

Evaluation of Radial Artery Patency after Transradial Catheterization

Marcela Almeida dos Santos¹, Rafael Pereira de Borba², Cláudio Vasques de Moraes³,
Ismael Voltolini⁴, Eduardo Mascarenhas Azevedo⁵, Carlos Roberto Cardoso⁶,
Emiliane Nogueira de Souza⁷, Maria Antonieta Moraes⁸, Cristiano de Oliveira Cardoso⁹

ABSTRACT

Background: Although the transradial approach has reduced vascular complications, radial artery occlusion is still considered one of its limitations. The aim of this study was to evaluate radial artery patency after transradial cardiac catheterization. **Methods:** Prospective cohort study of patients undergoing cardiac catheterization using the transradial approach. Procedures were performed with 5 F and 6 F catheters with the administration of 5,000 IU of heparin and 200 µg of nitroglycerin through the radial sheath. Radial artery blood flow was assessed by Doppler before, immediately after bandage removal and 7 days after the procedure. **Results:** The study included 120 patients of which 42.5% were males, with 59.1 ± 10.6 years of age, 25.8% were diabetic, weighing 77.4 ± 14.2 kg and height of 166 ± 8.1 cm. The number of catheters used was 2.3 ± 0.5 per patient, 55.8% using 6 F sheaths. The duration of the procedure was 14.8 ± 5.2 minutes, the puncture time was 129.7 ± 124.1 seconds, and the fluoroscopy time was 4 ± 2.3 minutes. Radial spasm and crossover to the femoral technique occurred in 20.8% and 1.7% of the procedures, respectively. Significant hematoma was observed in 2.4% of the patients. At 1 week of follow-up, arterial occlusion was observed in 1.7%, and reduced blood flow in 26.7% of the patients. **Conclusions:** Radial artery occlusion following diagnostic procedure using the

RESUMO

Avaliação da Patência da Artéria Radial após Cateterismo Transradial

Introdução: Embora a abordagem transradial tenha reduzido as complicações vasculares, a oclusão da artéria radial ainda é uma de suas limitações. O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a patência da artéria radial após cateterismo cardíaco transradial. **Métodos:** Estudo de coorte prospectivo com pacientes submetidos a cateterismo cardíaco pela via transradial. Os procedimentos foram realizados com cateteres 5 F ou 6 F, com a administração de 5.000 U de heparina e 200 µg de nitroglicerina através do introdutor radial. O fluxo sanguíneo na artéria radial foi avaliado com o uso do Doppler antes, imediatamente após a retirada do curativo e 7 dias depois do procedimento. **Resultados:** O estudo incluiu 120 pacientes, dos quais 42,5% eram do sexo masculino, com idade de $59,1 \pm 10,6$ anos, 25,8% eram diabéticos, com peso de $77,4 \pm 14,2$ kg e altura de $166 \pm 8,1$ cm. O número de cateteres utilizados foi de $2,3 \pm 0,5$ por paciente, 55,8% usando introdutores 6 F. A duração do procedimento foi de $14,8 \pm 5,2$ minutos, o tempo de punção foi de $129,7 \pm 124,1$ segundos e o tempo de fluoroscopia, de $4 \pm 2,3$ minutos. Espasmo e crossover para técnica femoral ocorreram em 20,8% e 1,7% dos procedimentos, respectivamente. Hematoma significativo ocorreu em 2,4% dos pacientes. Em uma semana de acompanhamento,

¹ Cardiology Nurse at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

² Cardiology Nurse at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

³ Hemodynamicist Physician at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

⁴ Hemodynamicist Physician at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

⁵ Hemodynamicist Physician at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

⁶ Hemodynamicist Physician at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

⁷ Doctor. Assistant Professor at the Nursing Department of Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

⁸ Master. Professor at the Postgraduate Program of Nursing in Cardiology and Coordinator of the Residence of Nursing in Cardiology – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

⁹ Master. Hemodynamicist physician at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul – Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

Correspondence to: Cristiano de Oliveira Cardoso. Av. Princesa Isabel, 395 – Santana – Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil – CEP 90629-001
E-mail: cristiano.cardoso@cardiologia.org.br

Received on: 9/15/2012 • Accepted on: 11/26/2012

Extracted from the course conclusion paper “Incidence of wrist post-procedural occlusion by transradial via”, presented in July 2011 to the Program of Integrated Multidisciplinary Residence in Health of Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia (Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil).

transradial approach is rare and should not be considered as an important technique limitation.

DESCRIPTORS: Cardiac catheterization. Radial artery. Pulse.

The transradial approach is widely used in percutaneous procedures because it allows for complex interventions with a significant reduction in vascular complication rates.¹⁻⁷ Recent studies have demonstrated that this approach results in additional comfort to patients,⁸ shorter hospitalization duration,⁹ and lower hospital expenses.¹⁰ The radial access route requires a longer learning curve.¹¹⁻¹³

Radial artery occlusion is another potential limitation to this technique, and its occurrence varies between 2% and 9%.^{14,15} Ischemic heart disease frequently causes patients to undergo more than one invasive procedure (diagnostic or therapeutic), and an occluded access route prevents a new approach through the vessel.

Considering the insufficiency of data in the Brazilian specialized literature, this study aimed to determine the radial artery patency after transradial cardiac catheterization.

METHODS

Sample selection

Patients referred for elective cardiac catheterization performed by the transradial approach between May 2009 and December 2010 were included in the study. The inclusion criteria were: age > 18 years, positive Allen's test, and agreement to participate in the study, which included returning one week after the procedure evaluate the blood flow in the radial artery. The exclusion criteria were defined as a history of prior coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery, emergency procedures, or chronic renal failure. All the patients signed an informed consent approved by the ethics committee of the institution.

Diagnostic procedure via radial approach

After Allen's test¹⁶ was performed for hand movement evaluation, the patients were positioned in a supine position with the right arm extended along the body. Local antisepsis with chlorhexidine was performed, sterile drapes were placed, and the wrist (approximately 1 cm above the styloid process of the radius) was anesthetized with 2% lidocaine (3 to 5 mL). Using a 21G sheath, the radial artery was punctured and

observaram-se oclusões da artéria em 1,7% e redução do fluxo sanguíneo em 26,7% dos pacientes. **Conclusões:** A oclusão da artéria radial pós-procedimento diagnóstico utilizando a via de acesso radial é infrequente e não deve ser considerada limitação importante da técnica.

DESCRIÇÕES: Cateterismo cardíaco. Artéria radial. Pulso arterial.

cannulated, and a 0.021-inch guide wire was inserted. A 5F or 6F radial sheath was inserted under the guide wire (Terumo Glidesheath, Terumo Corporation – Tokyo, Japan/Cordis Transradial System, Cordis Corp. – Miami Lakes, United States). The use of precast catheters to perform the catheterization of the coronary ostia was at the surgeon's discretion. A dose of 5,000 U of heparin was routinely administered intravenously, as well as 200 mg of nitroglycerine through the radial sheath.

At the end of the procedure, a compression dressing with gauze and Tensoplast® was retained for four hours. The dressing was reassessed every hour, and in the case of inadequate hemostasis after the fourth hour, the dressing was redone.

Clinical follow-up and assessment of blood flow in the radial artery

The blood flow in the radial artery was routinely assessed prior to the start of the examination, immediately after removing the dressing and on the seventh day of follow-up using, a portable vascular Doppler echocardiograph with a 10 MHz transducer. The presence of radial artery flow was measured above and below the puncture site.

Study definitions

The puncture time was measured between the start of anaesthesia and insertion of the sheath. The procedure time was described as the time between the local anaesthesia and removal of the last catheter. Fluoroscopy time was obtained directly from the haemodynamic equipment.

The presence of spasm was defined as the coexistence of pain reported by the patient and difficulty of catheter manipulation by the surgeon.

Major vascular complications were defined as hand ischemia, vascular perforation, radial artery pseudoaneurysm formation or arteriovenous fistula, and need for surgery. Minor complications included local hematomas, classified according to the "Early Discharge after Transradial Stenting of Coronary Arteries (EASY)" study:⁹ type I, < 5 cm in diameter; type II, < 10 cm diameter; type III, > 10 cm, without reaching the elbow; type IV, hematoma extending beyond the elbow; and type

V, any haematoma resulting in ischemic injury to the hand. Local pain was subjectively classified as absent, mild, moderate, and intolerable.

Arterial occlusion was defined as the absence of radial flow, measured by Doppler echocardiography, distal to the puncture site on the seventh day after the procedure. In cases of preserved blood flow in the radial artery, it was further classified as normal or reduced, compared with the blood flow proximal to the puncture site.

Statistical analysis

The data were prospectively collected and stored in a specific database. The analysis was performed using SPSS software program, release 17.0 for Windows. Continuous variables were shown as the mean and standard deviation, and categorical variables were shown as absolute numbers and percentages.

RESULTS

Clinical variables

A total of 122 patients was included in the study. Of these, two did not return on the seventh day after the procedure to have the blood flow in the radial artery assessed, and were, therefore, excluded.

The patients were predominantly female (57.5%), aged 59.1 ± 10.6 years, with mean weight of 77.4 ± 14.2 kg and mean height of 166 ± 8.1 cm, and 25.8% were diabetics. Among the patients enrolled, 11 (9.2%) had undergone previous cardiac catheterization through the radial approach (Table 1).

Angiographic characteristics

The number of catheters used for coronary catheterization was 2.3 ± 0.5 per patient; 5F (53/120, 44.2%) and 6F (67/120, 55.8%) sheaths were used. The mean procedure duration was 14.8 ± 5.2 minutes, the duration of puncture was 129.7 ± 124.1 seconds, and the fluoroscopy time was 4 ± 2.3 minutes. The contrast volume used was 101.6 ± 21.4 mL (Table 2).

Complications

There were no major complications such as radial artery pseudoaneurysm formation, arteriovenous fistula, or compartment syndrome. Among the minor complications (Table 2), there were type-I hematomas in nine patients (7.5%) and type-II hematomas in three patients (2.4%). Type-III and IV hematomas were not observed in this sample. Regarding pain after the procedure, nine patients (7.5%) complained of mild discomfort, one (0.8%) of moderate pain, two (1.7%) of intolerable pain, and 108 patients (90%) denied any discomfort. Spasms occurred in 25 cases (20.8%), but the crossover to the femoral technique occurred in only two patients (1.7%).

Table 1
Clinical characteristics of the patients
undergoing diagnostic cardiac catheterization
via the radial approach

	n = 120
Age, years	59.1 ± 10.6
Male gender, n (%)	51 (42.5)
Weight, kg	77.4 ± 14.2
Height, cm	166 ± 8.1
Current smoking, n (%)	16 (13.3)
Arterial hypertension, n (%)	28 (23.3)
Diabetes <i>mellitus</i> , n (%)	31 (25.8)
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	41 (34.2)
Family history of coronary artery disease, n (%)	45 (37.5)
Previous coronary percutaneous procedure, n (%)	7 (5.8)
Previous myocardial infarction, n (%)	13 (10.8)
Previous stroke, n (%)	3 (2.5)
Associated valvulopathy, n (%)	16 (13.3)
Previous radial access approach, n (%)	11 (9.2)
Medications used, n (%)	
Acetylsalicylic acid	79 (63.2)
Ticlopidine	11 (9.2)
Beta-blocker	70 (58.3)
Statin	53 (44.2)
Conversion-enzyme inhibitors	66 (55)
Angiotensin-receptor blockers	3 (2.5)
Nitrate	38 (31.6)
Calcium antagonist	11 (9.2)
Diuretics	37 (30.8)

Clinical follow-up and blood flow assessment in the radial artery

On the seventh day after the procedure, the radial artery flow was normal in 86 (71.6%), reduced in 32 (26.7%), and absent in two (1.7%) patients (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The radial access approach was introduced by Campeau¹⁷ and is currently used in interventional cardiology. The frequency of its use varies in catheterization laboratories.¹⁸

Table 2
Angiographic and procedural characteristics

n = 120	
Patients with lesion > 70%, n (%)	
No vessels	69 (57.5)
1 vessels	20 (16.7)
2 vessels	16 (13.3)
3 vessels	15 (12.5)
Tortuosities, n (%)	
Radial tortuosity	1 (0.8)
Subclavian tortuosity	17 (14.2)
Catheters per patient	2.3 ± 0.5
Sheath calibre, n (%)	
5 F	53 (44.2)
6 F	67 (55.8)
Procedures	
Procedure time, minutes	14.8 ± 5.2
Puncture time, seconds	129.7 ± 124.1
Fluoroscopy time, minutes	4 ± 2.3
Contrast volume, mL	101.6 ± 21.4
Spasms, n (%)	25 (20.8)
Crossover to femoral approach, n (%)	2 (1.7)
Hematomas, n (%)	
Type I	9 (7.5)
Type II	3 (2.4)
Type III/IV	0

Table 3
Evaluation of radial arterial blood flow by Doppler echocardiography

n = 120	
Pre-procedure, n (%)	
Normal	120 (100)
Reduced flow	0
Absent flow	0
After dressing removal, n (%)	
Normal	97 (80.80)
Reduced flow	23 (19.2)
Absent flow	0
Seven days of follow-up, n (%)	
Normal	86 (71.6)
Reduced flow	32 (26.7)
Absent flow	2 (1.7)

The prevalence of radial artery occlusion after cannulation varies between 2% and 9%.^{14,15} In the majority of cases, with Allen's test preservation, the occlusion is asymptomatic. Several factors have been associated with vessel occlusion, such as the type of compression used, the introducer sheath/vessel diameter ratio,¹⁹ the administered heparin dose,¹⁵ and the maintenance of flow distal to the puncture site during compression.²⁰ Occlusion is most likely determined by a combination of several factors.

In this study population, the incidence of blood flow occlusion was lower than that in previous studies, occurring in two patients (1.7%). Although a logical explanation is not yet available, the authors hypothesize that the systematic use of heparin at a dose of 5,000 U may have had a role in the lower incidence. It is known that inadequate anticoagulation is an important factor in the rate of blood flow occlusion and, in the present study; the mean dose was 66 U/kg per patient. With this dose, full anticoagulation with heparin is attained, and the dosage may be one of the factors that contributed to the maintenance of arterial patency. Although bivalirudin²¹ was not tested in the present study, it has been described as an anti-coagulation regimen. Despite having lower rates of post-procedural blood flow occlusion, the cost remains a limiting factor in its usage.

Although the occlusion rate was low, it was observed that approximately 25% of the population had decreased flow between the dressing removal and the seventh day of follow-up. Although the reduction in blood flow is clinically irrelevant, Burstein et al.²² demonstrated that significant radial arterial flow alterations occur after cannulation. The Doppler echocardiography assessment demonstrated that the measurement of flow-mediated dilation was altered after using the radial access route. In addition to the physiological alterations, endothelial modifications have been reported.²³ Considering that the radial artery is a good vascular graft to be used in CABG,^{23,24} these alterations in vessel physiology should be considered regarding the use of the radial approach.²⁵

Study limitations

This study had certain limitations. The radial occlusion rate was extremely low, perhaps due to the routine use of Doppler echocardiography. Assessment by Doppler is more sensitive than manual palpation to detect vascular flow, and Doppler could identify blood flow in cases in which palpation could not. It was not possible to determine possible predictors of radial occlusion due to the small number of events in the study.

CONCLUSIONS

Radial artery occlusion following a diagnostic procedure is a rare event, and should not be considered as an important limitation to the technique.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Agostoni P, Biondi-Zoccai GG, de Benedictis ML, Rigattieri S, Turri M, Anselmi M, et al. Radial versus femoral approach for percutaneous coronary diagnostic and interventional procedures: systematic overview and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;44(2):349-56.
2. Choussat R, Black A, Bossi I, Fajadet J, Marco J. Vascular complications and clinical outcome after coronary angioplasty with platelet IIb/IIIa receptor blockade: comparison of transradial vs transfemoral arterial access. *Eur Heart J*. 2000;21(8):662-7.
3. Rao SV, Cohen MG, Kandzari DE, Bertrand OF, Gilchrist IC. The transradial approach to percutaneous coronary intervention: historical perspective, current concepts, and future directions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;55(20):2187-95.
4. Mann T, Cubeddu G, Bowen J, Schneider JE, Arrowood M, Newman WN, et al. Stenting in acute coronary syndromes: a comparison of radial versus femoral access sites. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1998;32(3):572-6.
5. Pristipino C, Pelliccia F, Granatelli A, Pasceri V, Roncella A, Speciale G, et al. Comparison of access-related bleeding complications in women versus men undergoing percutaneous coronary catheterization using the radial versus femoral artery. *Am J Cardiol*. 2007;99(9):1216-21.
6. Mann T, Cowper PA, Peterson ED, Cubeddu G, Bowen J, Giron L, et al. Transradial coronary stenting: comparison with femoral access closed with an arterial suture device. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2000;49(2):150-6.
7. Kiemeneij F, Laarman GJ, Odekerken D, Slagboom T, van der Wieken R. A randomized comparison of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty by the radial, brachial and femoral approaches: the access study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;29(6):1269-75.
8. Cooper CJ, El-Shiekh RA, Cohen DJ, Blaesing L, Burket MW, Basu A, et al. Effect of transradial access on quality of life and cost of cardiac catheterization: a randomized comparison. *Am Heart J*. 1999;138(3 Pt 1):430-6.
9. Bertrand OF, De Larochelliere R, Rodes-Cabau J, Proulx G, Gleeton O, Nguyen CM, et al. A randomized study comparing same-day home discharge and abciximab bolus only to overnight hospitalization and abciximab bolus and infusion after transradial coronary stent implantation. *Circulation*. 2006;114(24):2636-43.
10. Roussanov O, Wilson SJ, Henley K, Estacio G, Hill J, Dogan B, et al. Cost-effectiveness of the radial versus femoral artery approach to diagnostic cardiac catheterization. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2007;19(8):349-53.
11. Nunes GL, Oliveira AT, Alves L, Alfonso T. Influência da curva de aprendizado no sucesso e na ocorrência de complicações associadas aos procedimentos pela via radial. *Rev Bras Cardiol Invasiva*. 2007;15(2):115-8.
12. Barbeau GR. Radial loop and extreme vessel tortuosity in the transradial approach: advantage of hydrophilic-coated guidewires and catheters. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2003;59(4): 442-50.
13. Cardoso CO, Moraes CV, Voltolini I, Azevedo EM, Santos MA, Borba RP, et al. Influência da curva de aprendizado nos procedimentos percutâneos por via transradial. *Rev Bras Cardiol Invasiva*. 2011;19(3):260-5.
14. Brito JC, Azevedo Junior A, Oliveira A, Von Sohsten R, Santos Filho A, Carvalho H. Transradial approach for coronary interventions. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2001;76(5):369-78.
15. Spaulding C, Lefevre T, Funck F, Thebault B, Chauveau M, Ben Hamda K, et al. Left radial approach for coronary angiography: results of a prospective study. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1996;39(4):365-70.
16. Allen EV. Thromboangiitis obliterans: methods of diagnosis of chronic occlusive arterial lesions distal to the wrist with illustrative cases. *Am J Med Sci*. 1929;178(2):237-44.
17. Campeau L. Percutaneous radial artery approach for coronary angiography. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1989;16(1):3-7.
18. Andrade PB, Tebet MA, Andrade MA, Labrunie A, Mattos LA. Acesso radial em intervenções coronarianas percutâneas: panorama atual brasileiro. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2011;96(4):312-6.
19. Dahm JB, Vogelgesang D, Hummel A, Staudt A, Volzke H, Felix SB. A randomized trial of 5 vs. 6 French transradial percutaneous coronary interventions. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2002;57(2):172-6.
20. Sanmartin M, Gomez M, Rumoroso JR, Sadaba M, Martinez M, Baz JA, et al. Interruption of blood flow during compression and radial artery occlusion after transradial catheterization. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2007;70(2):185-9.
21. Plante S, Cantor WJ, Goldman L, Miner S, Quesnelle A, Ganapathy A, et al. Comparison of bivalirudin versus heparin on radial artery occlusion after transradial catheterization. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010;76(5):654-8.
22. Burstein JM, Gidrewicz D, Hutchison SJ, Holmes K, Jolly S, Cantor WJ. Impact of radial artery cannulation for coronary angiography and angioplasty on radial artery function. *Am J Cardiol*. 2007;99(4):457-9.
23. Desai ND, Naylor CD, Kiss A, Cohen EA, Feder-Elituv R, Miwa S, et al. Impact of patient and target-vessel characteristics on arterial and venous bypass graft patency: insight from a randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2007;115(6):684-91.
24. Desai ND, Cohen EA, Naylor CD, Fremes SE. A randomized comparison of radial-artery and saphenous-vein coronary bypass grafts. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351(22):2302-9.
25. Cardoso CO, Rodrigues LH, Cardoso CR, Yordi LM. Impact of radial artery cannulation on radial artery function. *Am J Cardiol*. 2007;100(4):743-4.