

Article - Agriculture, Agribusiness and Biotechnology

# Hormoligosis Evaluation and Efficacy of Fenoxycarb on the Cotton Mealybug (*Phenacoccus solenopsis*)

Muhammad Idrees<sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3838-739X

Muhammad Dildar Gogi<sup>2</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9622-767X

Waqar Majeed<sup>1\*</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6671-9443 Hafiz Muhammad Imran<sup>3</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6889-1506

Sobia Kanwal<sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4231-9410

Sadia Maalik<sup>4</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7147-0083

Mobeen Mozamal<sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2212-2872

<sup>1</sup>University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Department of Zoology, Wildlife and Fisheries, Faisalabad, Pakistan; <sup>2</sup>University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Department of Entomology, Faisalabad, Pakistan; <sup>3</sup>Government College University, Department of Zoology, Lahore, Pakistan; <sup>4</sup>Government College Women University, Sialkot, Department of Zoology, Sialkot, Pakistan.

Editor-in-Chief: Paulo Vitor Farago Associate Editor: Jane Manfron Budel

Received: 25-Sep-2021; Accepted: 12-Apr-2022.

\*Correspondence: waqar.majeed@uaf.edu.pk; +92-341-6454438 (W.M.).

# HIGHLIGHTS

- This manuscript highlights the application of fenoxycarb for the effective control of cotton mealybug.
- Use of sub-lethal dosage to evaluate the resistance development of insecticide.
- These results can be helpful for the dose selection during control in the fields, so that resistance can be reduced against pesticides.

**Abstract:** Sublethal dilutions exhibited hormoligosis when they were exposed to the different levels of pesticides. The present study was designed to check the efficacy and hormoligosis of fenoxycarb on four generations of cotton mealybug. The experiment was performed in the laboratory conditions at department of Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. After 3 days, treatments showed statistically considerable differences (P < 0.05) and maximum mortality was recorded at the highest dose level of fenoxycarb. After 7 days, the treatments had significant differences (P < 0.05). The greater mortality (89.02%), fecundity (143.66%) and longevity (39.62%) was recorded at a concentration of fenoxycarb 2.4%. Laboratory bioassay showed that after 3 days of experiment, fenoxycarb was less effective at concentration LC<sub>10</sub> (0.17 ppm) (0.03-0.36), while after 7-days result was LC<sub>10</sub> (0.08 ppm) (0.05-0.12). The 1<sup>st</sup> instar showed maximum mortality at the concentration (LC<sub>50</sub> = 10.02%). The maximum fecundity and longevity were found in control. The sex ratio was higher in control while the minimum at (LC<sub>10</sub> = 0.17%). At 3 days, hormoligosis was observed in fecundity at LC<sub>10</sub> (0.17%) in G1 (52.28) and in G4 (58.27) while LC<sub>50</sub> (10.02%) in G1 (37.84) and G4 (42.73). At 7 days PAI of LC<sub>10</sub> (0.01%) in G1 (58.61) and in G4 (63.50) while LC<sub>50</sub> (0.39%) in G1

Keywords: Insecticide; Impact; Cotton; Pest; Management.

# INTRODUCTION

Cotton harvest is harmed by many sucking and bollworms insects, and inappropriate administration of these pests has increased their population. *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Cotton mealybug) is found all over the world, first time reported in Pakistan in the year 2005 [1] from China in 2004 [2], and from India in 2007 [3], on the cotton crop that damaged different crops and plants. Cotton mealybug was confirmed in Pakistan to trigger 12 to 35% losses [1] and in North India's (10 to 60%) [4]. The mealybug of cotton is destructive pest of cotton, vegetables and ornamental plants worldwide. *P. solenopsis* has the capability of resistance development against several chemical classes of insecticides [5]. If preventive measures are not taken, then a lot of cotton yield loss occurs. In ancient times several insecticides were used to control the invasion of cotton mealybug but now resistance has developed against many insecticides [6]. Because there is no established integrated pest control strategy, new insecticidal classes are used haphazardly. In general, the development of pesticide resistance in a region is dependent on the widespread use of insecticides [7]. As a result of the application of conventional pesticides in agro ecosystem for the control of sucking pest complexity, their respective predators and parasitoids are also suppressed [8].

This pest is present in hidden spots like galls and grass sheaths and damaged crop plants by secreting meal secretions [9]. The cell sap is sucked from numerous plant areas, such as the leaves, roots, main stems, and fruiting bodies, both by adults and nymphs. Plants exhibit inhibition after swallowing sap and a get bushy look on their shooting tips which trigger huge losses for farmers. A major decrease in cotton yield is caused by cotton mealybug infestation ultimately huge loss occurs in terms of economic [3]. Cotton is essential non-nutritional crops and a big source of external professional income. *Gossypium hirsutum* characterizes 7.5% of the worth of horticulture and around 1.6% to grand domestic power. The crop was planted in the region of 3054 thousand hectares, 0.6% exactly a year ago (3072 thousand hectares). The generation is assessed at 11.7 million bunches for 2007-08, fewer with 9.3 percent in the course of the most recent day's creation of 12.9 million bundles [10].

Insecticides from various classes has been used for the management of several cotton pests such as H. armigera, B. tabaci, S. litura, and T. tabaci [11–13] and cotton mealy bug (P. solenopsis) [14] in cotton growing areas of Pakistan. Due to the repeated use of Insecticides, resistance has been developed against many insecticides. Therefore, the resulting susceptibility is attributed with respect to frequent doses of insecticides which is known as hormoligosis. It is a dose-reaction relationship divided into minor and major insecticide dose selection [15,16]. The group of insecticides considered bio-rational and efficient at lethal and sublethal levels are called insect growth regulators. Aspects of their action as biorational pesticides include disruption of hormonal regulation in certain insects that eventually results in improper molting, growth, and development. They are employed to manage a wide range of insect species by acting as juvenile hormone mimics, ecdysone agonists, and chitin formation inhibitors, among other things [8]. All these pesticides are used on physiological parameters of exposed treatments for reasonable results [17]. These fluctuations are egg size and hatching [18], pupil and larval weight [19], pupal ratio and adult development [18], developmental time and fecundity [20], adult endurance [21,22], and other parameters of insects biology [23]. Fenoxycarb is used for rice moth reproductive and metamorphosis [24], lacewing stages [25], physiological or behavioral moth activity [22] and various life stages of cotton mealybug [26]. Keeping in view the infestation of cotton mealybug and their chemical control measurements, this experiment was carried out to evaluate sublethal doses and hormoligosis of fenoxycarb on P. solenopsis under laboratory conditions. This study reveals the experimentation and findings which are baseline for the control strategies of cotton pest using the sub-lethal doses, which can be helpful in the over exposure of chemicals to nature and resistance control of cotton mealybug.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Insecticide

A commercial formulation of insecticides has been used during the experiment. The pesticide's hormoligosis was assessed against *P. solenopsis* using different dosage levels of fenoxycarb for analysis.

### **Rearing of cotton mealybug**

Before the collection of the of insects, pest populations were checked two times a week to observe the status of pest in the crop [27]. Cotton mealybug along with infected branches were sampled from the non-sprayed cotton plant. These insects were placed in jars under laboratory conditions for mass production, containing pumpkins as food. Mature mealybugs were taken and transformed into other jars containing healthy food [10].

### Solutions preparation of insecticide

The solutions of the recommended dose of insecticide were prepared in acetone according to the recommendation of WHO [28]. Five concentrations of insecticide fenoxycarb were prepared. These five solutions (Table 1) were prepared with a stock solution of desired concentration. Successive solutions shall be made by this method.

Trade Name	Active Ingredient	Formulation	Company	Concentrations
Swift	Fenoxycarb	6.9 EW	Orange protection Pvt. Ltd 23-A, Wahdat road, Muslim Town, Lahore Pakistan.	0.15%,0.3%, 0.6%, 1.2%, 2.4%

Table 1. Concentrations of insecticides test in the present experiment

### Layout of Experiment-I

Pumpkin was taken into the laboratory, rinsed with water, and kept for full water evaporation. This was done to free the pumpkin from all contaminants. The insecticides test dilutions were sprayed onto the contamination-free pumpkin and stored on filter paper for drying. An experimental unit was developed and treated one pumpkin with their respective insecticide solutions. Twenty individuals were taken from culture of adult *P. solenopsis* and shifted for insecticides treatment with camel's hairbrush. The insects were fed with the pumpkin exposed to Fenoxycarb.

The *P. solenopsis* adults that were treated with insecticide were placed in petri-plates and closely monitored under light microscope. The individuals showed no reaction with their slight touch were considered dead. The experiment was performed up to the four generations of Data on the mortality rate of *P. solenopsis* females were obtained at 3 and 7 days. Each treatment was carried out three times. Data obtained on dead insects has translated into the Abbott formula for percentage corrected mortality. The mortality results were analyzed for the sublethal doses by Probit analysis [29] (LC<sub>10</sub>, LC<sub>20</sub>, LC<sub>30</sub>, LC<sub>40</sub>, and LC<sub>50</sub>).

### Layout of Experiment-II

In the second trial, all nymphs and adult females were collected from susceptible cotton mealybug production and treated with sublethal doses ( $LC_{10}$ ,  $LC_{20}$ ,  $LC_{30}$ ,  $LC_{40}$ , and  $LC_{50}$ ) of each insecticide for three days. These were reared on untreated pumpkins. Data were obtained on various parameters, including longevity, fecundity, mortality, and sex ratio. This approach has treated each insecticide's sublethal dose for four consecutive generations of the insecticide-exposed population of surviving *P. solenopsis*. Data was also be collected from similar parameters.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The collected data were analyzed statistically using one-way ANOVA. The pairwise comparison was made using HSD (Tuckey's test). The Minitab version 17 was used to analyze data at the probability ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

# RESULTS

# **Experiment 01**

# Percent mortality of adult female of cotton mealybug at different dilution of fenoxycarb after 3 and 7 days of post-treatments

The cotton mealy bug after 3 days, treatments showed statistically considerable with differences (df = 4; F value = 5572; P value = 0.001) at probability level of 0.05% (Table 2). Higher mortality was recorded at highest dose level of fenoxycarb 2.4% (35.13%) followed by 1.2% (30.09%), 0.6% (21.06%), 0.3% (17.65%), 0.15% (11.35%) respectively (Table 3). After 7 days, data exposed that treatments had statistically considerable with differences (df = 4; F value = 312502; P value = 0.001) at probability level of 0.05% (Table 2). The greater mortality was recorded at maximum level of fenoxycarb 2.4% (89.02%) followed by 1.2% (73.74%), 0.6% (61.69%), 0.3% (56.62%), 0.15% (45.81%) respectively (Table 3).

	SOV	DF	SS	MSS	F	Р
	Treatment	4	1811.03	362.205	5572	0.001
3 days	Error	12	0.78	0.065		
•	Total	16	1811.81			
	Treatment	4	11284.8	2256.96	312502	0.001
7 days	Error	12	0.1	0.01		
-	Total	16	11284.9			

Table 2. Analysis of Variance parameters of percent mortality at different fenoxycarb concentrations after 3 and 7 days.

Table 3. Means mortality	v of cotton mealvbug a	t different concentrations	of fenoxycarb after 3 and 7 days
	y or ootton mourybug a		

$C_{\text{exponential}}(0)$	3 days	7 days
Concentration (%)	Mean ± S	S. E
0.15	11.35 ± 0.20 <sup>⊧</sup>	45.81 ± 0.06 <sup>E</sup>
0.3	$17.65 \pm 0.38^{D}$	$56.62 \pm 0.19^{D}$
0.6	$21.06 \pm 0.59^{\circ}$	$61.69 \pm 0.37^{\circ}$
1.2	$30.09 \pm 0.34^{B}$	$73.74 \pm 0.25^{B}$
2.4	$35.13 \pm 0.61^{\text{A}}$	$89.02 \pm 0.49^{A}$
Control	6.15 ± 0.70 <sup>F</sup>	8.88 ± 0.57 <sup>F</sup>

All means are significantly different from one another.

### Fecundity and longevity of mealybug at various levels of fenoxycarb

The maximum fecundity was documented at greater level of fenoxycarb 2.4% (143.66%) followed by 1.2% (137.58%), 0.6% (129.47%), 0.3% (117.38%), 0.15% (109.28%) respectively. Maximum longevity was observed at maximum level of fenoxycarb 2.4% (39.62%) followed by 1.2% (31.54%), 0.6% (25.68%), 0.3% (21.37%), 0.15% (17.46%) respectively (Table 4). Laboratory bioassay revealed that after 3 days of experiment with the pesticide, fenoxycarb was less effective at LC<sub>10</sub> (0.17 ppm) (0.03-0.36). While after 7-day experiment, it was more efficient at LC<sub>10</sub> (0.08 ppm) (0.05-0.12). It was the most effective after the 7-day exposure due to the lowest LC<sub>20</sub> (0.03 ppm) (0.01-0.07) (Table 5).

Concentration (%)	Fecundity	Longevity		
concentration (%)	Mean ± S. E			
0.15	109.28 <b>±</b> 1.08 <sup>F</sup>	17.46 ± 1.08 <sup>E</sup>		
0.3	117.38 ± 1.38 <sup>E</sup>	21.37 ± 1.23 <sup>D</sup>		
0.6	129.47 ± 1.49 <sup>D</sup>	25.68 ± 1.35 <sup>C</sup>		
1.2	137.58 ± 1.54 <sup>C</sup>	31.54 ± 1.49 <sup>B</sup>		
2.4	143.66 ± 0.61 <sup>B</sup>	39.62 ± 1.57 <sup>A</sup>		
Control	151.72 ± 0.69 <sup>A</sup>	$42.08 \pm 1.65^{A}$		

Table 5. LC <sub>10</sub> , LC <sub>20</sub> , LC <sub>30</sub> , LC <sub>40</sub> , and LC <sub>50</sub> values o	pesticide fenoxycarb after 3 and 7 days	of exposure
--	---	-------------

Treatment	Days	(ppm)	FD limit	Slope ± S.E	X <sup>2</sup>
	3	0.17	0.03-0.36	0.38±0.09	0.74
LC <sub>10</sub>	7	0.08	0.05-0.12	0.55±0.07	1.44
LC <sub>20</sub>	3	0.88	0.47-1.31	0.38±0.09	0.74
	7	0.03	0.01-0.07	0.55±0.07	1.44
	3	2.40	1.61-4.48	0.38±0.09	0.74
LC <sub>30</sub>	7	0.09	0.03-0.16	0.55±0.07	1.44
	3	5.19	3.11-15.32	0.38±0.09	0.74
	7	0.20	0.09-0.31	0.55±0.07	1.44
	3	10.02	5.13-46.23	0.38±0.09	0.74
LC <sub>50</sub>	7	0.39	0.24-0.54	0.55±0.07	1.44

## **Experiment 02**

#### 1<sup>st</sup> Generation

The findings demonstrated that mortality depends on concentration; after 3 days of 1<sup>st</sup> instar, mortality was maximum (44.08%) accordingly with the higher concentration ( $LC_{50} = 10.02\%$ ) and less at ( $LC_{10} = 0.17\%$ ) respectively while no mortality was observed in the control group. Maximum fecundity and longevity were higher (94.34 crawlers/female) and (48.74 days), respectively. The sex ratio was estimated higher (2.99) in the control group and the least (2.28) was observed at ( $LC_{50} = 10.02\%$ ). After 7 days, 1<sup>st</sup> instar mortality (78.13%) was found maximum at concentration ( $LC_{50} = 0.39\%$ ). The same inline results were observed on adult females' percent mortality (68.52%), at ( $LC_{50} = 0.39\%$ ). Maximum fecundity was (88.58 crawlers/female) in control group. The higher longevity was (37.94 days) in control, whereas least (24.65 days) was at ( $LC_{50} = 0.39\%$ ). The sex ratio was (2.05) in control (Table 6).

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation

After 3 days of 1<sup>st</sup> instar, mortality was maximum (47.19%) at higher levels (LC<sub>50</sub> = 10.02%). Maximum fecundity was significantly higher (85.19 crawlers/female) in control and minimum fecundity (33.24 crawlers/female) was noted at the concentration (LC<sub>50</sub> = 10.02%). The sex ratio was higher (2.65) in non-treated group. After 7 days of 1<sup>st</sup> instar maximum mortality (82.47%) was at (LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.39%). Maximum fecundity (80.31 crawlers/female) was recorded in control, while minimum fecundity (33.45 crawlers/female) was observed at higher concentration (LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.39%). Maximum longevity (32.91 days) was recorded in control. The sex ratio was maximum (1.58) in control group (Table 6).

## 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation

After 3 days, 1<sup>st</sup> instar showed maximum mortality (38.71%) at concentration (LC<sub>50</sub> = 10.02%). The same results were observed for adults (28.29%) at higher concentrations (LC<sub>50</sub> = 10.02%). Maximum fecundity (96.71 crawlers/female) and longevity (55.63 days) was observed in the control. The sex ratio was higher (2.69) in control while the minimum sex ratio (2.46) was observed at (LC<sub>10</sub> = 0.17%). After 7 days, 1<sup>st</sup> instar showed maximum mortality (68.32%) at concentration (LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.39%). A similar trend was observed for adult mortality (63.07%) at concentrations (LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.39%). Maximum fecundity was significantly higher (90.11 crawlers/female) in control, followed by (63.41 crawlers/female) while least fecundity (43.88 crawlers/female) was showed at (LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.39%). Maximum longevity was maximum (46.21 days) and sex ratio was highest (1.81) in the control group (Table 7).

## 4<sup>th</sup> Generation

After 3 days, 1<sup>st</sup> instar of *P. solenopsis* showed maximum mortality (38.01%) at higher concentration ( $LC_{50} = 10.02\%$ ) and minimum at ( $LC_{10} = 0.17\%$ ). Maximum fecundity was maximum (94.53 crawlers/female) in control. The maximum longevity was (54.72 days) in control while minimum longevity (37.83 days) was observed at a higher concentration ( $LC_{50} = 10.02\%$ ). The sex ratio was higher (2.75) in control. After 7 days, maximum mortality (67.59%) was observed at the dose level ( $LC_{50} = 0.39\%$ ). The fecundity was maximum (91.21 crawlers/female) in control group, while least fecundity (44.89 crawlers/female) was observed at a higher concentration ( $LC_{50} = 0.39\%$ ). The sex ratio was higher (2.19) in control, while the minimum sex ratio (1.33) was observed at ( $LC_{10} = 0.01\%$ ) (Table 7).

Mortality, fecundity, and longevity of cotton mealybug treated with fenoxycarb and at 3 and 7 days

The 1<sup>st</sup> instar and adult of cotton mealybug were found to decline after generations at sub-lethal dilutions that exposed the resistance of development from G1 to G4 after 3 days and maximum after 7 days of interval (Table 8). At 3 days, hormoligosis was observed in fecundity at  $LC_{10}$  (0.17%) in G1 (52.28) and in G4 (58.27) while  $LC_{50}$  (10.02%) in G1 (37.84) and G4 (42.73). At 7 days PAI of  $LC_{10}$  (0.01%) in G1 (58.61) and in G4 (63.50) while  $LC_{50}$  (0.39%) in G1 (37.67) and in G4 (44.89). At 3 days PAI, swift<sup>®</sup> hormoligosis was observed in longevity at  $LC_{10}$  (0.17%) in G1 (43.57) and G4 (48.19) while  $LC_{50}$  (10.02%) in G1 (32.58) and G4 (27.83). At 7 days PAI of  $LC_{10}$  (0.01%) in G1 (37.78) and in G4 (43.29) while  $LC_{50}$  (0.39%) in G1 (24.65) and in G4 (28.43) (Table 9).

					3 days					
		1 <sup>st</sup> generat	ion			2 <sup>nd</sup> generation				
	Percent N	lortality	Fecundity	Longevity		Percent	Mortality	Fecundity	Longevity	Sex ratio
LC values	1 <sup>st</sup> Instar	Adult female	(Crawlers/ female)	(Days)	Sex ratio	1 <sup>st</sup> Instar	Adult female	(Crawlers/ female)	(Days)	
LC <sub>50</sub> = (10.02%)	$44.08 \pm 1.34^{A}$	35.24 ± 1.54 <sup>A</sup>	37.84 ± 1.74 <sup>E</sup>	32.58 ± 2.44 <sup>D</sup>	$2.28 \pm 0.66^{E}$	$47.19 \pm 0.74^{A}$	$38.79 \pm 0.94^{\text{A}}$	33.24 ± 0.91 <sup>E</sup>	23.35 ± 1.84 <sup>E</sup>	2.61± 1.13 <sup>4</sup>
LC <sub>40</sub> = (5.19%)	$40.19 \pm 0.47^{B}$	$32.20 \pm 0.67^{B}$	39.73± 1.37 <sup>E</sup>	35.23 ± 1.57 <sup>D</sup>	2.39 ± 1.35 <sup>D</sup>	45.31 ± 1.88 <sup>A</sup>	$36.50 \pm 2.47^{A}$	37.39± 1.35 <sup>D</sup>	26.48 ± 2.17 <sup>D</sup>	2.59± 1.17 <sup>E</sup>
LC <sub>30</sub> = (2.40%)	$36.58 \pm 2.62^{\circ}$	28.17± 2.72 <sup>C</sup>	43.40± 1.62 <sup>D</sup>	38.94 ± 1.12 <sup>c</sup>	2.44 ± 2.76 <sup>C</sup>	41.69± 2.55 <sup>B</sup>	31.41± 1.52 <sup>B</sup>	41.73± 1.62 <sup>c</sup>	29.40± 1.52 <sup>CD</sup>	2.56± 1.12 <sup>E</sup>
LC <sub>20</sub> = (0.88%)	$33.50 \pm 0.76^{D}$	24.61± 1.83 <sup>D</sup>	49.24± 0.77 <sup>C</sup>	$41.39 \pm 0.73^{BC}$	2.59 ± 2.55 <sup>B</sup>	39.31 ± 1.46 <sup>B</sup>	28.71 ± 2.46 <sup>c</sup>	44.57± 2.43 <sup>c</sup>	31.83 ± 2.32 <sup>BC</sup>	2.54± 1.25 <sup>□</sup>
LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.17%)	30.94 ± 1.87 <sup>D</sup>	21.50 ± 0.99 <sup>E</sup>	52.28 ± 0.87 <sup>B</sup>	43.57 ± 0.89 <sup>B</sup>	2.73 ± 1.88 <sup>A</sup>	37.41 ± 0.99 <sup>c</sup>	26.30 ± 1.33 <sup>c</sup>	48.31 ± 1.82 <sup>B</sup>	$33.24 \pm 0.89^{B}$	2.47± 0.86 <sup>0</sup>
Control	0.0	0.00	94.34 ± 2.39 <sup>A</sup>	48.73 ± 1.59 <sup>A</sup>	2.99 ± 2.45 <sup>F</sup>	0.0	0.00	$85.19 \pm 0.96^{A}$	43.61 ± 1.36 <sup>A</sup>	2.65± 1.33 <sup>F</sup>
					7 days					
LC <sub>50</sub> = (0.39%)	78.13 ± 2.24 <sup>A</sup>	$68.52 \pm 0.94^{A}$	37.67 ± 1.24 <sup>F</sup>	24.65 ± 2.14 <sup>E</sup>	1.24 ± 1.09 <sup>E</sup>	82.47 ± 2.11 <sup>A</sup>	73.79 ± 1.55 <sup>A</sup>	$33.45 \pm 0.99^{F}$	17.94 ± 1.44 <sup>c</sup>	1.56± 0.66 <sup>4</sup>
LC <sub>40</sub> = (0.20%)	73.51 ± 1.57 <sup>B</sup>	61.73 ± 1.87 <sup>B</sup>	43.48 ± 0.77 <sup>E</sup>	27.69 ± 1.37 <sup>D</sup>	1.30 ± 1.77 <sup>D</sup>	78.15 ± 1.07 <sup>B</sup>	69.67 ± 2.59 <sup>B</sup>	37.47 ± 0.77 <sup>E</sup>	20.89 ± 0.97 <sup>c</sup>	1.55± 0.78 <sup>E</sup>
LC <sub>30</sub> = (0.09%)	69.11 ± 1.64 <sup>C</sup>	$56.44 \pm 2.32^{\circ}$	49.80 ± 2.62 <sup>D</sup>	30.87 ± 1.52 <sup>C</sup>	1.46 ± 0.88 <sup>B</sup>	73.25± 2.32 <sup>C</sup>	63.63± 1.68 <sup>c</sup>	42.19± 1.52 <sup>D</sup>	24.78± 1.65 <sup>B</sup>	1.53± 0.98 <sup>E</sup>
LC <sub>20</sub> = (0.03%)	$65.85 \pm 0.88^{D}$	51.62 ± 0.79 <sup>D</sup>	53.73 ± 0.93 <sup>C</sup>	34.53 ± 0.73 <sup>B</sup>	1.53 ± 2.22 <sup>A</sup>	69.44 ± 1.33 <sup>D</sup>	58.33 ± 2.43 <sup>D</sup>	49.68 ± 1.73 <sup>c</sup>	27.28 ± 2.53 <sup>B</sup>	1.49± 1.47 <sup>0</sup>
LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.01%)	61.94 ± 1.89 <sup>E</sup>	48.41 ± 1.99 <sup>E</sup>	58.61 ± 2.59 <sup>B</sup>	37.78 ± 1.69 <sup>A</sup>	$1.59 \pm 0.76^{A}$	$67.30 \pm 0.89^{D}$	$53.67 \pm 0.98^{E}$	53.30 ± 2.29 <sup>B</sup>	32.52 ± 1.39 <sup>A</sup>	1.46± 1.19 <sup>⊑</sup>
Control	0.00	0.00	88.58 ± 2.49 <sup>A</sup>	$37.94 \pm 0.79^{A}$	2.05 ± 1.88 <sup>F</sup>	0.00	0.00	80.31 ± 1.49 <sup>A</sup>	$32.91 \pm 0.89^{A}$	1.58± 1.68 <sup>F</sup>

Table 6. Mea

		3 <sup>rd</sup> generation	n					4 <sup>th</sup> generation		
	Percent M	lortality	Fecundity	Longevity		Percent	Mortality	Fecundity	Longevity	Sex ratio
LC values	1 <sup>st</sup> Instar	Adult female	(Crawlers/ female)	(Days)	Sex ratio	1 <sup>st</sup> Instar	Adult female	(Crawlers/ female)	(Days)	
LC <sub>50</sub> = (10.02%)	38.71 ± 1.11 <sup>A</sup>	28.29 ± 1.33 <sup>A</sup>	$42.68 \pm 0.89^{E}$	37.79 ± 1.55 <sup>E</sup>	2.66± 1.13 <sup>A</sup>	39.01 ± 2.26 <sup>A</sup>	27.29 ± 2.13 <sup>A</sup>	42.23 ± 1.56 <sup>F</sup>	37.31 ± 0.99 <sup>D</sup>	2.68 ± 1.78 <sup>A</sup>
LC <sub>40</sub> = (5.19%)	$36.50 \pm 0.71^{\text{A}}$	25.17 ± 0.93 <sup>B</sup>	46.60 ± 1.77 <sup>D</sup>	$39.70 \pm 2.43^{DE}$	2.58± 1.17 <sup>B</sup>	37.41±1.33 <sup>AB</sup>	24.08 ± 1.44 <sup>B</sup>	45.42 ± 0.97 <sup>E</sup>	39.43 ± 1.13 <sup>CD</sup>	$2.63 \pm 2.55^{\circ}$
LC <sub>30</sub> = (2.40%)	33.41 ± 1.55 <sup>B</sup>	22.87 ± 2.12 <sup>C</sup>	49.49 ± 1.68 <sup>D</sup>	41.58 ± 0.99 <sup>CD</sup>	2.54± 1.12 <sup>c</sup>	34.50 ± 2.44 <sup>B</sup>	21.49 ± 2.22 <sup>B</sup>	50.31 ± 2.33 <sup>D</sup>	42.22± 0.78 <sup>c</sup>	2.57± 2.26 <sup>D</sup>
LC <sub>20</sub> = (0.88%)	$30.28 \pm 0.88^{\circ}$	19.49±1.33 <sup>CD</sup>	54.30 ± 2.52 <sup>c</sup>	44.49 ± 1.42 <sup>BC</sup>	2.51± 1.25 <sup>D</sup>	29.19 ± 1.42 <sup>c</sup>	18.31 ± 1.34 <sup>C</sup>	53.39 ± 1.87 <sup>c</sup>	45.30 ± 1.38 <sup>B</sup>	2.51± 1.15 <sup>E</sup>
LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.17%)	27.09 ± 2.15 <sup>D</sup>	17.38 ± 0.99 <sup>D</sup>	58.17 ± 1.69 <sup>B</sup>	$47.28 \pm 0.89^{B}$	2.43± 0.86 <sup>E</sup>	26.27 ± 0.98 <sup>c</sup>	16.20 ± 0.88 <sup>c</sup>	57.08 ± 2.48 <sup>B</sup>	48.19 ± 1.11 <sup>B</sup>	2.42± 0.86 <sup>B</sup>
Control	0.0	0.00	96.71 ± 2.23 <sup>A</sup>	55.63 ± 2.33 <sup>A</sup>	2.69± 1.33 <sup>F</sup>	0.00	0.00	$94.53 \pm 0.96^{A}$	54.72 ± 2.19 <sup>A</sup>	2.75± 1.35 <sup>F</sup>
				7	′ days					
LC <sub>50</sub> = (0.39%)	68.32 ± 0.99 <sup>A</sup>	63.07 ± 0.89 <sup>A</sup>	43.88 ± 0.96 <sup>F</sup>	27.70 ± 2.48 <sup>D</sup>	1.59± 0.66 <sup>A</sup>	67.59 ± 2.68 <sup>A</sup>	63.29 ± 2.44 <sup>A</sup>	44.89 ± 2.44 <sup>F</sup>	28.43 ± 1.65 <sup>E</sup>	1.57 ± 0.96 <sup>A</sup>
LC <sub>40</sub> = (0.20%)	65.23 <b>±</b> 2.13 <sup>B</sup>	58.78 ± 0.73 <sup>B</sup>	48.60 ± 1.43 <sup>E</sup>	31.59 ± 1.67 <sup>c</sup>	1.55± 0.78 <sup>B</sup>	64.68±1.88 <sup>AB</sup>	57.24 ± 1.57 <sup>B</sup>	49.52 ± 0.57 <sup>E</sup>	32.32 ± 0.99 <sup>D</sup>	1.52 ± 2.22 <sup>B</sup>
LC <sub>30</sub> = (0.09%)	61.51 ± 1.56 <sup>c</sup>	52.57 ± 0.62 <sup>c</sup>	53.83 ± 2.26 <sup>D</sup>	$35.48 \pm 0.88^{B}$	1.51± 0.98 <sup>c</sup>	62.50 ± 2.83 <sup>B</sup>	51.12 ± 2.62 <sup>c</sup>	54.74 ± 1.62 <sup>D</sup>	36.21 ± 2.53 <sup>c</sup>	1.47 ± 0.88 <sup>c</sup>
LC <sub>20</sub> = (0.03%)	58.27 ± 2.23 <sup>D</sup>	$47.50 \pm 0.57^{D}$	59.32 ± 1.56 <sup>c</sup>	40.37 ± 2.39 <sup>A</sup>	1.44± 1.47 <sup>D</sup>	57.09 <b>±</b> 1.82 <sup>c</sup>	46.41 ± 1.73 <sup>D</sup>	58.59 ± 0.73 <sup>c</sup>	39.11± 1.46 <sup>BC</sup>	1.40 ± 1.77 <sup>D</sup>
LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.01%)	53.19 ± 0.77 <sup>E</sup>	42.73 ± 0.95 <sup>E</sup>	63.41 ± 1.01 <sup>B</sup>	43.18 ± 1.37 <sup>A</sup>	1.39± 1.19 <sup>E</sup>	52.28 ± 0.97 <sup>D</sup>	43.62 ± 0.89 <sup>D</sup>	62.50 ± 0.89 <sup>B</sup>	42.29 ± 0.77 <sup>B</sup>	1.33± 1.59 <sup>E</sup>
Control	0.00	0.00	$90.11 \pm 0.89^{A}$	$46.21 \pm 0.39^{A}$	1.81± 1.68 <sup>F</sup>	0.00	0.00	88.11 ± 0.79 <sup>A</sup>	$49.21 \pm 0.48^{A}$	1.79± 1.28 <sup>F</sup>

# Table 7. Means of different concentrations of fenoxycarb (Swift<sup>®</sup> 6.9 EW) on the mortality, longevity, fecundity, and sex ratio of after 3<sup>rd</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> days in 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> generation 3 days

Pesticide Fenoxycarb (swift® 6.9 EW)													
Mortality (1 <sup>st</sup> instar)													
G1	G2	G3	G4	LC at 7 days	G1	G2	G3	G4					
44.08	47.19	38.71	38.01	$LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$	78.13	82.47	68.32	67.59					
40.19	45.31	36.50	36.41	$LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$	73.51	78.15	65.23	64.68					
36.58	41.69	33.41	32.50	$LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$	69.11	73.25	61.51	62.50					
33.50	39.31	30.28	29.19	$LC_{20} = (0.03\%)$	65.85	69.44	58.27	57.09					
30.94	37.41	27.09	26.27	$LC_{10} = (0.01\%)$	61.94	67.44	53.19	52.28					
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	CG	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
			Mortalit	y (Adult)									
G1	G2	G3	G4	LC at 7 days	G1	G2	G3	G4					
35.24	38.79	28.29	27.29	$LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$	68.52	73.79	63.07	62.29					
32.20	36.50	25.17	24.08	$LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$	61.73	69.67	58.78	57.24					
28.17	31.41	22.87	21.49	$LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$	56.44	63.63	52.57	51.12					
24.61	28.71	19.49	18.31	$LC_{20} = (0.03\%)$	51.62	58.33	47.50	46.41					
21 50	26.30	17.38	16.20	$LC_{10} = (0.01\%)$	48.41	53.67	42.73	42.62					
21.50	20.50	17.00	10.20										
	44.08 40.19 36.58 33.50 30.94 0.00 <b>G1</b> 35.24 32.20 28.17 24.61	44.08       47.19         40.19       45.31         36.58       41.69         33.50       39.31         30.94       37.41         0.00       0.00         G1         G2       35.24         35.24       38.79         32.20       36.50         28.17       31.41         24.61       28.71	44.08         47.19         38.71           40.19         45.31         36.50           36.58         41.69         33.41           33.50         39.31         30.28           30.94         37.41         27.09           0.00         0.00         0.00           G1         G2         G3           35.24         38.79         28.29           32.20         36.50         25.17           28.17         31.41         22.87           24.61         28.71         19.49	Mortality           G1         G2         G3         G4           44.08         47.19         38.71         38.01           40.19         45.31         36.50         36.41           36.58         41.69         33.41         32.50           33.50         39.31         30.28         29.19           30.94         37.41         27.09         26.27           0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00           Mortality           G1         G2         G3         G4           35.24         38.79         28.29         27.29         32.20         36.50         25.17         24.08         28.17         31.41         22.87         21.49         24.61         28.71         19.49         18.31	Mortality (1st instar)G1G2G3G4LC at 7 days44.0847.1938.7138.01LC50 = (0.39%)40.1945.3136.5036.41LC40 = (0.20%)36.5841.6933.4132.50LC30 = (0.09%)33.5039.3130.2829.19LC20 = (0.03%)30.9437.4127.0926.27LC10 = (0.01%)0.000.000.000.00CGMortality (Adult)G1G2G3G4LC at 7 days35.2438.7928.2927.29LC50 = (0.39%)32.2036.5025.1724.08LC40 = (0.20%)28.1731.4122.8721.49LC30 = (0.09%)24.6128.7119.4918.31LC20 = (0.03%)	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mortality (1 <sup>st</sup> instar)G1G2G3G4LC at 7 daysG1G2 $44.08$ $47.19$ $38.71$ $38.01$ $LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$ $78.13$ $82.47$ $40.19$ $45.31$ $36.50$ $36.41$ $LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$ $73.51$ $78.15$ $36.58$ $41.69$ $33.41$ $32.50$ $LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$ $69.11$ $73.25$ $33.50$ $39.31$ $30.28$ $29.19$ $LC_{20} = (0.03\%)$ $65.85$ $69.44$ $30.94$ $37.41$ $27.09$ $26.27$ $LC_{10} = (0.01\%)$ $61.94$ $67.44$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $CG$ $0.00$ $0.00$ Mortality (Adult)G1G2G3G4LC at 7 daysG1G2 $35.24$ $38.79$ $28.29$ $27.29$ $LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$ $68.52$ $73.79$ $32.20$ $36.50$ $25.17$ $24.08$ $LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$ $61.73$ $69.67$ $28.17$ $31.41$ $22.87$ $21.49$ $LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$ $56.44$ $63.63$ $24.61$ $28.71$ $19.49$ $18.31$ $LC_{20} = (0.03\%)$ $51.62$ $58.33$	Mortality (1 <sup>st</sup> instar)G1G2G3G4LC at 7 daysG1G2G3 $44.08$ $47.19$ $38.71$ $38.01$ $LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$ $78.13$ $82.47$ $68.32$ $40.19$ $45.31$ $36.50$ $36.41$ $LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$ $73.51$ $78.15$ $65.23$ $36.58$ $41.69$ $33.41$ $32.50$ $LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$ $69.11$ $73.25$ $61.51$ $33.50$ $39.31$ $30.28$ $29.19$ $LC_{20} = (0.03\%)$ $65.85$ $69.44$ $58.27$ $30.94$ $37.41$ $27.09$ $26.27$ $LC_{10} = (0.01\%)$ $61.94$ $67.44$ $53.19$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $CG$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ Mortality (Adult)G1G2G3G4LC at 7 daysG1G2G3G4LC at 7 daysG1G2G3Start38.79 $28.29$ $27.29$ $LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$ $68.52$ $73.79$ $63.07$ 35.24 $38.79$ $28.29$ $27.29$ $LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$ $68.52$ $73.79$ $63.07$ $32.20$ $36.50$ $25.17$ $24.08$ $LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$ $61.73$ $69.67$ $58.78$ $28.17$ $31.41$ $22.87$ $21.49$ $LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$ $56.44$ $63.63$ $52.57$ $24.61$ $28.71$ $19.49$ $18.31$ $LC_{20} = (0.03\%)$ $51.62$ $58.33$					

 Table 8. Hormoligosis of 1<sup>st</sup> instar and adult of female cotton mealybug treated by fenoxycarb at 3<sup>rd</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> days

 Pesticide
 Fenoxycarb (swift<sup>®</sup> 6.9 EW)

CG = Control Group

+ = Hormoligosis result positive

 Table 9. Hormoligosis of fecundity and longevity of cotton mealybug treated with Fenoxycarb at 3<sup>rd</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> days

 Pesticide
 Fenoxycarb (swift® 6.9 EW)

				Fecu	undity	-	Fecundity												
LC at 3 days	G1	G2	G3	G4	LC at 7 days	G1	G2	G3	G4										
LC <sub>50</sub> = (10.02%)	37.84	33.24	42.68	42.73	$LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$	37.67	33.45	43.88	44.89										
LC <sub>40</sub> = (5.19%)	39.73	37.39	46.60	46.69	$LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$	43.48	37.47	48.60	49.52										
LC <sub>30</sub> = (2.40%)	43.40	41.73	49.49	50.31	$LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$	49.80	42.19	53.83	54.74										
LC <sub>20</sub> = (0.88%)	49.24	44.57	54.30	54.39	$LC_{20} = (0.03\%)$	53.73	49.68	59.32	58.59										
LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.17%)	52.28	48.31	58.17	58.27	LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.01%)	58.61	53.30	63.41	63.50										
CG	94.34	85.19	96.71	94.53	CG	88.58	80.31	90.11	91.21										
				Lon	gevity														
LC at 3 days	G1	G2	G3	G4	LC at 7 days	G1	G2	G3	G4										
LC <sub>50</sub> = (10.02%)	32.58	23.35	37.79	37.83	$LC_{50} = (0.39\%)$	24.65	17.94	27.70	28.43										
LC <sub>40</sub> = (5.19%)	35.23	26.48	39.70	39.75	$LC_{40} = (0.20\%)$	27.69	20.89	31.59	32.32										
LC <sub>30</sub> = (2.40%)	38.94	29.40	41.58	42.22	$LC_{30} = (0.09\%)$	30.87	24.78	35.48	36.21										
LC <sub>20</sub> = (0.88%)	41.39	31.83	44.49	45.30	LC <sub>20</sub> = (0.03%)	34.53	27.28	40.37	39.11										
LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.17%)	43.57	33.24	47.28	48.19	LC <sub>10</sub> = (0.01%)	37.78	32.52	43.18	43.29										
CG	48.73	43.61	55.63	54.72	CG	37.94	32.91	46.21	42.01										

CG = Control Group

# DISCUSSION

The certain insecticides can be used safely, while others have carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic effects on animals along with its persistence in the ecosystem. Also, their increase in the food chain threatens non-target species which is very much concerned with regard to environmental health. These facts are of profound interest to farmers, health scientists, manufacturers, and customers. The Insect Growth Regulators (IGRs) are the modern for both organizational and industrial insect management methods. The IGRs control of pests species is far higher than traditional insecticides and are substantial alternative for integrated pest management (IPM) program in targeted insect pest control [30]. The cotton mealybug is an invasive species of polyphagous insects that cause severe economic damage to many crops particularly cotton [31].

In the present study, mortality of first instar and adult was observed decreasing after 3 days of the interval with decreasing concentration. After seven days of the interval, percent of insects death was observed decreasing over the generation at different dilutions of swift® insecticide, which shows that production of resistance from G1 to G4 was not established. Present findings were supported by Abbas and coauthors [32], where fipronil, pyriproxyfen, acetamiprid, diafenthinuron, bifenthrin, chlorfenapyr and chlorpyrifos were used against the cotton mealybug. At sublethal concentrations, a variety of insecticides were tested for

<sup>+ =</sup> Hormoligosis result positive

efficacy against mealybugs throughout the world, which showed the reduction of population in different generations [33,34].

The study showed that hormoligosis increases dramatically in generations at sublethal doses (P < 0.05). But fecundity rate highly decreased at lethal dose of LC<sub>50</sub>, which exposed that fenoxycarb is more effective. Vojoudi and coauthors [35] studied the similar effects that sublethal concentrations LC<sub>25</sub> of lufenuron strongly affected spider mites' life characteristics and, subsequently, influenced mite population growth in the next generations. Ayub and coauthors [36] revealed that sublethal doses (LC<sub>10</sub> and LC<sub>30</sub>) had significant effects on the second instar developmental time of *P. fuscipes* compared with that of the control. The sublethal doses of profenofos negatively affected the development and biological activities of rove beetle. In our experiment it was observed that offspring, the developmental period of eggs, larvae, and pupae increased substantially in the next generations. Extending the pre-adult development period may raise exposure danger to natural enemies [37]. As other researchers have reported, fenoxycarb normally retains a juvenile character by expanding the untimely phases [38–40].

The IGRs are used against the pests of different crops because they absorb and form and toxic residues pool in the tissue of leaves. This kind of IGRs residual absorption develops by post-application intervals, reducing their residual toxicity on the treated leaf-surface [41,42]. Post-application behaviour of IGRs molecules of this kind ensures a progressive rise in toxicity against sucking insects pests and a consistent drop in contact toxicity against parasitoids, predators [41] and other non - target fauna of arthropods [42]. The toxicity of insecticide was recorded in same trend line as estimated by Abbas and coauthors [32] in which they check the efficacy of ten pesticides, including fipronil, spintoram, lamdacyhalothrin, pyriproxyfen, acetamiprid, nitenpyram, diafenthinuron, bifenthrin, chlorfenapyr and chlorpyrifos at different concentrations against the *Phenacoccus solenopsis*. In the present study, the longevity of female cotton mealybug increases in case of swift® throughout the generations at both 3 and 7 days interval which shows that sub lethal dose level has more efficacy. Present findings were not supported by Vojoudi and coauthors [35] because they check the efficacy of abamectin, chlorpyriphos, and spinosad on the longevity of different target pest cotton bollworms. They also concluded that spinosad and chlorpyriphos are the effective insecticides against cotton bollworm. The present findings of sex ratio were not supported by Kalola and coauthors [43] because the target insect, dose selection and insecticide was different. They checked the efficacy of different insecticides like profnofos against Thrips tabaci. They concluded that profenofos is most effective against the Thrips tabaci.

The resistance level to insecticides negatively affected the level of improvement on reproductive ability as less improvement was recorded for strains with higher resistance. However, Fenoxycarb may be more appropriate to treat the pest depending on lethal and sublethal results than other insecticides.

# CONCLUSION

The usage of non-selective insecticides is optimistic for protecting natural enemies and thus has profound consequences for the pest population dynamics. The greater mortality was recorded at a concentration of fenoxycarb 2.4%. Laboratory bioassay showed that after 3 days of experiment, fenoxycarb was less effective at concentration  $LC_{10}$  (0.17 ppm). The 1<sup>st</sup> instar showed maximum mortality at the concentration ( $LC_{50} = 10.02\%$ ). The maximum fecundity, sex ratio and longevity were found in control. The sex ratio was higher in control while the minimum at ( $LC_{10} = 0.17\%$ ). The 1<sup>st</sup> instar and adult of cotton mealybug were found to decline after generations at sub-lethal dilutions that exposed the resistance of development from G1 to G4 after 3 days and maximum after 7 days of interval. At 3 days, hormoligosis was observed in fecundity at  $LC_{10}$  (0.17%) in G1 and G4 while  $LC_{50}$  (10.02%) was observed in G1 and G4. In Pakistan, pesticide resistance in cotton mealybug might can be controlled if efficient and variety of pesticides are used in alternation, besides additional IPM strategies, at the starting level of resistance improvement.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** We acknowledge the University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, for providing the facilities and platform for this research conduct.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

- Arif MJ, Shahid MR, Gogi MD, Arshad M, Khan MA. Studies on Biological Parameters of an Invasive Mealy Bug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsely (Pseudococcidae: Hemiptera) on Different Host Plants Under Laboratory Conditions. Acad. J. Entomol. 2013; 6(2):55–60.
- 2. Wang YP, Wu SA, Zhang RZ. Pest risk analysis of a new invasive pest, *Phenacoccus solenopsis*, to China. Chinese Bull. Entomol. 2009; 46(1):101–6.

- Nagrare VS, Kranthi S, Biradar VK, Zade NN, Sangode V, Kakde G, et al. Widespread infestation of the exotic mealybug species, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), on cotton in India. Bull. Entomol. Res. 2009; 99(5):537–41.
- 4. Tanwar RK, Jeyakumar P, Singh A, Jafri AA, Bambawale OM. Survey for cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) and its natural enemies. J. Environ. Biol. 2011; 32(3):381–4.
- 5. Saddiq B, Shad SA, Aslam M, Ijaz M, Abbas N. Monitoring resistance of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) to new chemical insecticides in Punjab, Pakistan. Crop Prot. 2015; 74:24–9.
- Gebregergis Z. Incidence of a New Pest, the Cotton Mealybug Phenacoccus solenopsis Tinsley, on Sesame in North Ethiopia. Int. J. Zool. 2018(4):1-7.
- Shad SA, Sayyed AH, Fazal S, Saleem MA, Zaka SM, Ali M. Field evolved resistance to carbamates, organophosphates, pyrethroids, and new chemistry insecticides in *Spodoptera litura* Fab. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Pest. Sci. 2012; 85(1):153–62.
- Gogi MD, Syed AH, Atta B, Sufyan M, Arif MJ, Arshad M, et al. Efficacy of biorational insecticides against *Bemisia* tabaci (Genn.) and their selectivity for its parasitoid *Encarsia formosa* Gahan on Bt cotton. Sci. Rep. 2021; 11(1):1–12.
- 9. Saini RK, Sharma SSP, Rohilla HR. Mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley and its survival in cotton ecosystem in Haryana. In: Proc. Nat. Symp. Bt Cotton: Opportunities and Prospects, Central Institute of Cotton Research, Nagpur, November. 2009:17–9.
- 10. Idrees M, Gogi MD, Majeed W, Yaseen A, Iqbal M. Impacts and evaluation of Hormoligosis of some insect growth regulators on *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci. 2020; 40(4):855–67.
- 11. Ahmad M, Arif MI, Ahmad M. Occurrence of insecticide resistance in field populations of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Pakistan. Crop Prot. 2007; 26(6):809–17.
- 12. Ahmad M, Sayyed AH, Saleem MA, Ahmad M. Evidence for field evolved resistance to newer insecticides in *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from Pakistan. Crop Prot. 2008; 27(10):1367–72.
- 13. Lysandrou M, Ahmad M, Longhurst C. Management of Mealybug, *Phenacoccus Solenopsis* Tinsley in Cotton With a New Sap Feeding Insecticide "Sulfoxaflor". J. Agric. Res. 2012; 50(4):493–507.
- Afzal MBS, Shad SA, Abbas N, Ayyaz M, Walker WB. Cross-resistance, the stability of acetamiprid resistance and its effect on the biological parameters of cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae), in Pakistan. Pest. Manag. Sci. 2015; 71(1):151–8.
- 15. Kendig EL, Le HH, Belcher SM. Defining hormesis: Evaluation of a complex concentration response phenomenon. Int. J. Toxicol. 2010; 29(3):235–46.
- 16. Jager T, Barsi A, Ducrot V. Hormesis on life-history traits: Is there such thing as a free lunch? Ecotoxicology 2013; 22(2):263–70.
- 17. Alizadeh M, Karimzadeh J, Rassoulian GR, Farazmand H, Hoseini-Naveh V, Pourian HR. Sublethal effects of pyriproxyfen, a juvenile hormone analogue, on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): Life table study. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot. 2012; 45(14):1741–63.
- 18. Han W, Zhang S, Shen F, Liu M, Ren C, Gao X. Residual toxicity and sublethal effects of chlorantraniliprole on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). Pest. Manag. Sci. 2012; 68(8):1184–90.
- 19. Zhang Z, Hong LJ, Wu GX. Sublethal effects of Metaflumizone on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). J. Integr. Agric. 2012; 11(7):1145–50.
- 20. Mahmoudvand M, Abbasipour H, Garjan A, Bandani AR. Change in life expectancy and stable age distribution of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) after indoxacarb treatment. J. Plant Prot. Res. 2012; 52(3):342–6.
- 21. Mahmoudv M, Abbasipour H, Garjan AS, Bandani AR. Sublethal effects of indoxacarb on the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 2010; 46(1):75–80.
- 22. Mahmoudvand M, Moharramipour S. Sublethal effects of fenoxycarb on the *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). J. Insect Sci. 2015; 15(1).
- 23. Ahmad F, Akram W, Sajjad A, Imran AU. Management practices against cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2011; 13(4):547–52.
- 24. Begum R, Qamar A. Fenoxycarb-a potent inhibitor of metamorphosis and reproduction in Rice Moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton). J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 2016; 4(4):572–7.
- Ayubi A, Moravvej G, Karimi J, Jooyandeh A. Lethal effects of four insecticides on immature stages of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) in laboratory conditions. Turkiye Entomoloji Derg. 2013; 37(4):399–407.
- Atta B, Gogi MD, Arif MJ, Mustafa F, Raza MF, Hussain MJ, et al. Toxicity of some insect growth regulators (IGRs) against different life stages of Dusky cotton bugs *Oxycarenus hyalinipennis* Costa (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae: Oxycareninae). Bulg. J. Agric. Sci. 2015; 21(2):367–71.
- 27. Chaudhry NA, Ansari MA, Tariq LH. Pest-scouting and production of cotton crop. Pakistan Agric. 1987.
- 28. WHO. Manual on development and use of FAO and WHO specifications for pesticides. Second revision of First Edition. 2010;(November): 246.
- 29. Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 1925; 18(2):265-7.
- 30. Patel MG, Jhala RC, Vaghela NM, Chauhan NR. Bio-efficacy of buprofezin against mealy bug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera : Pseudococcidae) an invasive pest of cotton. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2010; 23(1):14–8.

- El-Mageed A, Youssef N, Mostafa M. Efficacy of some Different Insecticides against Cotton Mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) and its Associated Predators. J. Plant Prot. Pathol. 2018; 9(6):351–5.
- 32. Abbas G, Hassan N, Haq I, Farhan M, Karar H. Relative suitability of various insecticide for early crop management of cotton against sucking insect pest complex especially dusky cotton bug, *Oxycarenus hyalinipennis* (Hemiptera: Oxycarenidae). Pak. Entomol. 2014; 36(2):129–33.
- 33. Jhala RC, Patel MG, Bharpoda TM. Evaluation of insecticides for the management of mealy bug, *Phenacoccus* solenopsis Tinsley in cotton. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2010; 23(1):101–2.
- 34. Hussain SI, Saleem MA, Freed S. Toxicity of some insecticides to control mango mealy bug, *Drosicha mangiferae*, a serious pest of mango in Pakistan. Pak. J. Zool. 2012; 44(2):353–9.
- Vojoudi S, Saber M, Hejazi MJ, Talaei-Hassanloui R. Toxicity of chlorpyrifos, spinosad and abamectin on cotton bollworm, helicoverpa armigera and their sublethal effects on fecundity and longevity. Bull. Insectol. 2011; 64(2):189–93.
- 36. Ayub MA, Ayub MM, Gogi MD, Atta B, Farooq MA, Nisar MJ. Impact of different formulations of insect growth regulators on mortality and natality of adult female *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). J. Agric. Res. 2017; 55(4):651–60.
- 37. Charleston DS. Integrating biological control and botanical pesticides for management of *Plutella Xylostella*. 2004. Thesis Wageningen University, Netherlands. pp. 1-185.
- 38. Singh A, Tiwari SK. Effects of Fenoxycarb , on the Biology of Rice Moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* Stainton (Lepidoptera : Pyralidae ) Exposed as First In- star Larvae. Front. Biol. Life Sci. 2015; 3(1):14–8.
- 39. Singh N, Johnson DT. Baseline responses of *Alphitobius diaperinus* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) to insect growth regulators. J. Agric. Urban Entomol. 2013; 29(1):35–54.
- 40. Letellier C, Haubruge E, Gaspar C. Biological activity of fenoxycarb against Sitophilus zeamais Motsch.
- (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 1995; 31(1):37-42.
- 41. Devillers J. Fate of pyriproxyfen in soils and plants. Toxics 2020; 8(1):20.
- 42. Gogi MD, Sarfraz RM, Dosdall LM, Arif MJ, Keddie AB, Ashfaq M. Effectiveness of two insect growth regulators against *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and their impact on population densities of arthropod predators in cotton in Pakistan. Pest Manag. Sci. 2006; 62(10):982–90.
- 43. Kalola NA, Patel VN, Bhadani DJ. Efficacy of different insecticides on garlic thrips. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 2017; 5(6):1505–9.



© 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).