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#### Abstract

Background: Health has dynamic conditions and overlapping pathophysiological factors. For health prevention and promotion, actions are necessary to understand the most common risk combinations. Objective: Describe noncommunicable chronic diseases (NCDs) clusters and investigate specific multimorbidity combinations in Brazilian adults and older adults. Method: This study used data from Vigitel 2013 survey held in the Brazilian capitals ( 52,929 interviews). A self-report of diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and obesity was used. The analyses were the descriptive cluster of NCDs and an adjusted binary logistic regression (odds ratio [OR]), stratified by age. Results: Among adults, the clusters of diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and obesity ( $O / E=18.74$ ) and diabetes, hypertension, and obesity $(O / E=16.83)$ were higher. There was a higher clustering between diabetes and obesity ( $O / E=7.25$ ). Among adults, diabetes was associated with dyslipidemia (OR: 3.04), hypertension (OR: 3.84), and hypertension with obesity (OR: 3.34). In older adults, hypertension was associated with diabetes (OR: 2.79), dyslipidemia (OR: 2.06), and obesity (OR: 2.26). Conclusion: Other diseases combined with diabetes and hypertension were more frequent in adults and older adults. It is suggested to combine preventive and control measures for these diseases for the non-occurrence of new diagnoses.
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#### Abstract

Resumo Introdução: A saúde apresenta condições dinâmicas e fatores fisiopatológicos sobrepostos. Para ações de prevenção e promoção da saúde é necessário entender as combinações comuns de risco. Objetivo: Descrever os agrupamentos de doenças crônicas não transmissíveis (DCNT) e investigar combinações específicas de multimorbidade em adultos e idosos no Brasil. Método: Este estudo utilizou dados da pesquisa Vigitel 2013, realizada nas capitais brasileiras (total de 52.929 entrevistas). Foi utilizado um relato de diabetes, dislipidemia, hipertensão e obesidade. Nas análises foram utilizados o agrupamento descritivo de DCNT e uma regressão logística binária ajustada (razão de odds [RO]), estratificada por idade. Resultados: Entre os adultos, os grupos de diabetes, dislipidemia, hipertensão e obesidade ( $O$ / $E=18,74$ ), bem como diabetes, hipertensão e obesidade ( $O / E=16,83$ ) foram maiores. Nos idosos, houve maior agrupamento entre diabetes e obesidade ( $O / E=7,25$ ). Entre os adultos, o diabetes foi associado à dislipidemia (RO: 3,04) e hipertensão (RO: 3,84), enquanto a hipertensão à obesidade (RO: 3,34). Nos idosos, a hipertensão foi associada a diabetes (RO: 2,79), dislipidemia (RO: 2,06) e obesidade (RO: 2,26).


[^0]Conclusão: Os agrupamentos de outras doenças combinadas com diabetes e hipertensão foram mais frequentes em adultos e idosos. Sugere-se que além das medidas existentes de prevenção para essas doenças também sejam propostas medidas de controle para a não ocorrência de novos diagnósticos.
Palavras-chave: doença crônica; grupos diagnósticos relacionados; indicador de risco; análise por conglomerados; estudos transversais.

## INTRODUCTION

Multimorbidity, or multiple medical conditions in a single individual, is considered a growing global health program ${ }^{1}$. Primarily, this health condition's existence was mainly in that older and better health services access ${ }^{1}$. However, different income countries increase the multimorbidity reports incidence and better understand the causes, impact, and treatment ${ }^{1}$.

A standard disease classification reported around the world that is easily observed in multimorbidity is NCDs ${ }^{2}$. NCDs change the affected systems' physiological processes, which weaken health and expose individuals to other pathologies. Because NCDs are multifactorial diseases ${ }^{3}$, a new diagnosis can still be linked to modifiable risk factors maintained during more extended periods, which are similar for most NCDs, and favor the appearance of simultaneous diagnosis ${ }^{4,5}$.

The complexity of individual NCDs promotes patient exposure to new chronic diseases ${ }^{6-11}$. For this reason, NCDs should be analyzed according to their pathophysiological and drug and behavioral complexities ${ }^{12}$. There are different types of NCDs, and it is crucial to prioritize the investigations of those with more significant economic impact and population prevalence, the vascular-metabolic ones ${ }^{13}$. In adults and older adults, a standard disease classification reported in multimorbidity is also cardio-metabolic².

As mentioned before, the different understanding of causes, impact, and treatment 1 is still lacking in multimorbidity investigation. As an epidemiological approach, cluster analyses can offer the most prevalent combinations between diseases. Health is a complex of dynamic conditions and overlapping pathophysiological factors, resulting in risk factors and comorbidities concomitance ${ }^{13}$, making it necessary to understand the most common combinations. This information could be important for public health direction in primary prevention and prevision of the impact caused by types of treatment, considering that in middle-income countries, these investigations are few ${ }^{1}$.

Thus, it is necessary to identify the most grouped diagnosis to direct the necessary measures to prevent multimorbidity. In this context, the aim was to describe noncommunicable chronic diseases (NCDs) clusters and investigate specific multimorbidity combinations in Brazilian adults and older adults.

## METHOD

The present study is a secondary analysis of a cross-sectional population-based survey, the National System of Surveillance of Risk Factors and Protection for Chronic Noncommunicable Diseases (Vigitel), carried out between February and December 2013, in the capitals of the 27 federative units of Brazil. The target population was adults ( $\geq 18$ years) who had at least one fixed telephone line at the residence. The sampling process included criteria to estimate the variables of risk factors and protection of NCD with a $95 \%$ confidence level and a maximum error of approximately three percentage points, estimating a minimum of 2,000 respondents per capital. The entire process of selecting eligible subjects has been published previously by the Ministry of Health ${ }^{14}$ and Monteiro et al. ${ }^{15}$. Among 74,005 eligible participants, 52,929 subjects were included ( $71.5 \%$ response rate). There was post-stratification weighting for each interviewee, calculated using the 'rake' method ${ }^{14}$. The instrument used was a previously validated questionnaire containing sociodemographic, behavioral, nutritional, and health factors. Data collection was performed via a telephone interview, which relied on computer resources to assist the interviewer in this process.

The outcome and exposure variables were NCDs' occurrences separately and as multimorbidities ( $\geq 2$ diseases) ${ }^{1}$. The considered diseases were those included in the survey: diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, as measured by an affirmative answer to the questions "Has any doctor ever told you that you have diabetes/dyslipidemia/high blood pressure?" Obesity was defined as a body mass index of $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or higher, calculated by the interviewee's self-reported weight and height. The data were allocated using the "hot deck"technique due to blank answers for this variable.

The descriptive analysis included estimating the prevalence and clusters of age-stratified NCDs in which clusters were identified by 16 possible combinations of disease diagnosis (yes or no) in each option presented (diabetes, dyslipidemia, arterial hypertension, and obesity). The observed ( O )/expected ( E ) ratio was calculated between the O and E prevalence, with their respective $95 \%$ confidence intervals ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cls}$ ). The expected prevalence for the independence of NCDs was assumed by multiplying the individual probability of each diagnosis in the study population: $\mathrm{E}=(1$-Pdiabetes $) \times(1-$ Pdislipidemia $) \times(1-$ Patertial hypertension $) \times(1-$ Pobesity $)$. In the inferential analysis, binary logistic regression was performed, with data expressed as odds ratio (OR) and their $95 \%$ confidence interval ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ), to identify the association of the coexistence of combinations of existing diseases in the sample. There was the first-level adjustment for sex (male; female), age (continuum variable), marital status (living with or without a partner), skin color (brown/black or white), and demographic macro-regions (South; Southeast; Central West; North East; North); second-level for schooling ( $<8 ; 8$ to 11; >11 years of study); and third-level for television time per day ( $\geq 2$ hours) and physical activity in leisure-time and commuting per week ( $>10 ; 10$ to $149 ; \geq 150$ minutes). The statistical modeling had a critical level of $p \leq 0.20$ for permanence in the regression's hierarchical conceptual model. The significance level adopted for both the crude and adjusted analyses was 5\% (p <0.05). Stata ${ }^{\circ}$ (Stata Corporation, College Station, USA), version 13.0 was used for all analyses. All analyses considered the sample weight as the inverse of the number of existing telephone lines of the domicile and adults living in the interviewee's home.

Free and informed consent was assumed by verbal consent, since it was a telephone survey, upon approval of the National Commission for Ethics in Research for Human Subjects of the Ministry of Health (opinion no. 355.590).

## RESULTS

Among 52,929 subjects, most adults and older adults were females ( $52.9 \%$ and $59.5 \%$, respectively). The mean age of adults and older adults was $36.2 \pm 11.2$ and $69.4 \pm 13.3$ years, respectively. The most significant proportion of adults reported living without a partner (52.0\%), had brow/black skin color (55.1\%) predominantly and had 9 to 11 years of education (41.1\%). In turn, the majority of the older adults reported living with a partner ( $56.9 \%$ ), having a white skin color ( $61.3 \%$ ), and 0 to 8 years of education ( $69.3 \%$ ). Among NCDs, the majority of adults reported not having diabetes (95.8\%), dyslipidemia ( $82.6 \%$ ), arterial hypertension ( $82.4 \%$ ), or obesity ( $83.4 \%$ ), while the majority of older adults reported having arterial hypertension (58.2\%).

Table 1 shows the prevalence of 16 different possible clusters of the four NCDs (diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and obesity). In adults, the most frequent combinations were diabetes and hypertension (3.4\%), hypertension and obesity (2.9\%), and diabetes and dyslipidemia ( $2.2 \%$ ). The observed prevalence of the combination of all four was 18.7 -fold higher than the expected prevalence if they occurred independently. For the combination of diabetes, hypertension, and obesity, this value was 16.8 -fold the expected; 3.5 -fold for diabetes, dyslipidemia, and obesity; 7.1-fold for diabetes and obesity; 3.3-fold for diabetes and dyslipidemia; and, finally, 1.2-fold for hypertension and obesity (Table 1).

Among the older adults (Table 2), the most prevalent pairs combinations were $12.2 \%$ (hypertension and obesity) and $6.3 \%$ (diabetes and obesity). The combinations of three diseases in older adults included dyslipidemia, hypertension, and obesity (5.6\%), followed by diabetes, hypertension, and obesity (4.3\%). Considering the NCDs' observation, multimorbidity was the same as those observed in adults, except for diabetes and dyslipidemia.

Table 1. Clustering of different NCDs for multimorbidity in adults. Brazil, 2013 ( $n=37,947$ )

| Number of NCDs | NCDs |  |  |  | n | \% ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{O}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{E}$ | O/E (95\%CI) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diabetes | Dyslipidemia | Hypertension | Obesity |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | + | + | + | + | 215 | 0.58 | 0.03 | 18.74 (13.64;24.46) |
| 3 | + | - | + | + | 702 | 1.94 | 0.12 | 16.83 (14.12;19.78) |
|  | + | + | - | + | 167 | 0.43 | 0.12 | 3.48 (1.32;7.66) |
|  | + | + | + | - | 72 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 1.29 (0.03;7.50) |
|  | - | + | + | + | 282 | 0.7 | 0.64 | 1.09 (0.22;3.08) |
| 2 | + | - | - | + | 1,163 | 3.27 | 0.46 | 7.10 (5.72;8.777) |
|  | + | + | - | - | 844 | 2.16 | 0.65 | 3.31 (2.22;4.76) |
|  | - | - | + | + | 1,368 | 2.94 | 2.40 | 1.23 (0.72;2.04) |
|  | + | - | + | - | 101 | 0.3 | 0.61 | 0.49 (0.00;3.59) |
|  | - | + | + | - | 252 | 0.67 | 3.40 | 0.20 (0.01;2.19) |
|  | - | + | - | + | 188 | 0.47 | 2.57 | 0.18 (0.00;1.94) |
| 1 | + | - | - | - | 2,597 | 7.64 | 2.43 | 3.14 (2.52;3.90) |
|  | - | + | - | - | 2,641 | 6.65 | 13.58 | 0.49 (0.26;0.84) |
|  | - | - | + | - | 3,741 | 8.5 | 12.66 | 0.67 (0.43;0.98) |
|  | - | - | - | + | 301 | 0.8 | 9.58 | 0.08 (0.08;2.38) |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | 20,003 | 62.74 | 50.58 | 1.24 (0.08;1.83) |

Notes: NCDs - noncommunicable chronic diseases; $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ - $95 \%$ confidence interval; O - observed values; E - expect values ${ }^{a}$ Weighted values ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Confidence interval of $95 \%$ in the weighted sample

Table 2. Clustering of different NCDs for multimorbidity in older adults. Brazil, 2013 ( $n=14,982$ )

| Number of NCDs | NCDs |  |  |  | n | $\%^{\text {a }} \mathrm{O}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ E | O/E (95\%CI) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diabetes | Dyslipidemia | Hypertension | Obesity |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | + | + | + | + | 303 | 2.70 | 0.70 | 3.87 (2.06;6.82) |
| 3 | + | - | + | + | 533 | 4.30 | 1.12 | 3.83 (2.06;6.82) |
|  | + | + | - | + | 222 | 2.20 | 0.54 | 4.08 (1.87;7.56) |
|  | + | + | + | - | 40 | 0.40 | 3.41 | 0.12 (0.00;8.81) |
|  | - | + | + | + | 632 | 5.60 | 2.97 | 1.89 (1.00;2029) |
| 2 | + | - | - | + | 689 | 6.30 | 0.87 | 7.25 (5.43;9.46) |
|  | + | + | - | - | 175 | 1.60 | 2.64 | 0.61 (0.01;3.14) |
|  | - | - | + | + | 1,693 | 12.20 | 4.77 | 2.56 (18.4;3.41) |
|  | + | - | + | - | 74 | 0.50 | 5.50 | 0.09 (0.0;4.86) |
|  | - | + | + | - | 580 | 5.70 | 14.52 | 0.39 (0.04;1.24) |
|  | - | + | - | + | 206 | 1.60 | 2.29 | 0.70 (0.01;2.67) |
| 1 | + | - | - | - | 407 | 3.40 | 4.25 | 0.80 (0.15;2.14) |
|  | - | + | - | - | 2,330 | 18.50 | 11.24 | 1.65 (1.16;2.23) |
|  | - | - | + | - | 1,245 | 8.10 | 23.39 | 0.35 (0.07;0.08) |
|  | - | - | - | + | 325 | 2.50 | 3.69 | 0.68 (0.01;2.21) |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | 3,097 | 24.40 | 18.09 | 1.35 (0.09;1.83) |

Notes: NCDs - noncommunicable chronic diseases; $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ - $95 \%$ confidence interval; O - observed values; E - expect values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted values ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Confidence interval of $95 \%$ in the weighted sample

The highest values were the combinations of diabetes and obesity $(O / E=7.25)$ and diabetes, dyslipidemia, and obesity ( $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{E}=4.08$ ).

Table 3 presents the odds ratio for adults with specific combinations of two NCDs as a multimorbidity. Pairs of NCDs were the presence of diabetes and dyslipidemia (OR: 3.04), diabetes and hypertension (OR: 3.84), and hypertension and obesity (OR: 3.34).

Table 4 shows the association of multimorbidity combinations in older adults, with a higher odds ratio for diabetes with hypertension (OR: 2.79), dyslipidemia with hypertension (OR: 2.06), and, finally, hypertension and obesity (OR: 2.26).

Table 4. Prevalence of multimorbidity coexistence with two specific NCDs in older adults. Brazil, 2013 ( $\mathrm{n}=14,982$ )

| Variable | (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Crude |  | Model 1 |  | Model 2 |  | Model 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ORa}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (95 \% \mathrm{IC}) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR }^{a} \\ (95 \% I C) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ORa }^{\text {a }} \\ \text { (95\%IC) } \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ORa}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (95 \% \mathrm{IC}) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ |
| Diabetes and Dyslipidemia | 10.7 | $\begin{gathered} 2.02 \\ (1.69 ; 2.41) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \\ (1.73 ; 2.61) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.00 \\ (1.67 ; 2.39) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 1.96 \\ (1.63 ; 2.35) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Diabetes and Hypertension | 16.5 | $\begin{gathered} 2.92 \\ (2.42 ; 3.52) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.17 \\ (2.56 ; 3.93) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.84 \\ (2.34 ; 3.44) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.79 \\ (2.30 ; 3.39) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Diabetes and Obesity | 5.7 | $\begin{gathered} 1.50 \\ (1.19 ; 1.88) \end{gathered}$ | 0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 1.68 \\ (1.30 ; 2.17) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 1.44 \\ (1.14 ; 1.82) \end{gathered}$ | 0.002 | $\begin{gathered} 1.36 \\ (1.07 ; 1.73) \end{gathered}$ | 0.011 |
| Dyslipidemia and Hypertension | 25.8 | $\begin{gathered} 2.16 \\ (1.87 ; 2.51) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.16 \\ (1.82 ; 2.55) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.08 \\ (1.78 ; 2.42) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.06 \\ (1.77 ; 2.39) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Dyslipidemia and Obesity | 9.0 | $\begin{gathered} 1.33 \\ (1.09 ; 1.63) \end{gathered}$ | 0.005 | $\begin{gathered} 1.28 \\ (1.01 ; 1.61) \end{gathered}$ | 0.041 | $\begin{gathered} 1.27 \\ (1.03 ; 1.57) \end{gathered}$ | 0.025 | $\begin{gathered} 1.23 \\ (1.00 ; 1.51) \end{gathered}$ | 0.051 |
| Hypertension and Obesity | 15.4 | $\begin{gathered} 2.26 \\ (1.81 ; 2.83) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.42 \\ (1.89 ; 3.10) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.36 \\ (1.84 ; 3.04) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.26 \\ (1.76 ; 2.91) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |

Notes: NCDs - noncommunicable chronic diseases; OR - odds ratio; 95\%CI - 95\% confidence interval. Analysis adjusted for sex, age, marital status, skin color, demographic macroregion (first level), education level (second level), and for physical activity in the commuting and leisure-time domains, and daily television time (third level) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted values

Table 3. Prevalence of multimorbidity coexistence with two specific NCDs in adults. Brazil, 2013 ( $\mathrm{n}=37,947$ )

| Variable | (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Crude |  | Model 1 |  | Model 2 |  | Model 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ORa}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (95 \% \mathrm{IC}) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ORa}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (95 \% \mathrm{IC}) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { OR }^{\mathbf{a}} \\ (95 \% I \mathrm{C}) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ORa}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (95 \% \mathrm{IC}) \end{gathered}$ | $p$ |
| Diabetes and Dyslipidemia | 2.0 | $\begin{gathered} 4.84 \\ (3.95 ; 5.93) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.90 \\ (2.27 ; 3.69) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.09 \\ (2.48 ; 3.85) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.04 \\ (2.43 ; 3.79) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Diabetes and Hypertension | 2.4 | $\begin{gathered} 7.42 \\ (6.05 ; 9.09) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 4.12 \\ (3.27 ; 5.19) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.87 \\ (3.12 ; 4.79) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.84 \\ (3.10 ; 4.76) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Diabetes and Obesity | 1.5 | $\begin{gathered} 3.02 \\ (2.42 ; 3.77) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.14 \\ (1.67 ; 2.75) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.22 \\ (1.77 ; 2.80) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.20 \\ (1.74 ; 2.79) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Dyslipidemia and Hypertension | 6.4 | $\begin{gathered} 3.71 \\ (3.32 ; 4.14) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.30 \\ (2.03 ; 2.60) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.33 \\ (2.05 ; 2.64) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 2.31 \\ (2.04 ; 2.62) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Dyslipidemia and Obesity | 5.0 | $\begin{gathered} 2.39 \\ (2.12 ; 2.71) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 1.94 \\ (1.68 ; 2.24) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 1.99 \\ (1.75 ; 2.27) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 1.97 \\ (1.72 ; 2.25) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |
| Hypertension and Obesity | 6.3 | $\begin{gathered} 3.97 \\ (3.53 ; 4.48) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.41 \\ (2.98 ; 3.91) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.37 \\ (2.93 ; 3.86) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 | $\begin{gathered} 3.34 \\ (2.91 ; 3.83) \end{gathered}$ | <0.001 |

[^1]
## DISCUSSION

This study aimed to describe NCDs clusters and investigate specific combinations of multimorbidity in adults and older adults in Brazil. The predominantly cluster occurred through the presence of obesity and diabetes in both age groups. The results also indicate that diabetes was associated with dyslipidemia and hypertension and hypertension with obesity in adults. In contrast, hypertension was associated with diabetes and dyslipidemia, and obesity in older adults. It is important to emphasize that in older adults, disease increases more than in adults, and exposure to a new diagnosis, justifies the stratification by age group.

In adults, the most prevalent combination was the absence of NCDs, but the higher impact of diagnostic dependence, visualized from the O/E ratio, was all diseases. The simultaneity was observed 18.7 -fold more often than was expected in other combinations. Although the prevalence of NCDs also affected the adult population to a lesser extent than the older adults, it is currently considered a public health concern due to the relative increase in mortality and expenditures in this age group ${ }^{16}$. It is worth noting that the National Plan for Combating NCDs and the Global Plan has set goals for the reduction of premature mortality by NCDs among individuals between 30 and 69 years of age, given their importance in national and global scenario ${ }^{17-19}$. Like the present study, a survey conducted by Jovic et al. ${ }^{20}$ found most of the adult population had no NCDs.

In contrast, multimorbidity in adults occurred mainly with cardiometabolic diagnosis ${ }^{9}$, consistent with the present study's findings. In this age group, multimorbidity may occur due to pre-existing diseases, which, over time, generate an overload in the body ${ }^{21}$. In decade-long studies, the highest prevalence of multimorbidity occurred with increasing age, especially between 40 and 60 years of age ${ }^{22}$.

In general, adults and older adults more often had diabetes and obesity as multimorbidity, which is justified in the literature by physiological determinants; both are also important cardiovascular risk factors ${ }^{7,9,21}$. Considering that previously the disease exists those are risk factors, which compose the metabolic syndrome ${ }^{23}$, the diagnoses promote a long duration of the body's metabolic overload. All of the metabolic changes due to diabetes and obesity ${ }^{23,24}$, together with the coexistence of risk behaviors such as positive energy balance, increased sedentary behavior, and lack of physical activity, favor the emergence of other diseases dyslipidemias, arterial hypertension, and coronary artery disease¹.

Our findings show that disease clusters in adults are three times more likely when the combination of diagnoses includes diabetes, hypertension, or obesity. It is reasonable to assume that these pathologies may be responsible for a more significant aggregation of diseases, especially their asymptomatic characteristics ${ }^{1}$, which can lead to the multimorbidity occurrence without knowing the first disease that originated the others. Nonetheless, Brazil has several programs returning to public health that must be considered, which bring information to those involved in primary health care, such as the Family Health Program ${ }^{25}$.

In the older adults, the combinations of NCDs multimorbidity were similar to those in adults, with the highest value found for diabetes concomitant with hypertension. Roberts et al. ${ }^{26}$ observed that an increase in blood pressure is related to an approximately seven-fold increase in the risk for multimorbidity in adults and older adults ${ }^{26}$. When considering the number of diseases aggregated with hypertension, Sarkar et al. ${ }^{27}$ reported a mean aggregate value of two per person's multimorbid diseases. In this sense, the older adults in the present study presented a continuity of behavior with that of the grouped diseases identified in adults, indicating that, regardless of age, the combination of diabetes and hypertension is a vital multimorbidity profile. This fact becomes worrying as the advancement of age implies greater exposure to the diseases' adverse effects, mainly attributed to the time of survival of the diagnosis and compromises in progressive functional decline due to the aging process ${ }^{21}$.

The present study has several strengths, including the representativeness of the adult and older adult population, which allows a deeper investigation of the most frequent combinations of diseases to inform future public health measures. The adopted methodology has the reliability that makes it possible to extrapolate the data to the Brazilian population residing in the capitals with a medium-income country's strong sample power.

An analysis was also used to identify the characteristics and magnitudes of the main combinations of diagnosis, leading to inform health promotion and prevention measures. However, some limitations should be considered, such as the self-reporting of diseases in the survey, which requires considering the need for adequate health care to avoid underreporting. In this direction, the BMI missing data needed the hot deck imputation, which required suitable matches of donors to recipients that reflect available covariate information. To minimize that analysis weakness, the sample size and the use of real values should be considered ${ }^{28}$. A limited number of diagnoses were simultaneously evaluated, combining NCDs, based on the events included in the telephone survey questionnaire, which may have made comparability with studies in other countries difficult. Finally, the diagnosis of NCDs did not consider their severity, a fact that may have been reflected in the findings.

In summary, the analysis of Vigitel data from adults and older adults revealed that NCDs' occurrence had two main potential reasons in both age groups, the presence of obesity and diabetes. In adults, diabetes was associated with dyslipidemia and hypertension and hypertension with obesity. In contrast, hypertension was associated with diabetes and dyslipidemia, and obesity in older adults. Thus, health promotion actions should be encouraged not only for patients without a diagnosis but also for those diagnosed with an illness.
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