Histopathology and the lethal effect of Cry proteins and strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner in *Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E. Smith Caterpillars (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae)

Knaak, N.a*, Franz, AR.a, Santos, GF.a and Fiuza, LM.a,b*

 aLaboratório de Microbiologia, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos – UNISINOS, Av. Unisinos, 950, CEP 93001-970, São Leopoldo, RS, Brazil
 bInstituto Riograndese do Arroz Irrigado – IRGA, Cachoeirinha, RS, Brazil

*e-mail: fiuza@unisinos.br, neivaknaak@gmail.com

Received December 29, 2008 - Accepted April 29, 2009 - Distributed August 31, 2010

Abstract

Among the phytophagous insects which attack crops, the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) is particularly harmful in the initial growth phase of rice plants. As a potential means of controlling this pest, and considering that the entomopathogen *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner demonstrates toxicity due to synthesis of the Cry protein, the present study was undertaken to evaluate this toxic effect of *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 on *S. frugiperda*. The following method was used. Both bacterial strains were evaluated in vitro in 1st instar *S. frugiperda* caterpillars, by means of histopathological assays. The Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac proteins, codified by the respective strains of *B. thuringiensis*, were evaluated in vivo by bioassays of 1st instar *S. frugiperda* caterpillars in order to determine the Mean Lethal Concentration (LC₅₀). The results of the histopathological analysis of the midget of *S. frugiperda* caterpillars demonstrate that treatment with the *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* strain was more efficient, because the degradations of the microvilosities started 9 hours after treatment application (HAT), while in the *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* the same effect was noticed only after 12 HAT. Toxicity data of the Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac proteins presented for the target-species LC₅₀ levels of 9.29 and 1.79 μg.cm⁻² respectively. The strains and proteins synthesised by *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* are effective in controlling *S. frugiperda*, and may be used to produce new biopesticides or the genes may be utilised in the genetic transformation of *Oryza sativa* L.

Keywords: Bacillus thuringiensis, bioassays, histopathology, Cry proteins, Spodoptera frugiperda.

Histopatologia e efeito letal de cepas e proteínas Cry de *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner para lagartas de *Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E. Smith (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae)

Resumo

Entre os insetos fitófagos que atacam as culturas, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) destaca-se como uma praga polífaga que causa prejuízos na fase inicial da cultura do arroz. No seu controle, o entomopatógeno *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner revela-se tóxico devido à síntese de proteínas Cry. Nesse contexto, o objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar a toxicidade das cepas e proteínas Cry de *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) e *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 sobre *S. frugiperda*. As duas cepas bacterianas foram avaliadas, in vitro, em lagartas de 1º instar de *S. frugiperda*, através de ensaios de histopatologia. As proteínas Cry1Ab e Cry1Ac, codificadas pelas respectivas cepas de *B. thuringiensis*, foram avaliadas in vivo, através de bioensaios com lagartas de 1º instar de *S. frugiperda* para determinação da Concentração Letal Média (CL₅₀). Os resultados da análise histopatológica do intestino médio das lagartas *S. frugiperda* mostram que o tratamento com a cepa *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* foi mais eficiente e a degradação das microvilosidade iniciou-se 9 horas após a aplicação dos tratamentos (HAT). Para *B. thuringiensis kurstaki*, o mesmo efeito foi observado, 12 HAT. Os dados de toxicidade das proteínas de Cry1Ab e Cry1Ac revelaram para a espécie-alvo uma CL₅₀ de 9,29 e 1,79 μg.cm⁻², respectivamente. As cepas e proteínas sintetizadas por *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* e *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* são eficientes no controle de *S. frugiperda*, e poderão ser usadas na produção de novos biopesticidas ou a utilização dos genes na transformação genética de *Oryza sativa* L.

Palavras-chave: Bacillus thuringiensis, bioensaios, histopatologia, proteínas Cry, Spodoptera frugiperda.

1. Introduction

Agricultural production has evolved, and scientific progress has laid the foundation for various technologies leading to increased agricultural production. Despite such progress, insects still destroy cultivated plants, many times jeopardising the production process (Gallo et al., 2002). Attacks by phytophagous pests cause significant decreases in the productivity of corn and irrigated rice crops. Among the phytophagous insects that attack these cultures, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) is considered one of the most harmful pests (Grützmacher et al., 2000) because it feeds on the young plants and, in irrigated rice plantations before the crops are flooded, it can reach high population levels and totally destroy the plants (Martins and Botton, 1998).

In the State of Rio Grande do Sul in Brazil, infestation by this pest is a serious concern for the producers who react by using preventative chemical pesticides that may create resistance to the synthetic molecules of the pesticides, and cause various environmental problems. As these environmental problems increase, researchers look for alternative methods of pest control capable of reducing the harmful effects of the chemical products, by rationalising the applications (Carlini and Grossi-de-Sá, 2002).

In the last fifty years, one of the alternatives to biological control that has achieved success in controlling various insect pests on a commercial scale is the use of the entomopathogen *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Schnepf et al., 1998).

Bacteria of the Bacillus genus have great potential use as biological control agents because they remain viable for long storage periods (Alves, 1998). B. thuringiensis is a Gram-positive bacterium that produces crystal inclusions of proteins during the sporulation made up of insecticidal Cry proteins (Van Rie et al., 1990; Hofmann et al., 1998). These crystals, when ingested by susceptible insects are dissolved under alkaline conditions in the midgut, and then broken into smaller fragments by proteases (Tojo and Aizawa, 1983; Schnepf et al., 1998; Shao et al., 1998; Bravo et al., 2007). Binding occurs due to the association of the activated toxin molecules with specific proteins located in the microvilosities of the epithelial cells in the midgut (Schwartz et al., 1997; Masson et al., 1999; De Maagd et al., 2003). The formation of a pre-pore oligomeric structure facilitates the insertion of the Cry proteins inside the membrane of the columnar cells and is important for the toxicity of the toxin. The formation of the structure has been demonstrated for the toxins, Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, Cry1Ca, Cry1Da, Cry1Ea, Cry1Fa e Cry3, and the formation of the oligomeric structure of the toxins correlated with that of the pore (Bravo et al., 2007). Subsequently, the pore is formed (Masson et al, 1999) and the ion flux through that pore leads to cell lysis and the consequent death of the susceptible pest organisms (Schnepf et al., 1998; Monnerat and Bravo, 2000). When the toxin dosage fails to kill the insect, its cells are substituted allowing normal feeding to proceed and the recuperation of development of the insect (Spies and Spence, 1995). The midgut of the Lepidoptera is composed of pseudostratified epithelium,

formed by three kinds of cells - columnar, globular (Cioffi, 1979) and regenerative -located on the base, between the columnar and the globular cells. These cells demonstrate mitosis activity before each change (Baldwin and Hakim, 1991; Engelhard et al., 1991).

Cry proteins are coded by different genes, whose classification is based on the similarity of aminoacid sequences (Crickmore et al., 1998). More than 350 Cry proteins genes have been cloned and sequenced in recent years from different strains of *B. thuringiensis* (Crickmore et al., 2009). However, the proteins of the Cry 1, Cry 2 and Cry 9 classes (Bravo et al., 1998) demonstrate the broadest insecticidal spectrum against the Lepidoptera order.

Various Cry toxins evaluated in recent studies may be active in S. frugiperda, for example: Buntin (2008), testing transgenic corn, and expressing the Cry1Ab and Cry1F proteins of B. thuringiensis in S. frugiperda and Helicoverpa zea Boddie, 1850, concluded that, while both reduced the infestation of the tested insects, Cry1F was more efficient - this result was also obtained by Siebert et al. (2008). Lima et al. (2008), utilizing the Cry2Ab protein, found a CL₅₀ of 3.45 µg.mL⁻¹ for larvae of the 2° ínstar of S. frugiperda. Sivasupramaniam et al. (2008), testing transgenic cotton and expressing the Cry1Ac and Cry2Ab2 proteins, found that cotton plants expressing Cry1Ac isolation and those expressing both Cry1Ac and Cry2Ab2 were toxic for Heliothis virescens Fabicius, 1777, and S. frugiperda. The no-choice tests resulted in mortality levels of 20-69% in S. frugiperda.

In this context, the objective of this work is to assess the toxicity of the strains and Cry proteins of *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 against the *S. frugiperda* armyworm.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Insects

Spodoptera frugiperda caterpillars were collected in irrigated rice fields in Cachoeirinha/Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil by the Instituto Riograndense do Arroz – IRGA, in October 2004, and identified by Ms. Jaime Vargas de Oliveira. They were maintained in the laboratory, and fed with Poitout and Bues's diet (Poitout and Bues, 1970). The biological cycle was developed under controlled conditions (25 °C, 12-hour photophase and 70% Relative Humidity), in the Insect Breeding Room, Center 2, at the University of the Vale do Rio do Sinos - UNISINOS.

2.2. Bacillus thuringiensis

The *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 strains were provided by the International Entomopathogenic Bacillus Centre, Pasteur Institute, Paris (France). The strains were grown in a standard glycolic media, at 180 rpm and 28 °C, for 48 hours (Debarjac and Lecadet, 1976). Subsequently, the bacterial suspension was centrifuged at 5000 rpm, and the cell count was made in a Neubauer Chamber

with an optical microscope, and in a concentration of $1.10^{10} \, \text{cells.mL}^{-1}$.

In the preparation of the Cry proteins the cultivation of both strains was realised as previously described until a 90% cell lyses was obtained. The culture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm, at 5 °C, for 15 minutes, and the concentrate obtained was washed with phosphate buffer (0.1 M NaH₂PO₄. H₂O + 0.1 M NaCl, pH 6.0). Spore, crystal and cell trace separation was realised by applying the bacterial suspension to a sacarose discontinuous gradient (67-79%), that was centrifuged at 9500 rpm, 5 °C for one hour. The bands deposited among different concentrations of sacarose were collected, washed with mili-Q water and observed by phase contrast microscopy. Next, proteins were dissolved in a pH 10 phosphate buffer (50 mM Na₂CO₂, 10 mM DTT, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM PMSF), as described by Fiuza et al. (1996). The Protein concentration was determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976), and the profile was evaluated in SDS-PAGE, at 10% (Laemmli, 1970).

2.3. Histopathology assays

In the treatments with the strains of *B. thuringiensis* thuringiensis 407 (pH 408) and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73, 100 μL of suspensions (10¹⁰ cells.mL⁻¹) were applied on the Poitout and Bues diet surface (Poitout and Bues, 1970), arranged on acrylic mini-plates. In the tests, 30 caterpillars of the 1st instar of *S. frugiperda* were placed individually on the acrylic mini-plates containing the treatments. In the control samples, the test elements were substituted by distilled and sterilised water. Treatments were maintained in an acclimatised chamber (25 °C, 12-hour photophase and 70% Relative Humidity).

In the histological assessments, the *S. frugiperda* tissues were prepared with the paraplast[©] inclusion techniques (Brandtzaeg, 1982) and after application of the treatment, the caterpillars were collected in periods of 1, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 24 hours. After fixation in Bouin Hollande sublimate for 24 hours, the tissues were submitted to dehydration in ethanol solutions in an increasing order of graduation, followed by rapid xylol baths and impregnation with paraplast. Longitudinal histological sections were made with a 5 µm thickness. To remove the paraplast the slides containing the tissues were passed through xylol and ethanol baths in a decreasing order of graduation. The S. frugiperda tissues were stained with Heidenhain's Blue. The slides were assembled with Etellan and glass cover slips. The longitudinal sections of the digestive system of the S. frugiperda caterpillars, corresponding to the treatments with the two strains of B. thuringiensis and the control, were observed using the comparative histology system and optical microscopy.

2.4. Bioassays

Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac proteins were tested in 1st instar caterpillars of *S. frugiperda*. For each protein, the assays were made up of five protein concentrations (0.06 at 610 µg.mL⁻¹ and 0.1 at 1050 µg.mL⁻¹, for Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac, respectively) and a control, representing

6 treatments of 30 insects and 3 repetitions, for a total of 540 insects evaluated by protein. The treatments were applied (100 µL) on the Poitout and Bues diet surface (Poitout and Bues, 1970), previously arranged on acrylic mini-plates, where the caterpillars were individualised. In the controls the proteins were substituted by distilled and sterilised water. The test slides were maintained in an acclimatized chamber at 25 °C, 70% Relative Humidity, with a 12 hour photophase. Mortality was evaluated until the seventh day after the application of the treatment, and then corrected using Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925). The mean lethal concentrations (LC₅₀) of each protein (Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac) at the target species was determined by Probit's Analysis, with the Polo-PC LeOra Software program, 1987 (Haddad, 1998). Data was processed by Variance Analysis and the Tukey test (p < 0.05) for comparison of the averages.

3. Results and Discussion

In the histopathological analysis of the midgut of *S. frugiperda* caterpillars treated with the *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) strain, structural changes were observed six hours after application of the treatment (HAT), where there were cells in the intestinal lumen and elongation of the microvilosities, as compared to the control. After nine applications of the treatment (HAT) the action was intensified with vacuolisation of the cytoplasm, and the beginning of the degradation of the peritrophic membrane – this was entirely absent after 12 HAT. Treatment with *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 strain was similar, except that rupture of the microvilosities (BBMV's) and vacuolisation of the cytoplasm began at 12 HAT.

Comparing the two treatments it can be seen that the *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) strain was more active, because the beginning of the degradation of microvilosity occurred at 9 HAT compared with 12 HAT for *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 (Table 1).

Various other works report the cellular changes produced in the midgut of larvae intoxicated with the Cry proteins of *B. thuringiensis*, such as: an increase in the volume of the epithelium cells, rupture of microvilosities, vacuolisation of the cytoplasm, changes in the organelles of the cytoplasm and cell hypertrophy (Griego et al., 1980; Mathavan et al., 1989; Bravo et al., 1992). Similar changes were observed in intoxications with Cry1Ac and Cry1D in *S. frugiperda* (Aranda et al., 1996), thus confirming the data reported in this study for the Cry1Ac protein synthesised by the *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 isolate.

Knaak and Fiuza (2005) tested the nuclear polyhedrosis virus of *Anticarsia gemmatalis* Hübner, 1818, (VPNAg) and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-1 (Dipel®) in 2nd instar caterpillars of *A. gemmatalis* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), and observed that when both entomopathogens are utilised simultaneously they are more efficient, because they caused alterations in the intestinal cells after 6 HAT while when used separately they produced the alteration only after 12 HATs. This data is similar to that obtained in the present study because the treatment with *B. thuringiensis kurstaki*

Table 1. Histopathology of 1st instar *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) treated with *Bacillus thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) (*Btt*) and *Bacillus thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 (*Btk*) isolates.

Tre	atments	Changes in peritrophic membrane	BBMVs changes	Cels./ intestinal light projection	Larger cell turgor	Celular hypertrophy	Cyoplasm vacuolization	Rupture of the peritrophic membrane	BBMVs rupture
Control		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Btt	1HAT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	3HAT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6HAT	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	9HAT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	12HAT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	24HAT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Btk	1HAT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	3HAT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6HAT	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	9HAT	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
	12HAT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	24HAT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

⁽⁺⁾ Presence of changes in the midgut (-) absence of changes in the midgut, HAT = hours after treatment application, BBMV = microvillosities

HD-73 also demonstrated alterations in the microvilosities after 12 HATs.

Loeb et al. (2001) tested increasing concentrations of *B. thuringiensis* AA 1-9 and HD-73 toxins and found that they induced reductions related to the total number of cells in the midgut of *Heliothis virescens*. After two days of exposure at 800 ρg.μL⁻¹ de AA 1-9 e 600 ρg.μL⁻¹ de HD-73, the columnar and the globular cells showed a 20% decrease when compared with the control, thus leading to the conclusion that HD-73 toxin was more efficient than the AA 1-9 toxins. In this paper, *S. frugiperda* data show that the cytotoxic activity of the above-mentioned strain (*B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73) is slower than that of *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408).

Rausel et al. (2000a) observed the vacuolisation of the cytoplasm and rupture of the microvilosities in *Lymantria monacha* Linnaeus, 1758 caterpillars exposed to Cry1A toxins, and for the Cry1Ac protein, as well as the effects mentioned, found in vitro tests disorganisation of the midgut and hypertrophy of the epithelium cells, which were loosened and ejected into the intestinal lumen. Furthermore, Baines et al. (1997), when testing Cry1A toxins of *B. thuringiensis* in Lepidoptera, stated that the collapse provoked in the electro-chemical gradient in the midgut epithelium causes the death of the insect, thus confirming the insecticidal activity of this class of Cry proteins against the lepidopteras.

During in vitro tests by Peyronnet et al. (1997) they used the Cry1Aa protein in *Lymantria dispar* Linnaeus, 1758, cells to cause a quick and irreversible depolarisation of the membrane, but the same effect was not observed with the Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac proteins, so that these two must

be considered inactive against the Lepidoptera species. On the other hand, Lambert et al. (1996) have shown that the same Cry protein can present different effects for two species of the same insect order - for example, Cry1Ac was very toxic to *H. virescens*, but non-toxic to *Heliothis armigera* Hübner, 1808. Also, Aranda et al. (1996) suggest that the explanation for the different interactions between Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac is that the toxins interact with different receptors in *S. frugiperda*.

As for the effectiveness of the *B. thuringiensis* strain in the S. frugiperda midgut, the manner in which way the proteins bind to the receptor is relevant (Fiuza, 2004), because, according to Ferre et al. (1991), Lee et al. (1995a) and MacIntosh et al. (1992), it is the key factor of the specificity, toxicity and resistance of the insects to Cry proteins. Considering the two proteins used in this study, one should mention that an amino-peptidase N of 120kDA, located in the membrane of the intestinal epithelium of the Lepidoptera for Cry1Ac (Knight et al., 1994) and cadherin correspond to the receptor for Cry1Ab (Vadlamudi et al., 1993). These epithelium receptors of the midgut of Lepidoptera larvae can be shared by different Cry proteins (Ballester et al., 1999; Martinez-Ramirez et al., 1999), and alterations can make the insects resistant to these toxins (Lee et al., 1995; Schnepf et al., 1998). Estela et al. (2004) have shown that Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac compete for receptor sites on the membrane of the midgut of H. armigera, and that Cry1Ac and Cry1Ab use different epitopes to bind to the membrane. Competition experiments have shown that CrylAc and CrylBa share a binding site on the C. suppressalis membrane, and that this location is also used as a binding site for CrylAa

Table 2. Mean Lethal Concentration of Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis* to 1st instar *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae).

Treatments	Proteins (μg.cm ⁻²)				
	LC_{50}	CI (IL-UL)*	χ^2		
Cry1Ab protein	9.29	4.34-28.46	1.59		
Cry1Ac protein	1.79	0.96-3.76	1.08		

^{*}CI = Confidence Interval, estimated at 95% probability through Probit's Analysis; IL = Inferior Limit; UL = Upper Limit.

(Fiuza et al., 1996). Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac identify the same binding site on *Ostrinia nubilalis* Hübner, 1796 epithelium effectively competing for the same location (Denolf et al., 1993) and are closely related to each other sharing 84% of the amino acids (Lee et al., 1995a). This data can also justify the way that *B. thuringiensis* acts in the S. *frugiperda* midgut.

Aronson et al. (1999), examining the steps required to insert toxins into the membrane, and the possible formation of ionic channels, observed that the oligomerisation chain of the Cry1Ac toxin on the membrane of the *H. virescens* midgut was longer than that of *Manduca sexta* Linnaeus, 1763, and the binding would correspond directly to the toxicity. Furthermore, with reference to the action mode, Zhuang et al. (2002) report that the integrity of lipid pouches is related to the Cry1Ab activity and the consequent formation of the pores that lead to the death of the insect. In the *B. thuringiensis* action sequence, fixing the toxin onto the membrane is a necessary step for formation of the pore (Schnepf et al., 1998; Aronson and Shai, 2001).

In this research, data on the toxicity of Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac proteins, synthesised by *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73, respectively, showed a Mean Lethal Concentration (LC₅₀) of 9.29 and 1.79 μ g.cm⁻² to 1st instar of *S. frugiperda* caterpillars.

The data on CL_{50} shown in Table 2, and the respective confidence intervals, are regarded as dependable because, according to χ^2 , the data obtained agrees with Probit's model, and the estimated value of χ^2 is smaller than the tabulated value (8.89), and is therefore, not significant.

Praça et al. (2004), selecting B. thuringiensis strains that are effective against 2nd - instar S. frugiperda, compared new strains to B. thuringiensis kurstaki HD-1 (LC₅₀ de $0.285 \,\mu g.cm^{-2}$), and obtained a LC₅₀ of 0.09 and 0.52 $\mu g.$ cm⁻², for S234 and S997 respectively, which showed the new S234 strain as the most toxic to S. frugiperda. In studies with Cry proteins, Aranda et al. (1996) determined that the LC₅₀ for S. frugiperda of Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac proteins was higher than 2 μg.cm⁻², and therefore differ somewhat from the results of this study, wherein the Cry1Ac protein (1.79 μg.cm⁻²) was significantly more toxic to the target species when compared to the Cry1Ab protein (9.29 µg. cm⁻²). Rausell et al. (2000) have reported that when they analysed the larval development of Thaumetapoea pityocampa Denis & Schiffermuller, 1775 and L. monacha, the Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac toxicity decreased as the insect's age increased, although the loss of activity was more marked for Cry1Ab.

Meng et al. (2003) calculated the LC₅₀ of Cry1Ac and Cry1Ab proteins from commercial products of *B. thuringiensis* for newly-born larvae of *Chilo suppressalis* (Walker) (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae), which were from 15 to 157 mg (AI)/L and 2 to 34 mg (AI)/L, respectively. On the other hand, however, Fiuza et al. (1996) when testing *B. thuringiensis* Cry proteins in $2^{\rm nd}$ instar *C. suppressalis* caterpillars, observed that the LC₅₀ for Cry1Ac was $2.24 \,\mu \rm g.cm^{-2}$.

The present study concludes that the Cry1Ac protein was more toxic to the target insect than Cry1Ab. Waquil et al. (2002a, b) verified that corn cultures expressing the Cry1Ab and Cry1 proteins were moderately resistant to *S. frugiperda* reducing both the survival and the development of the larvae. In laboratory and field studies with eight corn hybrids evaluated for resistance to *S. frugiperda* and *Diatraea grandiosella* Dyar, 1911, Williams et al. (1997) concluded that the expression of the Cry1Ab toxin produced characteristics of high resistance to the *S. frugiperda* and practically conferred immunity of the *D. grandiosella*. In tests utilising cotton expressing the Cry1Ac protein, Sivasupramaniam et al. (2008) found mortality rates between 20-69% for caterpillars of the 2nd instar of *S. frugiperda*.

These results confirm that strains and proteins synthesised by *B. thuringiensis thuringiensis* 407 (pH 408) and *B. thuringiensis kurstaki* HD-73 are efficient in controlling *S. frugiperda*, and that Cry1Ac protein was the most effective. Furthermore, they can be used to make new biopesticides or the genes utilised to genetically transform the *Oryza sativa* L. in order to resist the *S. frugiperda* armyworm.

References

ABBOTT, WSA., 1925. Method of computing the effectiveness insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 265-267.

ALVES, SB., 1998. *Controle microbiano de insetos*. 2 ed. Piracicaba: FEALQ. 1163 p.

ARANDA E., SANCHEZ, J., PEFERON, M., GUERECA, L. and BRAVO, A., 1996. Interactions of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Crystal Proteins with the Midgut Epithelial Cells of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 68, no. 3, p. 203-212.

ARONSON, AI. and SHAI, Y., 2001. Why *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal toxins are so effective: unique features of their mode of action. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, vol. 195, no. 1, p. 1-8.

ARONSON, AI., GENG, C. and WU, L., 1999. Aggregation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1A toxins upon binding to target insect larval midgut vesicles. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 65, no. 6, p. 2503-2507.

BAINES, D., SCHWARTZ, JL., SOHI, S., DEDES, J. and PANG, A., 1997. Comparison of the response of midgut epithelial cells and cell lines from lepidopteran larvae to CryIA toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, vol. 43, no. 9, p. 823-831.

BALDWIN, KM. and HAKIM, RS., 1991. Growth and differentiation of the larval midgut epithelium during molting. *Tissue and Cell*, vol. 23, no. 3, p. 411-422.

BALLESTER, V., GRANERO, F., TABASHNIK, BT., MALVAR, T. and FERRÉ, J., 1999. Integrative model for binding of *Bacillus thuringiensis* in susceptible and resistant larvae of the diamondback moth. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 65, no. 4, p. 1413-1419.

BRADFORD, MM., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 72, no. 1, p. 248-254.

BRANDTZAEG, P., 1982. Tissue preparation methods for immunocytochemistry. In BULLOCK, G. and PETRUZ, P. (Eds.). *Techniques in immunocytochemistry*. London: Academic Press. p. 49-51.

BRAVO, A., GILL, SS. and SOBERÓN, M., 2007. Mode of action of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry and Cyt toxins and their potential for insect control. *Toxicon*, vol. 49, no. 4, p. 423-435.

BRAVO, A., HENDRICKX, K., JANSENS, S. and PEFEROEN, M., 1992. Immunocytochemical analysis of specific binding of *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal crystal proteins to lepidopteran and coleopteran midgut membranes. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 60, no. 3, p. 247-253.

BRAVO, A., SARABIA, S., LOPEZ, L., ONTIVEROS, H., ABARCA, C., ORTIZ, A., ORTIZ, M., LINA, L., VILLALOBOS, FJ., PENA, G., NUNES-VALDEZ, ME., SOBERÓN, M. and QUINTERO, R., 1998. Characterization of cry Genes in a Mexican *Bacillus thuringiensis* Strain Collection. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 64, no. 12, p. 4965-4972.

BUNTIN, GD., 2008. Corn expressing Cry1Ab or Cry1F endotoxin for fall armyworm and corn earworm (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) management in field corn for grain production. *Florida Entomologistm*, vol. 91, no. 4, p. 523-530.

CARLINI, CR. and GROSSI-DE-SÁ, MF., 2002. Plant toxic proteins with insecticidal properties. A review on their potentialities as bioinsecticides. *Toxicon*, vol. 40, no. 11, p. 1515-1539.

CIOFFI, M., 1979. The morphology d fine structure of larval midgut of a moth (*Manduca sexta*) relation to active ion transport. *Tissue and Cell*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 467-479.

CRICKMORE, N., ZEIGLER, DR., FEITELSON, J., SCHNEPF, E., VAN RIE, J., LERECLUS, D., BAUM, J. and DEAN, DH., 1998. Revision of the literature for the *Bacillus thuringiensis* pesticidal crystal proteins. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology*, vol. 62, no. 3, p. 807-813.

CRICKMORE, N., ZEIGLER, DR., SCHNEPF, E., VAN RIE, J., LERECLUS, D., BAUM, J., BRAVO, A. and DEAN, DH., 2009. *Bacillus thuringiensis toxin nomenclature*. Available from: http://www.biols.susx.ac.uk/Home/Neil_Crickmore/Bt/. Access in: 12/03/2009.

DE MAAGDA, RA., BRAVO, A., BERRY, C., CRICKMORE, N. and SCHNEPF, E., 2003. Structure, diversity, and evolution of protein toxins from spore-forming entomopathogenic bacteria. *Annual Review of Genetics*, vol. 37, p. 409-433.

DEBARJAC, H. and LECADET, MM., 1976. Dosage biochimique d'exotoxine thermostable de *Bacillus thuringiensis* d'après l'nhibition d'ARN-polymerases bacteriennes. *Comptes Rendus de. L'academie des Sciences*, vol. 282, no. 1, p. 2119-2122.

DENOLF, P., JANSENS, S., PEFEROEN, M., DEGHEELE, D. and VAN RIE, J., 1993. Two different *Bacillus thuringiensis* deltaendotoxin receptors in the midgut brush border membrane of the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 59, no. 6, p. 1828-1837.

ENGELHARD, EK., KEDDIE, BA. and VOLKMAN, LE., 1991. Isolation of third, fourth and fifth instar larval midgut epithelia of the moth, *Trichoplusia ni. Tissue and Cell*, vol. 23, no. 6, p. 917-928.

ESTELA, A., ESCRICHE, B. and FERRE, J., 2004. Interaction of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins with larval midgut binding sites of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 70, no. 3, p. 1378-84.

FERRE, J., REAL, MD., VAN RIE, J., JANSENS, S. and PEFEROEN, M., 1991. Resistance to the *Bacillus thuringiensis* bioinsecticide in a field population of *Plutella xylostella* is due to a change in a midgut membrane receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 88, no. 12, p. 5119-5123.

FIUZA, LM., 2004. Receptores de *Bacillus thuringiensis* em insetos. *Biotecnologia Ciência e Desenvolvimento*, no. 32, p. 84-89.

FIUZA, LM., NIELSEN-LEROUX, C., GOZÉ, R., FRUTOS, R. and CHARLES, JF., 1996. Binding of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1 toxins to the midgut brush bordes membrane vesicles of *Chilo suppressalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae): Evidence of Shared binding sites. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 62, no. 1, p. 1544-1549.

GALLO, D., NAKANO, O., SILVEIRA NETO, S., CARVALHO, RPL., BAPTISTA, GC., BERTI FILHO, E., PARRA, JRP., ZUCCHI, RA., ALVES, SB., VENDRAMIN, JD., MARCHINI, LC., LOPES, JRS. and OMOTO, C., 2002. *Manual de Entomologia Agrícola*. São Paulo: CERES. 531 p.

GRIEGO, VM., FANCHER, LJ. and SPENCE, KD., 1980. Scanning electron microscopy of the disruption of tobacco horn worm, *Manduca sexta*, midgut by *Bacillus thuringiensis* endotoxin. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 35, no. 2, p. 186-189.

HADDAD, ML., 1998. Utilização do Polo-PC para análise de Probit. In: ALVES, SB. *Controle Microbiano de Insetos*. Piracicaba: FEALQ. p. 999-1013.

HOFMANN, C., VANDERBRUGGEN, H., HÖFTE, H., VANRIE, J., JANSENS, S. and VAN MELLAERT, H., 1998. Specificity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* δ-endotoxins is correlated with the presence of high affinity binding site in the brush border membrane of target insect midgut. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 85, no. 21, p. 7844-7848.

KNAAK, N. and FIUZA, LM., 2005. Histopathology of Anticarsia gemmatalis Hübner (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae) treated with Nucleopolyhedrovirus and Bacillus thuringiensis serovar kurstaki. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, vol. 36, no. 2, p. 195-199.

KNIGHT, PJK., CRICKMORE, N. and ELLAR, DJ., 1994. The receptor for *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ac delta-endotoxin in the brush border membrane of the lepidopteran *Manduca Sexta* is aminopeptidade N. *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 429-436.

LAEMMLI, UK., 1970. Smaller sample vols are better. If using large vols make the stack gel bigger. *Nature*, vol. 227, p. 680-685.

LAMBERT, B., BUYSSE, L., DECOCK, C., JANSENS, S., PIENS, C., SAEY, B., SEURINCK, J., AUDENHOVE, KV., VAN RIE, J., VLIET, AV. and PEFEROEN, MA., 1996. *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal crystal protein with a high activity against members of the family Noctuidae. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 62, no. 8, p. 80-86.

LEE, MK., RAJAMOHAN, F., GOULD, F. and DEAN, DH., 1995a. Resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* CryIA delta-endotoxins in a laboratory-selected *Heliothis virescens* strain is related to receptor alteration. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 61, no. 11, p. 3836-3842.

LEE, MK., YOUNG, BA. and DEAN, DH., 1995. Domain III exchanges of *Bacillus thuringiensis* CryIA toxins affect binding to different gypsy moth midgut receptors. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, vol. 216, no. 1, p. 306-312.

LIMA, GMS., AGUIAR, SIT., CORREA, RFT., MARTINS, ES., GOMES, ACM., NAGATA, T., DE-SOUZA, MT., MONERAT, RG. and RIBEIRO, BM., 2008. Cry2A toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* expressed in insect cells are toxic to two lepidopteran insects. *World Journal of Microbiology e Biotechnology*, vol. 24, no. 12, p. 2941-2948.

LOEB, MJ., MARTIN, PAW., HAKIM, RS., GOTO, S. and TAKEDA, M., 2001. Regeneration of cultured midgut cells after exposure to sublethal doses of toxin from two strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Journal of insect Physiology*, vol. 47, no. 6, p. 599-606.

MACINTOSH, SC., STONE, TB., JOKERSTAR, RS. and FUCHS, RL., 1992. Binding of *Bacillus thuringiensis* proteins to a laboratory-selected line of *Heliothis virescens. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 88, no. 1, p. 8930-8933.

MARTINEZ-RAMIREZ, AC., GOULD, F. and FERRÉ, J., 1999. Histopathological effects and growth reduction in a suscetible and a resistant strain of *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) caused by sublethal doses of pure Cry1A crystal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 239-246.

MARTINS, JFS. and BOTTON, M., 1998. Controle de insetos da cultura do arroz. In PESKE, ST., NEDEL, JL. and BARROS, ACSA. (Eds.). *Produção de arroz irrigado*. Pelotas: UFPEL, p. 273-300.

MASSON, L., TABASHNIK, BE., LIU, YB. and SCHWARTZ, JL., 1999. Helix 4 of the *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Aa toxin lines the lumen of the ion channel. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 45, p. 31996-32000.

MATHAVAN, S., SUDHA, PM. and PECHIMUTHU, SM., 1989. Effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* on the midgut cells of *Bombyx mori* larvae: A histopathological and histochemical study. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 53, no. 2, p. 217-227.

MENG, F., WU, K., GAO, X., PENG, Y. and GUO, Y., 2003. Geographic variation in susceptibility of *Chilo suppressalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins in China. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 96, no. 6, p. 1838-1842.

MONNERAT, RG. and BRAVO, A., 2000. Proteínas bioinseticidas produzindas pela bactéria *Bacillus thuringiensis* modo de ação e resistência. In MELO, IS. and AZEVEDO, JL. (Eds.). *Controle biológico*. Jaguariúna, SP: Editora do MMA. p. 163-200.

PEYRONNET, O., VACHON, V., BROUSSEAU, R., BAINES, D., SCHWARTZ, JL. and LAPRADE, R., 1997. Effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins on the membrane potential of lepidopteran insect midgut cells. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 63, no. 5, p. 1679-1684.

POITOUT, S. and BUES, R., 1970. Élevage de plusieurs espéces de Lépidopteres Noctuidae sur milieu artificiel riche et surmilieu simplifié. Annales de Zoologie Ecologie Animale, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 79-91.

PRAÇA, LB., BATISTA, AC., MARTINS, ES., SIQUEIRA, CB., DIAS, DGS., GOMES, ACMM., FALCÃO, R. and MONNERAT, RG., 2004. Estirpes de Bacillus thuringiensis efetivas contra insetos das ordens lepidoptera, coleoptera e diptera. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, vol. 39, no. 1, p. 11-16.

RAUSELL, C., DECKER, N., GARCIA-ROBLES, I., ESCRICHE, B., VAN KERKHOVE, E., REAL, MD. and MARTÍNEZ-RAMÍREZ, AC., 2000a. Effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins on the midgut of the nun moth *Lymantria monacha*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 75, no. 4, p. 288-291.

RAUSELL, C., MARTÍNEZ-RAMÍREZ, AC., GARCÍA-ROBLES, I. and REAL, MD., 2000b. A binding site for *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab toxin is lost during larval development in two forest pests. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 66, no. 4, p. 1553-1558.

RÜTZMACHER, AD., MARTINS, JFS. and CUNHA, US., 2000. Insetos-pragas das culturas do milho e sorgo no agroecossistema de várzea. In PARFITT, JMB. *Produção de milho e sorgo em várzea*. Pelotas: Embrapa Clima Temperado, p. 87-102.

SCHNEPF, E., CRICKMORE, N., VAN RIE, J., LERECLUS, D., BAUM, J., FEITELSON, J., ZEIGLER, DR. and DEAN, DH., 1998. *Bacillus thuringiensis* and its pesticide crystal proteins. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, vol. 62, no. 3, p. 775-806.

SCHWARTZ, JL., JUTEAU, M., GROCHULSKI, P., CYGLER, M., PREFONTAINE, G., BROUSSEAU, R. and MASSON, L., 1997. Restriction of intramolecular movements within the Cry1Aa toxin molecule of *Bacillus thuringiensis* through disulfide bond engineering. *FEBS Letter*, vol. 410, no. 2, p. 397-402.

SHAO, Z., CUI, Y., YI, H., JI, J. and YU, Z., 1998. Processing of delta-endotoxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *Kurstaki* HD-1 in *Heliothis armigera* midgut juice and the effect of proteases inhibitors. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 72, no. 12, p. 73-81.

SIEBERT, MW., BABOCK, JM., NOLTING, S., SANTOS, AC., ADAMCZYK, JJ., NEESE, PA., KING, JE., JENKINS, JN., MCCARTY, J., LORENZ, GM., FROMME, DD. and LASSITER, RB., 2008. Eficacy of Cry1F insecticidal protein in maize and cotton for control of fall armyworm (LEPIDOPTERA: NOCTUIDAE). *Florida Entomologist*, vol. 91, no. 4, p. 555-565.

SIVASUPRAMANIAM, S., MOAR, WJ., RUSCHKE, LG., OSBORN, JÁ., JIANG, C., SEBAUGH, JL., BROWN, GR.,

SHAPPLEY, ZW., OPPENHUIZEN, MR., MULLINS, JW. and GRRENPLATE, JT., 2008. Toxicity and characterization of cotton expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ac and Cry2Ab2 proteins for control of lepidopteran pests. *Journal of Ecnomic Entomology*, vol. 101, no. 2, p. 546-554.

SPIES, AF. and SPENCE, KD., 1995. Effect sublethal *Bacillus thuringiensis* crystal endotoxin treatment on the larval midgut of a moth, *Manduca sexta*. *Tissue and Cell*, vol. 17, no. 3, p. 394-397.

TOJO, A. and AIZAWA, K., 1983. Dissolution and degradation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* δ endotoxin by gut juice protease of the silkworm *Bombyx mori. Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 45, no. 3, p. 576-580.

VADLAMUDI, R., JI, T. and BULLA, L., 1993. A specific bindind protein from *Manduca sexta* for the insecticidal toxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. Berliner. *Journal Biological Chemistry*, vol. 268, no. 17, p. 12334-12340.

VAN RIE, J., JANSEN, S., HÖFTE, H., DEGHEELED, D. and VAN MELLAERT, H., 1990. Receptors on the brush border

membrane of the insect midgut as determinants of the specificity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* δ -endotoxins. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 56, no. 5, p. 1378-1385.

WAQUIL, JM., VILLELA, FMF. and FOSTER, JE., 2002a. Resistência do milho (*Zea mays* L.) transgênico (Bt) à lagartadocartucho, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Revista Brasileira de Milho e Sorgo*, vol. 1, no. 3, p. 1-11.

WAQUIL, JM., VILLELA, FMF., SIEGFRIED, BD. and FOSTER, JE., 2002b. Atividade Biológica das toxinas do *Bt*, Cry1Ab e cry1F em, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Revista Brasileira de Milho e Sorgo*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 161-171.

WILLIAMS, WP., BUCKLEY, PM., SAGERS, JB. and HANTEN, JA., 1997. Evaluation of transgenic corn southwestern corn borer. Crop Science, vol. 37, p. 957-962.

ZHUANG, M., OLTEAN, DI., GÓMEZ, I., PULLIKUTH, AK., SOBERÓN, M., BRAVO, A. and GILL, SS., 2002. *Heliothis virescens* and *Manduca sexta* Lipid Rafts Are Involved in Cry1A Toxin Binding to the Midgut Epithelium and Subsequent Pore Formation. *Journal Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 16, p. 13863-13872.