

of the tumor and its relationship with the surgical margins is one of the most striking features of the Munich method.

Moreover, the aforementioned authors confuse the surgical margin with the surgical border, stating that the Mohs method, which is peripheral, examines the surgical margin and not the hypothetical surgical border (*i.e.*, the section that is deposited on the microscope slide after the sectioning of the block).

While perhaps not identical, the Munich technique should at least have been referred to by the authors as the original idea, since it has been widely described in the literature, including in *Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia*.

Financial support

None declared.

Authors' contributions

Airá Novello Vilar: Approval of the final version of the manuscript; conception and planning of the study; drafting and editing of the manuscript.

Arthur César Farah Ferreira: Critical review of the literature; critical review of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

On variations in micrographic surgery and the use of horizontal histological sections in the evaluation of the surgical margin – Reply[☆]



Dear Editor,

In their article, Portela et al. proposed a new way of evaluating the product of tumor enucleation using horizontal histological sections.¹

Apart from the discussion on the different techniques of micrographic surgery, including the Munich technique,² the similarity between the technique presented by Portela et al. and the Munich technique relates to the way the tissue is sectioned for histological analysis, *i.e.*, in horizontal or parallel sections to the skin surface. However, several differences can be listed. Enucleation or debulking is not necessarily performed through a vertical incision. Most of the time, the incision is tangential to the skin surface. The sections of the surgical specimen, as proposed by Portela et al., are

References

- Kopke LFF, Konz B. The fundamental differences among the variations of micrographic surgery. *An Bras Dermatol.* 1994;69:505–10.
- Kopke LFF, Konz B. Mikrographische Chirurgie. Eine methodische Bestandsaufnahme. *Hautarzt.* 1995;46:607–14.
- Davis DA, Pellowski DM, Hanke CW. Preparation of frozen sections. *Dermatol Surg.* 2004;30:1479–85.
- Dogan MM, Snow SN, Lo J. Rapid skin edge elevation using the OCT compound droplet technique to obtain horizontal micro-sections in Mohs micrographic surgery. *J Dermatol Surg Oncol.* 1991;17:857–60.
- Portela PS, Teixeira DA, Machado CDAS, Pinhal MAS, Paschoal FM. Horizontal histological sections in the preliminary evaluation of basal cell carcinoma submitted to Mohs micrographic surgery. *An Bras Dermatol.* 2019;94:671–6.

Airá Novello Vilar *, Arthur César Farah Ferreira

Private Clinic, Concórdia, SC, Brazil

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: airanovellovilar@hotmail.com (A.N. Vilar).

Received 27 February 2020; accepted 10 April 2020

Available online 16 May 2020

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abd.2020.04.001>

0365-0596 / © 2020 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Brasileira de Dermatologia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

made from the surface to the bottom, contrary to what is done in the Munich technique. This is justified, as the main objective of the histological evaluation by horizontal sections of the enucleated tumor is to allow a better analysis of the histological subtype and the tumor site. Therefore, it is more logical that the sections start on the surface, the level where the tumor is already present. The subsequent assessment of the surgical borders and margins in the study by Portela et al. was carried out as recommended in Mohs micrographic surgery.

It is worth mentioning that horizontal histological sections, also termed transverse sections, have been used for decades in dermatopathology, such as in hair follicle diseases and in the correlation between dermatoscopy, confocal reflectance microscopy, and histopathology.^{3–5}

Therefore, the study did not aim to describe a new micrographic surgery technique, since Mohs micrographic surgery was used in the peripheral control of the margins. Nevertheless, the debate on the different types of micrographic surgery is of great importance, due to its growing diffusion and the progressive increase in the number of micrographic surgeons in Brazil.

Financial support

None declared.

[☆] How to cite this article: Paschoal FM. On variations in micrographic surgery and the use of horizontal histological sections in the evaluation of the surgical margin – Reply. *An Bras Dermatol.* 2020;95:548–9.

Author's contributions

Francisco Macedo Paschoal: Approval of the final version of the manuscript; drafting and editing of the manuscript; critical review of the literature; critical review of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

References

1. Portela PS, Teixeira DA, Machado CDAS, Pinhal MAS, Paschoal FM. Horizontal histological sections in the preliminary evaluation of basal cell carcinoma submitted to Mohs micrographic surgery. *An Bras Dermatol.* 2019;94:671–6.
2. Kopke LFF, Konz B. The fundamental differences among the variations of micrographic surgery. *An Bras Dermatol.* 1994;69: 505–10.
3. Rezze GG, Scramin AP, Neves RI, Landman G. Structural correlations between dermoscopic features of cutaneous melanomas and histopathology using transverse sections. *Am J Dermatopathol.* 2006;28:13–20.
4. Braga JC, Macedo MP, Pinto C, Duprat J, Begnami MD, Pellacani G, et al. Learning reflectance confocal microscopy of melanocytic skin lesions through histopathologic transversal sections. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e81205, eCollection.
5. Hedington JT. Transverse microscopic anatomy of the human scalp: a basis for a morphometric approach to disorders of the hair follicle. *Arch Dermatol.* 1984;120:449–56.

Francisco Macedo Paschoal 

Dermatology Sector, Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Santo André, SP, Brazil

E-mail: frpasch@uol.com.br

Received 10 February 2020; accepted 10 April 2020

Available online 17 May 2020

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abd.2020.04.002>

0365-0596/ © 2020 Sociedade Brasileira de Dermatologia.

Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).