



The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



Letter to the editor

Evaluation of in-house *mpt64* real-time PCR for rapid detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in pulmonary and extra-pulmonary specimens

Dear Editor,

Early diagnosis of tuberculosis (TB) is essential for effective treatment of patients; however, traditional diagnostic modalities suffer from low sensitivity and are inadequate for rapid identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Molecular methods targeting detection of *M. tuberculosis* DNA might represent an alternative, being more sensitive and rapid. IS6110 has been widely used as a target for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based diagnosis of TB, although some *M. tuberculosis* isolates may not have a copy of this element, thereby reducing the sensitivity of IS6110 PCR.¹ The gene encoding *mpt64*, a secretory mycobacterial antigen present only in *M. tuberculosis* complex,² has been found to be useful for diagnosis of TB.^{3,4} We evaluated the utility of in-house *mpt64* real-time PCR (RT-PCR) for the diagnosis of *M. tuberculosis* in pulmonary and extra-pulmonary specimens at a tertiary care center, the Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, in Northern India.

A total of 102 (72 cases, 30 controls) pulmonary and extra-pulmonary specimens from admitted patients were evaluated by *mpt64* real-time PCR, conventional tests (smear for Ziehl-Neelsen's [ZN] acid-fast staining, Lowenstein-Jensen [LJ], and BACTEC MGIT 960 culture), and IS6110 PCR, from July to December, 2010. Informed consent was obtained from the patients, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the PGIMER. The patients were categorized as follows: group A (n=30) were controls with no evidence of *M. tuberculosis* infection; group B (n=51) included patients with clinical features of TB with laboratory confirmation based on ZN staining and/or culture positivity; and group C (n=21) were clinically diagnosed TB patients who were AFB smear- and culture-negative but had clinical, histopathological, and radiological evidence of TB. In-house *mpt64* RT-PCR was performed using the following primers: *mpt64* (forward) – 5'CCTCGGCCACATACCAGTCC3' and *mpt64* (reverse) – 5'TGTC-CGGTCTGCTTGCTCAG3', and IS6110 PCR was carried out as

described previously.⁵ *M. tuberculosis* H37RV was used as positive control, and PCR grade water was used as negative control. The outcome parameters, i.e., sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were calculated using groups B and C (B+C) as the reference standard.

All the tests were found to be negative in the control group, thus showing a specificity of 100% (95% CI; 88.4-100). Of the 72 patients (groups B+C), 31 (43.1%, 95% CI; 31.4-55.3) were smear-positive, 47 (65.3%, 95% CI; 53.1-76.1) were culture-positive, *mpt64* RT-PCR was positive in 48 (76.4%, 95% CI; 64.9-85.6), and IS6110 could detect TB in 37 (52%, 95% CI; 39.3-63.4) patients, thus *mpt64* RT-PCR detected the maximum number of cases. Of the 51 patients in group B, 37 (72.5%) were detected by IS6110 PCR and 43 (84.3%) by *mpt64* RT-PCR assay, while of the 21 clinically diagnosed patients (smear- and culture-negative), *mpt64* RT-PCR was positive in five (23.8%) patients, thus it detected five additional patients.

Amongst the 50 pulmonary specimens of group B+C (44+6), *mpt64* RT-PCR was positive in 37 patients, showing a sensitivity of 74% (95% CI; 59.7-85.4), while the sensitivity of IS6110 PCR was found to be 66% (95% CI; 51.2-78.8). Amongst the 22 extra-pulmonary specimens, *mpt64* RT-PCR showed the highest sensitivity and was able to detect 11 (50%, 95% CI; 28.2-71.8) patients; IS6110 PCR was positive in four (18%, 95% CI; 5.2-40.3) patients, while AFB smear and culture were positive in four (18%, 95% CI; 5.2-40.3) and five (22.7%, 95% CI; 7.8-45.4) patients respectively (Table 1).

In our study, *M. tuberculosis* infection in pulmonary and extra-pulmonary specimens could be detected with a higher analytical sensitivity by the *mpt64* RT-PCR assay when compared to IS6110 PCR and other conventional diagnostic modalities. IS6110 PCR may fail to detect many such cases, as has been previously reported,^{6,7} indicating the need to have alternate gene targets for the diagnosis of TB. We observed that *mpt64* RT-PCR detected 11 of the 22 extra-pulmonary specimens, while culture and IS6110 PCR could detect only five and four patients, respectively. Also, in clinically diagnosed TB patients (group C), *mpt64* RT-PCR was positive in five patients,

Table 1 – Comparison of results in pulmonary and extra-pulmonary specimens (controls, n = 30).

Group	Pulmonary (n = 50)					Extra-pulmonary (n = 22)				
	Positive	Sn (95% CI)	Sp (95% CI)	PPV (95% CI)	NPV (95% CI)	Positive	Sn (95% CI)	Sp (95% CI)	PPV (95% CI)	NPV (95% CI)
Smear	27	54.0 (39.3–68.2)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (87.2–100)	56.6 (42.3–70.2)	4	18.2 (5.2–40.3)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (39.8–100)	62.5 (47.4–76.1)
Culture	42	84.0 (70.9–92.8)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (91.6–100)	79.0 (62.7–90.5)	5	22.73 (7.8–45.4)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (47.8–100)	63.8 (48.5–77.3)
IS6110 PCR	33	66.0 (51.2–78.8)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (89.4–100.0)	63.8 (48.5–77.3)	4	18.2 (5.2–40.3)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (39.8–100)	62.5 (47.4–76.1)
<i>mpt64</i> RT-PCR	37	74.0 (59.7–85.4)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (90.5–100)	69.8 (53.9–82.8)	11	50.0 (28.2–71.8)	100 (88.4–100)	100 (71.5–100)	73.2 (57.1–85.8)

CI, confidence interval; Sn, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.

while IS6110 PCR, culture, and smear examination missed these patients; thus, *mpt64* RT-PCR detected the maximum number of cases from paucibacillary specimens.

In conclusion, an integrated approach for the early identification of TB is the need of the hour. Molecular diagnosis by RT-PCR using *mpt64* is useful for early detection of TB, and is especially helpful to secure the diagnosis in extra-pulmonary smear-negative cases.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare to have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Moatter T, Mirza S, Siddiqui MS, Soomro IN. Detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in paraffin embedded intestinal tissue specimens by polymerase chain reaction: characterization of IS6110 element negative strains. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 1998;48:174–8.
- Purohit MR, Mustafa T, Wiker GH, Morkve O, Sviland L. Immunohistochemical diagnosis of abdominal and lymph node tuberculosis by detecting *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex specific antigen MPT64. *Diagn Pathol.* 2007;2:36.
- Bhanu NV, Singh UB, Chakraborty M, et al. Improved diagnostic value of PCR in the diagnosis of female genital tuberculosis leading to infertility. *J Med Microbiol.* 2005;54:927–31.
- Singh UB, Bhanu NV, Suresh VN, Arora J, Rana T, Seth P. Utility of polymerase chain reaction in diagnosis of tuberculosis from samples of bone marrow aspirate. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2006;75:960–3.
- Eisenach KD, Cave MD, Bates JH, Crawford JT. Polymerase chain reaction amplification of repetitive DNA sequence specific for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Infect Dis.* 1990;161:977–81.
- Das SD, Narayanan S, Hari L, et al. Differentiation of highly prevalent IS6110 single-copy strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from rural community in South India with an ongoing DOTS programme. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2005;5:67–77.
- Siddiqi N, Shamim M, Jain NK, et al. Molecular genetic analysis of multi-drug resistance in Indian isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 1998;93:589–94.

Sunil Sethi *, Rakesh Yadav, Abhishek Mewara,
Sunil Kumar Dhatwalia, Meera Sharma
Department of Medical Microbiology, Postgraduate Institute of
Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

Dheeraj Gupta
Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Postgraduate Institute of
Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

* Corresponding author at: Department of Medical Microbiology,
Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research,
Chandigarh, 160012, India.
E-mail address: sunilsethi10@hotmail.com (S. Sethi).

Received 15 February 2012

Accepted 6 March 2012

Available online 12 September 2012

1413-8670/\$ – see front matter

© 2012 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2012.08.010>