



# The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

[www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid)



## Brief communication

# Evaluation of resistance acquisition during tuberculosis treatment using whole genome sequencing



Cinara Silva Feliciano<sup>a</sup>, Jessica Rodrigues Praça<sup>c</sup>, Kamila Peronni<sup>b,c</sup>,  
Wilson Araújo Silva Jr<sup>b,c</sup>, Valdes Roberto Bollela<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Department of Genetics, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Center for Medical Genomics, Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Ribeirão Preto, Brazil

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 28 October 2015

Accepted 5 January 2016

Available online 20 March 2016

### Keywords:

Tuberculosis

Drug resistant tuberculosis

Drug susceptibility tests

Whole genome sequencing

## ABSTRACT

Tuberculosis (TB) is still considered a major global public health problem in the world and there is a concern about the worldwide increase of drug-resistance (DR). This paper describes the analysis of three *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from a single patient collected over a long treatment period of time. DR was initially investigated through phenotypic testing, followed by line probe assays (LPAs) and whole genome sequencing (WGS). It presents an intriguing situation where a multidrug-resistant (MDR-) TB case was diagnosed and treated based only on late phenotypic drug susceptibility testing of isolate 1. During the treatment, another two isolates were cultivated: isolate 2, nine months after starting MDR-TB treatment; and isolate 3, cultivated five months later, during regular use of anti-TB drugs. These two isolates were evaluated using molecular LPA and WGS, retrospectively. All mutations detected by LPA were also detected in the WGS, including conversion from fluoroquinolones susceptibility to resistance from isolate 2 to isolate 3. WGS showed additional mutations, including some which may confer resistance to other drugs not tested (terizidone/cycloserine) and mutations with no correspondent resistance in drug susceptibility testing (streptomycin and second-line injectable drugs).

© 2016 Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Tuberculosis (TB) is still considered a major global public health problem, and Brazil is the 16th country in absolute number of cases. Despite consistent advances achieved with control measures, there are still major challenges to face the growing resistance to anti-tuberculosis drugs in several countries, including Brazil.<sup>1</sup> Molecular epidemiology

studies of *M. tuberculosis* have gained emphasis among clinical researchers. New knowledge on the TB pathogenesis and its causative agent could be the key to the development of new control strategies.<sup>2,3</sup> Recently, the World Health Organization set the Global “Stop TB Plan” to find patients harboring resistant strains of *M. tuberculosis*. This initiative has been

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [vbollela@gmail.com](mailto:vbollela@gmail.com) (V. Roberto Bollela).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2016.01.004>

1413-8670/© 2016 Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

important to test first-line TB drugs and promoting research to develop new drugs, vaccines, and diagnostic strategies.<sup>1,4</sup>

Among the techniques used, whole genomic sequencing (WGS) is worth mentioning, especially for the investigation of bacilli resistance. This approach enables accurate assessment of mutations related to bacilli resistance to several TB drugs. This information would allow the development of new diagnostic methods and therapeutic strategies applied for disease control.<sup>5</sup>

Outhred et al. advocate the use of WGS for all multidrug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* isolates as an alternative plan to improve patient care, monitor for transmission events, and contribute to better understanding of resistance-associated mutations.<sup>6</sup>

This study describes a challenging case of treatment failure of a patient under MDR-TB therapy and reports phenotypic and molecular drug resistance test results in correlation to mutations identified with a whole genome sequencing analysis.

Three isolates of *M. tuberculosis* from a single patient, during different time points of his treatment, were evaluated based on phenotyping and genotyping testing: isolate 1 was collected before the patient started the follow-up in the reference center, when clinical and microbiological failure was diagnosed, despite regular TB treatment with rifampicin (R), isoniazid (H), pyrazinamide (Z) and ethambutol (E); isolate 2 was collected in the ninth month of MDR-TB treatment (other clinical and microbiological failure); and isolate 3 was obtained on the 13th month of MDR-TB treatment.

A nonradiometric phenotypic susceptibility testing was performed in liquid medium (MGIT 960; Becton Dickinson Diagnostic Systems, Sparks, MD) for isolate 1. Besides this susceptibility phenotypic testing, two line probe assays (LPA), Genotype MTBDRplus and MTBDRsl (Hain Lifescience, GmbH, Germany), were performed in isolates 2 and 3. Genotype MTBDRplus evaluates the main mutations associated with rifampicin (*rpoB* gene mutations) and isoniazid (*katG* e *inhA* mutations) resistance. Genotype MTBDRsl detects mutations related with resistance to fluoroquinolones (*gyrA* gene mutations), second-line injectable drugs (SLID) (*rrs* gene mutations) and ethambutol (*embB* gene mutations).<sup>7,8</sup> Finally, the two stored samples (isolates 2 and 3) were submitted to WGS analysis using Illumina MiSeq Sequencing System (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). LPAs and WGS tests were performed with stored isolates 2 and 3 at the end of the patient's treatment. Therefore, this information was not available to the clinician during the treatment. Isolate 1 was not tested again because it was not viable.

Generated reads with phred scale score superior to 30 was mapped with BWA v0.7.5a program (Burrows-Wheeler Alignment Tool) using the reference genome *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv. Conversion from sequence alignment map format to sorted, indexed BAM files was done using SAMtools (version 0.1.19). PCR-duplicates were removed using the MarkDuplicates option of the Picard software tools (version 1.61). The variants were found according to the pipeline SAMtools/BCFtools v 0.1.18 and annotated with SnpEff v 4.0. Databases *TB Drug Resistance Mutation Database*<sup>9</sup> and *M. tb Drug Resistance Directed Sequencing Database*<sup>10</sup> were used to identify mutations described for the TB bacilli. All detected mutations

were confirmed based on *TB profiler online* tool, described by Coll et al.,<sup>11</sup> to remove single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at drug resistance loci which were historically misclassified as drug resistance markers.

This project was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the Hospital of the Ribeirão Preto Medical School of the University of São Paulo (protocol number: 944117 – February 1, 2015).

The patient was initially treated with RHZE for six months. During the last month clinical and microbiological failure (acid-fast smear positive and respiratory symptoms) was diagnosed. This *M. tuberculosis* isolate showed R and H resistance in the first phenotypic susceptibility testing. The patient was referred to the regional TB drug resistance center and his treatment was switched to streptomycin (Sm), E, ofloxacin (FQ), Z, and terizidone (Tz), following the Brazilian guidelines for the management of MDR-TB. During the first nine months of treatment, there was a transient improvement followed by recurrence of symptoms. Sputum culture was collected and the same MDR-TB treatment was maintained until the 13th month. The therapy was empirically optimized by adding ethionamide, extending the period of streptomycin and increasing the local support for directly observed treatment, while the results of phenotypic testing from isolate 3 were still pending. The treatment was successfully completed after 24 months, with clinical and microbiological cure. After that, instigated by this unusual and intriguing case and its outcome, we decided to carry on molecular studies on the patient's isolates 2 and 3.

Isolate 1 showed resistance to R and H in the phenotypic test. Phenotypic testing of isolate 2 showed resistance to these two drugs and also to Z. The LPA of this isolate showed resistance to R (lost wild type 8 and gained *rpoB* S450L mutation), H (lost wild type and gained *KatG* S315T1 mutation), and E (lost wild type 1 and gained *embB* M306V mutation). Isolate 3 showed resistance to R, I, E (same mutations described in isolate 2), and acquired a new resistance pattern to FQ (*gyrA* D94G mutation) in the LPA test. Phenotypic testing of isolate 3, which became available close to the end of the patient's treatment, showed resistance to the above mentioned drugs and also to Z. The critical concentrations of Bactec-MGIT 960<sup>TM</sup> reported by the manufacturer's drug susceptibility testing (DST) protocol were as follows: H: 0.10 µg/mL; R: 1.0 µg/mL; EM: 5.0 µg/mL; Sm: 1.0 µg/mL; Z: 100 µg/mL; FQ (ofloxacin): 2 µg/mL; Amikacin 1.0 µg/mL; Capreomycin 2.5 µg/mL. The susceptibility profile of isolates in the phenotypic test and LPA are described in [Table 1](#).

The WGS analysis of these two strains generated the total of 43,036,497 reads (28,171,267 for strain 1 and 14,865,230 for strain 2), with an average coverage of 469× for the isolate 2 and 244× for the isolate 3. Bioinformatics analysis reported 11 mutations already described as associated with resistance in isolate 2 and 12 mutations in isolate 3 ([Table 2](#)). Additional mutation in *gyrA* gene (D94G) was identified in isolate 3, which is one of the most frequent mutations associated with resistance to FQ, and is tested by the LPA Genotype MTBDRsl. Although all mutations showed by LPA were validated by WGS, additional mutations were detected, including those conferring resistance to other drugs despite bacilli susceptibility demonstrated in the phenotypic drug susceptibility testing

**Table 1 – Results of phenotypic and LPA tests: susceptibility profile of tested drugs.**

	Isolate 1 detected resistance	Isolate 2 detected resistance	Isolate 3 detected resistance
Phenotypic drug susceptibility testing (DST)	Rifampicin; Isoniazid <sup>a</sup>	Rifampicin; Isoniazid; Pyrazinamide <sup>b</sup>	Rifampicin; Isoniazid Ofloxacin; Pyrazinamide Ethambutol <sup>c</sup>
Genotype MTBDRplus (R; H)	NA	Rifampicin Isoniazid	Rifampicin Isoniazid
Genotype MTBDRsl (E; FQ; SLID)	NA	Ethambutol	Ethambutol FQ
WGS	NA	Available (see Table 2)	Available (see Table 2)

NA, not available; R, rifampicin; H, isoniazid; E, ethambutol; FQ, fluoroquinolones; SLID, second line injectable drugs.

<sup>a</sup> Isolate 1 collected before MDR-TB treatment – susceptible to E and Sm. SLID not tested.

<sup>b</sup> Isolate 2 collected in the ninth month of MDR-TB treatment – susceptible to E, SLID and FQ.

<sup>c</sup> Isolate 3 collected on the 13th month of MDR-TB treatment – susceptible to Sm and SLID.

**Table 2 – Whole genomic sequencing mutations identified in isolates 2 and 3.**

Gene	Associated drug	Genomic position	Mutation	Strain 2 mutation	Strain 3 mutation	Reference allele	Mutated allele	Variant type
<i>gyrA</i>	Fluoroquinolones	7362	E21Q	Yes	Yes	G	C	Missense
<i>gyrA</i>	Fluoroquinolones	7582	D94G <sup>a</sup>	No	Yes	A	G	Missense
<i>rpoB</i>	Rifampicin	759939	P45T	Yes	Yes	C	A	Missense
<i>rpoB</i>	Rifampicin	761155	S450L	Yes	Yes	C	T	Missense
<i>rpsL</i>	Streptomycin	781395	–	Yes	Yes	T	C	Promoter gene
<i>tlyA</i>	Aminoglycosides	1917972	L11L	Yes	Yes	A	G	Synonymous
<i>katG</i>	Isoniazid	2154915	E399E	Yes	Yes	A	G	Synonymous
<i>katG</i>	Isoniazid	2155168	S315T	Yes	Yes	G	C	Missense
<i>pncA</i>	Pyrazinamide	2289039	W68L	Yes	Yes	G	T	Missense
<i>alr</i>	Cycloserine	3840719	L234L	Yes	Yes	A	G	Synonymous
<i>alr</i>	Cycloserine	3841403	E6D	Yes	Yes	G	T	Missense
<i>embB</i>	Ethambutol	4247429	M306V	Yes	Yes	A	G	Missense

<sup>a</sup> Mutation detected only in the isolate 3, by whole genomic sequencing. Except for mutation D94G, the SNPs reads for isolate 2 and 3 were 100% identical, with no signs of heteroresistance.

(*gyrA* E21Q in isolate 2, *rpsL* promoter gene in genomic position 781395, *tlyA* L11L for isolates 2 and 3).

The relation between genome mutations and phenotypic resistance is particularly important for therapeutic decision, because different mutations can cause different resistance profiles or not even cause any phenotypic resistance.<sup>12</sup> Coll et al. compiled a library of mutations predictive of drug resistance, and removed phylogenetic SNPs at drug resistance loci, which were historically misclassified as drug resistance markers.<sup>11</sup>

WGS has great application potential to detect bacilli resistance in clinical practice. However, further work is needed to determine additional resistance polymorphisms as it should be noted that high positive predictive values are crucial for drug resistance tests where the consequence of a false positive result may lead to unnecessary treatment and prolonged patient isolation.<sup>11</sup>

The WGS of *M. tuberculosis* is a great advance in the knowledge of bacilli resistance, as well as in the clinical management of TB. This technique presents greater discriminatory power, enabling analysis of additional mutations, not possible to be assessed by other methods. WGS has the potential for clinical use, as mentioned by other authors<sup>6,11-14</sup> for fast and accurate assessments in cases of illness caused by strains resistant

to multiple drugs, with an impact on therapeutic decisions. However, this is a high-cost technology and it is still critical to understand and standardize correlations between genotypic and phenotypic resistance to really optimize its clinical use.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

- World Health Organization (WHO). Global tuberculosis report 2014. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- Gomes HM, Elias AR, Oelemann MAC, et al. Spoligotypes of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex isolates from patients residents of 11 states of Brazil. *Infect Genet Evol*. 2012;12(4):649–56, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.08.027>. Epub 2011 Sep 1.
- García De Viedma D, Mokrousov I, Rastogi N. Innovations in the molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*. 2011;29 Suppl 1:8–13, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0213-005X\(11\)70012-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0213-005X(11)70012-X).

4. World Health Organization (WHO). The global plan to stop TB 2011–2015. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
5. Iliina EN, Shitikov EA, Ikryannikova LN, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug resistant strains from Russia. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e56577.
6. Outhred AC, Jefs P, Suliman B, et al. Added value of whole genome sequencing for management of highly drug-resistant TB. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(4):1198–202.
7. World Health Organization (WHO). Molecular line probe assays for rapid screening of patients at risk of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008.
8. Brossier F, Veziris N, Aubry A, et al. Detection by genotype MTBDRsl test of complex mechanisms of resistance to second-line drugs and ethambutol in multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex isolates. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2010;48:1683–9.
9. Sandgren A, Strong M, Muthukrishnan P, et al. Tuberculosis drug resistance mutation database. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6:e2.
10. Broad Institute. Available at: [http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/genome/mtb\\_drug\\_resistance.1/MultiHome.htm](http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/genome/mtb_drug_resistance.1/MultiHome.htm).
11. Coll F, McNerney R, Preston MD, et al. Rapid determination of anti-tuberculosis drug resistance from whole-genome sequences. *Genome Med*. 2015;7:51.
12. Witney AA, Gould KA, Arnold A, et al. Clinical application of whole-genome sequencing to inform treatment for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis cases. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2015;53:1473–83.
13. Liu F, Hu Y, Wang Q, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates. *BMC Genomics*. 2014;15:469.
14. Clark TG, Mallard K, Cell F, et al. Elucidating emergence and transmission of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in treatment experienced patients by whole genome sequencing. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e83012.