matrix squares on F² using SHELXTL program. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Positions of the hydrogen atoms were geometry placed and refined isotropically as a riding mode. The crystal data of the complex was deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre with the CCDC number 1412295. The refinement crystal data and the selected bond parameters are listed in Tables S1 and S2 (Supplementary Information).

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrometer in KBr matrix in the range of 400-2000 cm⁻¹.

Thermal analysis was carried out using a PYRTSI thermal analyzer. The samples with mass of about 10 mg were placed in alumina crucible. The measurements were performed with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in dynamic nitrogen atmosphere with the flow rate of 50 mL min⁻¹.

Elemental analysis (C, H and N) was performed on a PerkinElmer 2400 elemental analyzer, whereas the metal content was determined by inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis on a PerkinElmer Optima 2000DV ICP spectrometer. Anal. calcd. for single crystal ($C_{24}H_{49}Mo_{14}N_4O_{67}P$, 2839.80): C, 10.14; H, 1.73; N, 1.97; Mo, 47.33; P, 1.09; elemental analysis for PI-HPM powder: C, 9.97; H, 1.76; N, 2.01; Mo, 46.85; P, 1.04.

For HPM and PI-HPM, the capacity of acid sites was determined by acid-base titration. An amount of ca. 0.05 g of each sample was suspended in 15 mL distilled water for 2 h at room temperature. Then the sample was titrated with 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaOH. The acidity was determined by the following equation:

Acidity =
$$\frac{C_{\text{NaOH}}V_{\text{NaOH}}}{m_{\text{HPM or PI-HPM}}}$$
 (1)

where $C_{\rm NaOH}$ and $V_{\rm NaOH}$ are the concentration and volume of NaOH, respectively, and $m_{\rm HPM\,or\,PI-HPM}$ is the mass of the acid.

Catalytic tests

Esterification reactions of carboxylic acids besides oleic acid with alcohols were carried out in a 100 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a reflux condenser at atmospheric pressure. In a typical run, 0.1 mol (6.0 g) acetic acid, 0.3 mol (13.8 g) ethanol and 0.1 g catalyst were added into the round bottom flask. After stirring for a certain reaction time at the reflux temperature, the catalyst was filtered and the product was determined using a gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector (FID).

Esterification reactions of oleic acid with alcohols were carried out in a 50 mL gas-tight batch reactor with a magnetic stirrer, and an oil bath was used to maintain the reaction temperature. In a typical run, 0.07 g PI-HPM (5 wt.% (0.5 mol%) of oleic acid) were added to the mixture of oleic acid (5 mmol, 1.41 g) with methanol (50 mmol, 1.6 g), after stirring for 6 h at desired temperature (40, 60, 80 or 100 °C). The products were identified by comparison with the authentic samples and finally by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The conversion of oleic acid was determined using an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph equipped with a HP-5MS capillary column and FID detector; methyl caprylate was selected as internal standard.

Stability test of the PI-HPM was carried out by running four consecutive experiments under the same reaction conditions (mole ratio of methanol to oleic acid: 10:1, reaction temperature: 80 °C, catalyst amount: 10 wt.% of the weight of oleic acid and reaction time: 6 h). Between the catalytic experiments, the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture by filtration, washed with methanol and dried at 110 °C.

Results and Discussion

Catalyst characterization

Single crystal X-ray diffraction revealed that the PI-HPM crystallizes in triclinic crystal system, P-1 space group. In PI-HPM, there exists one classical Keggin-type $[PMo_{12}O_{40}]$ metal oxygen cluster, one $[Mo_2O_5(H_2O)_2(PI)_2]$ coordination moiety, two protonated isolated HPI and twelve lattice water molecules, where one additional proton had to reside (Figure 1). The $[PMO_{12}O_{40}]$ polyanion shows a classical Keggin-type structure. It was formed by a central PO₄ tetrahedron surrounded by four Mo₃O₁₃ groups, in which three MoO₆ octahedra shared edges. One PO₄ tetrahedron and twelve MoO₆ octahedra from four Mo₃O₁₃ groups shared corners and made up the Keggin-type $[PMo_{12}O_{40}]$ cluster. For the [Mo₂O₅(H₂O)₂(PI)₂] coordination moiety, each molybdenum cation was coordinated by two terminal oxygen atoms, one oxygen atom from one water molecule, one bridging oxygen atom, and finally chelated by one PI anion through its nitrogen and carboxylate oxygen atoms. These two molybdenum centers were linked to each other by the bridging oxygen atom to form a dinuclear coordination moiety. As shown in Figure 2, there are some strong hydrogen bonds existing between the $[PMo_{12}O_{40}]^{3-1}$ polyanion, the $[Mo_2O_5(H_2O)_2(PI)_2]$ coordination moiety, the protonated HPI and the lattice water molecules, and the parameters of the hydrogen bonds are listed in Table 1.

The bond-valence calculations revealed that all Mo are in their +6 oxidation states, P is +5 and each of the oxygen atoms on the $[PMo_{12}O_{40}]$ cluster are -2. Therefore, the total charge of this cluster is -3. For the $[Mo_2O_5(H_2O)_2(PI)_2]$ unit, the overall charge is 0. The free picolinic acid groups were each protonated, each yielding $(H_2PI)^+$. The additional charge (1 H⁺) should be residing on one of the non-coordinated 12 lattice water molecules. The molecular formula is therefore $(H_2PI)_2(H_3O)[PMo_{12}O_{40}]$ $[Mo_2O_5(H_2O)_2(PI)_2]\cdot11H_2O$.



Figure 1. The crystallographic unique unit of PI-HPM.



Figure 2. The complicated hydrogen bonds in the PI-HPM complex.

The XRD pattern of PI-HPM is presented in Figure 3. For comparison, the XRD patterns of raw HPM and HPI are also displayed. Pure HPM shows the characteristic pattern in the Keggin polyanion that matched with literature very well.^{18,26} The diffraction peaks of PI-HPM were observed mainly in the four ranges of $2\theta = 6-10$, 16-20, 25-30 and 33-35°, so it can be deduced that PI-HPM still maintained the Keggin structure of raw HPM.²⁷ But PI-HPM exhibited very different diffraction peaks from that of raw HPM and no diffraction peaks of HPI were detected, suggesting that PI-HPM was a new crystal phase but not the simple mixture of HPM and HPI. This conclusion agreed well with the result of single crystal diffraction study.

The single crystal particles that were obtained through solvent evaporation were collected and analyzed by XRD;

D–H···A	d _(HA) / Å	∠DHA / degree	d _(DA) / Å	D–H…A	d _(HA) / Å	∠DHA / degree	d _(DA) / Å
N2-H…O30	1.922	161.12	2.75	O27–H…O32	2.411	152.53	3.19
O29–H…O34	1.702	158.55	2.483	O30–H…O35	1.889	139.25	2.593
O30–H…O22	2.077	167.16	2.912	O31–H…O6	2.316	143.47	3.041
O31–H…O19	2.338	169.91	3.179	O34–H…O35	1.866	159.29	2.678
O33–H…O31	2.091	122.46	2.646	O34–H… O33	2.059	166.4	2.892
O35–H…O23	2.164	161.47	2.982	O35–H…O7	2.604	144.43	3.333
O36–H… O9	2.191	147.9	2.946	O36–H… O33	1.954	146.67	2.704

 Table 1. Hydrogen bond parameters in the PI-HPM



Figure 3. XRD patterns of (a) HPM; (b) HPI; (c) PI-HPM precipitate; (d) PI-HPM crystal and (e) PI-HPM after fourth use.

the pattern is also shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that the crystals show similar pattern to that of the PI-HPM precipitate, which indicated that these crystals have same structure as PI-HPM precipitate.

The FTIR spectra of HPM, HPI and PI-HPM are illustrated in Figure 4. The four bands for bulk HPM at 1062, 960, 868 and 783 cm⁻¹ are due to the stretching mode of P–O in central tetrahedral, Mo=O_{terminal}, Mo–O–Mo_(corner-sharing) and Mo–O–Mo_(edge-sharing) in its Keggin structure, respectively.^{23,26,28} There are four bands (1062, 961, 872 and 797 cm⁻¹) within the range of 700-1100 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of PI-HPM that were similar with HPM, which revealed that the Keggin structure of the HPM was well maintained after modification with HPI. The characteristic bands of HPI located at 1655, 1354, 1298 and 765 cm⁻¹ were also observed for PI-HPM, verifying the presence of HPI in the complex, which was consistent with the result of single crystal X-ray diffraction. And the pattern of PI-HPM showed clear evidence for the presence of the



Figure 4. FTIR spectra of (a) HPM; (b) HPI; (c) PI-HPM and (d) PI-HPM after fourth use.

pyridinium ion band at 1536 cm⁻¹, which was absent in the IR spectrum of HPM.^{23,29}

Thermal analyses were carried out on bulk HPM, HPI and PI-HPM to determine the thermal stability and the results are reported in Figure 5. The thermogravimetry (TG) of HPM showed a weight loss of about 8.6% up to a temperature of 130 °C, indicating the loss of free and physical adsorbed water.²¹ The gradual weight loss of about 2.4% up to 716 °C corresponded to the mass loss due to the reaction between acidic protons and structural oxygen from HPM, releasing water, followed by sharp decomposition to MoO₃ and PO_x species.^{30,31} PI-HPM exhibited similar weight loss at about 130 and 720 °C like raw HPM, which also can be attributed to the loss of free and adsorbed water (the calculated amount is 7.6%) and decomposition of raw HPM, respectively. In contrast with HPM and HPI, the quick weight loss of HPI at about 160 °C did not occur in the TG profile of PI-HPM, but a new weight loss around 310 °C appeared and the percentage of weight loss was about 17.1% (from 91.7% at 310 °C to 74.6% at 550 °C), which was close to the theoretical value content of HPI in the PI-HPM (the calculated amount is 17.3%). Therefore, it can be considered that the PI-HPM was thermally stable in temperatures less than 310 °C. PI-HPM decomposed in the temperature range of 310-550 °C, indicating that PI-HPM was less thermally stable than pure HPM.



Figure 5. TG curves of (a) HPM; (b) PI-HPM and (c) HPI.

Catalytic activity

As acid catalyst, PI-HPM was applied to esterification reaction to evaluate its catalytic activity and these results are listed in Table 2. Acetic acid, *n*-propionic acid and *n*-hexanoic acid were subjected to esterification reaction with methanol, ethanol, *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol. It was found that PI-HPM exhibited excellent catalytic activity in these reactions and high conversions of carboxylic acids

 Table 2. Esterification reactions of carboxylic acids with alcohols over

 PI-HPM catalyst

entry	Catalyst	Acid (A)	Alcohol (B)	Conversion / %
1	PI-HPM	acetic acid	methanol	100ª
2	PI-HPM	acetic acid	ethanol	96.3
3	PI-HPM	acetic acid	<i>n</i> -propanol	83.7
4	PI-HPM	acetic acid	<i>n</i> -butanol	68.5
5	PI-HPM	<i>n</i> -propionic acid	methanol	91.4
6	PI-HPM	<i>n</i> -propionic acid	ethanol	80.7
7	PI-HPM	<i>n</i> -hexanoic acid	methanol	78.9
8	PI-HPM	<i>n</i> -hexanoic acid	ethanol	62.4
9	PI-HPM	oleic acid	methanol	100 ^b
10	PI-HPM	oleic acid	ethanol	100
11	PI-HPM	oleic acid	<i>n</i> -propanol	82.3
12	PI-HPM	oleic acid	<i>n</i> -butanol	76.9
13	fresh PI-HPM	oleic acid	methanol	94.3°
14	HPI	oleic acid	methanol	20.7
15	HPM	oleic acid	methanol	89.7
16	used PI-HPM	oleic acid	methanol	89.1 ^d
1733	SnO ₂ /WO ₃	oleic acid	ethanol	ca. 90 ^e
1832	ZSTA-15	acetic acid	<i>n</i> -butanol	57 ^f

^aFor entries 1-8: reflux, time = 6 h, catalyst amount = 0.1 g, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:3; ^bfor entries 9-12: time = 6 h, reaction temperature = 100 °C, catalyst amount = 10 wt.% of oleic acid, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:10; ^cfor entries 13-16: time = 6 h, reaction temperature = 80 °C, catalyst amount = 10 wt.% of oleic acid, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:10; ^dconversion was achieved after the fourth recycling of PI-HPM; ^ereflux, time = 12 h, catalyst amount = 0.1 g, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:120; ^ftime = 4 h, reaction temperature = 80 °C, catalyst amount = 0.025 g, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:16. PI-HPM: picolinic acid;12-molybdophosphoric acid hybrid complex; HPI: picolinic acid; HPM: 12-molybdophosphoric acid hybrid complex; ZSTA-15: zirconia-supported silicotungstic acid.

were obtained. The desired result was also achieved, that the PI-HPM can be easily separated by centrifugation from the reaction system, indicating that the complex presented good durability. The percentage of conversion of these acids with methanol followed the order: acetic acid > *n*-propionic acid > *n*-hexanoic acid, which can be explained on the basis of the strength of the reacting acids.³² Furthermore, the decrease of conversion for the esterification of acetic acid with different alcohols might be due to the increase of steric hindrance with the growth of alkyl chain of alcohols.

PI-HPM was also applied to the esterification of oleic acid with different alcohols. From Table 2, it can be seen that high conversion was obtained for the esterification of oleic acid with methanol or ethanol. The esterification of oleic acid with methanol was considered to be a main method for the synthesis of biodiesel. For comparison, esterification of oleic acid was carried out only in the presence of HPI or HPM. A conversion of 20.7 and 89.7% of oleic acid took place, respectively. After the reaction ended, however, it was difficult to separate the HPI and HPM due to the dissolution in reaction mixtures. Therefore, PI-HPM not only exhibited higher catalytic activity than HPM, but also overcame the difficulty of separation from esterification mixtures, which was the very purpose of using HPI to modify the HPM. Acid-base titration was performed to explain why PI-HPM has good catalytic activity. The results indicated that the capacity of acid sites increased from 11.88 mmol g⁻¹ of HPM to 11.98 mmol g⁻¹ of PI-HPM. For comparison, previous results for the esterification of oleic acid or acetic acid over some reported typical solid acid catalysts are also gave in Table 2.

The effect of reaction parameters, including reaction time, temperature, mole ratio and catalyst dosage on esterification of oleic acid with methanol catalyzed by PI-HPM were studied (Figure 6). The conversion of 94.3% of oleic acid was achieved at the mole ratio of alcohol to acid of 10:1, catalyst amount of 10 wt.% of oleic acid and reaction time of 6 h at 80 °C. Conversion of 100% was obtained when temperature was increased to 100 °C.

After reaction, PI-HPM was separated from the reaction mixture only by simple filtration and washed with methanol. After drying the catalyst was reused with new charge of oleic acid and methanol. The result suggested that



Figure 6. Effects of reaction parameters on the esterification of oleic acid. Reaction conditions: (a) reaction temperature = $100 \,^{\circ}$ C, catalyst amount = $10 \,$ wt.% of oleic acid, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:10; (b) time = 6 h, catalyst amount = $10 \,$ wt.% of oleic acid, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:10; (c) time = 6 h, reaction temperature = $100 \,^{\circ}$ C, catalyst amount = $10 \,$ wt.% of oleic acid; (d) time = 6 h, reaction temperature = $100 \,^{\circ}$ C, acid:alcohol ratio = 1:10.

PI-HPM catalyst was reusable with little loss in activity. A conversion 89.1% was achieved after the fourth recycling (Table 2, entry 16), suggesting that the PI-HPM was stable and commendably compensated the disadvantage of raw HPM.

To verify the recovery of PI-HPM catalyst, XRD and IR measurements were performed after four recycles; the results are shown in Figure 3e and Figure 4d, respectively. The XRD patterns of catalyst before and after reaction were almost identical, which indicated that there was no obvious change for the catalyst structure after esterification. For IR spectrum, the four Keggin characteristic bands in the range of 700-1100 cm⁻¹ were all observed. All these results indicated the highly chemical and structural stability of PI-HPM catalyst.

Compared with the IR spectrum of fresh PI-HPM, however, new infrared bands at 2925, 2855 and 1740 cm⁻¹ were observed for the recycled catalyst spectrum, which is similar with the IR spectrum of methyl oleate, supported by the Spectral Database for Organic Compounds (SDBS No. 8872). That is, the catalyst was attached to methyl oleate after reaction, which might cause the slight reduction in catalytic activity of the reused catalyst. Therefore, more effective treatment method should be developed to optimize the catalytic activity of reused catalyst.

Conclusions

In this work, a novel HPM-based complex was prepared by the modification of HPM with HPI, and the complex was applied in esterification reaction as heterogeneous catalyst to evaluate its acid-catalytic activity. The characterization results suggested the complex still retained the Keggin ion structure of HPM. The complex simultaneously possessed high catalytic activity and good durability in reaction mixtures, indicating that PI-HPM was an excellent heterogeneous catalyst for esterification. Compared with commercial mineral acid, PI-HPM was more benign from the environmental protection point of view.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21101086), the Doctor Foundation of Shandong Province (BS2010CL011) and the Foundation of Liaocheng University (318011406).

References

- Vonnera, A.; Castela, C.; Schaer, E.; Moreliere, A.; *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* **2015**, *93*, 602.
- Ozorio, L. P.; Pianzolli, R.; Mota, M. B. S.; Mota, C. J. A.; J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2012, 23, 931.
- Ladera, R. M.; Ojeda, M.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Rojas, S.; *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 5, 484.
- Gong, S.; Liu, L.; Cui, Q.; Ding, J.; J. Hazard. Mater. 2010, 178, 404.
- 5. Oyama, K.; Tidwell, T. T.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 947.
- Sawant, D. P.; Vinu, A.; Justus, J.; Srinivasu, P.; Halligudi, S. B.; J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 276, 150.
- Ahmed, A. I.; El-Hakam, S. A.; Abd Elghany, M. A.; Abo El-Yazeed, W. S.; *Appl. Catal.*, A 2011, 407, 40.
- Kozhevnikova, E. F.; Derouane, E. G.; Kozhevnikov, I. V.; *Chem. Commun.* 2002, *33*, 1178.
- 9. Palkovits, R.; Tajvidi, K.; Ruppert, A. M.; Procelewska, J.; *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 576.
- Wang, L.; Dong, X.; Jiang, H.; Li, G.; Zhang, M.; Catal. Commun. 2014, 56, 164.
- Kuzminska, M.; Backov, R.; Gaigneaux, E. M.; *Catal. Commun.* 2015, 59, 222.
- Li, K.; Wang, C.; Wang, I.; Wang, C.; *Appl. Catal.*, A 2011, 392, 180.
- Zhu, Y.; Hua, Z.; Song, Y.; Wu, W.; Zhou, X.; Zhou, J.; Shi, J.; J. Catal. 2013, 299, 20.
- Boffito, D. C.; Crocellà, V.; Pirola, C.; Neppolian, B.; Cerrato, G.; Ashokkumar, M.; Bianchi, C. L.; *J. Catal.* 2013, 297, 17.
- 15. Gao, X.; Zhu, S.; Li ,Y.; Catal. Commun. 2015, 62, 48.
- Liu, T.; Li, Z.; Li, W.; Shi, C.; Wang, Y.; *Bioresour. Technol.* 2013, 133, 618.
- Jagadeeswaraiah, K.; Balaraju, M.; Sai Prasad, P. S.; Lingaiah, N.; *Appl. Catal.*, A 2010, 386, 166.
- Langpape, M.; Millet, J. M. M.; Ozkan, U. S.; Boudeulle, M.; J. Catal. 1999, 181, 80.
- Cardoso, L. A. M.; Alves Jr., W.; Gonzaga, A. R. E.; Aguiar, L. M. G.; Andrade, H. M. C.; *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 2004, 209, 189.
- Heravi, M. M.; Khorasani, M.; Derikvand, F.; Oskooie, H. A.; Bamoharram, F. F.; *Catal. Commun.* 2007, *8*, 1886.
- 21. Bhorodwaj, S. K.; Dutta, D. K.; Appl. Catal., A 2010, 378, 221.
- Lu, M.; Wei, Y. G.; Xu, B. B.; Cheung, C. F. C.; Peng, Z. H.; Powell, D. R.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1566.
- Song, I. K.; Kaba, M. S.; Barteau, M. A.; J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 17528.
- 24. Leng, Y.; Qiu, X.; Jiang, P.; Wang J.; *Chin. J. Catal.* **2012**, *33*, 1224.
- Gong, S.; Lu, J.; Wang, H.; Liu, L.; Zhang, Q.; *Appl. Energy* 2014, *134*, 283.

- Chen, L.; Wang, X.; Guo, X.; Guo, H.; Liu, H.; Chen, Y.; *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2007, *62*, 4469.
- 27. Ma, J.; Ye, X.; Wu, Y.; Chin. J. Catal. 1991, 12, 443.
- 28. Carriazo, D.; Domingo, C.; Martín, C.; Rives, V.; *J. Solid State Chem.* **2008**, *181*, 2046.
- 29. Selli, E.; Forni, L.; *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **1991**, *31*, 129.
- 30. Kim, H. J.; Jeon, Y. K.; Park, J. I.; Shul, Y. G.; *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2013**, *378*, 232.
- Altiokka, M. R.; Akbay, E.; Him, Z.; J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2014, 385, 18.
- Parida, K. M.; Mallick, S.; J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 275, 77.
- Sarkar, A.; Ghosh, S. K.; Pramanik, P.; *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 2010, 327, 73.

Submitted: August 20, 2015 Published online: December 16, 2015