

**IBRACON Structures and Materials Journal** 

Revista IBRACON de Estruturas e Materiais

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

ISSN 1983-4195 ismj.org

# Mechanical performance and chloride penetration resistance of concretes with low cement contents

Desempenho mecânico e resistência à penetração de cloretos de concretos com baixo teor de cimento

Taíssa Guedes Cândido<sup>a</sup> D Gibson Rocha Meira<sup>a,b</sup> D Marco Quattrone<sup>c</sup> D Vanderley Moacyr John<sup>c</sup> D

Received 24 March 2022

Accepted 17 October 2022



Scif

<sup>a</sup>Universidade Federal da Paraíba – UFPB, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia Civil e Ambiental, João Pessoa, PB, Brasil <sup>b</sup>Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia da Paraíba – IFPB, Departamento de Construção Civil, João Pessoa, PB, Brasil <sup>c</sup>Universidade de São Paulo – USP, INCT Tecnologias Ecoeficientes Avançadas em Produtos Cimentícios, São Paulo, SP, Brasil

Abstract: The concern about the environment has been leading the construction industry to adopt more sustainable practices. The main environmental impact of concrete is related to  $CO_2$  emissions coming from cement, particularly from the cement content in concrete. For this reason, this research evaluates the performance of concretes with partial replacement of Portland cement by limestone filler and silica fume. These concretes were proportioned to improve particles' packing and paste volume optimization. The compressive strength was determined to assess their mechanical performance. Their durability was investigated by capillary absorption and chloride penetration resistance. Results indicate that concretes showed a better efficiency in terms of binder intensity, with values close to the minimum found in literature (5 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.MPa<sup>-1</sup>). It was also observed that even concretes with cement content lower than the minimum recommended by standards showed better performance than regular concretes regarding the chloride's penetration.

Keywords: ecoefficient concrete, low binder concrete, limestone filler, durability, chloride resistance.

**Resumo:** A preocupação com o meio ambiente vem impulsionando a indústria da construção civil a adotar práticas mais sustentáveis. Seu maior impacto ambiental está relacionado com a emissão de CO<sub>2</sub> do cimento, particularmente do teor de cimento no concreto. Por isto, essa pesquisa avalia o desempenho de concretos com substituição parcial do cimento Portland por filer calcário e sílica ativa. A dosagem desses concretos foi realizada utilizando conceitos de empacotamento e otimização da pasta. Foi realizado ensaio de resistência à compressão para verificar o desempenho mecânico desses materiais. A durabilidade foi analisada por ensaios de absorção por capilaridade e pela resistência à penetração dos cloretos. Os resultados indicam que os concretos otimizados, apresentaram melhor eficiência segundo o indicador Intensidade Ligante (IL), com valores próximos aos mínimos encontrado na literatura (5 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.MPa<sup>-1</sup>). Foi verificado também que mesmo concretos convencionais, quanto à penetração de cloretos.

Palavras-chave: concreto sustentável, concretos com baixo teor de cimento, fíler calcário, durabilidade, resistência à cloretos.

How to cite: T. G. Cândido, G. R. Meira, M. Quattrone, and V. M. John, "Mechanical performance and chloride penetration resistance of concretes with low cement contents" *Rev. IBRACON Estrut. Mater.*, vol. 15, no. 6, e15608, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1590/S1983-41952022000600008

Corresponding author: Taíssa Guedes Cândido. E-mail: taissaguedes1@hotmail.com

Financial support: This research is part of the CEMtec project, National Institute on Advanced Eco-Efficient Cement-Based Technologies, supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development - CNPq (Process 485340/2013-5) and by São Paulo Research Foundation - FAPESP (Process 14/50948- 3 INCT/2014).

Conflict of interest: Nothing to declare.

Data Availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [TGC], upon reasonable request.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

The population growth has led to an increase of the demand for dwellings and infrastructures and, as a consequence, for construction materials, especially concrete [1]. Over the past 10 years, the global Portland cement manufacturing grew by approximately 25% [2]. Cement production is expected to increase even more, between 12% and 24% [3]. Undoubtedly, this increase will impact in terms of energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions [4].

Due to the increasing of  $CO_2$  emissions from large-scale cement production, the Cement Industry focused on different  $CO_2$  mitigation strategies, including the use of alternative materials in the cement composition [1]. The most common strategy for reducing the environmental impacts of cement is the replacement of clinker by mineral additions, reactive or non, such as fly ash, blast furnace slag, and limestone filler [5], [6]. However, the limited availability of fly ash and blast furnace slag, which will represent less than 20% of global cement demand by 2050, is a significant factor to consider [1], [7]. Therefore, due to the lower availability of these reactive materials, there should be a preference for inert materials such as limestone filler, with greater availability [8]. This strategy together with the reduction of cement content in concretes would facilitate the production of more sustainable construction materials [5], [8], [9]. Nevertheless, improving the durability of low binder concretes is essential to reduce the maintenance cost and increase the service-life of the concrete structures [9].

Improving the durability of low binder concretes requires an adequate mix design to allow balancing the reduction of binder and its effect against environmental aggressive agents which can induce corrosion of reinforcement.

# 1.1 Mix proportioning of low binder concretes

Supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) used as clinker replacement influence the particle packing and the water demand of the mixture. The water demand is influenced by the specific surface area of these SCMs, usually higher than that of cement [10], [11]. They also contribute to the formation of nucleation points that lead to a faster hydration rate [12].

Superplasticizers are used to reduce water demand and promote the dispersion of solid particles [13]. The use of mineral additions and dispersants compensates for the cement reduction maintaining the desired workability of the mixture.

Optimizing the aggregates packing, by theoretical models [14] and/or experimental tests [15], is also needed. This process allows working with a lower volume of interparticle voids to be filled by a volume of cement paste necessary to guarantee the workability. Therefore, reducing the interparticle porosity enables reducing the paste volume [16]–[19] and also, reduce the porosity, increase the mechanical performances [20] and improve the durability.

## 1.2 Durability of low binder concretes and reinforcement corrosion

Corrosion of steel in concrete initially involves the transport of aggressive agents into its interior. When these agents reach the reinforcement, they can change the equilibrium conditions of the steel, and the corrosion process starts, followed by the corrosion propagation phase [21].

The corrosion initiation period is considered the period from the time when the aggressive agents penetrate the concrete until the reinforcement depassivation occurs. The duration of this initiation period defines the service-life of structures in the marine environment, as corrosion rates are usually very high [22]. Reducing the material porosity can hinder the entry of aggressive agents and increase the initiation period.

Standards establish minimum or maximum parameters for concrete according to the exposure environment of the structure, aiming to improve the durability of concrete structures. Table 1 presents some of these specifications considering national and international standards for reinforced concrete structures located in the marine atmosphere, where chlorides ions are mainly responsible for the reinforcement corrosion.

Standard	Exposure condition	Minimum cement content (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Maximum w/c	Minimum compressive strength (MPa)
NBR 12655 [23]	Marine atmosphere zone (III)	320	0.55	30
ACI 318 [24]	Exposed to moisture and an external source of chlorides (C2)	-	0.4	34.5
IS 456 [25]	Marine aerosol (IV)	340	0.45	-
NP EN 206 [26]	Exposed to airborne salt but not in direct contact with sea water (XS1)	300	0.5	30
BS 8500 -1 [27]	Exposed to airborne salt but not in direct contact with sea water (XS1)	340	0.5	35
AS 3600 [28]	Within 1 km from coastline (B2)	-	-	40

Table 1. Requirements for concrete in marine atmosphere zones - data from national and international standards

Although the normative recommendations indicate a cement consumptions above 300 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 1), some studies suggest that concretes with cement content lower than this amount meet the durability requirements recommending a revision of these criteria [5], [15], [29], [30].

Table 2 presents results of a few studies related to concretes with low binder content that evaluated properties linked to the corrosion of reinforcement. It is easier to see the positive impact on the performance of concrete when a reactive addition is used. However, it is also possible to improve the concrete performance replacing binders by inert fillers. In this regard, there is still no agreement in the literature on the levels of binder replacement. In addition, analysed studies show that there is also no standardization on the best way to assess the transport of chlorides into these materials.

For these reasons, more studies about the assessment of performances of low binder concrete in protecting steel from corrosion are needed. Therefore, the objective of this work is to assess the transport of chlorides in low binder concrete, in which binder was replaced by limestone filler.



rence		tent type	article size optimization concepts	ines	binder	t content $(kg/m^3)$	Ash content $(kg/m^3)$	ntent (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	one powder content (kg/m³)	c powder content (kg/m³)		compressive strength (ML a)	Rapid chloride migration (C)		Non-steady-state migration coefficient $(x10^{-12} m^2/s)$	
Refe	Yea	Cen	Usesp	water/	Water	Cemer	Fly.	Slag o	Limes	Quart	28 days	91 days	91 days	28 days	35 days	98 days
		Type I -		0.31-0.36	0.31-0.36	375-398					53.2-60.7		1729-2488			
Naik et al.	1996	ASTM C 150 (correspond	N/A	0.33-0.37	0.33-0.37	220-328	71-182				41.7 -56.7		1576-1907			
[31]		to CP I NBR 5732)		0.33-0.37	0.33-0.37	107-179	216-316				29.2 - 39.8		1620-2750			
				0.35-0.55	0.35-0.55	309-540						46.1-72.4	2380-3134			
Isaia and	2000	CP V-ARI	NT/A	0.35-0.55	0.35-0.55	155-270	155-270					25.4-59.1	754-1190			
[29]	2009	NBR 5733	IN/A	0.35-0.55	0.35-0.55	93-162		216-378				32.6-49.1	840-1140			
				0.35-0.55	0.35-0.55	31-54	61-108	216-378				20 - 46.1	448-651			
		CEMI52.5R		0.42-0.61	0.42-0.61	300-350					60 - 85	65 - 105		5-12		
Lollini et a	2014	EN 197 (correspond	Yes	0.42-0.61	0.49-0.72	212.5 - 340			37.5 - 60		45 - 85	55 - 95		11-19		
1. [32]		to CP V – ARI)		0.42-0.61	0.6-0.87	210-245			90 - 105		40 - 70	45 - 75		13-38		
Müller et al. [9]	2014	CEM I 52.5R EN 197 (correspond to CP V – ARI)	Yes	0.26-0.39	0.43- 0.69	112.8-268.2				114.3-216.4	48.5-88			11-20		
		CEM I 42.5 EN 197 (correspond to CP V – ARI)		0.5	0.5	320						54			17	13
Palm et al. [33]	2016	CEM II/A- LL 32,5R (Correspond to CP II-F NBR 11578)	Yes	0.5	0.5	320						52			25	15
		CEM I 52.5R		0.35	0.54-1.0	133-247			133-247			40-80			19-30	15-18.5
		EN 197 (correspond		0.45	0.9	167.5			167.5			28-40			35-50	21-39
		to CP V - ARI)		0.5	1	160			160			30-38			48-60	30- 50

# 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Production and characterization of concrete

Two groups of concretes, proportioned and tested in two laboratories, were analyzed. The first group (C1, C2, and C3) was characterized by a powder content of about 350 kg/m<sup>3</sup> in which limestone filler represented between 5 and 13% of the total powder mass; for the second one (C4, C5, and C6) the limestone content was between 40% and 70% by mass of powder (Table 3). The cement was a CP V – ARI (Portland cement with about 5%wt. of limestone), supplied

by two manufacturers. A small amount of silica fume was used as cement replacement in concretes C2 and C3. Density and BET  $N_2$  specific surface area of cements, fillers and silica fume are presented in Table 4.

The reference concrete (C1) was proportioned according to recommendations of NBR 12655 [23] for aggressiveness class III - marine atmosphere environment (Table 1). This concrete was proportioned to have a 28-days compressive strength  $\geq$  30MPa, a water-to-cement ratio of 0.55 and a cement content of 350 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. In the other concretes, mineral additions were used partially replacing the Portland cement. The packing of the mixture was improved by blending fine and coarse aggregates with different grain size distributions. The concrete workability was adjusted by using water-reducer admixtures. It is noteworthy that for the C4, C5, and C6 concrete, the grain size distribution of aggregates, the volume of paste (cement + filler + water) were kept constant (Figure 1).

 Table 3. Mix design of the cement reduced concretes.

Materials	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
Water (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	192.6	148.3	139.1	160	160	160
Filler 1 (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		12.1	24.5			
Filler 2 (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		12.1	24.5			
Filler 3 (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )				139.84	139.84	139.84
Filler 4 (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )				54.5	100.22	189.22
Silica fume (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		10	17.7			
Portland cement (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	350.1	319.5	284.7	300	250	150
Binder (clincker + gipsite + pozolana) (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	332.6	313.5	288.2	286	237.5	143
Polycarboxylate-based superplasticizer content (mass % of cement)	0.5	2.55	2.8	3.63	2.21	1.85
Lignosulfonate-based plasticizer content (mass % of cement)		1	1			
Fine sand (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		544.6	544.6	684.36	684.36	684.36
Coarse sand (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	903.6	445.6	445.6	634.78	634.78	634.78
Gravel 12.5 - 4.75 mm (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		449.2	449.2	535.13	535.13	535.13
Gravel 19 - 4.75 mm (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	983.8	548.2	548.2			
Total fines (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	350.1	353.7	351.4	480.38	477.56	472.07
Water/binder (kg/kg)	0.55	0.46	0.49	0.53	0.64	1.07
Water/fine (kg/kg)	0.55	0.42	0.4	0.33	0.34	0.34
Slump (cm)	12	15.5	7	$\mathbf{SCC}^*$	SCC*	SCC*

\*Self-consolidating concrete.

<b>Table 4.</b> Physical characterization of fine	es
---	----

Material	F1	F2	F3	F4	SF	Cem 1	Cem 2
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.77	2.7	2.76	2.74	2.12	3.13	3.06
BET N2 SSA (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	2.23	4.07	3.73	1.16	1.5	1.16	0.97

The optimization of the paste was achieved by reducing the cement and water content, increasing the proportion of filler and silica fume in some cases. The mixture C3 presents a reduction in cement content of 19% compared to C1. This reduction is 57% in C6, i.e., 30% Portland cement and 70% limestone filler (Figure 2).



Figure 1. Volume of phases in cement paste.



Figure 2. Amount of powder by mass per cubic meter in studied concretes. Percentages represent the filler and silica fume content by mass of powder.

After mixing, cylindrical specimens (ø 10 x 20 cm) were casted according to the recommendations of NBR 5738 [34]. Specimens were demolded after 24 hours and wet-cured for seven days. This period represents conditions closer to construction site reality. Then, specimens were stored in the laboratory environment until the age of the tests. Compressive strength at 28 days was carried out according to NBR 5739 [35] and sorptivity according to NBR 9779 [36].

## 2.2 Rapid Chloride Migration (RCM) test

The resistance to chloride penetration was analyzed using the rapid chloride migration test according to NT BUILD 492 [37]. The test specimens ( $\emptyset$  10 x 5 cm) cut from cylinders ( $\emptyset$  10 x 20 cm) were saturated in a calcium hydroxide solution before the exposure to the test.

During the test, the specimen was interspersed between a 10% sodium chloride solution by mass (used at the cathode) and a 0.3N sodium hydroxide solution (used at the anode). A voltage of 30 V was applied, which generated an initial current. According to its value, the applied voltage was adjusted to 50 V, and the duration of the test was determined (24h). The applied voltage makes the chlorides present in the cathode solution to migrate, through the specimen, towards the anode solution.

At the end of the test, the specimen was split by diametral compression and a 0.1M silver nitrate solution sprayed on the fractured surface. When the white silver chloride precipitation on the split surface is clearly visible, the chloride penetration depth can be measured (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Illustration of the chloride penetration depth measurements [37].

The average chloride penetration depth  $x_d$  (mm) was measured and the non-steady-state migration coefficient was calculated by Equation 1.

$$D_{nssm} = \frac{0.0239 \, (273+T)L}{(U-2)t} \left( x_d - 0.0238 \sqrt{\frac{(273+T)Lx_d}{U-2}} \right) \tag{1}$$

Where:  $D_{nssm}$  = non-steady-state migration coefficient, x 10<sup>-12</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s; U = absolute value of the applied voltage, V; T = average value of the initial and final temperatures in the anolyte solution, °C; L= thickness of the specimen, mm; x<sub>d</sub>= average value of the penetration depths, mm; t= test duration, hours.

According to the value of this coefficient, the concrete can be classified corresponding to its resistance to chloride penetration, based on the values (Table 5) proposed by Nilsson et al. [38].

Diffusion coefficient (D <sub>28</sub> x 10 <sup>-12</sup> m <sup>2</sup> /s)	<b>Resistance to chloride penetration</b>
>15	Low
10-15	Moderate
5-10	High
2.5-5	Very high
<2.5	Extremely high

Table 5. Classification according to resistance to chloride penetration

# 2.3 Rapid chloride permeability (RCP) test

Rapid chloride permeability (RCP) test according to ASTM 1202 [39] was performed at 28 days. For each mixture, three specimens (ø 10 x 5 cm) cut from cylinders (ø 10 x 20 cm) were tested.

Each specimen was placed between a 3% sodium chloride solution by mass and a 0.3 N sodium hydroxide solution. In each solution, a conductive plate was introduced and connected to a source of  $60 \pm 0.1$  V. The test lasted 6 hours and electric current readings were taken every 30 min. The electric current multiplied by time, expressed in coulombs (C), represents the passing charge on the concrete, which indirectly indicates the resistance of concrete to chloride ions penetration, according to the classification presented in Table 6.

Charge passed (C)	Chloride ion penetrability
>4.000	High
2.000 - 4.000	Moderate
1.000 - 2.000	Low
100 - 1.000	Very Low
<100	Negligible

Table 6. Chloride Ion Penetrability Based on Charge Passed [39].

## 2.4 Unidirectional diffusion test

The unidirectional diffusion test, by the ponding method, was carried out in accordance with the EN 12390-11 [40]. Cylindrical specimens (ø 10 x 10 cm thick), extracted from prismatic ones, were tested.

Before starting the test, a slice 1mm-thick was used to determine the initial content of free and total chlorides. The following slices were used to determine these parameters over the specimen thickness.

Specimens, after being sealed by epoxy resin on the lateral surface, were saturated with deionized water and placed in a calcium hydroxide saturated solution for 18 hours. Then, a pond was placed to the cross surface of the specimen, sealed and filled with a 3% NaCl deionized water solution. Afterwards, the specimens were stored in a chamber (relative humidity  $\geq$  95%vol.) to avoid loss of surface moisture. After 90 days of exposure, eight successive and parallel layers were dryly ground from the specimen, starting at the exposure surface. Then, these samples were sifted and used to determine the chloride content by potentiometric titration by using an automatic titrator from Metrohm.

The chloride concentration in each layer enables the determination of the chloride profiles, from which the diffusion coefficient (D), and the surface concentration (Cs) for each analyzed concrete were determined by fitting the solution of the Fick's second law (Equation 2) to the experimental data.

$$C(x,t) = C_s + (C_0 - C_s) \cdot \left[ erf\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{D_{nss} \cdot t}}\right) \right]$$

(2)

Where:  $C_{(x,t)}$  is the chloride content measured at average depth x and exposure time t, % by mass of concrete;  $C_s$  is the calculated chloride content at the exposed surface, % by mass of concrete;  $C_0$  is the initial chloride content, % by mass of concrete; x is the depth below the exposed surface to the mid-point of the ground layer, m; Dnss is the non-steady-state chloride diffusion coefficient, m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>; t is the exposure time, seconds.

# **3 RESULTS**

# 3.1 Compressive strength

Table 7 shows the average values of compressive strength at 28 days. Concretes C2, C3, and C4 had higher compressive strength than the reference concrete (C1). Despite a binder content 30% lower than C1, C5 achieved a comparable compressive strength. On the other hand, C6 concrete, with a cement content of 150 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, had the lowest compressive strength.

The binder intensity (BI), the total amount of binder necessary to deliver one MPa of compressive strength [41], of the analyzed concretes were also calculated. Table 7 summarizes the BI of concretes.

Table	7. Co	mpressive	strength	and	binder	intensity	of	the	concretes.
		1	<u> </u>						

Concrete	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
Binder content (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	332.6	313.5	288.2	286	237.5	143
Compressive strength at 28 days (MPa)	42.94	60.43	61.39	52.8	41.8	28.4
BI (kg.m-3.MPa <sup>-1</sup> )	7.75	5.18	4.69	5.42	5.68	5.04

This indicator was around 5 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.MPa<sup>-1</sup> for concretes with low binder content and close to 8 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.MPa<sup>-1</sup> for reference concrete. C3 obtained a reduction in BI of approximately 9.5% compared to C2 and 39.5% compared to C1. The C4, C5, and C6 concretes presented reductions in the binder intensity between 27% and 35% when compared to the reference C1.

Figure 4 presents the calculated binder intensity *versus* compressive strength of Brazilian concretes [41] and of those studied in this work. The greater the strength for the same binder content, the lower the BI, thus the efficiency, in terms of the use of binder is greater. Damineli [5] claims that the lower BI values of Brazilian concretes are linked to improved aggregate packing or the combination of a low water/cement ratio and superplasticizer. The results in this study corroborate this statement.

Concretes with low binder content were characterized by BI close to the minimum values found in literature, which are slightly lower than 5 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>. MPa<sup>-1</sup> for concretes strength classes higher than 40 MPa. However, C1 presents value within a typical range for usual concretes. C6 has a much lower BI than other concretes of the same strength class, demonstrating its efficiency.



Figure 4. Binder intensity versus Compressive strength. Adapted from Damineli et al. [41].

# 3.2 Sorptivity

Sorptivity or capillary water absorption, expressed in g/cm<sup>2</sup>, is a linear function of the square root of time (Figure 5). The capillary absorption coefficient (c) is represented by the slope of regression lines. Table 8 presents the values of 'c' and the maximum water penetration height.



Figure 5. Capillary water absorption versus square root of time.

Table 8. Capillary absorption coefficient and maximum water penetration height.

	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
c (g/cm <sup>2</sup> h <sup>0,5</sup> )	0.0829	0.027	0.0308	0.0474	0.0519	0.0492
I (mm)	7.46	2.70	2.97	4.01	4.46	4.29

The higher capillary absorption coefficient of C3 compared to C2 can be explained by its porous structure, which possibly has smaller pores, favoring faster capillary absorption. This same relationship may explain the higher coefficient of C5 compared to C4 and C6. The C1 absorption coefficient was much higher than that of optimized concretes, even though they probably have smaller pores. This is due to its void volume, which is much higher, and yet, it certainly has greater pores interconnectivity. It is also noteworthy that C6, even with lower mechanical strength than the reference concrete, had a lower absorption coefficient than C1 concrete.

# 3.3 Rapid Chloride Migration (RCM) test

Table 9 presents the values non-steady-state migration coefficient of concretes and the classification of resistance to chloride penetration according to Nilsson et al. [38].

C1 had a penetration depth of 30.2 mm, while C2 had a penetration depth of 15.3 mm and C3 of 7.3 mm (Table 9), which indicates a significantly higher resistance to chloride penetration of optimized concretes.

Concrete	Average penetration depth (mm)	Dnssm (×10 <sup>-12</sup> m <sup>2</sup> /s)	Resistance to chloride penetration
C1	30.2	21.76	Low
C2	15.3	5.19	High
C3	7.3	1.81	Extremely high

Table 9. Concretes non-steady-state migration coefficient

C1 obtained low resistance to chloride penetration, even meeting the parameters from compressive strength, cement consumption, and water/binder established by standards. C2 and C3 showed a better performance. This is an indicator of the concrete porosity reduction probably due to the particle packing optimization, the paste volume reduction, the correct

dispersion of powders, and the contribution of silica fume. Furthermore, silica fume is efficient in reducing the interfacial transition zone (ITZ) thickness [42], which contributes to reduce chloride transport into concrete [43]. The amount of cement at C3 was 11% lower than C2. For this level of reduction, the small paste volume reduction, the porosity reduction due to the action of silica fume and the filler seems to compensate the possible decrease in binding capacity due to the decrease at  $Al_2O_3$  content in concrete. The compressive strength results corroborate the above statement.

Lollini et al. [32], Müller et al. [9], and Palm et al. [33] assessed the transport of chlorides by the same migration test analyzing Portland cement concretes where binder was partially replaced by limestone filler. Figure 6 illustrates the migration coefficient as a function of the Portland cement content for the above-mentioned studies and the results of this research. From this Figure, it is possible to observe that the migration coefficient, in general, tends to decrease with Portland cement content. However, there are some points that do not follow this relationship and present an opposite behaviour. In general, the increase of cement content leads to more aluminates available to bind chlorides. In the specific case of Müller results, the opposite behaviour is related to the decrease of the water to binder ratio as cement content decreased. In this case, there seems to be a prevalence of this last effect.



Figure 6. Chloride migration coefficient versus Portland cement content

The direct relationship between the chloride migration coefficient and the water/binder ratio (Figure 7) shows the effect of material porosity on the migration coefficient. In other words, porosity, and tortuosity of pores, obtained by particle packing optimization, seem to be more important than the amount of Portland cement. According to Ribeiro et al. [44] higher cement levels for concretes without additions and with the same characteristics (w/c, type of cement and mortar content) should favor an increase in durability (less diffusivity), as a result of the increase in the aluminate content in the mixture, originated from the Portland cement. However, this effect is less significant than the change of more representative parameters, mainly the water/binder ratio.



Figure 7. Chloride migration coefficient versus water/binder ratio.

# 3.4 Rapid chloride permeability (RCP) test

The values of passed charge and the classification for chloride penetration according to ASTM 1202 [39] are presented in Table 10. C4, C5, and C6, which were those submitted to this test, presented an increase in the charge passed as the replacement ratio increases. However, all of them met the moderate condition of resistance to chloride penetration.

Concrete	Charge passed (C)	Chloride ion penetrability
C4	2235.00	Moderate
C5	2906.76	Moderate
C6	3534.27	Moderate

Table 10. Results of charge passed and chloride ion penetrability

Figure 8 illustrates the values of the charge passed as a function of the concrete binder content. The dotted lines represent the limit for the charge passed values to classified chloride penetration resistance according to ASTM 1202 [39]. The values found in the literature refer to concretes without mineral addition subjected to the same test conditions as the concretes studied in this research. The Portland cement content in these concretes ranged from 260 kg/m<sup>3</sup> to 550 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The concretes that showed low penetration to chlorides had a water/cement ratio ranging from 0.28 to 0.38 [45]–[47]. Despite having parameters close to these, concretes with a water/cement ratio of 0.35 to 0.40 and cement content of 450 kg/m<sup>3</sup> [48] to 500 kg/m<sup>3</sup> [49] presented moderate chloride penetration resistance. Concretes studied by Das et al. [50], with cement contents from 300 kg/m<sup>3</sup> to 360 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and water/cement ratio from 0.4 to 0.55 were within this same class.

In this research, concretes with low cement contents had a similar or better performance than concretes with higher cement contents. For instance, the concrete C6, which had 70% of the fines constituted by limestone filler, presented a charge passed value comparable to that of concrete with a cement content of 300 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Based on this test, although the reduction of cement content leaded to an increase in the total passed charge, this increase was not enough to change the level of chloride ion penetrability according to ASTM 1202 [39] classification. From a practical point of view and considering only this test, the mixture optimization including cement replacements up to 70% by lime filler was not enough to significantly compromise the performance of concretes, remaining them at the same level of chloride ion penetrability.



Figure 8. Charge passed versus binder content

# 3.5 Unidirectional chloride diffusion test

The chloride diffusion test was performed on concretes C4, C5, and C6. The chloride concentration profiles were used (Figure 9) to determine the diffusion coefficients (D) and the surface concentrations (Cs) applying the solution of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Law of Fick (Table 11). Variations in chloride concentration are expected and are related to the difference in the amount of aggregates present in each sample layer.



Figure 9. Chloride content as function of the depth from the exposed surface.

Table 11. Concrete transport parameters

Concrete	Non-steady-state chloride diffusion coefficient (x 10 <sup>-8</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> /s)	Cl Surface concentration (%sample mass)	Cl Total concentration (%sample mass)
C4	10.44	0.1891	0.1125
C5	10.77	0.1272	0.0844
C6	35.51	0.1248	0.0959

The concrete C4 had the lowest apparent diffusion coefficient. In other words, the chlorides transport into its interior is slower than in the others. However, the surface concentration, which represents the availability of ions to penetrate the concrete and the average total concentration of chlorides, was higher in this concrete. This is probably a result of the better chloride binding due to its higher cement content. The apparent diffusion coefficient of C5 was slightly higher than that of C4. C5 has 17% less cement content than C4, which seems to be compensated by a performance filler that changes the pores network structure. Whereas the C6, which has 50% lower cement content than C4, had a much higher coefficient. This result indicates that, in this case, the contributions of performance filler is not enough to compensate the reduction on aluminate content in the mixture, due to the lower Portland cement volume in the mixture.

Figure 10 shows results of the diffusion coefficient as a function of the cement content; experimental data were plotted together with literature data [51]–[53] related to concretes tested under the same conditions.



Figure 10. Diffusion coefficients - experimental and literature results; labels represent the water/cement ratio.

The results showed that the C4 and C5 presented a chloride diffusion coefficient close to the minimum obtained by Abu Hassan [52] which was  $11 \ge 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , and slightly higher than the minimum obtained by Torabian Isfahani et al. [51], 8.8 x  $10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , and Andrade et al. [53], 7.6 x  $10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ . The C6 had the highest coefficient value. It is noteworthy that this was close to that obtained by Andrade *et al.* [53], with was 32.6 x  $10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , for concrete with a cement content of 380 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and factor w/c = 0.7.

It's known that the aluminate content in the mixture and the porosity of concrete are fundamental parameters in the control of chloride diffusion [44], [54]. Comparing the results of the optimized concretes with those from literature, the results show that the lower chloride binding capacity can be compensated using particle packing optimization to change the concrete pores network structure in concrete with low cement content until certain levels. Thus, it is possible to point out that the optimized concretes were able to meet the usually required durability properties with lower cement content.

Comparing RCP and Dns results, although it is possible to see increasing tendencies of passed charge and Dns with reducing cement content, there are differences respect to each test that impact in each data set behaviour. RCP is a fast test and conceals the Joule effect, which makes more difficult to bind more chlorides in cement paste phase. On the other hand, natural diffusion test, that is a longer test, contributes to make more evident the binding differences among C4, C5 and C6.

## **4 CONCLUSIONS**

The Binder Intensity showed that low cement content concretes, which were proportioned to improve particles' packing and paste volume optimization, perform better than the conventionally proportioned concrete from a mechanical point of view, reaching values close to the minimum found in literature (5 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.MPa<sup>-1</sup>).

The sorptivity test proves the modification of the microstructure, i.e., pores network, due to the particle packing optimization. The reference concrete, C1, showed higher water absorption by capillary action, indicating its higher porosity and probably less durability when exposed to aggressive agents.

The rapid chloride migration test verified that on one side the reference concrete obtained low resistance to chloride penetration, still meeting the standard requirements. On the other hand, C2 and C3 concretes showed higher resistance to chloride migration.

The rapid chloride permeability test and the unidirectional chloride diffusion test showed that C4, C5, and C6 had a performance that can be classified at a similar level of most conventional concretes found in literature.

As it can be seen from the results, the particle packing optimization and the reduction of water volume in the concrete mixture using a superplasticizer allows reducing the Portland cement content without compromising the chloride resistance. These optimized concretes may possess acceptable durability when they are subjected to aggressive exposure conditions, however research and experimental data on this field are still needed.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is part of the CEMtec project, National Institute on Advanced Eco-Efficient Cement-Based Technologies, supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development - CNPq (Process 485340/2013-5) and by São Paulo Research Foundation - FAPESP (Process 14/50948- 3 INCT/2014). Authors also thank the Laboratory of Microstructure and Eco-efficiency (LME) of Construction Materials at USP, the Construction Materials and Waste Research Group (GMAT) at the IFPB, and the Materials and Structures Testing Laboratory (LABEME) for the technical support provided.

### REFERENCES

- S. A. Miller, V. M. John, S. A. Pacca, and A. Horvath, "Carbon dioxide reduction potential in the global cement industry by 2050," *Cement Concr. Res.*, vol. 114, pp. 115–124, Dec 2018, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.08.026.
- [2] International Energy Agency. "Cement", IEA. https://www.iea.org/reports/cement (accessed Mar. 24, 2020).
- [3] International Energy Agency. "Technology roadmap low-carbon transition in the cement industry", IEA. https://www.iea.org/reports/technology-roadmap-low-carbon-transition-in-the-cement-industry (accessed Mar. 24, 2018).
- [4] A. Hasanbeigi, L. Price, and E. Lin, "Emerging energy-efficiency and CO2 emission-reduction technologies for cement and concrete production: A technical review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 6220–6238, Oct 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.07.019.
- [5] B. L. Damineli, "Conceitos para formulação de concretos com baixo consumo de ligantes: controle reológico, empacotamento e dispersão de partículas", Ph.D. dissertation, Poli Univ. São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil, 2013.

- [6] V. Oliveira, B. Damineli, V. Agopyan, and V. John, "Estratégias para a minimização da emissão de CO2 de concretos," Ambient. Constr., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 167–181, Oct 2014.
- [7] V. M. John, B. L. Damineli, M. Quattrone, and R. G. Pileggi, "Fillers in cementitious materials Experience, recent advances and future potential," *Cement Concr. Res.*, vol. 114, pp. 65–78, Dec 2018, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.09.013.
- [8] T. Proske, S. Hainer, M. Rezvani, and C.-A. Graubner, "Eco-friendly concretes with reduced water and cement content Mix design principles and application in practice," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 67, pp. 413–421, Sep 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.12.066.
- [9] H. S. Müller, R. Breiner, J. S. Moffatt, and M. Haist, "Design and properties of sustainable concrete," *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 95, pp. 290–304, 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.12.189.
- [10] B. L. Damineli, V. M. John, B. Lagerblad, and R. G. Pileggi, "Viscosity prediction of cement-filler suspensions using interference model: A route for binder efficiency enhancement," *Cement Concr. Res.*, vol. 84, pp. 8–19, Jun 2016, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.02.012.
- [11] A. L. de Castro and V. C. Pandolfelli, "Revisão: conceitos de dispersão e empacotamento de partículas para a produção de concretos especiais aplicados na construção civil," *Ceramica*, vol. 55, no. 333, pp. 18–32, Mar 2009, http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0366-69132009000100003.
- [12] Y. Knop and A. Peled, "Setting behavior of blended cement with limestone: influence of particle size and content," *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 49, no. 1–2, pp. 439–452, Jan 2016, http://dx.doi.org/10.1617/s11527-014-0509-y.
- [13] L. G. Li and A. K. H. Kwan, "Effects of superplasticizer type on packing density, water film thickness and flowability of cementitious paste," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 86, pp. 113–119, Jul 2015, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.03.104.
- [14] M. T. de Grazia, L. F. M. Sanchez, R. C. O. Romano, and R. G. Pileggi, "Investigation of the use of continuous particle packing models (PPMs) on the fresh and hardened properties of low-cement concrete (LCC) systems," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 195, pp. 524–536, Jan 2019, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.11.051.
- [15] M. S. Rebmann, "Durabilidade de concretos estruturais com baixo consumo de cimento Portland e alta resistência", M.S. thesis, EESC Univ. São Paulo, São Carlos, Brasil, 2011.
- [16] F. de Larrard, "A method for proportioning high-strength concrete mixtures," Cem. Concr. Aggreg., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 47, 1990, http://dx.doi.org/10.1520/CCA10388J.
- [17] B. Esmaeilkhanian, K. H. Khayat, and O. H. Wallevik, "Mix design approach for low-powder self-consolidating concrete: Eco-SCC—content optimization and performance," *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 50, no. 2, Apr 2017, http://dx.doi.org/10.1617/s11527-017-0993-y.
- [18] P. C. C. Gomes and A. R. Barros, Métodos de dosagem de concreto autoadensavel. São Paulo, Brasil: Pini, 2009.
- [19] V. O'Reilly Díaz, Método de dosagem de concreto de elevado desempenho. São Paulo, Brasil: Pini, 1998.
- [20] K. Sobolev and A. Amirjanov, "The development of a simulation model of the dense packing of large particulate assemblies," *Powder Technol.*, vol. 141, no. 1–2, pp. 155–160, Mar 2004, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2004.02.013.
- [21] G. R. Meira, "Agressividade por cloretos em zona de atmosfera marinha frente ao problema da corrosão em estruturas de concreto armado", Ph.D. dissertation, UFSC, Florianópolis, Brasil, 2004.
- [22] A. Costa and J. Appleton, "Análise da penetração de cloretos em estruturas de betão armado expostas ao ambiente marítimo," *Rev. Port. Eng. Estrut.*, vol. 46, pp. 12–19, 1999.
- [23] Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas, Concreto de cimento Portland preparo, controle e recebimento Procedimento, ABNT NBR 12655, 2015.
- [24] America Concrete Institute Committee, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary, ACI 318-11, Detroit, USA, 2002.
- [25] Indian Standard, Plain and Reinforced concrete Code of Practice, IS-456, 2000.
- [26] Norma Portuguesa, Betão: Especificação, desempenho, produção e conformidade, NP EN 206-1, 2007.
- [27] British Standards Institution, Concrete Complementary British standard to BS EN 206-1 Part 1: Method of specifying and guidance for the specifier, BS 8500-1, 2006.
- [28] Australian Standard, Concrete Structures, AS 3600, 2001.
- [29] G. C. Isaia and A. L. G. Gastaldini, "Concrete sustainability with very high amount of fly ash and slag," *Rev. IBRACON Estrut. Mater.*, vol. 2, pp. 244–253, 2009.
- [30] R. Wassermann, A. Katz, and A. Bentur, "Minimum cement content requirements: a must or a myth," *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 973–982, Aug 2009, http://dx.doi.org/10.1617/s11527-008-9436-0.
- [31] T. R. Naik, D. N. Singh, and M. M. Hossain, "Permeability of high-strength concrete containing low cement factor," J. Energy Eng., vol. 122, no. 1, pp. 21–39, 1996.
- [32] F. Lollini, E. Redaelli, and L. Bertolini, "Effects of portland cement replacement with limestone on the properties of hardened concrete," *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 46, pp. 32–40, Feb 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2013.10.016.

- [33] S. Palm, T. Proske, M. Rezvani, S. Hainer, C. Müller, and C.-A. Graubner, "Cements with a high limestone content Mechanical properties, durability and ecological characteristics of the concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 119, pp. 308–318, Aug 2016, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.05.009.
- [34] Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas, Concreto Procedimento para moldagem e cura de corpos de prova, ABNT NBR 5738, 2015...
- [35] Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas, Concreto Ensaios de compressão de corpos de prova cilíndricos, NBR 5739, 2018.
- [36] Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas, Argamassa e concreto endurecidos determinação da absorção de água por capilaridade, ABNT NBR 9779, 2012.
- [37] Nordtest Method, Concrete, mortar and cement-based repair materials: Chloride migration coefficient from non-steady-state migration experiments, NT BUILD 492, 1999.
- [38] L. Nilsson, M. H. Ngo, and O. E. Gjørv, "High-performance repair materials for concrete structure in the port of Gothenburg", in Concrete under severe conditions 2: Environment and loading; Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Concrete Under Severe Conditions, vol. 2, 1998, p. 1193–1198.
- [39] ASTM Committee, Standard test method for electrical indication of concrete's ability to resist chloride ion penetration, ASTM 1202, 2012.
- [40] European Committee for Standardization, Determination of the chloride resistance of concrete, unidirectional diffusion, EN 12390-11, 2015.
- [41] B. L. Damineli, F. M. Kemeid, P. S. Aguiar, and V. M. John, "Measuring the eco-efficiency of cement use," *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 555–562, Sep 2010, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.07.009.
- [42] V. Nežerka, P. Bílý, V. Hrbek, and J. Fládr, "Impact of silica fume, fly ash, and metakaolin on the thickness and strength of the ITZ in concrete," *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 103, pp. 252–262, Oct 2019, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2019.05.012.
- [43] S. Gao, J. Guo, Y. Gong, S. Ban, and A. Liu, "Study on the penetration and diffusion of chloride ions in interface transition zone of recycled concrete prepared by modified recycled coarse aggregates," *Case Stud. Constr. Mater.*, vol. 16, pp. e01034, Jun 2022, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2022.e01034.
- [44] D. V. Ribeiro et al., "Effects of binders characteristics and concrete dosing parameters on the chloride diffusion coefficient," Cement Concr. Compos., vol. 122, pp. 104114, Sep 2021, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2021.104114.
- [45] B. H. Oh, S. W. Cha, B. S. Jang, and S. Y. Jang, "Development of high-performance concrete having high resistance to chloride penetration," *Nucl. Eng. Des.*, vol. 212, no. 1–3, pp. 221–231, Mar 2002, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-5493(01)00484-8.
- [46] N. Pathak and R. Siddique, "Properties of self-compacting-concrete containing fly ash subjected to elevated temperatures," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 30, pp. 274–280, May 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.11.010.
- [47] C. S. Poon, S. C. Kou, and L. Lam, "Compressive strength, chloride diffusivity and pore structure of high performance metakaolin and silica fume concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 858–865, Dec 2006, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2005.07.001.
- [48] A. A. A. Hassan, M. Lachemi, and K. M. A. Hossain, "Effect of metakaolin and silica fume on the durability of self-consolidating concrete," *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 801–807, Jul 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.02.013.
- [49] R. Joshi, S. Chatterji, G. Achari, and P. Mackie, "Reexamination of ASTM C 1202—Standard test method for electrical indication of concrete's ability to resist chloride ion penetration," J. Test. Eval., vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 59, 2000, http://dx.doi.org/10.1520/JTE12075J.
- [50] B. B. Das, D. N. Singh, and S. P. Pandey, "Rapid chloride ion permeability of OPC- and PPC-based carbonated concrete," J. Mater. Civ. Eng., vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 606–611, May 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000415.
- [51] F. Torabian Isfahani, E. Redaelli, F. Lollini, W. Li, and L. Bertolini, "Effects of nanosilica on compressive strength and durability properties of concrete with different water to binder ratios," *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 2016, pp. 1–16, 2016., http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/8453567.
- [52] Z. F. Abu Hassan, "Rapid assessment of the potential chloride resistance of structural concrete", Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom, 2012. [Online]. Available: https://discovery.dundee.ac.uk/en/studentTheses/rapid-assessment-of-thepotential-chloride-resistance-of-structur
- [53] C. Andrade, M. Castellote, C. Alonso, and C. González, "Non-steady-state chloride diffusion coefficients obtained from migration and natural diffusion tests. Part I: Comparison between several methods of calculation," *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 21–28, Jan 2000, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02481692.
- [54] R. Malheiro, G. Meira, M. Lima, and N. Perazzo, "Influence of mortar rendering on chloride penetration into concrete structures," *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 233–239, Feb 2011, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.11.003.

Author contributions: TGC: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, writing; GRM: conceptualization, supervision, methodology, formal analysis; MQ: formal analysis, writing; VMJ: funding acquisition, supervision.

Editors: Edna Possan, Mark Alexander