The Brazilian version of the High-Activity Arthroplasty Score: cross-cultural adaptation

Nathalia Sundin Palmeira de Oliveira^I, Themis Moura Cardinot^{II}, Danúbia da Cunha de Sá Caputo^{III}, Julia Ribeiro Soares^{IV}, Letícia Nunes Carreras Del Castillo Mathias^V, Luiz Alberto Batista^{VI}, Liszt Palmeira de Oliveira^{VII}

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil

IMD. Orthopedist, Master Student, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil.

bhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-5804-7448

"PhD. Physical Educator, Professor, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro (UFRRJ), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil.

b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4191-0468

 PhD. Physical Therapist, Post Doctoral Researcher, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil.
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9263-1576

 PhD. Physiotherapist, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil.
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2938-2551

^{vi}PhD. Physical Educator, Professor, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil.

b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4609-4095

^{vII}MD, PhD. Orthopedist, Professor, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil.

b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9051-937X

KEYWORDS (MeSH Terms):

Arthroplasty, Replacement, Hip. Arthroplasty, Replacement, Knee. Patient-reported outcome measure. Surveys and questionnaires. Sports.

AUTHORS' KEYWORDS:

Translation. Cultural adaptation. Quality of life. Physical activity. Exercise.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The High Activity Arthroplasty Score (HAAS) is a self-administered questionnaire, developed in British English, that reliably and validly measures the levels of sports activities in patients following hip and knee arthroplasty surgery.

OBJECTIVE: To cross-culturally adapt the HAAS to Brazilian Portuguese language.

DESIGN AND SETTING: A cross-sectional study was conducted at a public university hospital in Brazil. **METHODS:** The Brazilian version of the HAAS was created through a six-step process: translation, synthesis, committee review, pretesting, back-translation, and submission to developers. The translation step was conducted by two independent bilingual translators, both native speakers of Brazilian Portuguese. The back-translation was performed by an independent translator, a native speaker of British English. To ensure the questionnaire's comprehensibility, 46 volunteers (51% men; average age 34-63) participated in the pre-testing step.

RESULTS: The cross-cultural adaptation process necessitated modifications to certain terms and expressions to achieve cultural equivalence with the original HAAS.

CONCLUSION: The HAAS has been translated from English into Brazilian Portuguese and culturally adapted for Brazil. The validation process for HAAS-Brazil is currently underway.

INTRODUCTION

The functional outcome of hip and knee arthroplasty can be evaluated using health-related quality of life instruments, such as questionnaires and scales. Current literature provides instruments that primarily assess pain as the main symptom, thereby presenting a limiting factor in the performance of low-demand daily activities (DA).¹⁻³

The focus on pain and DAs presents a challenge in identifying individuals who exhibit no pain limitation during low-demand activities, including DAs, but experience limitations during more strenuous activities, such as sports.⁴ Current instruments fall short in assessing significant functional differences, such as walking on uneven terrain, running, climbing stairs, and gauging the level of physical or sports performance.⁴

In response to these dilemmas, Talbot et al. developed and validated the High-Activity Arthroplasty Score (HAAS).⁴ This tool is designed to assess a patient's functional ability by incorporating a broader spectrum of physical and sporting activities, in addition to the traditional focus on painful symptoms. The HAAS is a self-administered questionnaire divided into four domains: i) *Walking*; ii) *Running*; iii) *Stair Climbing*; and iv) *Activity Level*. Each domain is designed to assess the patient's maximum capacity, resulting in a score that ranges from 0 to 18. Higher scores indicate superior patient function. The HAAS was originally developed in British English, and no cultural adaptation for Brazilian Portuguese is currently available.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to adapt the HAAS cross-culturally from British English to Brazilian Portuguese. We hypothesized that the adaptation to Brazilian Portuguese and its subsequent application in Brazil would be both feasible and acceptable.

METHODS

Type of study

This is a cross-sectional, quanti-qualitative study focused on the cross-cultural adaptation of a questionnaire. The primary data was collected between September 2021 and August 2022.

The ethics committee of Hospital Universitário Pedro Ernesto, affiliated with Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), granted approval for this study on August 30, 2021 (approval number 50529321.3.0000.5259). All participants provided their informed consent. Dr. Simon Talbot, the primary author of the HAAS, granted permission for its cross-cultural adaptation into Brazilian Portuguese on December 28, 2020.

Cross-cultural adaptation

To adapt the HAAS, we adhered to the guidelines suggested by Beaton et al.⁵ with further considerations by Borsa, Damasio, and Bandeira.⁶ The procedure encompasses six steps: translation, synthesis, review by committee, pretesting, back-translation, and submission of documentation to the developers (**Figure 1**).

Step 1: Translation

The HAAS was initially translated from English to Brazilian Portuguese by two independent translators, both native



Figure 1. Six steps of cross-cultural adaptation: translation, synthesis, review by committee, pretesting, back-translation, and submission of documentation to the developers.

speakers of Brazilian Portuguese and fluent in English. This process resulted in two distinct Brazilian Portuguese blind translations: T₁ and T₂.

Step 2: Synthesis

Two native Brazilian Portuguese speakers, residing in Brazil, synthesized T_1 and T_2 into the Brazilian Portuguese language. A reconciled version, $T_{1,2}$, was created, and the entire process was duly documented.

Step 3: Review by a committee

A multidisciplinary committee was formed to review $T_{1,2}$, comprising experts in the construct under evaluation and cross-cultural adaptation studies. This committee included one physiotherapist, two orthopedists, and two physical educators. Additionally, one committee member held a degree in Language, specializing in translation and communication.

The aim of this step was to assess the semantic, idiomatic, cultural, and conceptual equivalences between the original version and $T_{1,2}$, thereby identifying necessary adaptations. Consequently, a pretesting version (V_1) was produced. The adaptation process was guided by the Coefficient Content Validity (CCV) proposed by Hernandez-Nieto.⁷

Step 4: Pretesting

The objective of this step was to determine whether volunteers found the V_1 items, instructions, and response scale comprehensible. The Three-Step Test-Interview (TSTI) employing a 5-item Likert Scale was utilized to evaluate the questionnaire's adaptation.^{8,9} The sample size was established using the saturation criteria technique.¹⁰

The results of the pretesting were analyzed through a qualitative assessment, taking into account suggestions for improved adaptation and comprehension from the volunteers. This process led to the creation of a final version ($V_{\rm c}$).

Step 5: Back-translation

Back-translation can be utilized to assess whether the conceptual equivalence between the synthesized and revised V_t and the original instrument has been preserved. This process facilitates the evaluation of the culturally adapted instrument by its developers.⁶

The back-translation was conducted blindly by a native British English speaker who is fluent in Portuguese but lacks technical knowledge of the study's subject matter. The entire process was meticulously documented in writing.

Step 6: Submission of documentation to the developers

The aim of this step was to present the Brazilian version of HAAS to the original developers.

RESULTS

Step 1 produced two independent translations: T_1 and T_2 (**Box 1**).

The synthesis of T_1 and T_2 produced $T_{1,2}$ (**Box 2**), that was evaluated and reviewed by the multidisciplinary committee on the third step.

The main modifications proposed by the committee are listed in **Table 1** and **Table 2**.

The qualitative analysis undertaken by the multidisciplinary committee of specialists was guided by the CCV.⁷ Items in which CCV was below 0.8 were modified by the committee prior to pretesting. Grammar, typing, and formatting errors were revised as part of this step. As a result, a version (V_1) for pre-test was produced (**Box 3**).

 V_1 was applied to 46 volunteers (51% men) with a mean age of 36-63 years old (min 19, max 69) in a heterogeneous sample regarding scholarity and income, according to data compiled in **Table 3**.

Among volunteers, 73.33% were engaged in physical activities (PA) (**Graphic 1**).

The occupations of the volunteers were as follows: students (31.11%); medical doctors (13.3%); general service assistants (13%); technical administrators (11.11%); cooks/kitchen assistants (6.66%); and other professions including professors, lawyers, security professionals, physiotherapists, laboratory technicians, marketing analysts, and retired individuals or those without an occupation (each comprising less than 5%).

Box 1. Step 1: Independent Translations (T1 e T2)

T1	T2
Escore de artroplastia e atividade física de alta demanda	Pontuação de Artroplastia de Alta Atividade
<u>Selecione seu maior nível funcional em cada uma das quatro categorias</u>	<u>Selecione seu nível de função mais alta em cada uma das quatro categorias</u>
1 <u>Andando</u> (máx. 5 pontos)	1 <u>Caminhada (</u> máx. 5 pontos)
5 em superfície irregular > 1 hora	5 em ladeira/terreno em subida por mais de 1 hora
4 sem limite em superfície plana, com dificuldade em superfície	4 em terreno plano sem dificuldade, mas em terreno acidentado
irregular	com dificuldade
3 sem limite em superfície plana, incapaz de andar em superfície irregular	3 em terreno plano sem dificuldade, mas não consigo caminhar em
2 pelo menos 30 minutos em superfície plana	terreno acidentado
1 curtas distâncias sem auxílio (até 20 metros)	2 em terreno plano por pelo menos 30 minutos
0 utilizando dispositivos de auxílio para andar curtas distâncias ou pior	1 em distâncias curtas sem ajuda (até 20 metros)
	0 usando dispositivos de apoio para distâncias curtas ou não consigo caminhar
2 <u>Correndo</u> (máx. 4 pontos)	
4 mais que 5km	2 <u>Corrida</u> (máx. 4 pontos)
3 trotar até 5km	4 Corro mais de 5km
2 correr facilmente na rua	3 Corro devagar até 5km
1 correr alguns passos para evitar trânsito, se necessário	2 Corro facilmente para atravessar a rua
0 não consegue correr	1 Corro poucos passos para evitar o tráfego ao atravessar a rua, se
	necessário
3 <u>Subindo escadas</u> (máx. 3 pontos)	0 Não consigo correr
3 subir dois degraus por vez	
2 subir sem apoio no corrimão	3 <u>Subir escadas</u> (máx. 3 pontos)
1 subir com apoio no corrimão ou bengala/muleta	3 Subo 2 degraus de cada vez
0 não consegue subir escadas	2 Subo sem apoio de corrimão
	1 Subo com apoio de corrimão ou bengala
4 <u>Nível de atividade</u> (máx. 6 pontos)	0 Não consigo subir escadas
6 esportes competitivos. Ex.: tênis individual, correr > 10km, andar de	
bicicleta >80km	4 <u>Nível de atividade</u> (máx. 6 pontos)
5 esportes sociais. Ex.: tênis em dupla, esquiar, trotar < 10km, exercícios	6 Esportes competitivos, ex.: tênis simples, corrida > 10km, ciclismo >80km
aeróbicos de alto impacto	5 Esportes sociais, ex.: tênis de dupla, corrida <10km, aeróbica de alto impacto
4 atividades recreacionais vigorosas. Ex.: montanhismo, exercícios	4 Atividades recreativas vigorosas, ex.: caminhada em trilhas, aeróbica
aeróbicos de baixo impacto, jardinagem pesada, trabalho braçal / rural	de baixo impacto, jardinagem pesada ou trabalho manual/agricultura
3 atividades recreacionais moderadas. Ex.: golfe, jardinagem leve,	3 Atividades recreativas moderadas, ex.: golfe, jardinagem leve,
atividades de trabalho leve	atividades leve no trabalho
2 atividades recreacionais leves. Ex.: caminhadas leves, bocha	2 Atividades recreativas leves, ex.: caminhadas curtas, boliche,
1 atividades ao ar livre apenas quando necessário. Ex.: caminhar	1 Apenas atividades ao ar livre obrigatórias, ex.: caminhar uma curta
distâncias curtas para fazer compras	distância para fazer compras
0 restrito ao lar sem necessidade de auxílio	0 Recluso em casa sem assistência
(máx 18 pontos)	(máx 18 pontos)

Box 2. Step 2: Synthesis of translations (T1,2)

Pontuação (Escore) de Artroplastia de Alta Atividade
Selecione o seu maior nível funcional em cada uma das quatro categorias.
1 <u>Caminhada</u> (máx. 5 pontos)
5 Em terreno irregular por mais de 1 hora
4 Sem limitação em terreno plano, mas com dificuldade em terreno irregular
3 Sem limitação em terreno plano, mas não consigo andar em terreno irregular
2 Pelo menos 30 minutos em terreno plano
1 Em curtas distâncias sem ajuda (até 20 metros)
0 Usando apoio para caminhar curtas distâncias ou uma condição pior
2 <u>Corrida</u> (máx. 4 pontos)
4 Corro mais de 5km
3 Corro devagar (trote) até 5km
2 Correr facilmente para atravessar a rua
1 Corro poucos passos para desviar dos carros ao atravessar a rua, se necessário
0 Não consigo correr
3 Subir escadas (máx 3 pontos)
3 Subo 2 degraus de cada vez
2 Subo sem anoiar no corrimão
1 Subo anoiando no corrimão ou na bengala/muleta
0 Não consigo subir escadas
4 <u>Nível de atividade física</u> (máx. 6 pontos)
6 Esportes competitivos.
Exemplos: tênis simples (individual), corrida maior que 10km, ciclismo maior que 80km
5 Esportes sociais.
Exemplos: tênis de dupla, esqui, corrida menor que 10km, exercícios aeróbicos de alto impacto
4 Atividades recreativas vigorosas.
Exemplos: montanhismo (caminhada em trilhas), exercícios aeróbicos de baixo impacto, jardinagem pesada, trabalho braçal/rural
3 Atividades recreativas moderadas.
Exemplos: golfe, jardinagem leve, atividades leves de trabalho
2 Atividades recreativas leves.
Exemplos: caminhadas curtas, bocha/boliche
1 Atividades ao ar livre apenas quando necessário.
Exemplos: caminhar distâncias curtas para fazer compras
0 Recluso em cada (realiza apenas tarefas do lar) sem necessidade de ajuda

(máx. 18 pontos)

Table 1. Main modifications proposed by the committee

Original	Adapted			
Compositive sports	Esportes de alto rendimento com			
competitive sports	ênfase na competição			
Social sports	Esportes sociais sem ênfase			
Social sports	na competição			
Vigorous recreational activities	Atividades físicas vigorosas			
Moderate recreational activities	Atividades físicas moderadas			
Light recreational activities	Atividades físicas leves			
Select	Marque um X ou circule			
> 1 hour	por mais de 1 hora			
e.g.	exemplos:			

Minimal modifications were proposed for the final version (V_f) , which was subsequently represented to the committee. Modifications are highlighted in **Box 4**. Following consultation with experts, no additional pre-testing was required. The V_f was then back translated (**Box 5**) and shared with the developers for their review.⁶ They expressed satisfaction with the results and did not propose any further modifications. Thus, the V_f was the final translation of the HAAS, i.e., the HAAS-Brazil.

DISCUSSION

The functional outcomes of hip and knee arthroplasty can be evaluated using health-related quality of life questionnaires and scales. However, the instruments currently available in the literature are biased by pain and DA limitation.¹⁻³ Consequently, HAAS was developed and validated to assess the functional outcomes of hip and knee arthroplasty surgery in patients who do not experience significant pain or limitations in low-demand activities.⁴

Table 2. Main modifications proposed	about sports and physical activities
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Original examples	Adapted examples	Adapted examples			
Original examples Singles tennis/doubles tennis Running Cycling Jog/jogging Skiing High impact aerobics Low impact aerobics Hill-walking Heavy gardening Manual work/farming Golf Light gardening	Futebol Vôlei Basquete Handebol Natação Tênis Corrida Ciclismo Surfe Skate Crossfit	Faxina pesada Trilha moderada Faxina leve Hidroginástica Dança de salão Pilates Trilha leve Bocha/boliche Hidroterapia Exercícios fisioterápicos para			
Light working activities Lawn bowls	Dança vigorosa Exercício aeróbico vigoroso (bicicleta ergométrica, spinning, elíptico, esteira)	fortalecimento muscular			

Box 3. Step 3: Pre-test version (V1) of HAAS

High Activity Arthroplasty Score - Brazil

Selecione o seu maior nível funcional em cada uma das quatro categorias.

1 Caminhando (máx. 5 pontos)

- 5 Caminho em terreno irregular por mais de 1 hora
- 4 Caminho sem limitação em terreno plano, mas com dificuldade em terreno irregular
- 3 Caminho sem limitação em terreno plano, mas não consigo caminhar em terreno irregular
- 2 Caminho pelo menos 30 minutos em terreno plano
- 1 Caminho curtas distâncias sem ajuda (até 20 metros)
- 0 Caminho curtas distâncias usando ou não consigo caminhar

2 Correndo (máx. 4 pontos)

- 4 Corro mais de 5km
- 3 Corro devagar até 5km
- 2 Corro facilmente para atravessar a rua
- 1 Corro poucos passos para atravessar uma rua, se necessário
- 0 Não consigo correr

3 Subindo escadas (máx. 3 pontos)

- 3 Subo 2 degraus de cada vez
- 2 Subo sem apoiar no corrimão
- 1 Subo apoiando no corrimão ou na bengala/muleta
- 0 Não consigo subir escadas

4 Nível de atividade física (máx. 6 pontos)

6 Pratico esportes de alto rendimento com ênfase na competição

Exemplos: futebol, vôlei, basquete, natação, tênis, corrida, ciclismo, surfe, skate etc.

- 5 Pratico esportes socialmente sem ênfase na competição
- Exemplos: futebol, vôlei, basquete, natação, tênis, corrida, ciclismo, surfe, skate etc.
- 4 Pratico atividades físicas vigorosas
- Exemplos: trilha vigorosa, dança vigorosa, exercício aeróbico vigoroso (bicicleta ergométrica, spinning, elíptico, esteira), faxina pesada etc. 3 Pratico atividades físicas moderadas
- Exemplos: trilha moderada, faxina leve, hidroginástica, dança de salão, pilates etc.
- 2 Pratico atividades físicas leves
- Exemplos: trilha leve, bocha/boliche, hidroterapia, exercícios fisioterápicos para fortalecimento muscular
- 1 Pratico atividades ao ar livre apenas quando necessário.
- Exemplos: caminhar distâncias curtas para fazer compras
- O Estou recluso em cada (realizo apenas tarefas do lar) sem necessidade de ajuda

(máx. 18 pontos)

Scholarity	Sex	Age mean (min-max)	Income	Skin Color*	BMI (w/h²) mean (min-max)	Comorbidity n (%)	Physical Activity n (%)	HAAS mean (min- max)	Time for filling mean
		42.5 (26-69)	<3 basic salaries (n = 7)		28.6 (24.69-36.8)	3 (30%)	3 (30%)	11 (6-16)	0:04:33
Middle School or less	M (n = 5)	40.2 (26-56)	66% 1-3 basic salaries (n = 3)	W 1 B 3 P 1	29.65 (24.69-38.8)	0	2	12 (6-16)	0:04:28
(n = 10)	F (n = 5)	44.8 (26-69)	75% under 1 basic salary (n = 4)	W 0 B 5 P 0	27.56 (25.32-32)	3	1	10 (7-14)	0:04:38
		27.0 (19-56)	<6 basic salaries (n=15)		24.27 (20.94-29.39)	5 (33%)	14 (93.33%)	14.93 (8-18)	0:02:28
Complete High School	M (n = 8)	25.12 (19-40)	50% no income (n=8)	W 3 B 1 P 4	24.29 (20.94-29.39)	3	8	15.62 (14-18)	0:01:44
(n = 16)	F (n = 8)	28.87 (20-56)	62% <1 basic salary	W 3 B 3 P 2	24.25 (21.64-27.34)	2	6	14.25 (8-17)	0:03:13
		36.8 (23-65)	10% >15 basic salaries (n = 19)		26.74 (18.56-32.74)	9 (45%)	16 (80%)	14.05 (8-18)	0:02:22
College Graduated	M (n = 10)	38.5 (23-65)	22.2% >15 basic salaries (n = 9)	W 7 B 0 P 3	28.04 (22.22-32.74)	4	7	13.8 (8-18)	0:02:49
(11 – 20)	F (n = 10)	35.1 (25-59)	40% 3-6 basic salaries (n = 10)	W 5 B 1 P 4	25.43 (18.56-31.80)	5	9	14.3 (8-18)	0:01:56

Table 3. Descriptive data of pre-test volunteers

* Skin color options according to Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE)'s statistics collection (Fonte: IBGE, Diretoria de Pesquisas, Coordenação de Trabalho e Rendimento, Pesquisa Nacional por Amostra de Domicílios Contínua 2012-2019);

BMI = body mass index; HAAS = high activity arthroplasty score; F = female; M = male; W = white; B = black; P = pardo; w = weight; h = height.



Graphic 1. Physical activity practice and sedentary lifestyle among volunteers.

Borsa et al.⁶ observed that the translation stage inherently initiates the adaptation process. This is because the subjective act of seeking words that accurately convey the intended content and construct inherently involves a degree of adaptation that a literal translation would not capture. Our understanding of translation, informed by a review of the literature, is that it is a component of the cross-cultural adaptation process. Consequently, the terminology used in the title of this paper reflects this concept.⁶

The initial phase of this study aimed at the cross-cultural adaptation of HAAS to Brazilian Portuguese, during which two translations (T, and T₂) of the original HAAS questionnaire were generated. Guillemin et al.¹¹ and Beaton et al.⁵ both propose a minimum of two independent translations of the questionnaire or scale into the target language in their methodologies. The translators ideally should be bilingual, with the target language as their native language, to ensure an enhanced ability to discern the nuances and peculiarities of everyday communication within the target language.5,6,11 This approach enables the production of comparable translations, thereby facilitating a more effective evaluation of discrepancies and ambiguities. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the selected translators should have varied profiles: one with a more technical understanding of the construct in question, and the other, a practitioner with a stronger emphasis on language, even if not necessarily proficient in the essence of the construct.6

Therefore, one of the translators who contributed to this work was an orthopedist with prior involvement in cross-cultural

Box 4. Step 4: Final version (V₄) of HAAS with highlighted alterations

High Activity Arthroplasty Score - Brazil

Marque um X ou circule o seu maior nível funcional em cada uma das quatro categorias.

1 Caminhando (máx. 5 pontos)

- 5 Caminho em terreno irregular por mais de 1 hora
- 4 Caminho sem limitação em terreno plano, mas com dificuldade em terreno irregular
- 3 Caminho sem limitação em terreno plano, mas não consigo caminhar em terreno irregular
- 2 Caminho pelo menos 30 minutos em terreno plano
- 1 Caminho curtas distâncias sem ajuda (até 20 metros)
- 0 Caminho curtas distâncias usando ou não consigo caminhar

2 Correndo (máx. 4 pontos)

4 Corro mais de 5km

- 3 Corro devagar até 5km
- 2 Corro facilmente para atravessar a rua
- 1 Corro poucos passos para atravessar uma rua, se necessário
- 0 Não consigo correr

3 Subindo escadas (máx. 3 pontos)

- 3 Subo 2 degraus de cada vez
- 2 Subo sem apoiar no corrimão
- 1 Subo apoiando no corrimão ou na bengala/muleta
- 0 Não consigo subir escadas

4 Nível de atividade física (máx. 6 pontos)

- 6 Pratico esportes de alto rendimento com ênfase na competição
- Exemplos: futebol, vôlei, basquete, handebol, natação, tênis, corrida, ciclismo, surfe, skate, crossfit, lutas etc.
- 5 Pratico esportes socialmente sem ênfase na competição
- Exemplos: futebol, vôlei, basquete, handebol, natação, tênis, corrida, ciclismo, surfe, skate, crossfit, lutas etc.
- 4 Pratico atividades físicas vigorosas

Exemplos: faxina pesada, jardinagem pesada/roçado/obras domésticas, musculação vigorosa, trilha vigorosa, dança vigorosa, exercício aeróbico vigoroso (bicicleta ergométrica, spinning, elíptico, esteira), etc.

ierobieo vigoroso (bieleteta ergonietrica, spinning, enpiteo, e

3 Pratico atividades físicas moderadas

Exemplos: faxina leve, jardinagem leve/pequenos reparos domésticos, musculação moderada, trilha moderada, hidroginástica, dança de salão, pilates etc. 2 Pratico atividades físicas leves

- Exemplos: exercícios fisioterápicos para fortalecimento muscular, hidroterapia, trilha leve, bocha/boliche etc.
- 1 Pratico atividades ao ar livre apenas quando necessário.
- Exemplos: caminhar distâncias curtas para fazer compras

O Estou recluso em cada (realizo apenas tarefas do lar) sem necessidade de ajuda

(máx. 18 pontos)

adaptation projects, which aimed at developing an adaptation that emphasized clinical equivalence. The second translator was a language professional with a degree in Languages and specialization in translation and communication. This ensured a translation that accurately mirrored the language used by the population, often highlighting ambiguous or excessively broad interpretations within the original questionnaire.

The second step involved merging the two translations into a single synthesized version $(T_{1,2})$. Borsa et al.⁶ identified two potential complications at this stage: (1) a highly complex translation that may be challenging for the target population to understand, or (2) a somewhat simplistic translation that diminishes the content of the item. The research team noted that the original questionnaire's concise, simplified, and objective format could potentially confuse the target population in Brazil. This observation was considered and subsequently presented to the multidisciplinary committee of experts for further deliberation in the subsequent step.

In the third step, $T_{1,2}$ was submitted for review to a multidisciplinary committee of specialists. This committee evaluated the structure, layout, instructions, scope, and appropriateness of the expressions within the items of the instrument, identifying any potential semantic, idiomatic, conceptual, linguistic, and contextual discrepancies between the original HAAS version and $T_{1,2}$. This process led to several proposed structural modifications aimed at enhancing comprehension across individuals of diverse professions, educational backgrounds, income levels, and physical activity involvement.

Box 5. Step 5: Backtranslation of HAAS-Brazil

High Activity Arthroplasty Score – Brazil

N	lark with an (X) your highest level of function in each of these four categories.
1	Walking (max. 5 points)
	5 Walk on uneven surfaces for a period of more than 1 hour
	4 Walk unrestricted on level surfaces but have trouble on uneven ground
	3 Walk unrestricted on flat, level surfaces, but unable to walk on uneven ground
	2 Walk for a period of at least 30 minutes on level surfaces
	1 Walk short distances of up to 20 meters without requiring assistance
	0 Walk short distances with assistance, or unable to walk at all
2	Running (max. 4 points)
	4 Run distances more than 5 km
	3 Run slowly up to distances of 5 km
	2 Run easily to cross a street or intersection
	1 Run a few steps to cross a street
	0 No facility whatsoever to run
3	Climbing Stairs (max. 3 points)
	3 Climb 2 steps at a time
	2 Climb steps unassisted without handrail support
	1 Climb steps but require handrail or other support, i.e., cane/crutch
	0 No facility whatsoever to climb stairs
4	Level of physical activity (max. 6 points)
	6 Practice high-performance sports at competition level
	E.g., football, volleyball, basketball, handball, swimming, tennis, running, cycling, surfing, skateboarding, crossfit, wrestling, etc.
	5 Practice sports on a social basis but not at competition level
	E.g., football, volleyball, basketball, handball, swimming, tennis, running, cycling, surfing, skateboarding, crossfit, wrestling, etc.
	4 Practice vigorous physical activity
	E.g., demanding house cleaning, strenuous gardening/mowing, vigorous weight training, vigorous hiking, energetic dancing, vigorous aerobic
e	xercise, gym workouts: bike, spinning, elliptical, treadmill
	3 Practice moderate physical activity
	E.g., light housekeeping, light gardening, moderate weight training, moderate hiking, water aerobics, ballroom dancing, pilates, etc.
	2 Practice only light physical activity
	E.g., physical therapy exercise for muscle strengthening, hydrotherapy, light hiking, bocce/bowling, etc.
	1 Participate in outdoor activities only when necessary
	E.g., walking short distances to the supermarket
	0 I am a recluse who only performs household chores with no assistance required
(r	nax. 18 points)

The practice and definitions of PA are influenced by the historical context of concept formation, which can that vary based on the cultural context in which they are applied.^{12,13} Upon acknowledging that the primary objective of the original questionnaire is to assess both motor skill-related PA and sports practice as a skill, the committee suggested conceptual reframing based on available Brazilian sports literature.^{12,13} This designated a clear line of difference and hierarchy between organized/systematic sports practice and the practice of physical activities of various intensity within the domain (4) *Nível de atividade física*. Examples: "*Competitive sports*" for "*esportes de alto rendimento com ênfase na competição*" and "*social sports*" for "*esportes sociais sem ênfase na competição*" (**Table 1**). The committee opted to distinguish between sports practice and PA according to energy expenditure and expected motor skill within each degree of participation. This differentiation acknowledges that there is a conceptual and practical distinction between these two modalities within the questionnaire structure. Examples: "vigorous recreational activities" for "atividades físicas vigorosas," "moderate recreational activities" for "atividades físicas moderadas," and "light recreational activities" for "atividades físicas leves" (**Table 1**). Expert consensus agreed that there was a need for modification and inclusion of examples based on the culture of the target population; removal of sports such as skiing and the inclusion of more popular sports in Brazil like surfing and soccer. In relation to the language itself, experts proposed the full use of comparative adjectives, as well as the occurrence of abbreviations present in the original questionnaire. The questionnaire now incorporates clearer and more explanatory commands to assist the target audience in completing it accurately. Examples: "*select*" for "*marque um X ou circule*," ">1 *hour*" for "*por mais de 1 hora*," and "*e.g.*" for "*exemplos*" (**Table 1**). The proposed changes to $T_{1,2}$ by the multidisciplinary committee of specialists, who then produced V₁ for the pre-test step, were adhered to by the quantitative criterion of the CCV.⁷

Borsa et al.⁶ recommended conducting the pre-test with the target population, whereas the typical approach, as suggested by Guillemin et al.¹¹ and Beaton et al.⁵, involves using healthy volunteers for this stage. Cross-cultural adaptation proponents have historically advocated for conducting pre-tests beyond the scope of the target population. ^{1-3,14} Given these perspectives, the decision was made to conduct the pre-test with volunteers.

Traditional empirical methodology suggests a minimum sample size of 30 to 40 volunteers for the pre-test. In this study, volunteers were consecutively selected using the saturation sampling technique. Saturation sampling, a qualitative research method, involves halting the inclusion of new participants when the data starts to show redundancy and is deemed irrelevant for further data collection by the research team.

In this study, we applied saturation sampling, which resulted in a heterogeneous group that aptly represented the Brazilian population's diversity in terms of age, education, and socio-cultural aspects. This approach adhered to the classic methodology proposed by Guillemin et al.¹¹ and Beaton et al.⁵ Following the saturation sampling technique,¹⁰ the recruitment of new volunteers ceased when no substantial or additional contributions were discernible within the data. This cessation point was reached with a total of 46 volunteers. We incorporated the TSTI with a 5-item Likert scale into the pre-test to assess the cultural adaptation of the questionnaire.⁹

Following the initial pre-test, the researchers incorporated several modifications suggested by the volunteers and resubmitted the revised version to the expert committee. A subsequent pre-test was deemed unnecessary as no significant conceptual or structural changes were proposed.⁶ Within the TSTI methodology, the active pursuit of critique frequently elicited suggestions that had not been questioned during the examiner's passive assessment of topics. However, on certain occasions, these suggestions, when offered as solutions, risked misrepresenting the intent of a self-administered, objective, and generic questionnaire designed to evaluate the construct of interest.

The fifth step involved a back-translation, a role that has been somewhat debated within the cross-cultural adaptation process.⁶ The objective was not to achieve a literal equivalence between an adapted version and original versions but rather to maintain conceptual equivalence.⁶ Despite the debate, we acknowledge that back-translation is an effective tool for communicating and presenting the adapted instrument to the original developers. Consequently, we conducted back-translation as the fifth step, as recommended by Borsa et al.⁶ This approach contrasts with the classical methodology of Beaton et al.⁵, which positions this step after the synthesis.

The back-translation step was successfully completed, and the results were presented to the developers. They expressed satisfaction with the outcomes and did not suggest any additional recommendations. This marked the conclusion of the sixth and final step in the cross-cultural adaptation process of HAAS into Portuguese, culminating in the creation of HAAS-Brazil.

A notable limitation of this study is the execution of the pretest, which relied on a sample from a single urban center within Brazil. It is important to acknowledge that Brazil, being a continental country, encompasses numerous regional linguistic and cultural differences. To mitigate this limitation, we attempted to assemble a diverse sample of volunteers, considering variables such as education and financial income.

CONCLUSION

The HAAS was translated into Brazilian Portuguese and adapted to the cultural context of Brazil. Our hypothesis that this adaptation is feasible and acceptable in Brazil has been largely corroborated. However, we acknowledge that the validation of the HAAS in Brazil is still ongoing.

REFERENCES

- Metsavaht L, Leporace G, Riberto M et al. Translation and cross-cultural adaptation of the lower extremity functional scale into a Brazilian Portuguese version and validation on patients with knee injuries. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2012;42(11):932-9. PMID: 23047028; https:// doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2012.4101.
- Del Castillo LNC, Leporace G, Cardinot TM, Levy RA, de Oliveira LP. Tradução, adaptação cultural e validação da versão brasileira do questionário Nonarthritic Hip Score. Sao Paulo Med J. 2013;131(4):244-51. PMID: 24141295; https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-3180.2013.1314487.
- Costa RMP, Cardinot TM, Mathias LNCDC, Leporace G, de Oliveira LP. Validation of the Brazilian version of the Hip Outcome Score (HOS) questionnaire. Adv Rheumatol. 2018;58(1):4. PMID: 30657066; https:// doi.org/10.1186/s42358-018-0007-y.
- Talbot S, Hooper G, Stokes A, Zordan R. Use of a new high-activity arthroplasty score to assess function of young patients with total hip or knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2010;25(2):268-73. PMID: 19056232; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2008.09.019.
- Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. Spine. 2000;25(24):3186-91. PMID: 11124735; https://doi. org/10.1097/00007632-200012150-00014.

- Borsa JC, Damásio BF, Bandeira DR. Adaptação e validação de instrumentos psicológicos entre culturas: Algumas considerações. Paideia. 2012;22(53):423-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1982-43272253201314.
- Hernández-Nieto R. The coefficient of content validity (CCV) and the kappa coefficient, in the determination of the content validity, according to the technique of panel of experts. In: Hernández-Nieto R. Contributions to Statistical Analysis. 1sted. Mérida: Booksurge Publishing; 2002. p. 111-60.
- Fortes CPDD, Araújo APQC. Check list para tradução e adaptação transcultural de questionários em saúde. Cad Saude Colet. 2019;27(2):202-9. https://doi.org/10.1590/1414-462X201900020002.
- Hak T, van der Veer K, Jansen H. The Three-Step Test-Interview (TSTI): An observational instrument for pretesting self-completion questionnaires Rotterdam: ERIM; 2004. Available from: https://ssrn. com/abstract=636782. Accessed in 2021 (Jul. 21).
- Fontanella BJB, Ricas J, Turato ER. Saturation sampling in qualitative health research: Theoretical contributions. Cad Saude Publica. 2008;24(1):17-27. PMID: 18209831; https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X2008000100003.
- Guillemin F, Bombardier C, Beaton D. Cross-cultural adaptation of health-related quality of life measures: Literature review and proposed guidelines. J Clin Epidemiol. 1993;46(12):1417-32. PMID: 8263569; https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356(93)90142-n.
- Tubino MJG, Reis C de M, editors. Teoria Geral do Esporte. 1ª ed. São Paulo: Ibrasa; 1987.
- Tubino MJG, Carrido FAC, Tubino FM, editors. Dicionário enciclopédico Tubino do esporte. 1ª ed. Rio de Janeiro: Rio ES; 2007.
- Metsavaht L, Leporace G, Riberto M, de Mello Sposito MM, Batista LA. Translation and cross-cultural adaptation of the Brazilian version of the international knee documentation committee subjective knee form: Validity and reproducibility. Am J Sports Med. 2010;38(9):1894-9. PMID: 20472755; https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546510365314.

Authors' contributions: Oliveira NSP: conceptualization (lead), data curation (lead), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), writing – original draft (lead), writing – review and editing (equal); Cardinot TM: conceptualization (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), data curation (equal), formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (equal), supervision (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Sá Caputo DC: investigation (equal), methodology (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Soares JR: data curation (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Mathias LNCDC: investigation (equal), methodology (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Batista LA: formal analysis (equal), investigation (equal), methodology (equal), writing – review and editing (equal); Oliveira LP: investigation (equal), methodology (equal), project administration (lead), writing – review and editing (equal). All authors reviewed and approved the final version submitted for publication.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank the medical students Isabela Claudia Barbosa dos Santos Nascentes and Bruno de Melo Ferreira for thein contribution on data curation, and Gary Ridge for his contribution as a professional translator and revisor of this paper.

Source of funding: None Conflict of interest: None

Address for correspondence:

Nathalia Sundin Palmeira de Oliveira Hospital Universitário Pedro Ernesto, Secretaria de Ortopedia e Traumatologia Boulevard 28 de setembro, 77 – 5º andar – Vila Isabel (RJ), Brazil CEP 20551-030 Tel.: +55 21 9 9991 8096 E-mail: nathsundin@gmail.com

Date of first submission: April 8, 2023 Last received: April 18, 2023 Accepted: July 26, 2023

Editor responsible for the evaluation process:

Paulo Manuel Pêgo-Fernandes, MD, PhD

© 2024 by Associação Paulista de Medicina This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons license.

