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#### Abstract

The steady flow of a Reiner-Rivlin fluid with Joule heating and viscous dissipation is studied. We present a novel technique for accelerating the convergence of the spectral-homotopy analysis method. Solutions of the nonlinear momentum and energy equations are obtained using the improved spectral homotopy analysis method. Solutions were also generated using the spectral-homotopy analysis method and benchmarked against results in the literature.
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## 1 Introduction

The boundary layer induced by a rotating disk arises in many engineering applications, for example, in computer storage devices, viscometry, turbo-machinery and in crystal growth processes (Attia [4]). Since the pioneering study by von Kármán [39], research on swirling flows has been carried out by, among others, Cochran [15] who proposed an improved solution to the von Kármán formulation based on a mixture of analytical and numerical techniques. Benton [12] studied the impulsive rotation from rest of a disk in an infinite viscous fluid. He improved Cochran's solutions by first expanding the variables in a power series

[^0]and solving for the first two orders analytically, and then numerically computing the next two orders.
The shooting method was used to solve the von Kármán equations and to investigate heat transfer in porous medium in [19, 29, 30, 33, 35]. Numerical schemes involving Runge-Kutta methods, finite element and finite difference approximations were used in $[14,18,24]$ to study the effects of a rough disk surface on the flow. The Crank-Nicholson implicit scheme was used in studies involving non-Newtonian characteristic of the fluid $[4,5,6,8,10,33]$ and in Newtonian fluids [7, 9, 11]. Perturbation techniques including the differential transform method and Padé approximations (DTM-Padé), the variational iteration method (VIM) and the homotopy perturbation method (HPM) were used in $[1,2,31,32,34]$. Analytical methods such as the DTM and the homotopy analysis method (HAM) were applied in, among other studies, [40, 3, 17, 37, 38]. These methods may result in secular terms in the solutions, and may converge very slowly or may even fail to converge for problems with strong non-linearity and/or with very large parameter values. Some approaches may not be applicable at all for certain problems, for example, the DTM for unbounded domain problems. Motsa et al. [22, 23, 25, 26, 27] proposed and applied a modification of the homotopy analysis method that improves the performance of this method and removes some restrictions associated with it. In general, the convergence of many numerical methods depends on how good the initial approximation is to the true solution. In this paper we present an algorithm that first seeks to improve the initial "guess" and then uses the spectral-homotopy analysis method to find solutions to systems of nonlinear equations that govern the Reiner-Rivlin swirling flow. This procedure considerably accelerates the convergence rate of the spectral homotopy analysis method. Here we apply the improved spectral-homotopy analysis method (ISHAM) to solve the nonlinear equations that govern the flow of an electrically conducting Reiner-Rivlin fluid in the presence of Joule heating and viscous dissipation. The governing equations were solved earlier by Sahoo [33] using a numerical scheme that blends the finite difference scheme and the shooting method. Solutions obtained are compared with those of Sahoo [33] and against the 'standard' spectral homotopy analysis method.

## 2 Equations

We consider an infinite rotating disk coinciding with the plane $z=0$ with the space $z>0$ occupied by a viscous, incompressible Reiner-Rivlin fluid. The fluid motion and heat transfer are governed by the equations (see [4, 5, 33]);

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{u}{r}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=0,  \tag{1}\\
& \rho\left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}-\frac{v^{2}}{r}\right)+\sigma B_{0}^{2} u=\frac{\partial \tau_{r}^{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial \tau_{r}^{z}}{\partial z}+\frac{\tau_{r}^{r}-\tau_{\phi}^{\phi}}{r},  \tag{2}\\
& \rho\left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}+\frac{u v}{r}\right)+\sigma B_{0}^{2} v=\frac{\partial \tau_{\phi}^{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial \tau_{\phi}^{z}}{\partial z}+\frac{2 \tau_{\phi}^{r}}{r},  \tag{3}\\
& \rho\left(u \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}+w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)=\frac{\partial \tau_{z}^{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial \tau_{z}^{z}}{\partial z}+\frac{\tau_{z}^{r}}{r},  \tag{4}\\
& \rho c_{p}\left(u \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}+w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right)=\kappa\left\{\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}\right\} \\
& \quad+\mu\left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)^{2}\right\}+\sigma B_{0}^{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

with the following no-slip boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u=0, & v=r \Omega, & w=0, \quad T=T_{w} \quad \text { at } \quad z=0 \\
u \rightarrow 0, & v \rightarrow 0, & p \rightarrow p_{\infty}, \quad T \rightarrow T_{\infty} \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

where the disk is rotating with a constant angular velocity $\Omega$ about the line $r=0$ and an external uniform magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the plane of the disk with a constant magnetic flux density $B_{0}$. The velocity components in the directions of increasing $r, \phi, z$ are $u, v, w$ respectively. $\rho$ is the density of the fluid, $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity of the fluid, $\mu$ is the coefficient of viscosity, $\kappa$ is the thermal conductivity, $c_{p}$ is the specific heat at constant pressure of the fluid. The temperature of the fluid $T$, equals $T_{w}$ at the surface of the disk. At large distances from the disk, $T$ tends to $T_{\infty}$ where $T_{\infty}$ is the temperature of the ambient fluid. The second term on the right hand
side of equation (5) represents the viscous dissipation while the last term represents the Joule heating. The constitutive equation for the Reiner-Rivlin fluid is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{j}^{i}=2 \mu e_{j}^{i}+2 \mu_{c} e_{k}^{i} e_{j}^{k}-p \delta_{j}^{i}, \quad e_{j}^{j}=0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ represents the pressure, $\tau_{j}^{i}$ is the stress tensor, $e_{j}^{i}$ is the rate of strain tensor and $\mu_{c}$ is the coefficient of cross viscosity. The Reiner-Rivlin model is a simple model which can provide some insight into predicting the flow characteristics and heat transfer performance for viscoelastic fluid above a rotating disk [6]. The first term on the right hand side of (8) represents the viscous property of the fluid and the third term, the elastic property of the fluid. We introduce the non-dimensional distance $\eta=z \sqrt{\Omega / v}$ measured along the axis of rotation and the von Kármán transformations [39];

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=r \Omega F, \quad v=r \Omega G, \quad w=\sqrt{v \Omega} H \\
& p-p_{\infty}=-\rho \nu \Omega P, \quad \Theta=\frac{T-T_{\infty}}{T_{w}-T_{\infty}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F, G, H, P$ and $\Theta$ are non-dimensional functions of $\eta, v=\mu / \rho$ is the kinematic viscosity. With these transformations equations (1)-(5) take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\prime}+2 F=0  \tag{10}\\
& F^{\prime \prime}-F^{2}+G^{2}-F^{\prime} H-M F-\frac{K}{2}\left(F^{\prime 2}-3 G^{2}-2 F F^{\prime \prime}\right)=0,  \tag{11}\\
& G^{\prime \prime}-G^{\prime} H-2 F G-M G+K\left(F^{\prime} G^{\prime}+F G^{\prime \prime}\right)=0  \tag{12}\\
& H H^{\prime}+\frac{7}{2} K H^{\prime} H^{\prime \prime}-P^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{13}\\
& \frac{1}{P r} \Theta^{\prime \prime}-H \Theta^{\prime}+E c\left(F^{\prime 2}+G^{2}\right)+M E c\left(F^{2}+G^{2}\right)=0, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(0)=F(\infty)=0, \quad G(0)=1, \quad G(\infty)=0, \quad H(0)=0  \tag{15}\\
& P(\infty)=0, \quad \Theta(0)=1, \quad \Theta(\infty)=0 \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K=\mu_{c} \Omega / \mu$ is the parameter that describes the non-Newtonian characteristic of the fluid, $M=\sigma B_{0}^{2} / \rho \Omega$ is the magnetic interaction number, $\operatorname{Pr}$ is
the Prandtl number and Ec is the Eckert number. The system (10)-(12) with the prescribed boundary conditions (15) are sufficient to solve for the three velocity components. Equation (13) can be used to find the pressure distribution at any point if required. Simplifying the equation system by substituting equation (10) into (11), (12) and (14) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
H^{\prime \prime \prime}-H^{\prime \prime} H+\frac{1}{2} H^{\prime} H^{\prime}-2 G^{2}-M H^{\prime}  \tag{17}\\
+\frac{K}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} H^{\prime \prime 2}-3 G^{2}-H^{\prime} H^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=0 \\
G^{\prime \prime}-H G^{\prime}+H^{\prime} G-M G+\frac{K}{2}\left(H^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime}-H^{\prime \prime} G^{\prime}\right)=0,  \tag{18}\\
\frac{1}{P r} \Theta^{\prime \prime}-H \Theta^{\prime}+E c\left(\frac{1}{4} H^{\prime \prime 2}+G^{2}\right)+M E c\left(\frac{1}{4} H^{\prime 2}+G^{2}\right)=0, \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(0)=H^{\prime}(0)=H^{\prime}(\infty)=0  \tag{20}\\
G(0)=\Theta(0)=1, G(\infty)=\Theta(\infty)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

In the following section we solve the nonlinear coupled system (17)-(19) with boundary conditions (20) by the ISHAM.

## 3 Method of solution

The main thrust of the method of solution [21, 28], is the improvement of the initial approximation used in the higher order deformation equations of the spectral homotopy analysis method. A systematic approach is used to find optimal initial "guesses" which are then used in the SHAM algorithm to accelerate convergence. In the first instance we assume that solutions for $H(\eta), G(\eta)$ and $\Theta(\eta)$ in equations (17)-(19) can be found in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(\eta)=h_{i}(\eta)+\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}(\eta), \quad G(\eta)=g_{i}(\eta)+\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}(\eta) \\
\Theta(\eta)=\theta_{i}(\eta)+\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta_{m}(\eta), \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h_{i}, g_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ are unknown functions whose solutions are obtained using the SHAM approach at the $i$ th iteration and $h_{m}, g_{m}$ and $\theta_{m}(m \geq 1)$ are known from previous iterations. For $m=0$, suitable initial guesses satisfying the boundary conditions (20) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}(\eta)=-1+e^{-\eta}+\eta e^{-\eta}, \quad g_{0}(\eta)=e^{-\eta}, \quad \theta_{0}(\eta)=e^{-\eta} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial guesses (22) are improved upon as follows. Substituting (21) into the governing equations (17)-(19) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{0, i-1} h_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1, i-1} h_{i}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2, i-1} h_{i}^{\prime}+a_{3, i-1} h_{i}+a_{4, i-1} g_{i}^{\prime}+a_{5, i-1} g_{i}-h_{i}^{\prime \prime} h_{i} \\
+\frac{1}{2} h_{i}^{\prime} h_{i}^{\prime}-2 g_{i}^{2}+K\left(\frac{1}{4} h_{i}^{\prime \prime 2}-3 g_{i}^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{2} h_{i}^{\prime} h_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=r_{1, i-1},  \tag{23}\\
b_{0, i-1} g_{i}^{\prime \prime}+b_{1, i-1} g_{i}^{\prime}+b_{2, i-1} g_{i}+b_{3, i-1} h_{i}^{\prime \prime}+b_{4, i-1} h_{i}^{\prime}+b_{5, i-1} h_{i}-h_{i} g_{i}^{\prime} \\
+h_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}-\frac{K}{2}\left(h_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{\prime \prime}+h_{i}^{\prime \prime} g_{i}^{\prime}\right)=r_{2, i-1},  \tag{24}\\
c_{0, i-1} \theta_{i}^{\prime \prime}+c_{1, i-1} \theta_{i}^{\prime}+c_{2, i-1} h_{i}^{\prime \prime}+c_{3, i-1} h_{i}^{\prime}+c_{4, i-1} h_{i}+c_{5, i-1} g_{i}^{\prime} \\
+c_{6, i-1} g_{i}-\operatorname{Pr} h_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}+E c P r\left(\frac{1}{4} h_{i}^{\prime \prime 2}+g_{i}^{\prime 2}\right)  \tag{25}\\
+M E c P r\left(\frac{1}{4} h_{i}^{\prime 2}+g_{i}^{2}\right)=r_{3, i-1},
\end{gather*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{i}(0)=g_{i}(0)=\theta_{i}(0)=0, \quad h_{i}^{\prime}(0)=h_{i}^{\prime}(\infty)=0,  \tag{26}\\
g_{i}(\infty)=\theta_{i}(\infty)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficient parameters $a_{k, i-1}, b_{k, i-1}, c_{k, i-1}(k=0, \ldots, 6), r_{1, i-1}, r_{2, i-1}$ and $r_{3, i-1}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0, i-1}=1-\frac{K}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime}, \quad a_{1, i-1}=\frac{K}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime}-\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}  \tag{27}\\
& a_{2, i-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime}-M-\frac{K}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime} \quad a_{3, i-1}=-\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{4, i-1}=-6 K \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime} \quad a_{5, i-1}=-4 \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m},  \tag{29}\\
& b_{0, i-1}=1-\frac{K}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime},  \tag{30}\\
& b_{1, i-1}=-\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}-\frac{K}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime}, b_{2, i-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime}-M,  \tag{31}\\
& b_{3, i-1}=-\frac{K}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime}, b_{4, i-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}-\frac{K}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime \prime},  \tag{32}\\
& b_{5, i-1}=-\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime} \text {, }  \tag{33}\\
& c_{0, i-1}=1, \quad c_{1, i-1}=-\operatorname{Pr} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}, \quad c_{2, i-1}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{EcPr} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime},  \tag{34}\\
& c_{3, i-1}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Pr} E c M \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime}, \quad c_{4, i-1}=-\operatorname{Pr} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta_{m}^{\prime},  \tag{35}\\
& c_{5, i-1}=2 E c \operatorname{Pr} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime}, \quad c_{6, i-1}=2 \operatorname{Pr} M E c \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m},  \tag{36}\\
& r_{1, i-1}=-\left[\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}-\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime}\right. \\
& -2 \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}+K\left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right.  \tag{37}\\
& \left.\left.-3 \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& r_{2, i-1}=-\left[\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime \prime}-\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime}+\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}\right.  \tag{38}\\
& \left.+\frac{K}{2}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime \prime}-\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{3, i-1}= & -\left[\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta_{m}^{\prime \prime}-\operatorname{Pr} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta_{m}^{\prime}\right. \\
& +\operatorname{Pr} E c\left\{\left(\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{39}\\
& \left.+\operatorname{PrMEc}\left\{\left(\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} h_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{m=0}^{i-1} g_{m}\right)^{2}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Starting from the initial guesses (22), the subsequent solutions $h_{i}, g_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}(i \geq$ 1 ) are obtained by recursively solving equations (23)-(25). To solve equations (23)-(25), we start by defining the following linear operators

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{L}_{h}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]=a_{0, i-1} \frac{\partial^{3} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+a_{1, i-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+a_{2, i-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta} \\
+a_{3, i-1} \mathcal{H}_{i}+a_{4, i-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta}+a_{5, i-1} \mathcal{G}_{i},  \tag{40}\\
\mathcal{L}_{g}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]=b_{0, i-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+b_{1, i-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta}+b_{2, i-1} \mathcal{G}_{i}  \tag{41}\\
+b_{3, i-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+b_{4, i-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta}+b_{5, i-1} \mathcal{H}_{i}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{\theta}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q), \Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]=c_{0, i-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \Theta_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+c_{1, i-1} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \eta}  \tag{42}\\
+c_{2, i-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+c_{3, i-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta}+c_{4, i-1} \mathcal{H}_{i}+c_{5, i-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta}+c_{6, i-1} \mathcal{G}_{i},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q \in[0,1]$ is the embedding parameter, and

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \quad \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q) \text { and } \Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)
$$

are unknown functions. The zeroth order deformation equations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-q) \mathcal{L}_{h}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q)-h_{i, 0}(\eta)\right]=q \hbar\left\{\mathcal{N}_{h}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]-r_{1, i-1}\right\} \\
& (1-q) \mathcal{L}_{g}\left[\mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)-g_{i, 0}(\eta)\right]=q \hbar\left\{\mathcal{N}_{g}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]-r_{2, i-1}\right\} \\
& (1-q) \mathcal{L}_{\theta}\left[\Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)-\theta_{i, 0}(\eta)\right]=q \hbar\left\{\mathcal{N}_{\theta}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q), \Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]-r_{3, i-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hbar$ is the non-zero convergence controlling auxiliary parameter and $\mathcal{N}_{h}$, $\mathcal{N}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\theta}$ are nonlinear operators given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{N}_{h}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]=\mathcal{L}_{h}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right] \\
-\mathcal{H}_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}-2 \mathcal{G}_{i}^{2}-M \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta}  \tag{43}\\
+K\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)^{2}-3\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial^{3} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{3}}\right\} \\
\mathcal{N}_{g}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]=\mathcal{L}_{\theta}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)\right] \\
-\mathcal{H}_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta}+\mathcal{G}_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta}-M \mathcal{G}_{i}-\frac{K}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right\}  \tag{44}\\
\mathcal{N}_{\theta}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q), \Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)\right]=\mathcal{L}_{\theta}\left[\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q), \Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)\right] \\
-\operatorname{Pr} \frac{\partial \Theta_{i}}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{H}_{i}+\operatorname{Pr} E c\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{i}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{45}\\
+\operatorname{Pr} M E c\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{i}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{G}_{i}^{2}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiating (43)-(45) $m$ times with respect to $q$ and then setting $q=0$ and finally dividing the resulting equations by $m$ ! yields the $m$ th order deformation equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{h}\left[h_{i, m}(\eta)-\chi_{m} h_{i, m-1}(\eta)\right]=\hbar R_{i, m}^{h}  \tag{46}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{g}\left[g_{i, m}(\eta)-\chi_{m} g_{i, m-1}(\eta)\right]=\hbar R_{i, m}^{g}  \tag{47}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{\theta}\left[\theta_{i, m}(\eta)-\chi_{m} \theta_{i, m-1}(\eta)\right]=\hbar R_{i, m}^{\theta} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{i, m}(0) & =h_{i, m}^{\prime}(0)=h_{i, m}^{\prime}(\infty)=g_{i, m}(0)=g_{i, m}(\infty)  \tag{49}\\
& =\theta_{i, m}(0)=\theta_{i, m}(\infty)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i, m}^{h}(\eta)=a_{0, i-1} h_{i, m-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1, i-1} h_{i, m-1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2, i-1} h_{i, m-1}^{\prime} \\
& +a_{3, i-1} h_{i, m-1}+a_{4, i-1} g_{i, m-1}^{\prime}+a_{5, i-1} g_{i, m-1} \\
& -M h_{i, m-1}^{\prime}-r_{1, i-1}(\eta)\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)  \tag{50}\\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left(-h_{i, n} h_{i, m-1-n}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} h_{i, n}^{\prime} h_{i, m-1-n}^{\prime}-2 g_{i, n} g_{i, m-1-n}\right) \\
& +K \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{4} h_{i, n}^{\prime} h_{i, m-1-n}^{\prime \prime}-3 g_{n}^{\prime} g_{m-1-n}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} h_{n}^{\prime} h_{m-1-n}\right), \\
& R_{i, m}^{g}(\eta)=b_{0, i-1} g_{i, m-1}^{\prime \prime}+b_{1, i-1} g_{i, m-1}^{\prime}+b_{2, i-1} g_{i, m-1} \\
& +b_{3, i-1} h_{i, m-1}^{\prime \prime}+b_{4, i-1} h_{i, m-1}^{\prime}+b_{5, i-1} h_{i, m-1} \\
& -M g_{i, m-1}-r_{2, i-1}(\eta)\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)  \tag{51}\\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left(h_{i, n}^{\prime} g_{i, m-1-n}-g_{i, n}^{\prime} h_{i, m-1-n}\right) \\
& -\frac{K}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left(h_{i, n}^{\prime} g_{i, m-1-n}^{\prime \prime}+3 g_{n}^{\prime} h_{m-1-n}^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& R_{i, m}^{\theta}(\eta)=c_{0, i-1} \theta_{i, m-1}^{\prime \prime}+c_{1, i-1} \theta_{i, m-1}^{\prime}+c_{2, i-1} h_{i, m-1}^{\prime \prime}+c_{3, i-1} h_{i, m-1}^{\prime} \\
& +c_{4, i-1} h_{i, m-1}+c_{5, i-1} g_{i, m-1}^{\prime}+c_{6, i-1} g_{i, m-1} \\
& -\operatorname{Pr} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \theta_{n}^{\prime} h_{m-1-n}-r_{3, i-1}(\eta)\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)  \tag{52}\\
& +M E c \operatorname{Pr} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left(4^{-1} h_{n}^{\prime} h_{m-1-n}^{\prime}+g_{i, n} g_{i, m-1-n}\right) \\
& +E c \operatorname{Pr} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left(4^{-1} h_{n}^{\prime \prime} h_{m-1-n}^{\prime \prime}+g_{i, n}^{\prime} g_{i, m-1-n}^{\prime}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\chi_{m}= \begin{cases}0, & m \leq 1  \tag{53}\\ 1, & m>1\end{cases}
$$

The initial approximations $h_{i, 0}, g_{i, 0}$ and $\theta_{i, 0}$ that are used in the higher order equations (46)-(48) are obtained by solving the linear part of equations (23)-(25) given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{0, i-1} h_{i, 0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1, i-1} h_{i, 0}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2, i-1} h_{i, 0}^{\prime}+a_{3, i-1} h_{i, 0}+a_{4, i-1} g_{i, 0}^{\prime}  \tag{54}\\
+a_{5, i-1} g_{i, 0}=r_{1, i-1}, \\
b_{0, i-1} g_{i, 0}^{\prime \prime}+b_{1, i-1} g_{i, 0}^{\prime}+b_{2, i-1} g_{i, 0}+b_{3, i-1} h_{i, 0}^{\prime \prime}+b_{4, i-1} h_{i, 0}^{\prime}  \tag{55}\\
+b_{5, i-1} h_{i, 0}=r_{2, i-1}, \\
c_{0, i-1} \theta_{i, 0}^{\prime \prime}+c_{1, i-1} \theta_{i, 0}^{\prime}+c_{2, i-1} h_{i, 0}^{\prime \prime}+c_{3, i-1} h_{i, 0}^{\prime}+c_{4, i-1} h_{i, 0}  \tag{56}\\
+c_{5, i-1} g_{i, 0}^{\prime}+c_{6, i-1} g_{i, 0}=r_{3, i-1}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{i, 0}(0) & =h_{i, 0}^{\prime}(0)=h_{i, 0}^{\prime}(\infty)=g_{i, 0}(0)=g_{i, 0}(\infty)  \tag{57}\\
& =\theta_{i, 0}(0)=\theta_{i, 0}(\infty)=0
\end{align*}
$$

It is worthwhile to note at this stage that the initial approximate solutions are no longer just $h_{0}, g_{0}$ and $\theta_{0}$ but $h_{i, 0}, g_{i, 0}$ and $\theta_{i, 0}$ at the $i$ th iteration. Essentially, this procedure allows for the improvement of the initial guesses at each iteration. Since the right hand side of equations (54)-(56) for $i=1,2,3, \ldots$, are known from previous iterations, the equations may be solved using any numerical method. In this work, we apply the Chebyshev spectral collocation method to integrate equations (54)-(56). The method is based on the Chebyshev polynomials defined on the interval $[-1,1]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}(\xi)=\cos \left[k \cos ^{-1}(\xi)\right] \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first transform the physical region $[0, \infty)$ into the region $[-1,1]$ using the domain truncation technique. The problem is solved in the interval $[0, L]$ instead of $[0, \infty)$. This leads to the following algebraic mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{2 \eta}{L}-1, \quad \xi \in[-1,1] \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the scaling parameter used to invoke the boundary condition at infinity. The Chebyshev nodes in $[-1,1]$ are defined by the Gauss-Lobatto collocation points $[13,36]$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{j}=\cos \frac{\pi j}{N}, \quad \xi \in[-1,1] \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of collocation points. The variables $h_{i, 0}(\eta), g_{i, 0}(\eta)$ and $\theta_{i, 0}(\eta)$ are approximated as truncated series of Chebyshev polynomials of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{i, 0}(\xi) \approx h_{i, 0}^{N}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{k}\right) T_{1, k}\left(\xi_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N  \tag{61}\\
& g_{i, 0}(\xi) \approx g_{i, 0}^{N}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} g_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{k}\right) T_{2, k}\left(\xi_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N  \tag{62}\\
& \theta_{i, 0}(\xi) \approx \theta_{i, 0}^{N}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \theta_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{k}\right) T_{3, k}\left(\xi_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{1, k}, T_{2, k}$ and $T_{3, k}$ are the $k^{\text {th }}$ Chebyshev polynomials. Derivatives of the variables at the collocation points are represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{r} h_{i, 0}}{d \xi^{r}}=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{k j}^{r} h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{j}\right), \frac{d^{r} g_{i, 0}}{d \xi^{r}}=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{k j}^{r} g_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{j}\right), \frac{d^{r} \theta_{i, 0}}{d \xi^{r}}=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{k j}^{r} \theta_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{j}\right), \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the order of differentiation, $\mathbf{D}=\frac{2}{L} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is the Chebyshev spectral differentiation matrix. Substituting equations (61)-(64) in (53)-(56) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}_{i-1} \mathbf{X}_{i, 0}=\mathbf{Q}_{i-1} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{0 k} h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{N k} h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=0, \quad h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{N}\right)=0  \tag{66}\\
g_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0, \quad g_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{N}\right)=0  \tag{67}\\
\theta_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0, \quad \theta_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{N}\right)=0 \tag{68}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{B}_{i-1}= & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} \\
B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} \\
B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33}
\end{array}\right) \\
B_{11}= & \mathbf{a}_{0, i-1} \mathbf{D}^{3}+\mathbf{a}_{1, i-1} \mathbf{D}^{2}+\mathbf{a}_{2, i-1} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{a}_{3, i-1} \mathbf{I}, \\
B_{12}= & \mathbf{a}_{4, i-1} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{a}_{5, i-1} \mathbf{I}, \quad B_{13}=0 \mathbf{I}, \\
B_{21}= & \mathbf{b}_{3, i-1} \mathbf{D}^{2}+\mathbf{b}_{4, i-1} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{b}_{5, i-1} \mathbf{I}, \\
B_{22}= & \mathbf{b}_{0, i-1} \mathbf{D}^{2}+\mathbf{b}_{1, i-1} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{b}_{2, i-1} \mathbf{I}, B_{23}=0 \mathbf{I},  \tag{69}\\
B_{31}= & \mathbf{c}_{2, i-1} \mathbf{D}^{2}+\mathbf{c}_{3, i-1} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{c}_{4, i-1} \mathbf{I}, B_{32}=\mathbf{c}_{5, i-1} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{c}_{6, i-1} \mathbf{I}, \\
B_{33}= & \mathbf{c}_{0, i-1} \mathbf{D}^{2}+\mathbf{c}_{1, i-1} \mathbf{D}, \\
\mathbf{X}_{i, 0}= & {\left[h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{0}\right), h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots, h_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{N}\right), g_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{0}\right), g_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots, g_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{N}\right),\right.} \\
& \left.\theta_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{0}\right), \theta_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots, \theta_{i, 0}\left(\xi_{N}\right)\right]^{T}, \\
\mathbf{Q}_{i, 0}= & {\left[r_{1, i-1}\left(\eta_{0}\right), r_{1, i-1}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, r_{1, i-1}\left(\eta_{N}\right), r_{2, i-1}\left(\eta_{0}\right),\right.} \\
& \left.r_{2, i-1}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, r_{2, i-1}\left(\eta_{N}\right), r_{3, i-1}\left(\eta_{0}\right), r_{3, i-1}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, r_{3, i-1}\left(\eta_{N}\right)\right]^{T} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the above definitions $T$ stands for transpose, $I$ is an $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ identity matrix and $\mathbf{a}_{k, i-1}, \mathbf{b}_{k, i-1}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{s, i-1}(k=0, \ldots, 5, \quad s=0, \ldots, 6)$ are diagonal matrices of size $(N+1) \times(N+1)$. After modifying the matrix system (65) to incorporate the boundary conditions, the solution is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}_{i, 0}=\mathbf{B}_{i-1}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{i-1} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, applying the Chebyshev spectral transformation on the higher order deformation equations (46)-(48) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}_{i-1} \mathbf{X}_{i, m}=\left(\chi_{m}+\hbar\right) \mathbf{B}_{i-1} \mathbf{X}_{i, m-1}-\hbar\left(1-\chi_{m}\right) \mathbf{Q}_{i-1}+\hbar \mathbf{P}_{i, m-1} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{0 k} h_{i, m}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{N k} h_{i, m}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=0, \quad h_{i, m}\left(\xi_{N}\right)=0  \tag{72}\\
g_{i, m}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0, \quad g_{i, m}\left(\xi_{N}\right)=0  \tag{73}\\
\theta_{i, m}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0, \quad \theta_{i, m}\left(\xi_{N}\right)=0 \tag{74}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{i-1}$, are as defined in (69) and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}_{i, m}= & {\left[h_{i, m}\left(\xi_{0}\right), h_{i, m}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots, h_{i, m}\left(\xi_{N}\right), g_{i, m}\left(\xi_{0}\right), g_{i, m}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots,\right.} \\
& \left.\ldots, g_{i, m}\left(\xi_{N}\right), \theta_{i, m}\left(\xi_{0}\right), \theta_{i, m}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots, \theta_{i, m}\left(\xi_{N}\right)\right]^{T},  \tag{75}\\
\mathbf{P}_{i, m-1}= & {\left[P_{i, m-1}^{(1)}, P_{i, m-1}^{(2)}, P_{i, m-1}^{(3)}\right]^{T}, }  \tag{76}\\
P_{i, m-1}^{(1)}= & \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} h_{i, n} \mathbf{D} h_{i, m-1-n}-h_{i, n} \mathbf{D}^{2} h_{i, m-1-n}-2 g_{i, n} g_{i, m-1-n}\right] \\
& +K \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}^{2} h_{i, n} \mathbf{D}^{2} h_{i, m-1-n}-3 \mathbf{D} g_{i, n} \mathbf{D} g_{i, m-1-n}\right] \\
& -K \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} h_{i, n} \mathbf{D}^{3} h_{i, m-1-n}\right], \\
P_{i, m-1}^{(2)}= & \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[\mathbf{D} h_{i, n} g_{i, m-1-n}-\mathbf{D} g_{i, n} h_{i, m-1-n}\right] \\
& -\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[\frac{K}{2}\left(\mathbf{D} h_{i, n} \mathbf{D}^{2} g_{i, m-1-n}+\mathbf{D}^{2} h_{i, n} \mathbf{D} g_{i, m-1-n}\right)\right], \\
P_{i, m-1}^{(3)}= & \operatorname{Pr} E c \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}^{2} h_{i, n} \mathbf{D}^{2} h_{i, m-1-n}+\mathbf{D} g_{i, n} \mathbf{D} g_{i, m-1-n}\right] \\
& +P r \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[M E c\left(\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D} h_{i, n} \mathbf{D} h_{i, m-1-n}+g_{i, n} g_{i, m-1-n}\right)\right] \\
& -P r \sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\left[\mathbf{D} \theta_{i, n} h_{i, m-1-n}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary conditions (72)-(74) are implemented in matrix $\mathbf{B}_{i-1}$ on the left hand side of equation (71) in rows $1, N, N+1, N+2,2(N+1) 2 N+3$ and $3(N+1)$ respectively as before with the initial solution above. The corresponding rows, all columns, of $\mathbf{B}_{i-1}$ on the right hand side of (71), $\mathbf{Q}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{m-1}$ are all set to be zero. This results in the following recursive formula for $m \geq 1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}_{i, m}=\left(\chi_{m}+\hbar\right) \mathbf{B}_{i-1}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i-1} \mathbf{X}_{i, m-1}+\hbar \mathbf{B}_{i-1}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{P}_{i, m-1}-\left(1-\chi_{m}\right) \mathbf{Q}_{i-1}\right], \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i-1}$ is the modified matrix $\mathbf{B}_{i-1}$ on the right hand side of (71) after incorporating the boundary conditions (72)-(74). Thus starting from the initial approximation, which is obtained from (70), higher order approximations $X_{i, m}(\xi)$ for $m \geq 1$, can be obtained through the recursive formula (77). The solutions for $h_{i}, g_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ are then generated using the solutions for $h_{i, m}, g_{i, m}$ and $\theta_{i, m}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{i} & =h_{i, 0}+h_{i, 1}+h_{i, 2}+h_{i, 3}+\cdots+h_{i, m},  \tag{78}\\
g_{i} & =g_{i, 0}+g_{i, 1}+g_{i, 2}+g_{i, 3}+\cdots+g_{i, m},  \tag{79}\\
\theta_{i} & =\theta_{i, 0}+\theta_{i, 1}+\theta_{i, 2}+g_{i, 3}+\cdots+\theta_{i, m} . \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

The $[i, m]$ approximate solutions for $h(\eta), g(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$ are then obtained by substituting $h_{i}, g_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ which are obtained from (78), (79) and (80) into equation (21), where $i$ represents the $i$ th iteration of the initial approximation and $m$ represents the $m$ th iteration of the spectral homotopy analysis method.

## 4 Convergence theorem

The approximate solutions of the nonlinear equations are generated using the higher order deformation equations (46)-(48). The right hand sides of these equations are governed by the unknown functions $\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)$ and $\Theta(\eta ; q)$. As the embedding parameter $q$ gradually increases from 0 to 1 , the solutions vary from the initial approximations to the exact solutions, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; 0) & =h_{i, 0}(\eta), \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; 1)=h_{i}(\eta),  \tag{81}\\
\mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; 0) & =g_{i, 0}(\eta), \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; 1)=g_{i}(\eta),  \tag{82}\\
\Theta_{i}(\eta ; 0) & =\theta_{i, 0}(\eta), \quad \text { and } \quad \Theta_{i}(\eta ; 1)=\theta_{i}(\eta) . \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding $\mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q), \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)$ and $\Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)$ using the Taylor series expansion about $q$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q)=h_{i, 0}(\eta)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h_{i, m}(\eta) q^{m}, \quad h_{i, m},(\eta)=\left.\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^{m} \mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; q)}{\partial q^{m}}\right|_{q=0},  \tag{84}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)=g_{i, 0}(\eta)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g_{i, m}(\eta) q^{m}, \quad g_{i, m},(\eta)=\left.\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^{m} \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; q)}{\partial q^{m}}\right|_{q=0}, \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)=\theta_{i, 0}(\eta)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_{i, m}(\eta) q^{m}, \quad \theta_{i, m},(\eta)=\left.\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^{m} \Theta_{i}(\eta ; q)}{\partial q^{m}}\right|_{q=0} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that at $q=1$ the series becomes the exact solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}_{i}(\eta ; 1)=h_{i}(\eta)=h_{i, 0}(\eta)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h_{i, m}(\eta),  \tag{87}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{i}(\eta ; 1)=g_{i}(\eta)=g_{i, 0}(\eta)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g_{i, m}(\eta),  \tag{88}\\
& \Theta_{i}(\eta ; 1)=\theta_{i}(\eta)=\theta_{i, 0}(\eta)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_{i, m}(\eta) q^{m} . \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

For validity of the solutions generated by these equations, it is important to show that these series converge at $q=1$. As stated earlier, the SHAM is a hybrid method founded on the HAM. We kindly refer readers to Liao's proof [20, ch. 3] since the higher order deformation equations are similar in the two methods.

## 5 Results and discussion

In this section we present and discuss results computed using the improved spectral homotopy analysis method, the original spectral homotopy analysis method and the numerical bvp4c routine which is based on Runge-Kutta schemes. Comparison is also made between the current results and those in the literature. For our simulations we used $\hbar=-1, L=30$ and $N=150$. The CPU run times (RT) in seconds are shown for the ISHAM and SHAM for comparison of computational efficiency.

Tables 1 and 2 present approximate solutions of the shear stresses in the radial $F^{\prime}(0)$ and tangential $-G^{\prime}(0)$ directions respectively. The results are computed for a Newtonian fluid $(K=0)$ and for different values of the magnetic parameter $M$. We note that the ISHAM approximate solutions for both $F^{\prime}(0)$ and $-G^{\prime}(0)$ converge to the numerical solutions at $2^{\text {nd }}$ order approximations for up to 8 decimal places. Comparison with Sahoo [33] shows a good agreement. The effect of the magnetic parameter on the Newtonian fluid shows that $F^{\prime}(0)$ decreases while $-G^{\prime}(0)$ increases as $M$ is increased.

| M | $[1,1]$ | RT | $[2,2]$ | RT | Numerical | Ref [33] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.51083620 | 0.1286 | 0.51023262 | 0.1143 | 0.51023262 | 0.510214 |
| 0.4 | 0.40501875 | 0.1149 | 0.40557564 | 0.1140 | 0.40557565 | 0.405575 |
| 0.8 | 0.33564882 | 0.1164 | 0.33508970 | 0.1155 | 0.33508970 | 0.335090 |
| 1.0 | 0.31004423 | 0.1153 | 0.30925799 | 0.1146 | 0.30925799 | 0.309259 |
| 10 | 0.10384518 | 0.1201 | 0.10531004 | 0.1126 | 0.10531004 | 0.105310 |
| 16 | 0.08235395 | 0.1172 | 0.08330263 | 0.1119 | 0.08330263 | 0.083303 |
| 18 | 0.07771253 | 0.1188 | 0.07854454 | 0.1117 | 0.07854454 | 0.078545 |
| 20 | 0.07378272 | 0.1170 | 0.07451802 | 0.1119 | 0.07451802 | 0.074518 |
| 50 | 0.04693143 | 0.1133 | 0.04713867 | 0.1108 | 0.04713867 | 0.047139 |
| 100 | 0.03326500 | 0.1135 | 0.03333302 | 0.1130 | 0.03333302 | 0.033334 |

Table 1 - Benchmark results for the approximate radial shear stress $F^{\prime}(0)$ at different orders $[i, m]$ of the ISHAM with the bvp4c and Sahoo [33] for different values of $M$ when $\operatorname{Pr}=0.71, K=0$.

| M | $[1,1]$ | RT | $[2,2]$ | RT | Numerical | Ref [33] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.61499561 | 0.1209 | 0.61592201 | 0.1132 | 0.61592201 | 0.615909 |
| 0.4 | 0.80314224 | 0.1257 | 0.80237637 | 0.1136 | 0.80237636 | 0.802376 |
| 0.8 | 0.98432782 | 0.1151 | 0.98360710 | 0.1143 | 0.98360710 | 0.983607 |
| 1.0 | 1.06924679 | 0.1207 | 1.06905336 | 0.1158 | 1.06905336 | 1.069053 |
| 10 | 3.16526084 | 0.1225 | 3.16490669 | 0.1124 | 3.16490669 | 3.164907 |
| 16 | 4.00186131 | 0.1251 | 4.00130088 | 0.1126 | 4.00130088 | 4.001301 |
| 18 | 4.24429674 | 0.1235 | 4.24373111 | 0.1146 | 4.24373111 | 4.243731 |
| 20 | 4.47362668 | 0.1358 | 4.47306710 | 0.1119 | 4.47306710 | 4.473067 |
| 50 | 7.07163149 | 0.1199 | 7.07130349 | 0.1139 | 7.07130349 | 7.071303 |
| 100 | 10.00024893 | 0.1227 | 10.00008333 | 0.1111 | 10.00008333 | 10.000083 |

Table 2 - Tangential shear stress $-G^{\prime}(0)$ at different orders $[i, m]$ of the ISHAM, bvp4c and Sahoo [33] for different values of $M$ when $\operatorname{Pr}=0.71, K=0$.

The radial shear stress when $M=2$ and for different values of $K$ is presented in Table 3. For validation of the current method, and to determine the effect of improving the initial guesses, we compare the results against the numerical solution. For convergence of the method the results are compared with the 'standard' spectral homotopy analysis method for the same values of $N, L$ and $\hbar$. For $0 \leq K \leq 2$ the ISHAM converges at $2^{\text {nd }}$ order while the SHAM would not have converged even at the $8^{\text {th }}$ order for some values of $K$. Comparatively therefore the ISHAM converges much faster than the SHAM. This is clearly seen
in Table 4 where the absolute errors in the solution are given.

| ISHAM |  |  | Numerical | SHAM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ |  | 2 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 0.23039754 | 0.23055912 | 0.23055912 | 0.23051941 | 0.23055926 |
| 0.4 | 0.51021898 | 0.51277769 | 0.51277769 | 0.51271021 | 0.51277764 |
| 0.8 | 0.77142224 | 0.77299784 | 0.77299784 | 0.77296707 | 0.77299784 |
| 1.2 | 0.99900210 | 0.99668062 | 0.99668062 | 0.99662531 | 0.99668062 |
| 1.6 | 1.18783561 | 1.18425675 | 1.18425675 | 1.18437955 | 1.18425667 |
| 2.0 | 1.33945767 | 1.34211178 | 1.34211178 | 1.34210513 | 1.34211213 |

Table 3 - Radial shear stress $F^{\prime}(0)$ : A comparison of the convergence rate of the ISHAM and SHAM to the numerical solutions for different values of $K$ when $M=2, \operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.

| ISHAM |  |  | SHAM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ | 2 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 0.00016158 | 0.00000000 | 0.00003971 | 0.00000014 |
| 0.4 | 0.00255871 | 0.00000000 | 0.00006748 | 0.0000005 |
| 0.8 | 0.0015756 | 0.00000000 | 0.00003077 | 0.00000000 |
| 1.2 | 0.00232148 | 0.00000000 | 0.00005531 | 0.00000000 |
| 1.6 | 0.00357886 | 0.00000000 | 0.00012280 | 0.00000008 |
| 2.0 | 0.00265411 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000665 | 0.00000035 |

Table 4 - Comparison of the absolute errors in the ISHAM and SHAM solutions for different values of $K$ when $M=2, \operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.

In Table 5, the tangential stress results obtained using the ISHAM and the SHAM are compared with the numerical results when $M=2$ and for different values of $K$. Convergence of the ISHAM to the numerical solutions was achieved at the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order of approximation. When using the SHAM convergence to the numerical solution was achieved at the $8^{\text {th }}$ order for values of $K$ up to 2 . It is also clear that the ISHAM is computationally much more efficient compared to the SHAM. A comparison of the absolute errors is made in Table 6 where the fast convergence of the ISHAM when compared with the SHAM is confirmed. It is worth noting that the SHAM converges faster for $-G^{\prime}(0)$ compared to $F^{\prime}(0)$. This is due to the differences in the level of nonlinearity of the equations of $F(\eta)$ and $G(\eta)$. For the ISHAM however, convergence has not been affected by this
difference in the nonlinearity of functions. This there appears to be an added advantage of the ISHAM over the SHAM.

| ISHAM |  |  | Numerical | SHAM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ |  | 2 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 1.44053856 | 1.44209401 | 1.44209401 | 1.44206605 | 1.44209401 |
| RT | 0.1225 | 0.1125 |  | 0.2423 | 0.6168 |
| 0.4 | 1.49006904 | 1.49157841 | 1.49157841 | 1.49155902 | 1.49157841 |
| RT | 0.1214 | 0.1231 |  | 0.2589 | 0.6843 |
| 0.8 | 1.55193969 | 1.55323222 | 1.55323222 | 1.55322026 | 1.55323222 |
| RT | 0.1233 | 0.1121 |  | 0.2378 | 0.6819 |
| 1.2 | 1.62016082 | 1.62071752 | 1.62071752 | 1.62069708 | 1.62071752 |
| RT | 0.1199 | 0.1129 |  | 0.2626 | 0.6563 |
| 1.6 | 1.68975142 | 1.68973507 | 1.68973507 | 1.68973529 | 1.68973507 |
| RT | 0.1249 | 0.1192 |  | 0.2415 | 0.6850 |
| 2.0 | 1.75748201 | 1.75808304 | 1.75808304 | 1.75808336 | 1.75808306 |
| RT | 0.1264 | 0.1150 |  | 0.2484 | 0.6638 |

Table 5 - Comparison of the approximate solutions of $-G^{\prime}(0)$ at different ISHAM and SHAM orders against the numerical solutions for different values of $K$ when $M=2, \operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.

| ISHAM |  |  | SHAM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ | 2 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 0.00155545 | 0.00000000 | 0.00002796 | 0.00000000 |
| 0.4 | 0.00150937 | 0.00000000 | 0.00001939 | 0.00000000 |
| 0.8 | 0.00129253 | 0.00000000 | 0.00001196 | 0.00000000 |
| 1.2 | 0.00055670 | 0.00000000 | 0.00002044 | 0.00000000 |
| 1.6 | 0.00001635 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000022 | 0.00000000 |
| 2.0 | 0.00060103 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000032 | 0.00000002 |

Table 6 - Comparison of the absolute errors in the ISHAM and SHAM solutions compared to the numerical solution for different values of $K$ when $M=2, \operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.

Table 7 gives a comparison of the convergence rate of the ISHAM and the SHAM versus the numerical solutions for $F^{\prime}(0)$ for different values $M$ when $K=1$. Convergence to the numerical solution is achieved at $2^{\text {nd }}$ order of the ISHAM and at the $8^{\text {th }}$ order of the SHAM. The absolute errors are shown in Table 8. In Table 9 the ISHAM and the SHAM solutions are compared with
the numerical solutions for different values $M$ when $K=1$. An increase in the tangential shear stress is observed with an increase in $M$. The absolute errors are shown in Table 10. The nonlinearity of the equations has no effect on the convergence of the ISHAM.

| ISHAM |  |  | Numerical | SHAM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ |  | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 0.74463163 | 0.74587441 | 0.74587441 | 0.74622472 | 0.74622472 | 0.74587397 |
| 0.5 | 0.76094065 | 0.75531485 | 0.75531485 | 0.75531092 | 0.75531501 | 0.75531485 |
| 1.0 | 0.79380816 | 0.79227536 | 0.79227536 | 0.79227047 | 0.79227489 | 0.79227536 |
| 1.5 | 0.83043422 | 0.83953516 | 0.83953516 | 0.83950410 | 0.83953434 | 0.83953516 |
| 2.0 | 0.86716576 | 0.88962012 | 0.88962012 | 0.88957427 | 0.88962074 | 0.88962012 |

Table 7 - Comparison of the approximate solutions of $F^{\prime}(0)$ at different $[i, m]$ orders of the ISHAM, SHAM orders and against the numerical solutions for different values of $M$ when $K=1$, $\operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.

| ISHAM |  |  | SHAM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 0.00124279 | 0.00000000 | 0.00035031 | 0.00003159 | 0.00000044 |
| 0.5 | 0.00562580 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000393 | 0.00000016 | 0.0000000 |
| 1.0 | 0.00153280 | 0.0000000 | 0.00000489 | 0.00000047 | 0.00000000 |
| 1.5 | 0.00910094 | 0.00000000 | 0.00003106 | 0.00000082 | 0.00000000 |
| 2.0 | 0.02245436 | 0.00000000 | 0.00004585 | 0.00000062 | 0.00000000 |

Table 8 - Comparison of the absolute errors for the approximate solutions of $F^{\prime}(0)$ at different [ $i, m$ ] orders of the ISHAM, SHAM orders and against the numerical solutions for different values of $M$ when $K=1, \operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.

Table 11 shows the heat transfer coefficient $-\Theta^{\prime}(0)$ at different orders of the ISHAM compared against numerical results for different values of $M, P r$, and $E c$ when $K$ is fixed. For all cases convergence of the ISHAM approximate solutions to the numerical solution is achieved at $2^{\text {nd }}$ orders of approximations. For a fixed value of $K$, increasing $M, P r$, and $E c$ decreases $-\Theta^{\prime}(0)$. For all results with CPU run times, the ISHAM has shown great computer efficiency as well. In all simulations the run times are significantly lower with the ISHAM than with the SHAM.

| ISHAM |  |  | Sumerical | SHAM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ |  | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 0.77672002 | 0.77834765 |  | 0.77854199 | 0.77836167 | 0.77834730 |
| 0.5 | 1.01294536 | 1.01069483 | 1.01069483 | 1.01070160 | 1.01069527 | 1.01069483 |
| 1.0 | 1.22319102 | 1.22367051 | 1.22367051 | 1.22366267 | 1.22367003 | 1.22367051 |
| 1.5 | 1.40999393 | 1.41449208 | 1.41449208 | 1.41447134 | 1.41449166 | 1.41449208 |
| 2.0 | 1.57840020 | 1.58657262 | 1.58657262 | 1.58655736 | 1.58657305 | 1.58657262 |

Table 9 - Comparison of the approximate solutions of $-G^{\prime}(0)$ at different ISHAM and SHAM orders and against the numerical solutions for different values of $M$ when $K=1, \operatorname{Pr}=1$, $E c=0.3$.

| ISHAM |  |  | SHAM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 0.0 | 0.00162763 | 0.00000000 | 0.00019434 | 0.00001402 | 0.00000035 |
| 0.5 | 0.00225053 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000677 | 0.00000044 | 0.0000000 |
| 1.0 | 0.00047949 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000784 | 0.00000048 | 0.00000000 |
| 1.5 | 0.00449815 | 0.00000000 | 0.00002074 | 0.00000042 | 0.00000000 |
| 2.0 | 0.00817242 | 0.00000000 | 0.00001526 | 0.00000043 | 0.00000000 |

Table 10 - Comparison of the absolute errors for the approximate solutions of $-G^{\prime}(0)$ at different ISHAM and SHAM orders and against the numerical solution for different values of $M$ when $K=1, \operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.

| M | $\operatorname{Pr}$ | Ec | $[1,1]$ | $[2,2]$ | $[3,3]$ | $[4,4]$ | Numerical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  | 0.33894036 | 0.33798332 | 0.33798332 | 0.33798332 | 0.33798332 |
| 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.18638822 | 0.18165630 | 0.18165630 | 0.18165630 | 0.18165630 |
| 1.0 |  |  | 0.07138752 | 0.05317238 | 0.05317238 | 0.05317238 | 0.05317238 |
| 1.5 |  |  | -0.01803593 | -0.05185078 | -0.05185078 | -0.05185078 | -0.05185078 |
|  | 3 |  | 0.13954354 | 0.13959137 | 0.13959137 | 0.13959137 | 0.13959137 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 0.3 | 0.00576310 | 0.00730445 | 0.00730445 | 0.00730445 | 0.00730445 |
|  | 7 |  | -0.15265228 | -0.15060972 | -0.15060972 | -0.15060972 | -0.15060972 |
|  | 10 |  | -0.40767193 | -0.40565482 | -0.40565482 | -0.40565482 | -0.40565482 |
|  |  | 1 | -0.32997005 | -0.33670269 | -0.33670269 | -0.33670269 | -0.33670269 |
| 0.5 | 1 | 3 | -1.80527938 | -1.81772838 | -1.81772838 | -1.81772838 | -1.81772838 |
|  |  | 6 | -4.01824339 | -4.03926691 | -4.03926691 | -4.03926691 | -4.03926691 |
|  |  | 9 | -6.23120739 | -6.26080545 | -6.26080545 | -6.26080545 | -6.26080545 |

Table 11 - Heat transfer coefficient $-\Theta^{\prime}(0)$ at different $[i, m]$ orders of the ISHAM compared with the numerical solutions for different values of $M, P r$ and $E c$ when $K=1$.

Figures 1-2 show the effect of $M$ and $K$ on the radial and axial velocity profiles respectively. Increasing $M$ reduces the radial component of the velocity while increasing $K$ enhances $F$. The axial velocity $H(\eta)$ increases with the magnetic parameter but decreases when $K$ is increased. There is excellent agreement between the second order ISHAM solutions for $F(\eta)$ and $H(\eta)$ and the numerical result.

The tangential velocity component and the temperature profiles are show in Figures 3-4 for different values of $M$ and $K$ respectively. An increase in $M$ reduces $G(\eta)$ while enhancing the $\Theta(\eta)$. When $K$ values are increased both $G(\eta)$ and $\Theta(\eta)$ decrease.

In Figure 5 temperature profiles are presented for varying values of Pr and Ec. The temperature decreases with increasing Prandtl numbers while an increase in the Eckert number enhances the temperature.

## 6 Conclusion

A novel approach for accelerating the convergence of the spectral homotopy analysis method that is used to solve nonlinear equations in science and engineering has been proposed and applied successfully to the nonlinear system of equations governing the Reiner-Rivlin fluid in with Joule heating and viscous dissipation. The primary objective of the algorithm is to improve the initial approximate solution. The improved approximations are then used in the algorithm of the spectral-homotopy analysis method to reduce the number of iterations required to achieve convergence and better accuracy. The shear stresses in the radial and azimuthal directions were computed and the corresponding absolute errors determined. Convergence to the numerical solutions of the ISHAM approximate solutions was achieved at the $2^{\text {nd }}$ orders for all flow parameters while the SHAM converged at the $8^{\text {th }}$ order for some of the flow parameters.

The effects of flow parameters was also investigated for the radial and tangential shear stresses for both the Newtonian $(K=0)$ and non-Newtonian $(K \neq 0)$ cases. For the Newtonian case, increasing $M$ reduces $F^{\prime}(0)$ and enhances $-G^{\prime}(0)$ while in the non-Newtonian case increasing $M$ enhances both $F^{\prime}(0)$ and $-G^{\prime}(0)$.


Figure 1 - On the comparison between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order ISHAM solution and the numerical solution (solid line) for $F(\eta)$ at different values of $M(K=2)$ and $K(M=1)$ when $\operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.


Figure 2 - On the comparison between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order ISHAM solution and the numerical solution (solid line) for $-H(\eta)$ at different values of $M(K=2)$ and $K(M=1)$ when $\operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.


Figure 3 - On the comparison between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order ISHAM solution (figures) and the bvp4c numerical solution (solid line) for $G(\eta)$ at different values of $M(K=2)$ and $K(M=1)$ when $\operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.


Figure 4 - On the comparison between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order ISHAM solution (figures) and the bvp4c numerical solution (solid line) for $\Theta(\eta)$ at different values of $M(K=2)$ and $K(M=0.1)$ when $\operatorname{Pr}=1, E c=0.3$.


Figure 5 - On the comparison between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order ISHAM solution (figures) and the bvp4c numerical solution (solid line) for $\Theta(\eta)$ at different values of $\operatorname{Pr}(E c=0.3)$ and $E c(\operatorname{Pr}=1)$ when $M=0.1, K=1, L=30, N=150$.

The effect of $K$ and $M$ was determined and it was observed that an increase in $K$ results in an increase in $F(\eta)$, and a decrease in $H(\eta), G(\eta)$ and $\Theta(\eta)$ while increasing $M$ increases $H(\eta)$ and $\Theta(\eta)$ while both $F(\eta)$ and $G(\eta)$ decreases. $\Theta(\eta)$ decreased with an increase in the $E c$ and decreased with an increase in $P r$. The success of the ISHAM in solving the non-linear equations governing the von Kármán flow of an electrically conducting non-Newtonian Reiner-Rivlin fluid in the presence of viscous dissipation, Joule heating and heat transfer proves that the ISHAM fits as a newly improved method of solution that can be used to solve non-linear problems arising in science and engineering.
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