SAMPLE SIZE FOR SINGLE, DOUBLE AND THREE-WAY HYBRID CORN EAR TRAITS

Lindolfo Storck[1]*; Sidinei José Lopes[1]; Alberto Cargnelutti Filho[2]; Luiz Fernando Dias Martini[1]; Melissa Pisaroglo de Carvalho[1]

[1]*UFSM - Depto. de Fitotecnia - 97105-900 - Santa Maria, RS - Brasil.*
[2]*UNESP/FCA - Depto. Ciências Exatas - 14884-900 - Jaboticabal, SP - Brasil.*
*Corresponding author <lindolfo@smail.ufsm.br>

ABSTRACT: Using adequate sample size in experimental units improves the efficiency of the research. In the agricultural year of 2004/2005, an experiment was conducted in Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil, with the objective of estimating sample size for the following traits: ear length, ear and cob diameter, ear weight, weight of grains per ear, cob weight and the weight of 100 grains, number of grain rows per ear, number of grains per ear and length of grains for two single hybrids (P30F33 and P Flex), two three-way hybrids (AG8021 and DG501) and two double hybrids (AG2060 and DKB701) of maize. For a 5% (D5) precision, the weight traits (dehusked ear weight, weight of grains per ear, cob weight and weight of 100 grains) can be sampled with 21 ears; the size traits (ear length, ear diameter, cob diameter and grain length) with eight ears; and the number traits (number of grain and rows) with 13 ears. Sample size varies as a function of ear trait and the type of hybrid i.e. single, three-way or double. Genetic variability among ears does not correspond to the increasing genetic variability i.e. single, three-way and double for the sample size of traits per ear.
Key words: *Zea mays* L., experimental accuracy, sampling

TAMANHO DE AMOSTRA PARA CARACTERÍSTICAS DE ESPIGAS DE MILHO HÍBRIDO SIMPLES, DUPLO E TRIPLO

RESUMO: O uso dos tamanhos de amostras adequados nas unidades experimentais melhora a eficiência da pesquisa. Foi conduzido um experimento no ano agrícola 2004/2005 em Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, com o objetivo de estimar o tamanho de amostra para o comprimento de espiga, o diâmetro de espiga e de sabugo, o peso da espiga, dos grãos por espiga, do sabugo e de 100 grãos, o número de fileiras de grãos por espiga, o número de grãos por espiga e o comprimento dos grãos de dois híbridos simples (P30F33 e P Flex), dois híbridos triplos (AG8021 e DG501) e dois híbridos duplos (AG2060 e DKB701) de milho. Para uma precisão de 5% (D5), características de peso (peso de espiga despalhada, de grãos, de sabugo e de 100 grãos) podem ser amostradas com 21 espigas, características de tamanho (comprimento de espiga e de grão, diâmetro de espiga e de sabugo) com oito espigas, e dados de contagem (número de grãos e de fileiras) com 13 espigas. O tamanho de amostra é variável em função da característica da espiga e do tipo de híbrido: simples, triplo ou duplo. A variabilidade genética existente entre os híbridos de milho, na forma crescente: simples, triplo e duplo, não reflete na mesma ordem no tamanho de amostra de caracteres da espiga.
Palavras-chave: *Zea mays* L., precisão experimental, amostragem

INTRODUCTION

The assessment of genotypes in maize improvement programs is made difficult due to the large number of measurements in each experimental unit. Although sampling number is an alternative, it is necessary to know beforehand the population variance and the desired accuracy degree for each trait to be assessed, as well as possible genetic interferences (Martin et al., 2005a; 2005b) when creating a single random sampling.

In finite populations there are three basic alternatives for sampling: random sampling, systematic sampling and arbitrary sampling (Steel et al., 1997). Therefore, the difference between the amount estimated in the sample and the amount of the same parameter in the population is known as sampling error, which obviously decreases as the sample size increases.

Sampling methods were investigated by Silva & Sousa (1991), Conceição et al. (1993), Silva et al. (1993) and Fernandes & Silva (1996). These authors

produced information on different methods of random sampling, for the variable height of plants, ear insertion and number of tassel branches. Apart from these authors, Silva et al. (1998), using simple sampling, concluded that 11 ears are required per plot to estimate the number of grains per ear, cob length and weight. Martin et al. (2005b), using simple sampling, concluded that 24 ears per plot are required in six replications per genotype, thus obtaining the semi-amplitude of the confidence intervals bellow 10% of the average, to assess the ear length and diameter, yield of grains and weight of 100 grains. Also, the simple sampling of ears in plots with the same number of plants distributed in two or three rows provided more experimental accuracy than when distributed in a single row (Palomino et al., 2000).

The objective of this study is to estimate sample sizes to assess different traits of single, double and three-way hybrid maize ears.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted between October 21, 2004 (sowing) and March 18, 2005 (harvest), in Santa Maria, State Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (29°43' S; 53°45' W, altitute 95 m) in a Typic Hapludalf - Brunizem Hidromórfico (Embrapa, 1999). Six treatments were comprised of two single hybrids (P30F33 and P Flex), two three-way hybrids (AG8021 and DG501) and two double hybrids (AG2060 and DKB701), all representing early maize varieties. The management of the crop was according to a technical recommendation for the conventional cultivation of maize in the state of Rio Grande do Sul (Embrapa, 2006). The experiment was implemented according to a randomized complete block design with three replications, using plots of two rows of five meters length, 0.8 meters between rows and 55,000 plants ha^{-1} density, approximately 40 plants plot[-1].

Plots were evaluated in relation to the number of days from sowing until 50% of blooming plants, plant height and ear insertion (mean of five plants), number of plants and ears, as well as yield of grains at 13% humidity. These variables were submitted to analysis of variance.

In each plot, five corn ears were randomly sampled for the assessment of the ear length (EL), ear diameter (ED) and cob diameter (CD), dehusked cob weight (EW), grain weight per ear (WG), cob weight (CW), weight of 100 grains (W100), number of grain rows per ear (NR), number of grains per ear (NG) and average length of grains (LG), estimated as being half of the difference between the dehusked ear diameter

and the cob. These variables were submitted to analysis of variance according to the same experimental design with sampling. The average variance and coefficient of variation (average of the three blocks) were also estimated for each hybrid. For each hybrid, the variance was estimated as the variation between ears within the block, with 12 degrees of freedom.

Estimates of the sample size were obtained according to the formula $n_0 = t_{\alpha/2}^2 CV^2/D^2$, following the study of Martin et al. (2005b), where CV is the coefficient of variation of the sampling error (in percentage), D is the half-amplitude of the confidence interval (in percentage) for the average (it was established that D=5% and D=10%) and t is the critical value of the t distribution, at a 5% (two sides) level of error probability. The size of estimated samples refers to the estimate, at 5% precision (D5) or 10% (D10) of the estimated mean, in the experimental unit. Considering that the plants population (or the ears population) in the experimental units (plot) is finite (N, approximately, equal to 40), the size of the sample was adjusted (using N=40) for $n = n_0/(1 + n_0/N)$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

No differences were observed for yield of grains between the six hybrids assessed, whose average was equal to 8.75 t ha^{-1}. The adequate management (fertilization, irrigation and weed control), the adequate plant average density (54.3 thousand plants ha^{-1}) which is close to the average number of ears (52.4 thousand ha^{-1}), and of the population installed in the experiment (55000 plants ha^{-1}), resulted in this yield, and could be the reason why these hybrids presented a similar yield of grains (Table 1) although having significant differences between the number of days from sowing to flower and plant height. Because plant density among hybrids was uniform, the sample size was adjusted using de same value (N = 40) for any plot.

The coefficient of variation of grain yield (17.1%) is considered as average, according to the classification of Lúcio et al. (1999). This is explained by the significant interaction block x hybrid (experimental error) for the variable weight of grains per ear (Table 2) as a negative aspect. Therefore, differences in relation to the weight of ear grains can vary according to the block (environment) for one hybrid and does not vary among hybrids.

The difference between hybrids for plant height (variation amplitude equal to 54 cm) and cycle (variation amplitude equal to five days) can be due to the different types of hybrids (single, three-way and double), which comprised the experiment. No hybrid

Table 1 - Analysis of variance, mean, minimum and maximum value and coefficient of variation (CV) in relation to the number of days from sowing to flower (Days), to the plant height (PH) and ear insertion (EI, cm), the number of plants (NP) and ears (NE, thousands ha^{-1}) and the yield of grains (t ha^{-1}) of six hybrids (two single, two three-way and two double) of early corn.

Sources of Variations	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square					
		Days	PH	EI	NP	NE	Yield
Blocks	2	0.39	62.0	8.7	17.3	12.8	4.24
Hybrids	5	3.79*	435.5*	116.6^{ns}	18.9^{ns}	45.5^{ns}	3.94^{ns}
Error	10	0.65	100.1	42.3	8.1	27.7	2.23
Mean		73.6	230.0	143.3	54.3	52.4	8.75
Minimum		71	196	128	46.5	38.7	4.27
Maximum		76	250	160	62.5	62.5	10.92
CV(%)		1.1	4.3	4.5	5.2	10.1	17.1

*Significant through the F test at 5%. ^{ns}Non significant.

Table 2 - Analysis of variance, mean and coefficient of variations (CV, %) for ear length (EL), ear diameter (ED) and cob diameter (CD), ear weight (EW), weight of grains per ear (WG), cob weight (CW), weight of 100 grains (W100), number of grain rows per ear (NR), number of grains per ear (NG) and length (mm) of grains (LG) of six hybrids (two single, two three-way and two double) of early corn.

Sources of Variation	DF	Mean Square									
		EL	ED	CD	EW	WG	CW	W100	NR	NG	LG
		---------- mm ----------			-------------------- g --------------------						mm
Blocks	2	3144	22.6	1.7	9988	7908	131.7	106.2	2.2	9529	3.55
Hybrids	5	616*	102.5*	112.9*	6015^{ns}	4667^{ns}	806^{ns}	26.9^{ns}	18.8*	69495*	13.66*
Exp. Error	10	944*	28.1*	4.92^{ns}	6078*	4691*	273.9*	61.5*	2.2^{ns}	7821^{ns}	4.14*
Sampl. Error	72	183	5.7	2.86	1150	890	138.5	19.6	2.2	6170	0.865
Mean	-	174.6	48.8	28.1	231.1	196.2	34.9	32.4	15.9	606.5	10.3
Exp. CV	-	17.6	10.8	7.8	33.7	34.9	47.4	24.2	9.3	14.6	19.7
Am CV	-	7.7	4.9	6.0	14.6	15.2	33.7	13.6	9.3	12.9	9.0

*Significant for the F test at 5%. ^{ns}Non significant. DF = degrees of freedom.

effect was observed for the number of plants and ears. This result was expected because of the initial population of plants (55,000 plants ha^{-1}) is the same for all hybrids and the unlimited conditions of water and nutrients.

For 70% of the assessed traits in corn ears, the experimental error (variation between plots) was significant at a 5% level of probability (Table 2). In such cases, the use of a greater number of replications due to a smaller number of corn ears per experimental unit (by keeping the total number of corn ears per hybrid) is more efficient for the reduction of the estimated average variance for a certain hybrid (Barbin, 2003). As a result, it is possible to redesign the experimental design to a greater number of replications (Martin et al., 2005b).

In relation to ear traits i.e. weight, grain weight, cob weight and weight of 100 grains, the effect of the hybrid was not significant, probably due to the significance of the experimental error, increased by block × hybrid interaction (Table 2). In these cases, differences in ear traits among hybrids depend on the block (environment), which makes the sample size dependent on the genotype (Martin et al., 2005b). For the variables of which the experimental error effect was significant, the estimated sample size (Table 3) was much greater (average of 21 ears, for D5) than for the other six traits with hybrids with significant differences (average of 10 ears, for D5). This fact shows the influence of the environmental variation over these traits and therefore requires more attention from researchers.

The sample size for each hybrid for an accuracy of 5% (D5), show (Table 3) that for one trait (cob diameter), the single hybrid displays the greatest sample size. For the other four traits (ear length, weight of grains per ear, dehusked ear weight and cob weight), the triple hybrid displays the greatest sample size; for

Table 3 - Mean, variance (Var), coefficient of variation (CV %) and sample size for each hybrid, for the half 95% confidence interval, equal to 5% (D5) and 10% (D10) of the mean, for single hybrid maize ear traits (P30F33 and P Flex), three-way (AG8021 and DG501) and double (AG2060 and DKB701) early corn.

Hybrid	Mean	Var	CV	D5	D10	Mean	Var	CV	D5	D10
	Ear length (mm)					Ear diameter (mm)				
P30F33	178.0	220.9	8.3	9	5	50.2	7.50	5.4	6	2
P Flex	164.6	77.9	5.4	6	2	51.2	6.40	4.9	6	2
AG 8021	175.9	506.4	12.8	16	7	46.3	3.23	3.9	5	1
DG 501	177.7	114.7	6.0	6	3	47.4	4.77	4.6	7	2
AG 2060	169.5	86.33	5.5	6	2	51.8	6.56	4.9	6	2
DKB 701	182.1	92.1	5.3	6	2	45.8	5.83	5.3	6	2
General	174.6	183	7.7	9	5	48.8	5.7	4.9	6	2
	Cob diameter (mm)					Dehusked ear weight (g)				
P30F33	29.3	5.730	8.0	9	5	239.4	1338.1	15.3	19	9
P Flex	28.6	2.00	4.9	6	2	225.1	811.4	12.6	16	7
AG 8021	23.5	1.77	5.7	6	3	228.1	1252.1	15.5	19	9
DG 501	29.6	1.93	4.7	7	2	224.0	1086.4	14.7	18	8
AG 2060	30.8	4.47	6.8	7	4	265.0	1760.9	15.8	20	9
DKB 701	26.3	1.27	4.3	6	1	204.8	652.8	12.5	15	7
General	28.1	2.86	6.0	6	3	231.1	1150	14.6	18	8
	Weight of grains per ear (g)					Cob weight (g)				
P30F33	204.8	909.0	14.7	18	8	34.6	135.7	33.7	32	21
P Flex	197.3	685.3	13.3	17	7	27.8	11.3	12.1	15	6
AG 8021	195.3	1251.8	18.1	22	10	32.7	503.6	68.5	37	32
DG 501	177.9	772.8	15.6	19	9	46.1	82.3	19.7	24	12
AG 2060	224.4	1141.8	15.1	19	8	40.6	78.6	21.8	26	13
DKB 701	177.5	578.5	13.5	17	7	27.3	19.9	16.3	20	9
General	196.2	890	15.2	19	8	34.9	138.5	33.7	32	21
	Weight of 100 grains (g)					Number of grain rows per ear				
P30F33	33.2	35.10	17.8	22	10	16.7	3.07	10.5	13	6
P Flex	33.5	12.47	10.5	13	6	15.5	2.13	9.4	11	7
AG 8021	33.8	17.57	12.4	15	7	14.5	0.80	6.1	6	3
DG 501	31.1	14.10	12.1	15	6	16.4	1.33	7.0	8	4
AG 2060	30.5	16.83	13.4	17	7	17.5	4.00	11.4	14	6
DKB 701	32.3	21.43	14.3	18	8	14.9	1.87	9.1	11	6
General	32.4	19.6	13.6	17	7	15.9	2.2	9.3	11	7
	Number of grains per ear					Grain length (mm)				
P30F33	607	2432	8.1	9	5	10.2	0.85	9.0	10	6
P Flex	589	3334	9.8	12	6	11.3	0.87	8.3	9	5
AG 8021	579	6997	14.4	18	8	11.4	0.52	6.3	7	3
DG 501	571	4918	12.3	15	6	8.9	0.60	8.7	10	6
AG 2060	740	13893	15.9	20	9	10.5	1.27	10.7	13	6
DKB 701	553	5448	13.3	17	7	9.8	1.08	10.6	13	6
General	606.5	6170	12.9	16	7	10.3	0.865	9.0	10	6

the other three traits (number of grain rows per ear, number of grains per ear and average size of grains), the double hybrid has the greatest sample size. For the final trait (ear diameter and weight of 100 grain) there was a draw between the single and double hybrids. These results show that there is variability among hybrids, which does not follow a tendency of genetic variability between single, three-way and double hybrids. Naspolini Filho (1975) confirms that the theory of variance for grain yield is increasing from the single hybrid towards three-way and double hybrids and the cultivated variety. Martin et al. (2005a) also observed the influence on the type of hybrid over the estimated number of replications in experiments, without, however, confirming the increase in sample size in the logical tendency of variability of single, three-way and double hybrids, and the cultivated variety. There is a hybrid type effect that researchers should take into consideration with respect to the genotype to be used for dimensioning the sample size for an accurate assessment of corn ear traits.

Considering that sample size varies as a function of hybrid and trait, and that the average sample size contemplates, in general, a larger number of traits, it would be possible to use an average sample size classified by groups of observed traits. So, for an accuracy of 5% (D5), the weight traits (dehusked ear weight, weight of grains per ear, cob weight and weight of 100 grains) can be sampled with 21 ears; the size traits (ear length, ear diameter, cob diameter and grain length) can be sampled with eight ears; and the number traits (number of grain and rows) with 13 ears.

It would also be possible to opt for the sample size of the most important trait for the experiment. As three replications were used in the study and the increase in the number of replications is more efficient than the increase in the sample size to improve experimental accuracy, the use of four or five replications and a smaller sample size could improve experimental accuracy for future experiments. This study found a lower lesser sample size in relation to those found by Martin et al. (2005b), which estimated the sample size equal to 24 ears per plot, using six replications per genotype, in relation to the traits of ear length and diameter, yield of grains and weight of 100 grains with 10% precision (D10). On the other hand, Fernandes & Silva (1996) concluded that the sample size for the ear length and diameter and the number of grains per corn ear should be 11 ears per plot, without specifying accuracy.

In a study with half-sib families of a corn variety, Resende & Souza Jr. (1997) found the same level of precision for the variables of plant height and ear insertion height. This result was confirmed with the hybrids from this experiment. In the same study, the environment influenced the accuracy of the variables on a differentiated basis i.e. in the best environmental condition (fertile soils) the yield of grains was beneficiated by the experimental precision, while the plant height and the ear insertion were damaged. Under acid soil conditions the contrary effect was observed.

Since the number of replications is more important to reduce the estimated average variance, it is possible to redistribute the sample size estimated for a number of replications. For instance, nine ears could be used in seven replications instead of using 21 ears per plot in three replications, thus keeping the total number (63) of ears per hybrid in the experiment unchanged. It is not possible to estimate, in this case, the exact gain in the precision by using this rearrangement of the experimental and sampling plans.

CONCLUSION

Sample size is variable as a function of the trait assessed in the corn ear and the hybrid type: single, three-way or double.

The existing genetic variability among maize hybrids on an increasing basis: single, three-way and double does not occur in the same order as in the sample size of traits of the ear.

REFERENCES

BBARBIN, D. **Planejamento e análise estatística de experimentos agronômicos.** Arapongas: Midas, 2003. 208p.
CONCEIÇÃO, M.M.; SILVA, P.S.L.; MACHADO, A.A. Efeitos de bordadura e amostragem em experimentos com milho. **Ciência Agronômica**, v.24, p.63-69, 1993.
EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUARIA. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos. **Sistema brasileiro de classificação dos solos**. Brasília: Embrapa Solos, 1999. 412p.
EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUARIA. **Indicações técnicas para o cultivo de milho e de sorgo no Rio Grande do Sul**. Passo Fundo: Embrapa Trigo, 2006. 184p.
FERNANDES, E.N.; SILVA, P.S.L. Tamanho de amostra e método de amostragem para caracteres da espiga do milho. **Ciência e Agrotecnologia**, v.20, p.252-256, 1996.
LÚCIO, A.D.; STORCK, L.; BANZATTO, D.A. Classificação dos experimentos de competição de cultivares quanto a sua precisão. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Gaúcha**, v.5, p.99-103, 1999.
MARTIN, T.N.; STORCK, L.; LÚCIO, A.D.; CARVALHO, M.P.; SANTOS, P.M. Bases genéticas de milho e alterações no plano experimental. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v.40, p.35-40, 2005a.
MARTIN, T.N.; STORCK, L.; LÚCIO, A.D.; LORENTZ, L.H. Plano amostral em parcelas de milho para avaliação de atributos de espigas. **Ciência Rural**, v.35, p.1257-1262, 2005b.
NASPOLINI FILHO, V. Variabilidade fenotípica e estabilidade em híbridos simples, híbridos duplos, variedades e compostos de milho (*Zea mays* L.). Piracicaba: USP/ESALQ, 1975. 68f. (Dissertação - Mestrado em Agronomia).
PALOMINO, E.C.; RAMALHO, M.A.P.; FERREIRA, D.F. Tamanho da amostra para avaliação de famílias de meios-irmãos de milho. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v.35, p.1433-1439, 2000.

RESENDE, M.D.V.; SOUZA JR., C.L. Numero de repetições e tamanho da parcela para seleção de progênies de milho em solos sob cerrado e fértil. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v.32, p.1101-1108, 1997.

SILVA, J.; SILVA, P.S.L.; RAIMUNDO, P.N. Amostragem e tamanho da amostra na estimação de caracteres da espiga do milho. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira,** v.33, p.1983-1988, 1998.

SILVA, P.S.L.; SOUSA, R.P. **Técnicas experimentais para o milho**. Mossoró: Fundação Guimarães Duque; ESAM, 1991. 192p.

SILVA, P.S.L.; SILVA, G.S.; RODRIGUES, M.V.; MACHADO, A.A. Método de amostragem e tamanho de amostra para alguns caracteres de milho. **Ciência Agronômica,** v.24, p.5-10, 1993.

STEEL, R.G.D.; TORRIE, J.H.; DICKEY, D. **Principles and procedures of statistics**: a biometrical approach. 3.ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1997. 666p.