

Organic food-related educational actions developed by dietitians in Brazilian municipal schools

Ações educativas sobre alimentação orgânica desenvolvidas por nutricionistas em escolas municipais brasileiras

Tayse Valdira VIEIRA¹

Arlete Catarina Tittoni CORSO¹

David Alejandro GONZÁLEZ-CHICA^{1,2}

ABSTRACT

Objective

This study describes educational actions concerning organic foods conducted in Brazilian public schools and investigates how these actions are associated with the weekly workload and duration of employment of the dietician responsible for school meals.

Methods

In 2012 this cross-sectional, census-type study used an electronic questionnaire to collect data from dietitians or others responsible for school meals in all 5,565 Brazilian municipalities. The software Stata 11.0 was used for the statistical analyses.

Results

Although all Brazilian municipalities were contacted, 93.1% (n=5,184) replied. Of these, 94.2% had dietitians in charge of the school meals. Organic food-related educational actions were provided in the schools of 37.9% of the municipalities. The main actions were school gardening (67.1%) and development of educational material (50.7%). Having a dietician responsible for school meals was not associated with the existence of educational actions at school ($p=0.372$). However, municipalities with dietitians in charge of school meals for at least twelve months were 22.0% and 20.0%, respectively, more likely to provide educational actions at school and include the subject 'organic foods' in the municipal school curriculum ($p<0.05$ for both). Dietitians' weekly work hours was directly related to the performance of school gardening-related activities ($p=0.016$).

¹ Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Nutrição. *Campus* Universitário Reitor João David Ferreira Lima, R. Delfino Conti, s/n., Trindade, 88040-900, Florianópolis, SC, Brasil. *Correspondência para/Correspondence to:* DA GONZÁLEZ-CHICA. *E-mail:* <david.epidemio@gmail.com>.

² Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde Coletiva. Florianópolis, SC, Brasil.

Conclusion

The percentage of Brazilian municipalities that provide organic food-related educational actions at school is low. Additionally, the availability of such actions relates to the dietician's duration of employment and weekly work hours.

Indexing terms: School feeding. Food organic. Food and nutrition education. Dietician.

RESUMO

Objetivo

O estudo descreve ações educativas de alimentação orgânica, realizadas nas escolas municipais brasileiras, e investiga sua associação com o tempo de serviço do nutricionista responsável técnico e com a carga horária semanal por ele exercida.

Métodos

Trata-se de estudo transversal do tipo censo que, em 2012, entrevistou, mediante questionário eletrônico, o nutricionista responsável técnico ou outro responsável pela alimentação escolar em todos os 5 565 municípios brasileiros. O software Stata 11.0 foi usado nas análises.

Resultados

Foram contatados todos os municípios brasileiros, dos quais 93,1% responderam (n=5 184). Muito embora 94,2% dos respondentes tenham afirmado possuir nutricionista responsável técnico, em apenas 37,9% dos municípios foram realizadas ações educativas de alimentação orgânica nas escolas, com predomínio de horta escolar (67,1%) e confecção de materiais educativos (50,7%). Não se observou associação entre a presença de nutricionista responsável técnico e a realização de ações educativas ($p=0,372$). Porém, a realização de ações educativas e a inserção do tema alimentação orgânica no Projeto Pedagógico do município foram, respectivamente, 22,0% e 20,0% maiores nos municípios em que o nutricionista responsável técnico trabalhava há pelo menos 12 meses, em relação àqueles em que esse profissional estava no cargo há menos tempo ($p<0,05$ em ambos). Observou-se também uma relação direta entre a carga horária semanal de trabalho do nutricionista responsável técnico e a realização de atividades de horta escolar ($p=0,016$).

Conclusão

O percentual de municípios brasileiros em que são realizadas atividades educativas sobre alimentação orgânica nas escolas, é baixo estando esta atividade relacionada com o tempo de contratação e a carga horária de trabalho dos nutricionistas responsáveis técnicos.

Termos de Indexação: Alimentação escolar. Alimentos orgânicos. Educação alimentar e nutricional. Nutricionista.

INTRODUCTION

The *Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar* (PNAE, National School Food Program) is one of Brazil's oldest social programs in the area of food and nutrition security¹. Implemented in 1955, it currently relies on the transfer of funds to the *Fundo Nacional de Desenvolvimento da Educação* (FNDE, National Education Development Fund). It aims to provide food to students attending basic education (kindergartens, elementary schools, high schools, and education for youth and adults) in Brazilian public and philanthropic schools².

One of *Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar's* objectives is to ensure that all basic education students enrolled in Brazilian public and philanthropic schools develop healthy food habits. These healthy habits can be encouraged by food and nutrition education actions and the provision of nutritious meals³.

Concern with the quality of school meals is constant, and one of the aspects reinforced by PNAE policies is the consumption of organic foods⁴ to hopefully reduce the chronic effects associated with pesticide exposure⁵⁻⁷. Exposure of children and adolescents to pesticides deserves

special attention because vulnerability to certain chemicals is greater during the development of the endocrine, reproductive, immune, visual, and nervous systems⁸. Additionally, consumption of family farm products encourages local production, regional development, and maintenance of farmers in the business³. Hence, Resolution 26 passed on June 17, 2013, determined that foods purchased for school meals should preferably consist of organic and/or agroecological products⁴.

Given the recency of the subject and scarcity of related studies, in 2010 the *Centro Colaborador em Alimentação e Nutrição do Escolar* of Santa Catarina (Cecane/SC, Santa Catarina's Collaboration Center for Schoolchildren's Food and Nutrition) conducted a survey in the state to investigate the presence of family farm and organic products in school meals. One year after the resolution passed, only 54 (20.5%) municipalities were including organic foods in school meals⁹.

To carry out these guidelines and other PNAE policies correctly, dieticians play an essential role in encouraging students to develop healthy food practices and consume family farm and organic products¹⁰. Resolution CD/FNDE n° 26 passed on June 17, 2013, requires the provision of the following food and nutrition actions in schools: supply of healthy foods; inclusion of the subject 'food and nutrition' in the school curriculum; promotion of healthy regional foods and cultural habits; promotion of organic and/or agroecological products and socio-biodiversity; and use of food as a teaching tool in food and nutrition-related educational actions⁴. However, little is known about the actions provided by the dieticians responsible for school meals affiliated with the municipal departments of education and PNAE for this issue, especially regarding educational actions in schools.

Only one study done in 2007 in the Northeast Region interviewed dieticians about this subject¹¹ and found that 33% of the interviewees conducted educational activities regularly.

Hence, despite PNAE's efforts to stimulate the development of healthy food habits, little is known about the educational actions conducted by dieticians in each municipality to encourage the intake of family farm and organic products or whether dieticians' weekly work hours are enough for them to carry out all the duties established by PNAE's policies. Hence, the study of this subject is critical for the creation of a nationwide profile of the educational actions related to family farm and organic foods conducted in schools and to determine whether dieticians are being overworked by PNAE. The present study aims to investigate the presence of organic food-related educational actions in Brazilian municipal schools in 2012 and their possible association with dieticians' weekly work hours and duration of employment.

METHODS

This is a quantitative, exploratory, descriptive, and analytical study. The study population consisted of dieticians, secretaries of education, or the individuals responsible for the school meals served in 5,565 Brazilian municipalities.

A questionnaire was sent to all Brazilian municipalities to collect data. It contained 38 questions divided into three blocks (dietician actions and supply of family farm and organic products).

The first study stage consisted of creating a contact list with the e-mails and telephone numbers of the departments of education and/or of the dieticians responsible for the school meals in all Brazilian municipalities by contacting the state departments of education and the Cecane of other regions and states, and by searching the official sites of the municipal departments of education.

A digital questionnaire created in the "Form" tools of Google Docs was used for data collection. The questionnaire was sent to the municipal contact's e-mail three times at fifteen-

day intervals. If the questionnaire was not answered after three tries, up to two telephone calls were made to sensitize the individual in charge of school meals to the importance of the study. If the questionnaire was still not answered after these telephone calls, a third telephone call was made to interview the person by telephone.

Data were collected from February to September 2012 by a team of eight duly trained individuals. The municipalities were divided homogeneously by state among the data collectors.

The dieticians' characteristics used as exposure variables are weekly work hours, duration of employment, gender, and age. Weekly work hours was considered a discrete variable (total number of hours that the dietician works for the municipality) and analyzed as a categorical variable (<30 hours; 30 hours; 31-60 hours). Duration of employment was also considered a discrete variable (dietician's total duration of employment in the municipality) and analyzed as categorical variable (<12 months; 12-47 months; ≥48 months). These categories considered the usual hiring time of the dieticians in the municipalities¹⁰. The dieticians' demographic variables included gender (female or male) and age (collected as discrete variable and analyzed as categorical variable: 20-29; 30-39; and ≥40 years).

The dependent variables were the educational actions conducted by the dieticians in the schools and included: 1) inclusion of the subject 'organic foods' in the school curriculum; 2) provision of educational actions to encourage the intake of organic foods (yes or no); and 3) types of educational actions provided (school gardening, development of educational material, culinary workshops, recreational activities, hands-on interdisciplinary classes, and field trips to family farms). All these variables were dichotomous (yes/no).

Google Docs automatically created an Excel database to process the data. The database

was then converted by the software Stat Transfer and analyzed by the statistical package Stata 11.0.

The descriptive analyses included the absolute and relative frequencies of the categorical variables with their respective 95% Confidence Interval (95%CI), and the median and interquartile interval (p25-p75) of the discrete variables (weekly work hours and duration of employment).

The descriptive analyses included bivariate analyses by the chi-square test to measure the differences between Brazilian regions and states. Poisson regression measured the association between the exposure variables (presence of dietician, weekly work hours, and duration of employment) with the outcomes 'performance of educational actions at school' and 'inclusion of the subject 'organic foods' in the school curriculum.' Likewise, dietician's duration of employment and weekly work hours were adjusted mutually. After adjustment for confounders, the prevalences were estimated by Stata's command 'margins.' The significance level was set at 5% for all analyses ($p < 0.05$). The percentage of participating municipalities was calculated to determine the sampling weights (weight was defined as the inverse of the probability of replying, by state) of the descriptive and association analyses.

The project was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the *Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina* as determined by Resolution n° 196/96 of the National Health Council (01101512.9.0000.0121, Opinion 21563).

RESULTS

The results were tabulated to organize the data. Table 1 shows that 5,184 municipalities participated in the study, corresponding to 93.1% of the 5,565 Brazilian municipalities. The greatest and smallest participations occurred in the South (98.7%) and North (86.6%) regions, respectively ($p < 0.001$). The states with the highest percentage of respondents were *Mato Grosso do Sul*, *Espírito*

Santo, and *Santa Catarina* (100.0% reply rate), and the lowest, *Maranhão* (75.6%; $p < 0.001$). These reply percentages were used as sampling weights for estimate correction.

Table 1 also shows that 94.2% of the municipalities had a dietician in charge of the school meals, with the Midwest (88.4%) and North (82.1%) regions being below the national average ($p < 0.001$). These percentages also varied within regions. In these two regions, the percentages were smaller in the states of *Mato Grosso* (78.4%) and *Tocantins* (64.6%), respectively. On the other hand, some states in

these same regions had dieticians in charge of school meals in more than 90.0% of their municipalities, such as *Mato Grosso do Sul* (Midwest) and *Pará* and *Roraima* (North).

The respondents in 81.9%, 12.1%, and 6.0% of the municipalities were dieticians, secretaries of education, and other, respectively. The percentages of dieticians who answered the questionnaires were higher in the South (86.7%) and Southeast (87.6%) and smaller in the North (65.3%) ($p < 0.001$ between the former regions and the latter).

Table 1. Municipalities that responded and presence of dieticians by Brazilian regions and states. Brazil, 2012.

Brazilian regions and states	Total municipalities in 2011 ^a	Responders			Have dietician	
	N	N	%	p^*	% (IC95%)	p^*
Brazil	5,565	5,184	93.1	<0.001**	94.2 (93.6; 94.8)	<0.001**
North	448	388	86.6	0.030***	82.1 (78.3; 85.9)	<0.001***
<i>Acre</i>	22	20	90.9		85.0 (67.8; 100.0)	
<i>Amapá</i>	16	13	81.2		76.9 (50.4; 100.0)	
<i>Amazonas</i>	62	48	77.4		81.2 (69.8; 92.7)	
<i>Pará</i>	143	118	82.5		94.9 (90.9; 98.9)	
<i>Rondônia</i>	51	49	96.1		89.8 (81.0; 98.6)	
<i>Roraima</i>	15	13	86.7		100.0 (—)	
<i>Tocantins</i>	139	127	91.4		64.6 (56.1; 73.0)	
Northeast	1,794	1,581	88.1	<0.001***	96.3 (95.4; 97.2)	0.014***
<i>Alagoas</i>	102	90	88.2		98.9 (96.7; 100.0)	
<i>Bahia</i>	417	388	93.0		97.2 (95.5; 98.8)	
<i>Ceará</i>	184	170	92.4		97.1 (94.5; 99.6)	
<i>Maranhão</i>	217	164	75.6		96.3 (93.4; 99.2)	
<i>Paraíba</i>	223	201	90.1		91.5 (87.7; 95.4)	
<i>Pernambuco</i>	185	158	85.4		96.8 (94.1; 99.6)	
<i>Piauí</i>	224	182	81.2		95.1 (91.9; 98.2)	
<i>Rio Grande do Norte</i>	167	156	93.4		97.4 (94.9; 99.9)	
<i>Sergipe</i>	75	72	96.0		100.0 (—)	
Midwest	466	455	97.6	0.123***	88.4 (85.4; 91.3)	<0.001***
<i>Distrito Federal and Goiás</i>	247	238	96.4		91.2 (87.5; 94.8)	
<i>Mato Grosso</i>	141	139	98.6		78.4 (71.5; 85.3)	
<i>Mato Grosso do Sul</i>	78	78	100.0		97.4 (93.8; 100.0)	
Southeast	1,669	1,587	95.1	0.122***	94.7 (93.6; 95.8)	<0.001***
<i>Espírito Santo</i>	78	78	100.0		97.4 (93.8; 100.0)	
<i>Minas Gerais</i>	854	809	94.7		92.2 (90.3; 94.1)	
<i>Rio de Janeiro</i>	92	85	92.4		94.1 (89.0; 99.2)	
<i>São Paulo</i>	645	615	95.3		97.9 (96.7; 99.0)	
South	1,188	1,173	98.7	<0.001***	97.2 (96.2; 98.1)	0.560***
<i>Paraná</i>	399	385	96.5		96.6 (94.8; 98.4)	
<i>Rio Grande do Sul</i>	496	495	99.8		97.8 (96.5; 99.1)	
<i>Santa Catarina</i>	293	293	100.0		96.9 (94.9; 98.9)	

Note: ^aSource: *Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística*. Cities, 2011; *Chi-square heterogeneity; ** p -value of the interregional difference; *** p -value of the difference between same-region states.

Only municipalities with dieticians in charge of school meals (n=4,883) were included in the analyses regarding weekly work hours and duration of employment. Dieticians worked a median of 20 hours per week (interquartile range of 20-30 hours per week). The median duration of employment was 31.5 months (interquartile range of 14-60 months).

Table 2 shows that 4,883 municipalities employ dieticians, and in 56.7% of these

municipalities they work less than 30 hours a week. The two regions that exceeded the national average were the North and the South ($p < 0.001$).

Table 2 also shows that more than two-thirds of the dieticians had worked in the municipality for less than 48 months, especially in the Midwest, Northeast, and North, with percentages in excess of 70% ($p < 0.001$).

Few municipalities (37.9%; 95%CI=36.5-39.3) introduced the subject 'organic foods' in

Table 2. Dieticians weekly work hours, duration of employment, and performance of educational actions by Brazilian region and state. Brazil, 2012.

Brazilian region and state	Dietician works <30h/week			Dietician works <48 months		Dietician performs educational actions		
	N ^a	% (95CI%) ^b	p^*	% (95CI%) ^b	p^*	N ^c	% (95CI%) ^d	p^*
Brazil	4,883	56.7 (55.3; 58.1)	<0.001**	67.9 (66.6; 69.2)	<0.001**	5184	37.9 (36.5; 39.3)	0.054**
North	317	50.3 (44.8; 55.9)	<0.001***	76.6 (71.9; 81.3)	0.015***	388	37.8 (32.7; 43.0)	0.069***
Acre	17	70.6 (46.4; 94.7)		52.9 (26.5; 79.4)		20	41.2 (15.1; 67.3)	
Amapá	10	20.0 (0.0; 50.2)		80.0 (49.8; 100.0)		13	16.7 (0.0; 41.4)	
Amazonas	39	36.8 (20.8; 52.9)		89.5 (79.2; 99.7)		48	46.5 (31.0; 62.0)	
Pará	112	35.7 (26.7; 44.7)		70.5 (62.0; 79.1)		118	31.4 (22.2; 40.5)	
Rondônia	44	43.2 (27.9; 58.4)		70.0 (56.4; 84.5)		49	35.7 (20.6; 50.8)	
Roraima	13	61.5 (30.9; 92.1)		84.6 (61.9; 100.0)		13	15.4 (0.0; 38.1)	
Tocantins	82	81.7 (73.2; 90.2)		85.2 (77.3; 93.1)		127	46.0 (36.7; 55.3)	
Northeast	1,522	65.5 (63.1; 67.9)	0.008***	74.6 (72.3; 76.8)	<0.001***	1581	37.8 (35.3; 40.4)	0.019***
Alagoas	89	68.5 (58.7; 78.4)		57.3 (46.8; 67.8)		90	33.3 (21.9; 44.7)	
Bahia	376	64.0 (59.1; 68.9)		82.3 (78.4; 86.2)		388	37.8 (33.6; 44.0)	
Ceará	165	66.5 (59.2; 73.7)		62.2 (54.7; 69.7)		170	34.7 (27.0; 42.5)	
Maranhão	158	71.3 (64.2; 78.5)		80.8 (74.5; 87.0)		164	39.6 (31.3; 47.8)	
Paraíba	184	72.5 (66.0; 79.1)		76.4 (70.1; 82.6)		201	41.6 (34.5; 48.6)	
Pernambuco	173	54.3 (46.3; 62.3)		72.0 (64.7; 79.3)		158	40.0 (31.8; 48.2)	
Piauí	173	61.3 (53.9; 68.6)		70.0 (63.0; 76.8)		182	36.7 (29.3; 44.2)	
Rio Grande do Norte	152	62.9 (55.1; 70.7)		72.8 (65.7; 80.0)		156	42.7 (34.7; 50.7)	
Sergipe	72	75.7 (65.4; 86.0)		87.1 (79.1; 95.2)		72	13.3 (4.5; 22.2)	
Midwest	402	52.8 (47.9; 57.7)	<0.001***	72.2 (67.8; 76.6)	0.124***	455	39.6 (34.8; 44.4)	0.040***
Goiás and DF	217	63.1 (56.7; 69.6)		76.0 (70.3; 81.8)		238	43.8 (37.0; 50.6)	
Mato Grosso	109	41.3 (31.9; 50.7)		69.7 (61.0; 78.5)		139	39.3 (30.5; 48.1)	
Mato Grosso do Sul	76	39.5 (28.2; 50.7)		64.5 (53.5; 75.5)		78	26.1 (15.2; 37.1)	
Southeast	1,503	45.8 (43.2; 48.3)	<0.001***	62.5 (60.1; 65.0)	<0.001***	1587	35.1 (32.6; 37.6)	0.523***
Espírito Santo	76	36.8 (25.7; 47.9)		71.2 (61.1; 82.1)		78	30.2 (18.5; 41.8)	
Minas Gerais	745	54.8 (51.3; 58.4)		73.0 (69.8; 76.2)		809	36.7 (33.3; 40.2)	
Rio de Janeiro	80	61.2 (50.3; 72.2)		63.3 (52.4; 74.2)		85	35.2 (23.8; 46.6)	
São Paulo	602	33.4 (29.6; 37.2)		48.1 (44.1; 52.2)		615	33.3 (29.1; 37.4)	
South	1,139	62.1 (59.3; 64.9)	<0.001***	61.0 (58.2; 63.9)	0.004***	1173	41.1 (38.2; 44.1)	0.018***
Paraná	153	55.0 (49.9; 60.1)		60.3 (55.3; 65.3)		385	35.1 (30.0; 40.2)	
Rio Grande do Sul	484	70.1 (66.0; 74.2)		56.9 (52.4; 61.4)		495	43.5 (38.9; 48.1)	
Santa Catarina	284	58.1 (52.3; 63.9)		69.0 (63.6; 74.4)		293	45.1 (39.1; 51.1)	

Note: ^aNumber corresponding to municipalities with dieticians in charge of school meals; ^bPercentage in relation to the municipalities that have dieticians in charge of school meals; ^cNumber of responding municipalities; ^dPercentage of responding municipalities; *Chi-square for heterogeneity; ** p -value of the interregional differences; *** p -value of the difference between states in each region. 95%CI: 95% Confidence Interval.

the school curriculum (Table 2). This percentage was slightly higher in the South (41.1%), but the interregional differences were not significant. Again the North and Northeast stand out with some states having percentages below 20.0%, namely *Sergipe* (13.3%), *Amapá* (16.7%), and *Roraima* (15.4%). Percentages above 40.0% were found in *Goiás* and *Distrito Federal* (Midwest), *Paraíba* and *Rio Grande do Norte* (Northeast), *Acre*, *Amazonas*, and *Tocantins* (North), and finally, *Rio Grande do Sul* and *Santa Catarina* (South).

Table 3 shows the main educational actions developed in 1,626 Brazilian municipalities. The main educational action was school gardening (67.1%), followed by development of educational material (50.7%). The North and South had the highest percentages of municipalities (>70.0%) using school gardening as an educational strategy, while the Northeast and Southeast had the lowest (<66%) ($p=0.017$). On the other hand, the regions with the highest percentages of municipalities developing educational materials were the

Midwest and Southeast, and with the smallest, the North and South ($p=0.015$). Culinary workshops were held in 26.3% of the municipalities, and the percentage was highest in the South ($p=0.006$). Other strategies were less common and presented no interregional differences ($p>0.05$ for all cases).

Municipalities with dieticians in charge of school meals were more likely to carry out educational actions at school (38.1%; 95%CI=36.6-39.5 versus 35.4%; 95%CI=29.9-40.9) but the difference was not significant ($p=0.372$; values adjusted for gender, age, and state). Municipalities with dieticians were also more likely to include the subject 'organic foods' in the school curriculum (31.9%; 95%CI=24.0-34.7 versus 29.4%; 95%CI=30.5-33.3), but again, not significantly ($p=0.388$; values adjusted for gender, age, and state).

Table 4 shows the association between the dieticians' weekly work hours and the inclusion of organic foods in the educational actions and

Table 3. Actions taken to introduce the subject organic foods in schools by Brazilian region. Brazil, 2012.

Organic food-related educational actions	Brazil		Brazilian regions					p*
	N ^a	% (95%CI)	North % (95%CI)	Northeast % (95%CI)	Midwest % (95%CI)	Southeast % (95%CI)	South % (95%CI)	
School garden	1,096	67.1 (64.8; 69.4)	73.4 (64.8; 82.1)	62.8 (58.5; 67.0)	69.9 (62.0; 77.7)	65.6 (61.2; 70.0)	72.1 (67.8; 76.4)	0.017
Development of educational material	822	50.7 (48.2; 53.1)	43.1 (33.3; 52.8)	50.1 (46.5; 55.2)	53.8 (45.3; 62.2)	56.1 (51.5; 60.6)	45.5 (40.7; 50.3)	0.015
Culinary workshops	432	26.3 (24.2; 28.4)	24.9 (16.4; 33.3)	23.0 (19.3; 26.7)	19.1 (12.4; 25.8)	27.4 (23.3; 31.5)	32.4 (27.9; 36.9)	0.006
Recreational activities	408	25.2 (23.2; 27.3)	29.3 (20.3; 38.3)	23.1 (19.4; 26.8)	27.3 (19.7; 34.8)	24.3 (20.4; 28.3)	27.0 (22.8; 31.3)	0.498
Hands-on interdisciplinary classes	384	23.7 (21.6; 25.7)	22.3 (14.1; 30.5)	27.1 (23.2; 30.9)	22.0 (15.0; 29.1)	23.4 (19.4; 27.3)	20.4 (16.5; 24.2)	0.190
Field trips to family farms	201	12.2 (10.7; 13.8)	8.4 (3.0; 13.8)	11.5 (8.7; 14.2)	13.9 (8.0; 19.8)	10.8 (7.9; 13.7)	15.4 (11.9; 18.9)	0.155
Other	87	5.3 (4.2; 6.4)	5.4 (1.1; 9.7)	6.4 (4.2; 8.5)	5.9 (1.2; 9.9)	4.6 (2.7; 6.6)	4.5 (2.5; 6.5)	0.700

Note: ^aNumber of municipalities with dieticians in charge of school meals that perform organic food-related educational actions in schools; *Chi-square of the interregional differences. 95%CI: 95% Confidence Interval

Table 4. Dieticians' weekly work hours and duration of employment (months) association with educational actions performed in 2011. Brazil, 2012.

Educational actions	Weekly work hours [†]				Duration of employment (months) [†]			
	<30h (n=2,754)	<30h (n=952)	31-60h (n=1,156)	<i>p</i>	<12m (n=892)	12-47m (n=2,388)	≥48m (n=1,570)	<i>p</i>
	%	%	%		%	%	%	
Develops educational actions	36.9	37.5	36.9	0.154*	31.6	39.0	38.5	0.003**
Included in the school curriculum	30.6	31.9	30.6	0.083*	26.8	32.8	32.0	0.015**
Main educational actions ^{††}								
School garden activities	64.7	68.9	64.7	0.016*	68.1	67.0	66.8	0.764*
Development of educational materials	52.5	46.1	52.5	0.161**	52.1	50.4	50.3	0.888**
Recreational activities	24.3	27.8	24.3	0.475**	25.9	24.3	26.6	0.639**
Culinary workshops	25.5	26.2	25.5	0.521*	26.4	24.3	28.4	0.266**
Hands-on interdisciplinary classes	24.7	17.9	24.7	0.040**	25.0	24.2	22.0	0.339*
Field trips to family farms	13.0	12.0	13.0	0.295*	10.9	13.0	11.8	0.618**
Other	5.5	5.2	5.5	0.698*	3.2	6.2	4.8	0.180**

Note: *Wald test for trend; **Wald test for heterogeneity; [†]Prevalences adjusted for gender, age, and state; and mutually adjusted between weekly work load and duration of employment. Data obtained by Poisson regression; ^{††}Percentage regarding the number of municipalities that have dieticians in charge of school meals and conducted educational actions (N=1,626).

school curriculum. These two variables were directly related to the dieticians' weekly work hours, but the relationships were not significant ($p>0.05$ for both). The percentage of municipalities with dieticians in charge of school meals for at least twelve months that conducted educational actions was roughly 22% higher than that of those with dieticians in charge for less than twelve months ($p=0.003$). Also, 20% more municipalities with dieticians in charge of the school meals for at least twelve months as opposed to municipalities with dieticians in charge for less than twelve months included organic foods in the school curriculum ($p=0.015$). Dieticians' weekly work hours were also directly related to school garden-related activities ($p=0.016$). Duration of employment was not associated with any type of educational action/strategy.

DISCUSSION

This is the first nationwide study that investigates the impact of a dietician responsible for PNAE in the municipal departments of education and the implementation of the subject 'organic foods' in the school curriculum as a basic

strategy to encourage intake of these foods. This aspect is critical for the correct execution of the program, since Resolution CFN n° 465/2010 assigns dieticians many PNAE-related tasks, especially one to propose and carry out food and nutrition education actions in schools¹⁰. The importance of investigating this subject is justified by the dieticians' municipal role of guaranteeing schoolchildren's access to quality healthy foods in the required amounts and by the funds provided annually for this purpose. In the last three years, the resources provided by the federal government exceeded 3.0 billion *reais* per year^{2,12}. In 2009 the Federal Law 11,947/2009 established that at least 30% of these resources should be used for acquiring family farm products³, and Resolution CD/FNDE n° 38 passed on July 16, 2009¹³, recommended prioritizing organic and/or agroecological foods⁴, a recommendation maintained by Resolution CD/FNDE n° 26/2013, which revoked the previous resolution mentioned above. Hence, food and nutrition education given in schools on organic foods is justified from the health and economic viewpoints.

The study results show a disturbing situation: regardless of who is in charge of school meals, only one-third of the municipalities use

educational actions to stimulate organic food intake. Consequently, students, teachers, and cooks would not be receiving the training and encouragement required by the secretaries of education on the importance of organic foods in school meals and as an educational action in schools. These data agree with those provided by Mello *et al.*¹¹ in a study with 434 PNAE dieticians in the Northeast. Although this study did not include the subject organic foods, it found that only 33.2% of the interviewees carried out compulsory food and nutrition education actions regularly. Cunha *et al.*¹⁴ diagnosed organic food-related educational actions in a state school in Florianópolis (SC) and found that these actions were not included in the curriculum. Furthermore, teachers and students found it challenging to define and identify organic foods. Thus, the authors believe that dieticians should be responsible for school meals to help to introduce this subject in the curriculum, as recommended by PNAE.

Municipalities with dieticians in charge of school meals for less than twelve months were less likely to carry out educational actions and include the subject 'organic foods' in the school curriculum. This finding may be related to the dieticians' work conditions since irregularities were found in the hiring process, and there was high dietician turnover. More than half the dieticians hired irregularly work less than 30 hours a week, especially in the Northeast. There are minimum reference parameters for the correct performance of technical tasks required by PNAE so dieticians in charge of school meals should be hired to work at least 30 hours a week⁷. This time is necessary for dieticians to ensure quality meals to the schoolchildren, respecting their food habits and the agricultural potential of each community. Based on an administrative perspective, dieticians still need to make sure the municipality receives FNDE funds since the dietician is also responsible for the planning, coordination, direction, supervision, and assessment of the food and nutrition area of the municipal department of education dedicated to school meals³. Regarding

hiring irregularities, Chaves *et al.*¹⁵ point out that, despite awareness of their responsibilities, dieticians face obstacles to perform them since they are often burdened by bureaucratic and administrative activities. Likewise, the results of the present study show that weekly work below 30 hours hinders one of the main educational actions, school gardening. The educational strategy 'school gardening' is promoted by FNDE in Brazilian municipalities by the project 'Educating with School Gardening'. This recreational strategy helps teachers to make children and adolescents aware of the importance of healthy food practices, strengthens regional cultures, and promotes environmental awareness¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

The results also show high dietician turnover since the mean duration of employment is below four years, especially in the North and Northeast. This datum is corroborated by the dieticians' ages (almost half of them were aged 20 to 29 years), suggesting that they are recent graduates. One reason for this high turnover is the way dieticians are hired by municipalities. Dieticians may choose among various labor contracts that do not require full-time employment, such as freelancers, who may provide services to departments of education as self-employed individuals or business owners/partners¹⁹. Thus, entities responsible for school meals (departments of education) may choose one of these hiring options depending on the municipal financial and political interests. High turnover is a limiting factor not only for the performance of educational activities on food and nutrition, such as discussing organic foods (which should be done by a dietician), but also for the performance of other activities required by PNAE. A 2007 study conducted in the Northeast with 434 dieticians responsible for school meals who participated in PNAE training activities found that duration of employment in PNAE was directly related to performance of the tasks required by the program¹¹.

Another aspect that deserves attention is that although Law 11,947/2009 establishes that

all Brazilian municipalities should have dietitians in charge of school meals, 5.8% (n=300) did not. This number may seem small but it varies greatly between states and regions, especially in the Midwest and North. The North region is exactly one of the regions that most experiences nutritional unbalances since only 59.7% of the households are food secure²⁰. Today there are 400 nutrition programs in Brazil registered with the Ministry of Education. Registered nutrition programs are available in all states except *Roraima*²¹. Yet, all thirteen municipalities in *Roraima* that answered the questionnaire had a dietitian in charge of school meals. Hence, the absence of dietitians in charge of school meals in 5.8% of the Brazilian municipalities (or in as much as 35.0% of the municipalities in some states) would not be related to the absence of dietitians in the labor market.

There are few studies in the literature on the performance of organic food-related educational actions in Brazilian municipal schools and their association with dietitians' weekly work hours or duration of employment, which together with the different methods used by those few studies, hinders comparison of the results and assessment of this situation over time in Brazil. Still, this lack of comparability does not affect the internal validity of the present data.

Limitations of this study include the smaller percentage of respondents in the North and Northeast regions, also the regions with smallest internet access. However, many strategies were employed to reduce the percentage of nonrespondents, including telephone calls, which enabled us to increase response rate considerably, especially in the two regions mentioned above, and achieve a response rate of 85%. Sampling weights by state compensated this percentage of losses, reducing the probability that the percentage of nonrespondents would affect the results. Finally, the present study did not count the number of dietitians per municipality, which would help to assess compliance with PNAE's determinations and possibly improve result accuracy.

CONCLUSION

The percentage of Brazilian municipalities that perform organic-food related educational activities in schools is low, and these activities relate to the dietitians' weekly work hours and duration of employment. This suggests the many challenges that PNAE faces to best coordinate these educational actions with the various activities required of dietitians for the promotion of family farm and organic products.

The study results reflect the nationwide state of affairs, allowing regulatory agencies and especially, agents, to conduct actions and strategies that increase the number of dietitians in the secretaries of education and schools and that revise the hiring guidelines for these professionals and their respective work hours, making these compatible with their tasks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Education Development Fund, Collaboration Center for Schoolchildren's Food and Nutrition of *Santa Catarina*, *Santa Catarina's* Department of Education, and everyone technically in charge of the school meals who participated in the study.

CONTRIBUTORS

TV VIEIRA, DA GONZÁLEZ-CHICA, and ACT CORSO helped to conceive the study; collect, analyze, and interpret the data; and write the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Santos LMP, Santos SMC, Santana LAA, Henrique FCS, Mazza RPD, Santos LAS, *et al.* Avaliação de políticas públicas de segurança alimentar e combate à fome no período 1995-2002: 4. Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2007; 23(11):2681-93. doi: 10.1590/S0102-311X2007001100016
2. Brasil. Fundo Nacional de Desenvolvimento da Educação. Alimentação escolar [Apresentação]. Brasília: FNDE; 2013 [acesso 2013 maio 27]. Disponível em:

- <<http://www.fnde.gov.br/programas/alimentacao-escolar/alimentacao-escolar-apresentacao>>.
3. Brasil. Ministério da Educação. Lei nº 11.947, de 16 de julho de 2009. Dispõe sobre o atendimento da alimentação escolar e do Programa Dinheiro Direto na Escola aos alunos da educação básica. Brasília: MEC; 2012 [acesso 2012 nov 3]. Disponível em: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2007-2010/2009/lei/11947.htm>.
 4. Brasil. Resolução FNDE/CD nº 26, de 17 de junho de 2013. Dispõe sobre o atendimento da alimentação escolar aos alunos da educação básica no Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar - PNAE. Brasília: MEC; 2013 [acesso 2013 jun 17]. Disponível em: <<http://www.fnde.gov.br/fnde/legislacao/resolucoes/item/4620-resolu%C3%A7%C3%A3o-cd-fnde-n%C2%BA-26,-de-17-de-junho-de-2013>>.
 5. Peres F, Moreira JC, Dubois GS. Agrotóxicos, saúde e ambiente: uma introdução ao tema. In: Peres, F. É veneno ou é remédio? Agrotóxicos, saúde e ambiente. Rio de Janeiro: Fiocruz; 2003. p.21-47.
 6. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Manual de vigilância da saúde de populações expostas a agrotóxicos. Brasília: Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde; 1997.
 7. Faria NMX, Fassa AG, Fachinni LA. Intoxicação por agrotóxicos no Brasil: os sistemas oficiais de informação e desafios para realização de estudos epidemiológicos. *Ciênc Saúde Colet.* 2007; 12(1):25-38. doi: 10.1590/S1413-8123200700010008
 8. Sarcinelli PN. Exposição de crianças e adolescentes a agrotóxicos. In: Peres F. É veneno ou é remédio? Agrotóxicos, saúde e ambiente. Rio de Janeiro: Fiocruz; 2003. p.43-58.
 9. Silva APF, Sousa AA. Alimentos orgânicos da agricultura familiar no Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar do Estado de Santa Catarina, Brasil. *Rev Nutr.* 2013; 26(6):701-14. doi: 10.1590/S1415-52732013000600009
 10. Conselho Federal de Nutricionista. Resolução CFN nº 465/2010. Dispõe sobre as atribuições do nutricionista, estabelece parâmetros numéricos mínimos de referência no âmbito do Programa de Alimentação Escolar e dá outras providências. *Diário Oficial da União.* 2012 [acesso 2012 ago 23]. Disponível em: <<http://www.cfn.org.br/novosite/arquivos/Resol-CFN-465-atribuicao-nutricionista-PAE.pdf>>.
 11. Mello AL, Vidal Júnior PO, Sampaio LR, Santos LAS, Freitas MCS, Fontes GAV. Perfil do nutricionista do programa nacional de alimentação escolar na região Nordeste do Brasil. *Rev Nutr.* 2012; 25(1):119-32. doi: 10.1590/S1415-5273201200 0100011
 12. Sidaner E, Balaban D, Burlandy L. The Brazilian school feeding programme: An example of an integrated programme in support of food and nutrition security. *Public Health Nutr.* 2012; 16(6):989-94. doi 10.1017/S1368980012005101
 13. Brasil. Resolução FNDE/CD nº 38, 16 de julho de 2009. Dispõe sobre o atendimento da alimentação escolar aos alunos da educação básica no Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar (PNAE). Brasília: Fundo Nacional de Desenvolvimento da Educação; 2009 [acesso 2014 abr 16]. Disponível em: <<http://www.fnde.gov.br/fnde/legislacao/resolucoes/item/3341-resolu%C3%A7%C3%A3o-cd-fnde-n%C2%BA-38-de-16-de-julho-de-2009>>.
 14. Cunha E, Sousa AA, Machado NMV. A alimentação orgânica e as ações educativas na escola: diagnóstico para a educação em saúde e nutrição. *Ciênc Saúde Colet.* 15(1):39-49.
 15. Chaves LG, Santana TCM, Gabriel CG, Vasconcelos FAG. Reflexões sobre a atuação do nutricionista no Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar no Brasil. *Ciênc Saúde Colet.* 2013; 18(4):917-26. doi: 10.1590/S1413-81232013000400003
 16. Fundo Nacional de Desenvolvimento da Educação. Projeto educando com a horta escolar. Brasília: FNDE; 2010 [acesso 2012 dez 18]. Disponível em: <www.educandocomhorta.org.br>.
 17. Morgan PJ, Warren JM, Lubans DR, Saunders KL, Quick GI, Collins CE. The impact of nutrition education with and without a school garden on knowledge, vegetable intake and preferences and quality of school life among primary-school students. *Public Health Nutr.* 2010; 13(11):1931-40. doi: 10.1017/S1368980010000959
 18. Morgado FS. A horta escolar na educação ambiental e alimentar: experiência do projeto Horta Viva nas escolas municipais de Florianópolis. *Extensio.* 2008 [acesso 2013 maio 27]; 5(6):1-10. Disponível em: <<http://www.periodicos.ufsc.br/index.php/extensio/article/view/9531/8950>>.
 19. Santa Catarina. Conselho Regional de Nutricionistas. Manual de responsabilidade técnica. Florianópolis: CRN; 2011 [acesso 2013 maio 28]. Disponível em: <<http://www.crn10.org.br/images/manual-de-responsabilidade-tecnica-crn10.pdf>>.
 20. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Pesquisa nacional por amostra de domicílios: segurança alimentar 2004-2009. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2010 [acesso 2013 maio 27]. Disponível em: <http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/estatistica/populacao/seguranca_alimentar_2004_2009/pnadalimentar.pdf>.
 21. Brasil. Ministério da Educação. Plataforma E-mec. Brasília: MEC; 2013 [acesso 2013 maio 27]. Disponível em: <<http://emec.mec.gov.br/>>.

Received on: 1/11/2013
 Final version on: 7/11/2014
 Approved on: 8/5/2014

