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#### Abstract

There are given sufficient conditions for the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of equation (1.1) with $p=0$ and the boundedness of all solutions of the same equation (1.1), with $p \neq 0$.
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## 1 Introduction

The equation studied here is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(4)}+\varphi(\ddot{x}) \ddot{x}+f(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})+g(x, \dot{x})+h(x)=p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi, f, g, h$ and $p$ are continuous functions which depend only on the arguments displayed. The dots indicate differentiation with respect to the independent variable $t$ and all solutions considered are assumed real. The derivatives,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, \dot{x}) \equiv g_{x}(x, \dot{x}), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} g(x, \dot{x}) \equiv g_{\dot{x}}(x, \dot{x}) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d h}{d x} \equiv h^{\prime}(x)
$$

exist and are continuous. Moreover, the existence and the uniqueness of the solutions of the equation (1.1) will be assumed.

Ever since Lyapunov [20] proposed his famous direct (or second) method on the stability of motion, numerous methods have been proposed in the relevant
literature to derive suitable Lyapunov functions and hereby, in particular, many papers and books have been devoted to the study of stability and boundedness of solutions of certain second-, third-, fourth-, fifth- and sixth order nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, Anderson [1], Barbasin [3], Cartwright [4], Chin ([5], [6]), Ezeilo ([8], [9]), Harrow ([10], [11]), Ku and Puri [13], Ku et al. [14], Ku ([15], [16]), Krasovskii [17], Leighton [18], Li [19], Marinosson [21], Miyagi and Taniguchi [22], Ogundare [23], Ponzo [24], Quian [25], Reissig et al. [26], Schwartz and Yan [27], Shi-zong et al. [28], Sinha ([29], [30]), Skidmore [31], Szegö [32], Tejumola ([33], [34]), Tiryaki and Tunç [35], Tunç ([36], [37], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43]), Zubov [44], Wu and Xiong [45] and references quoted therein for some publications on these topics). So far, perhaps, the most efficient tool for the study of the stability and boundedness of solutions of a given nonlinear differential equation is provided by Lyapunov theory. This theory is based on the use of positive definite functions that are non-increasing along the solutions of differential equations under consideration. But, finding an appropriate Lyapunov function is in general a difficult task. Despite having derived Lyapunov functions for special cases of equation (1.1), Chin [6] remarks that "it is difficult to derive suitable Lyapunov functions for the differential equations of the fourth-order". It also worth mentioning the following opinions of some authors about the method: Iggidr and Sallet [12] express that "The most efficient tool for the study of the stability of a given non-linear system is provided by Lyapunov theory". Further, in [25], Qian says that "So far, the most effective method to study the stability of nonlinear differential equations is still the Lyapunov's direct method". For some related papers on the construction of Lyapunov's functions, one can refer to the works summarized by Chin [5] about the subject and the references cited therein. In [6], Chin has tried to use a new technique (the intrinsic method) proposed by himself to construct new Lyapunov functions for the following fourth-order non linear differential equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{(4)}+a_{1} \ddot{x}+a_{2} \ddot{x}+a_{3} \dot{x}+f(x)=0,  \tag{1.2}\\
x^{(4)}+a_{1} \ddot{x}+\psi(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+a_{3} \dot{x}+a_{4} x=0, \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(4)}+a_{1} \ddot{x}+f(x, \dot{x}) \ddot{x}+a_{3} \dot{x}+a_{4} x=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that they are far less restrictive than those presented in some literature. Later, the authors in [35] based on the results in [6] have extended the method used in [6] to construct new Lyapunov functions to investigate the stability of the zero solution $x=0$ of non-linear differential equations described by

$$
x^{(4)}+a_{1} \ddot{x}+f(x, \dot{x}) \ddot{x}+a_{3} \dot{x}+h(x)=0
$$

and

$$
x^{(4)}+a_{1} \dddot{x}+f(x, \dot{x}) \ddot{x}+g(\dot{x})+a_{4} x=0 .
$$

But, in 1998, Wu and Xiong [45] proved that the Lyapunov functions constructed by Chin [6] were the same as those obtained by Cartwright [4] and Ku [15], and Chin's results [6] were not true for the equations (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) in the general cases. The local asymptotic stability of zero solution of the equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) has also been discussed by Wu and Xiong [45]. Therefore, in this paper, the results obtained in [35] will be revised and improved, and some additional results to be obtained for the non-linear differential equations of the form (1.1) will be given. Equation (1.1) can be written in the phase variables form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=y, \dot{y}=z, \dot{z}=w  \tag{1.5}\\
& \dot{w}=-\varphi(z) w-f(x, y, z)-g(x, y)-h(x)+p(t, x, y, z, w)
\end{align*}
$$

It should also be noted that the domain of attraction of the zero solution $x=0$ of equation (1.1) (for $p \equiv 0$ ) in the following first result is not going to be determined here.

## 2 Stability and boundedness results

Set
$\varphi_{1}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta, z \neq 0 \\ \varphi(0), z=0\end{array} \quad\right.$ and $\quad g_{1}(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{g(x, y)}{y}, y \neq 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x, 0), y=0 .\end{array}\right.$

In the case $p \equiv 0$, we establish the following result.

Theorem 1. Assume that
(i) $h(0)=g(x, 0)=0$,
(ii) there are constants $a>0, b>0, c>0, d>0, \delta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
a b c-c g_{y}(x, y)-a d \varphi(z) \geq \delta>0 \text { for all } x, y \text { and } z
$$

in which $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\delta}{2 a c D}, D=a b+\frac{b c}{d}$,
(iii) $0<d-h^{\prime}(x) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon a}}{4}$ for all $x$ and $h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \rightarrow+\infty$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,
(iv) $0 \leq g_{1}(x, y)-c<\frac{\delta}{8 c} \sqrt{\frac{d}{2 a c}}$ for all $x$ and $y \neq 0$, and $-\frac{\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon a}}{4} \leq$ $y g_{x}(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $x$ and $y$,
(v) $0 \leq \frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b \leq \min \left[\frac{c}{8 d} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{a}}, \frac{a}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{c}}\right]$ for all $x, y$ and $z \neq 0$, and $y f_{x}(x, y, z) \leq 0$ for all $x, y$ and $z$
(vi) $\varphi(z) \geq a, \varphi_{1}(z)-\varphi(z)<\frac{\delta}{2 a^{2} c}$ for all $z$.

Then the zero solution of system (1.5) is asymptotically stable.

## Remark 1. Making the use of condition (ii) of Theorem 1, we can obtain

$$
\varphi(z)<\frac{b c}{d}, g_{y}(x, y)<a b
$$

Remark 2. If we take $\varphi(\ddot{x})=a, f(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})=b \ddot{x}, g(x, \dot{x})=c \dot{x}, h(x)=$ $d x$ instead of equation (1.1), then equation (1.1) reduces to the linear constant coefficient differential equation and conditions (i)-(vi) of Theorem 1 reduce to the corresponding Routh-Hurwitz criterion.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 revises the first theorem in [35] and includes and improves the results of Ezeilo ([8], [9]), Harrow [10], Tunç [42, Theorem 2.1] and Wu and Xiong [45] except of the restriction on the function $f$, that is

$$
0 \leq \frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b \leq \min \left[\frac{c}{8 d} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{a}}, \frac{a}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{c}}\right]
$$

Throughout our main results, we use as a basic tool the scalar Lyapunov function $V=V(x, y, z, w)$ defined by:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 V= & 2 \beta \int_{0}^{x} h(\xi) d \xi+\beta b y^{2}-\alpha d y^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{y} g(x, \eta) d \eta+\alpha b z^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{z} \varphi(\zeta) \zeta d \zeta-\beta z^{2}+\alpha w^{2}+2 h(x) y+2 \alpha h(x) z  \tag{2.1}\\
& +2 \alpha g(x, y) z+2 \beta y \int_{0}^{z} \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta+2 \beta y w+2 z w
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\varepsilon+\frac{1}{a}, \beta=\varepsilon+\frac{d}{c} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemmas will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1 and forthcoming results.

Lemma 1. Assume that all the conditions of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then there are positive constants $D_{i} \equiv D_{i}(a, b, c, d, \varepsilon, \delta),(i=1,2,3,4)$ such that

$$
V \geq D_{1} \int_{0}^{x} h(\xi) d \xi+D_{2} y^{2}+D_{3} z^{2}+D_{4} w^{2}
$$

for all $x, y, z$ and $w$.

Proof. Since $\frac{g(x, y)}{y} \geq c$, it follows that

$$
2 \int_{0}^{y} g(x, \eta) d \eta=2 \int_{0}^{y} \frac{g(x, \eta)}{\eta} \eta d \eta \geq c y^{2} .
$$

Therefore, the Lyapunov function $2 V$ in (2.1) can be rearranged as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 V= & \frac{1}{c}[h(x)+c y+\alpha c z]^{2}+\frac{1}{\varphi_{1}(z)}\left[w+\varphi_{1}(z) z+\beta \varphi_{1}(z) y\right]^{2} \\
& +\left[\alpha-\frac{1}{\varphi_{1}(z)}\right] w^{2}+\left[\alpha b-\beta-\alpha^{2} c\right] z^{2}+\left[\beta b-\alpha d-\beta^{2} \varphi_{1}(z)\right] y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \alpha\left[g_{1}(x, y)-c\right] y z+2 \beta \int_{0}^{x} h(\xi) d \xi-\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) h^{2}(x) \\
& +\left[2 \int_{0}^{z} \varphi(\zeta) \zeta d \zeta-\varphi_{1}(z) z^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the assumptions of Theorem 1 , the values of $\alpha, \beta$ in (2.2) and the mean value theorems (both for the derivative and integral) it can easily be concluded that

$$
2 V \geq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{x} h(\xi) d \xi+\left(\frac{\delta d}{2 a c^{2}}\right) y^{2}+\left(\frac{\delta}{4 a^{2} c}\right) z^{2}+\varepsilon w^{2}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. Assume that all the conditions of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then there exist positive constants $D_{i} \equiv D_{i}(a, b, c, \varepsilon, \delta)(i=5,6,7)$ such that whenever $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ is any solution of system (1.5), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V} \equiv \frac{d}{d t} V(x, y, z, w) \leq-\left(D_{5} y^{2}+D_{6} z^{2}+D_{7} w^{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Along any solution $(x, y, z, w)$ of system (1.5) it follows from (2.1) and (1.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}= & -\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x, y)-\beta \varphi_{1}(z)\right] z^{2}-[\alpha \varphi(z)-1] w^{2} \\
& -\left[\beta g_{1}(x, y)-h^{\prime}(x)\right] y^{2}-\beta\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right] y z+\alpha g_{x}(x, y) y z \\
& -\alpha\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right] z w-\alpha\left[d-h^{\prime}(x)\right] y z+y \int_{0}^{y} g_{x}(x, \eta) d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Subject to conditions (ii)-(vi) of Theorem 1 and (2.2) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V} \leq-\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2}-\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon a}{4}\right) w^{2}-W_{6}-W_{7}-W_{8}-W_{9} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{6}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon a}{4}\right) w^{2}+\alpha\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right] z w+\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2} \\
& W_{7}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}+\beta\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right] y z+\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2} \\
& W_{8}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}+\alpha\left[d-h^{\prime}(x)\right] y z+\left(\frac{\delta}{4 a c}\right) z^{2} \\
& W_{9}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\alpha g_{x}(x, y) y z+\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{2}\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right]^{2}<\frac{4}{a^{2}}\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right]^{2}<\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{16 c} \\
& \beta^{2}\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right]^{2}<\frac{4 d^{2}}{c^{2}}\left[\frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b\right]^{2}<\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{16 a} \\
& \alpha^{2}\left[d-h^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}<\frac{4}{a^{2}}\left[d-h^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}<\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{4 a} \\
& \alpha^{2}\left[y g_{x}(x, y)\right]^{2}<\frac{4}{a^{2}}\left[y g_{x}(x, y)\right]^{2}<\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{4 a}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, and the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{6} & \geq\left(\frac{\varepsilon a}{4}\right) w^{2}-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon}}{4 \sqrt{c}}\right)|z w|+\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2} \\
& =\left[\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon a}}{2}|w|-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{a c}}|z|\right]^{2} \geq 0  \tag{2.5}\\
W_{7} & \geq\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon}}{4 \sqrt{a}}\right)|y z|+\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2} \\
& \geq\left[\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon c}}{2}|y|-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{a c}}|z|\right]^{2} \geq 0, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{8} & \geq\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{a}}|y z|+\left(\frac{\delta}{4 a c}\right) z^{2} \\
& =\left[\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon c}}{2}|y|-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{a c}}|z|\right]^{2} \geq 0  \tag{2.7}\\
W_{9} & \geq\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{a}}|y z|+\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2} \\
& =\left[\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon c}}{2}|y|-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{a c}}|z|\right]^{2} \geq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By collecting the estimates (2.5)-(2.8) into (2.4) we obtain

$$
\dot{V} \leq-\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2}-\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon a}{4}\right) w^{2}
$$

which proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1. Taking into consideration Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and condition (iii) of Theorem 1, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(x, y, z, w)=0 \text { if and if only } x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2}=0 \\
V(x, y, z, w)>0 \text { if and if only } x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2}>0 \\
V(x, y, z, w) \rightarrow \infty \text { if and if only } x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2} \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\gamma$ denote a trajectory $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of the system (1.5) with $p(t, x, y, z, w) \equiv 0$ such that $t=0, x=x_{0}, y=y_{0}, z=z_{0}, w=w_{0}$, where $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, w_{0}\right)$ is an arbitrary point in $x, y, z, w$-space from which motions may originate. Then by Lemma 2 for $t \geq 0$, it clear that

$$
V(x, y, z, w)=V(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))=V(t) \leq V(0)
$$

Moreover, $V(t)$ is non-negative and non-increasing and therefore tends to a nonnegative limit, $V(\infty)$ say, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $V(\infty)>0$. Consider the set

$$
S\left\{(x, y, z, w) \mid V(x, y, z, w) \leq V\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, w_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

Because of the properties of the function $V$ in (2.1) we know that $S$ is bounded, and therefore the set $\gamma \subset S$ is also bounded. Further, the nonempty set of all
limit points of $\gamma$ consists of whole trajectories of the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=y, \dot{y}=z, \dot{z}=w \\
& \dot{w}=-\varphi(z) w-f(x, y, z)-g(x, y)-h(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

lying on the surface $V(x, y, z, w)=V(\infty)$. Thus if $P$ is a limit point of $\gamma$, then there exists a half-trajectory, say $\gamma_{P}$ of the above system, issuing from $P$ and lying on the surface $V(x, y, z, w)=V(\infty)$. Since for every point $(x, y, z, w)$ on $\gamma_{P}$ we have $V(x, y, z, w) \geq V(\infty)$, this implies that $\dot{V}=0$ on $\gamma_{P}$. Also, in view of the inequality obtained in Lemma 2, that is

$$
\dot{V} \leq-\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2}-\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon a}{4}\right) w^{2}
$$

$\dot{V}=0$ implies $y=z=w=0$; and by the above system, assumptions (i), (iii) of Theorem 1 this means that $x=0$. Thus, the point $(0,0,0,0)$ lies on the surface $V(x, y, z, w)=V(\infty)$ and hence $V(\infty)=0$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
In the case $p \neq 0$ we have the following result.

## Theorem 2. Assume that

(i) $g(x, 0)=0$,
(ii) conditions (ii)-(vi) of Theorem 1 hold,
(iii) $|p(t, x, y, z, w)| \leq(A+|y|+|z|+|w|) q(t)$, where $q(t)$ is a non-negative and continuous function of $t$, and satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s \leq B<\infty \quad \text { for all } \quad t \geq 0
$$

$A$ and $B$ are positive constants. Then for any given finite constants $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$ and $w_{0}$, there exists a constant $K=K\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, w_{0}\right)$, such that any solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of system (1.5) satisfying the initial condition

$$
x(0)=x_{0}, y(0)=y_{0}, z(0)=z_{0}, w(0)=w_{0}
$$

```
satisfies for all \(t \geq 0\),
    \(|x(t)| \leq K,|y(t)| \leq K,|z(t)| \leq K,|w(t)| \leq K\).
```

Remark 4. Theorem 2 revises and improves the second result obtained in [35], and generalizes the results of Ezeilo [8], Harrow [11] and Tunç [42, Theorem 2.7].

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof of this theorem is based essentially on the method devised by Antosiewicz [2]. Now, let $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ be an arbitrary solution of the system (1.5) satisfying the initial conditions

$$
x(0)=x_{0}, y(0)=y_{0}, z(0)=z_{0}, w(0)=w_{0}
$$

given in Theorem 2. Next, consider the Lyapunov function $V(t)=V(x(t), y(t)$, $z(t), w(t))$, where $V$ is defined by (2.1). Because of $h(0)$ is not necessarily zero now; we have only the following estimate in the proof of theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geq D_{1} \int_{0}^{x} h(\xi) d \xi+D_{2} y^{2}+D_{3} z^{2}+D_{4} w^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) h^{2}(0) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $p \neq 0$, the conclusion of Lemma 2 can be revised as follows

$$
\dot{V} \leq-\left(D_{5} y^{2}+D_{6} z^{2}+D_{7} w^{2}\right)+(\alpha w+z+\beta y) p(t, x, y, z, w)
$$

Let $D_{8}=\max (\alpha, 1, \beta)$. Then, we have

$$
\dot{V} \leq-D_{8}(|y|+|z|+|w|)(A+|y|+|z|+|w|) q(t)
$$

Making the use of the inequalities

$$
|w| \leq 1+w^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad|2 y z| \leq y^{2}+z^{2}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V} \leq D_{9}\left[3+4\left(y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2}\right)\right] q(t) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{9}=D_{8}(A+1)$. It follows from (2.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geq D_{10}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2}\right) q(t)-D_{0} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{10}=\min \left(D_{2}, D_{3}, D_{4}\right)$ and $D_{0}=\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) h^{2}(0)$. Now, combining expressions (2.10) and (2.11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V} \leq D_{11} q(t)+D_{12} V q(t) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{11}=D_{9}\left(3+\frac{4 D_{0}}{D_{10}}\right), D_{12}=\frac{4 D_{9}}{D_{10}}$. Integrating expression (2.12) between 0 to $t$, we obtain

$$
V(t)-V(0) \leq D_{11} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s+D_{12} \int_{0}^{t} V(s) q(s) d s
$$

Setting $D_{13}=D_{11} B+V(0)$, and using condition (iii) of Theorem 2 we have

$$
V(t) \leq D_{13}+D_{12} \int_{0}^{t} V(s) q(s) d s
$$

Hence, Gronwall-Bellman inequality yields

$$
V(t) \leq D_{13} \exp \left(D_{12} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s\right)
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.
If $p$ is a bounded function, then the constant $K$ above can be fixed independent of $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$ and $w_{0}$, as will be seen from our next result.

Theorem 3. Assume that $g(x, 0)=0$ and conditions (ii)-(vi) of Theorem 1 hold, and that $p(t, x, y, z, w)$ satisfies

$$
|p(t, x, y, z, w)| \leq \Delta<\infty
$$

for all values of $t, x, y, z$ and $w$, where $\Delta$ is a positive constant. Then there exists a constant $K_{1}$ whose magnitude depends $a, b, c, d, \delta, \varepsilon$ as well as on the functions $\varphi, f, g$ and $h$ such that every solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of system (1.5) ultimately satisfies

$$
|x(t)| \leq K_{1},|y(t)| \leq K_{1},|z(t)| \leq K_{1},|w(t)| \leq K_{1}
$$

Remark 5. Note that in [34], Tejumola extended the boundedness results given in [33] to a special case of equation (1.1). Theorem 3 is also another extension of [33]. Theorem 3 extends the results of Tunç [42, Theorem 2.9] and improves the results of Wu and Xiong [45] except of the restriction on the function $f$, that is,

$$
0 \leq \frac{f(x, y, z)}{z}-b \leq \min \left[\frac{c}{8 d} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{a}}, \frac{a}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{c}}\right]
$$

Now, the actual proof of Theorem 3 will rest mainly on certain properties of a piecewise continuously differentiable function $V_{1}=V_{1}(x, y, z, w)$ defined by $V_{1}=V+V_{0}$, where $V$ is the function given by (2.1) and $V_{0}$ is defined as follows:

$$
V_{0}(x, w)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \operatorname{sgn} w,|w| \geq|x|  \tag{2.13}\\
w \operatorname{sgn} x,|w| \leq|x|
\end{array}\right.
$$

The first property of $V_{1}$ is stated in the following.

Lemma 3. Subject to the conditions of Theorem 3, there is a constant $D_{14}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(x, y, z, w) \geq-D_{14} \text { for all } x, y, z, w \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(x, y, z, w) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.13) we have $\left|V_{0}(x, w)\right| \leq|w|$ for all $x$ and $w$. Hence

$$
V_{0}(x, w) \geq-|w| \text { for all } x \text { and } w
$$

By combining the estimates obtained for $V$ and $V_{0}$ we get the estimate for $V_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & \geq D_{1} \int_{0}^{x} h(\xi) d \xi+D_{2} y^{2}+D_{3} z^{2}+D_{4} w^{2}-2|w| \\
& =D_{1} \int_{0}^{x} h(\xi) d \xi+D_{2} y^{2}+D_{3} z^{2}+D_{4}\left(|w|-D_{4}^{-1}\right)^{2}-D_{4}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By using condition (iii) of Theorem 1 we deduce that the integral on the righthand here is non-negative and tends to infinity when $x$ do so. It is evident that
(2.14) and (2.15) are verified, where $D_{14}=D_{4}^{-1}$ which completes the proof of Lemma 3.

The next property of the function $V_{1}$ is connected with its total time derivative and is contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 4. Let $(x, y, z, w)$ be any solution of the differential system (1.5) and the function $v_{1}=v_{1}(t)$ be defined by $v_{1}(t)=V_{1}(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$. Then the limit

$$
\dot{v}_{1}^{+}(t)=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{v_{1}(t+h)-v_{1}(t)}{h}
$$

exists and there is a constant $D_{15}$ such that

$$
\dot{v}_{1}^{+}(t) \leq-1 \quad \text { provided } \quad x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t)+w^{2}(t) \geq D_{15}
$$

Proof. In accordance with the representation $V_{1}=V+V_{0}$ we have a representation $v_{1}=v+v_{0}$. The existence of $\dot{v}_{1}^{+}$is quite immediate, since $v$ has continuous first partial derivatives and $v_{0}$ is easily shown to be locally Lipschitizian in $x$ and $w$ so that the composite function $v_{1}=v+v_{0}$ is at the least locally Lipschitizian in $x, y, z$ and $w$. Taking into consideration to the assumptions of Theorem 3 an easy calculation from (2.13) and (1.5) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{v}_{0}^{+} & =\left\{\begin{array}{r}
y \operatorname{sgn} w, \text { if }|w| \geq|x| \\
-h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x-[\varphi(z) w+f(x, y, z)+g(x, y) \\
\\
-p(t, x, y, z, w)] \operatorname{sgn} x, \text { if }|w| \leq|x|
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y \operatorname{sgn} w, \text { if }|w| \geq|x| \\
-h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x+D_{16}[|w|+|z|+|y|+1], \text { if }|w| \leq|x|
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
D_{16}=\max \left\{\frac{b c}{d}, b+\frac{c}{8 d} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{a}}, b+\frac{a}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{c}}, c+\frac{\delta}{8 c} \sqrt{\frac{d}{2 a c}}, \Delta\right\}
$$

By noting the estimates obtained for $\dot{v}$ and $\dot{v}_{0}^{+}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{v}_{1}^{+}= & \dot{v}+\dot{v}_{0}^{+} \leq-\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2}-\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon a}{4}\right) w^{2} \\
& +D_{17}(|y|+|z|+|w|), \text { if }|w| \geq|x|
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{v}_{1}^{+}= & \dot{v}+\dot{v}_{0}^{+} \leq-\left(\frac{\varepsilon c}{4}\right) y^{2}-\left(\frac{\delta}{16 a c}\right) z^{2}-\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon a}{4}\right) w^{2}-h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \\
& +D_{18}(1+|y|+|z|+|w|), \text { if }|w| \leq|x| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by an argument similar to that in the proof of the theorem in Ezeilo [8], one may show that the inequality in Lemma 4 can be easily obtained. Hence the details of the proof are omitted. The proof of this lemma is now complete.

Proof of Theorem 3. Throughout Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we have proved that the function $V_{1}=V+V_{0}$ has the following properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}(x, y, z, w) \geq-D_{14} \text { for all } x, y, z, w, \\
& V_{1}(x, y, z, w) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2} \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\dot{V}_{1}^{+} \leq-1 \text { provided } x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+w^{2} \geq D_{15} .
$$

Applying the usual Yoshizawa-type argument, Theorem 1 in Chukwu [7], to the above expressions we can conclude for any solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of the system (1.5) that

$$
|x(t)| \leq K_{1},|y(t)| \leq K_{1},|z(t)| \leq K_{1},|w(t)| \leq K_{1}
$$

for sufficiently large $t$.
Thus the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.
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