Reactive oxygen species impair Na⁺ transport and renal components of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system after paraquat poisoning
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Abstract: Paraquat (1,1'-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridyl dichloride) is an herbicide widely used worldwide and officially banned in Brazil in 2020. Kidney lesions frequently occur, leading to acute kidney injury (AKI) due to exacerbated reactive O₂ species (ROS) production. However, the consequences of ROS exposure on ionic transport and the regulator local renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) still need to be elucidated at a molecular level. This study evaluated how ROS acutely influences Na⁺-transporting ATPases and the renal RAAS. Adult male Wistar rats received paraquat (20 mg/kg; ip). After 24 h, we observed body weight loss and elevation of urinary flow and serum creatinine. In the renal cortex, paraquat increased ROS levels, NADPH oxidase and (Na⁺+K⁺)ATPase activities, angiotensin II-type 1 receptors, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and interleukin-6. In the medulla, paraquat increased ROS levels and NADPH oxidase activity but inhibited (Na⁺+K⁺)ATPase. Paraquat induced opposite effects on the ouabain-resistant Na⁺-ATPase in the cortex (decrease) and medulla (increase). These alterations, except for increased serum creatinine and renal levels of TNF-α and interleukin-6, were prevented by 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (tempol; 1 mmol/L in drinking water), a stable antioxidant. In summary, after paraquat poisoning, ROS production culminated with impaired medullary function, urinary fluid loss, and disruption of Na⁺-transporting ATPases and angiotensin II signaling.
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INTRODUCTION

Paraquat (1,1'-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridyl dichloride) is a non-selective herbicide still widely used in agricultural crops in vast regions around the world for weed control (Zobiole et al. 2018) and also in non-agriculture areas such as those under electric transmission lines. Since paraquat is highly toxic to mammals, it has been banned in over 50 countries, including Brazil in 2020. For a brief and excellent review regarding the recent history of the herbicide use in Brazil and other countries and the economic implications of its prohibition, see Albrecht et al. (2022).

When ingested, this herbicide causes severe pathological conditions such as acute respiratory syndrome, hepatotoxicity, pulmonary fibrosis, cerebral edema, myocardial necrosis, and multiple organ failure (Dinis-Oliveira et al. 2008). Paraquat is not metabolized in the liver, and excretion in its unchanged form occurs in the kidneys (Sukumar et al. 2019). Paraquat acts as a false electron acceptor in the photosystem I in plants, thus generating reactive O₂ species (ROS) and provoking lipid...
peroxidation and tissue destruction (Alizadeh et al. 2022). In mammalian organs, such as the lung, liver, kidney, and heart, paraquat interacts with the mitochondrial complexes, leading to intense production of ROS. In the kidney, the herbicide is linked to the onset of severe acute kidney injury (AKI) (Wunnapuk et al. 2013, Tan et al. 2015). However, the molecular mechanisms underpinning this paraquat-induced severe pathology are poorly known.

AKI is characterized by nitrogen waste compounds accumulation and impairment of electrolytes and body fluid homeostasis, resulting from renal function loss (Singh et al. 2012). AKI incidence is 18% in hospitalized patients, increasing to about 70% in intensive care units, with mortality rates ranging from 20% to 70% (Uchino et al. 2005). AKI development is multifactorial; however, most severe cases are frequently associated with acute tubular necrosis of nephrotoxic etiology (Singh et al. 2012). Furthermore, there is increasing evidence that renal tubules are central in the pathogenesis of AKI, as the loss of function leads to secondary glomerular alterations (Chevalier 2016). In addition, higher Na⁺ fractional excretion by the failure of proximal reabsorption is linked to poor recovery of renal function and worse prognosis (Moeckel 2018).

Tubular damage is associated with loss of cellular polarity, apoptosis, and necrosis (Havasi & Borkan 2011), impairing fluid reabsorption. The reactive O₂⁻ species (ROS) are pivotal in the onset of renal tubule dysfunction. The proximal tubule has an intense oxidative metabolism (Beltowski et al. 2007), and its dysfunction leads to fluid wasting because of its importance in fractional reabsorption of filtered fluid. Additionally, oxidative damage in the external medulla can affect Na⁺ reabsorption across the thick ascending limb and impact the interstitium hyperosmolality and the urine concentration in the medullary portion of the collecting duct (Rocha & Kokko 1973), thus leading to excessive fluid loss. The tubular reabsorption of fluid depends on the transepithelial Na⁺ gradient generated by the primary active basolateral transport of Na⁺ mediated by the ouabain-sensitive (Na⁺+K⁺)ATPase (Féraillé & Doucet 2001) and the ouabain-resistant furosemide-sensitive Na⁺-ATPase (Rocafull et al. 2011, 2012, Vieyra et al. 2016). The former is responsible for the bulk Na⁺ reabsorption, while the latter is associated with its fine-tuning (Beltowski et al. 2007, Vieira-Filho et al. 2014, Vieyra et al. 2016).

The tissular renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) is a key modulator of the Na⁺ reabsorption in the kidney and the extracellular fluid volume (Kobori et al. 2007). Tubulointerstitial levels of angiotensin II (Ang II) are higher than in plasma (Kobori et al. 2007, Wang et al. 2003, Beltowski et al. 2007), and Na⁺-transporting ATPases are tightly regulated by this peptide (Féraillé & Doucet 2001, Vieyra et al. 2016). Furthermore, RAAS components are also involved in renal damage in processes in which inflammation and tissue fibrosis are prominent (Kobori et al. 2007). Ang II, the isoform 1 of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE1), and the angiotensin II-type 1 receptor (AT₁R) have been linked to inflammation and microvascular dysfunction in ischemia/reperfusion-induced AKI (Da Silveira et al. 2010). The elevated urinary angiotensinogen-creatinine ratio in AKI patients was already positively correlated to adverse outcomes (Alge et al. 2013). Moreover, the RAAS crosstalks with oxidative stress due to increasing ROS production by stimulating NADPH oxidase activity (Sachse & Wolf 2007).

Based on these observations, ROS-mediated alterations of the active Na⁺ transport machinery and renal RAAS expression could lead to fluid wasting and depletion of the liquid compartments. This mechanism could
be present in the pathophysiology of several conditions associated with AKI, including herbicide poisoning (Dedeke et al. 2018). This study aimed to investigate whether paraquat-induced ROS generation would lead to changes in cellular and molecular mechanisms able to alter Na⁺-transporting ATPases and several renal RAAS components, leading to the development of arterial hypertension.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All experimental procedures were approved by the Committee for Ethics in Animal Experimentation at the Federal University of Pernambuco (protocol number 0013/2017) and conducted according to the Brazilian Council of Animal Experimentation (CONCEA) guidelines, which conforms to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Brazil in 2013), as well as the NRC Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 8th ed.

Male Wistar rats (300–400 g and approximately 90 days of age) were maintained at 21 ± 3 °C, 12 h:12 h light/dark cycle, and free access to water and standard chow. The rats were submitted to intraperitoneal administration of a single dose of paraquat (20 mg/kg body weight, n = 15; paraquat group, PQ) or vehicle (0.9% NaCl, 1 ml/kg body weight, n = 8; control group, C). Part of the PQ group (n = 7) received 1 mmol/L 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (tempol). This free radical scavenger (Ahmed et al. 2014, Youn et al. 2016) was administrated in drinking water for 7 consecutive days before paraquat administration (n = 7; paraquat + tempol group, PQ+T). The rats were randomly distributed in the experimental groups by their sequential distribution. There was no exclusion of animals during the experiment. We chose the dose of paraquat according to a previous study, in which the authors demonstrated that administering this dose induces acute kidney injury and elevation of oxidative stress markers (Tan et al. 2015). The chosen protocol of tempol administration (dose, time, and route) can upregulate antioxidant enzymes and prevent the elevation of oxidative stress induced by kidney injury in rodents (Yoon et al. 2014). For at least one week before paraquat or 0.9% NaCl administration, the rats were acclimated for three consecutive 24-hour periods in metabolic cages. Immediately after paraquat or 0.9% NaCl administration, all animals were placed in individual metabolic cages for 24 h and then submitted to systolic blood pressure (SBP) evaluation. Finally, the rats were anesthetized (ketamine and xylazine; 80 and 10 mg/kg of body weight, respectively, ip) and submitted to a blood sample withdrawn from the abdominal aorta. The kidneys and one liver sample were collected, snap-frozen in liquid N₂, and maintained at −80 °C.

General metabolic and renal function data, and systolic blood pressure

Water and diet intake were also evaluated in the rats housed in metabolic cages, and 24-hour urine samples were collected. Serum and urine creatinine concentrations were measured using a commercial colorimetric kit (Creatinine K, ref: 96; Labtest, Lagoa Santa, Brazil). We also measured serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) activities in plasma using a commercial colorimetric kit (ALT Liquiform, ref: 109; and AST Liquiform, ref: 108, Labtest), and plasma and urinary protein concentration using the Folin-phenol method (Lowry et al. 1951).

SBP and heart rate (HR) were measured noninvasively in conscious rats using tail-cuff plethysmography (IITC Life Science B60-7/16, Life Science Instruments, Woodland Hills, CA, USA).
The animals were trained for 3 consecutive days in experimental procedures of plethysmography, as described elsewhere (Vieira-Filho et al. 2014).

**Lipid peroxidation**

Renal (cortex and medulla) and liver samples were homogenized in an ice-cold solution containing 150 mmol/L KCl and 3 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to evaluate lipid peroxidation. Lipid peroxidation was assessed by measuring thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) in tissue homogenates, according to the method of Ohkawa et al. (1979). The TBARS levels were corrected by the protein content of the sample, measured by the Folin-phenol method (Lowry et al. 1951).

**In situ levels of reactive O₂⁻ species**

ROS levels were evaluated in the renal cortex corticis and medulla using the fluorescent superoxide probe dihydroethidium (DHE; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA). The cortex corticis was isolated as described by Vieyra et al. (1986). The outermost renal cortex area is where more than 95% of the cell population corresponds to proximal tubule cells (Whittembury & Proverbio 1970). After euthanasia, 3-mm thick renal sections were snap-frozen embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Sakura Finetek, Terrance, CA, USA) and maintained at -80°C. Next, 5-µm slices were incubated in 100 mmol/L diethylene thiamine-pentaacetic acid (DTPA) solution (in 100 mmol/L phosphate-buffered saline/PBS at pH 7.4) for 30 min and subsequently incubated in 5 µmol/L DHE (in PBS). Finally, the slices were mounted. DHE fluorescence was quantified using fluorescence microscopy (Eclipse Ni-U microscope coupled to camera DS-i, Nikon, Shanghai, China) at 530–560 nm excitation and 590–650 nm emission. The results of each rat were an average fluorescence intensity of 10 cortical and software (version 4.5.1, Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA).

**Superoxide anion (O₂⁻) formation and NADPH oxidase activity**

Renal superoxide anion (O₂⁻) formation and NADPH oxidase activity were assessed by lucigenin-derived chemiluminescence according to the method previously described (Lima et al. 2021). The cortex corticis and medulla samples were separated and homogenized in an ice-cold solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (2 mM AEBSF, 1 mM EDTA, 130 µM bestatin plus 14 µM E-64 (proteases inhibitors), 1 µM leupeptin, 0.3 µM aprotinin). The samples were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 12 min at 4 °C, and an aliquot of the supernatant to give a final protein concentration of 1 mg/ml was pre-incubated at 37°C in a reaction medium containing 20 mM PBS and 100 µM NADPH. Then, lucigenin was added (10 μM), and luminescence was evaluated in 10 one-second measurements at 30 s intervals at 37 °C (Varioskan Flash, Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK). The final result was presented as the sum of the luminescence obtained in 10 measurements and expressed as the relative light units (RLU) corrected by sample protein. The luminescence evaluated in the absence of NADPH was representative of basal O₂⁻ production.

**Renal Na⁺-transporting ATPase activities**

According to those described elsewhere, the activity of Na⁺-transporting ATPases was quantified in homogenates from the cortex corticis and medulla (Vieira-Filho et al. 2014). Samples were homogenized in an isotonic solution containing 250 mM sucrose, 10 mM Hepes-Tris (pH 7.4), 2 mM EDTA, and 0.15 mg/mL.
trypsin inhibitor in an ice bath. The ouabain-sensitive (Na\(^{+}\)+K\(^{+}\))ATPase and the ouabain-resistant Na\(^{+}\)-ATPase activities were quantified by measuring the ATP hydrolysis sensitive to ouabain and furosemide, respectively.

**SDS-PAGE and Western blotting**

The abundance of renal cortical proteins was measured by immunoblotting, as previously described (Vieira-Filho et al. 2014). The protein samples (80 μg) were separated by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (G&E Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). The non-specific binding sites of the membranes were blocked using 5% BSA or 5% non-fat milk solution, which were incubated overnight (4 °C) with the primary antibody (diluted in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween, TBS-T) to the target protein. After washing with TBS-T, the membranes were incubated with the peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody and then exposed to a peroxidase-sensitive chemiluminescent reagent (G&E Healthcare). The blots were visualized using an image acquisition system (Chemidoc MP, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

The primary antibodies and their dilutions were: anti-TNF-α, 1:200 (IM-0406, Imuny Biotechnology, Campinas, Brazil); anti-interleukin 6, 1:200 (IM-0407, Imuny Biotechnology); anti-(pro)renin/renin, 1:500 (sc-133145, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); anti-ACE1, 1:100 (sc-23908, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); Anti-ACE2, 1:1000 (ab108252, Abcam, Cambridge, UK); anti-AT\(_1\)R, 1:500 (sc-57036, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-angiotensin II-type 2 receptor (AT\(_2\)R), 1:250 (sc-9040, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-angiotensinogen, 1:500 (NBP1-30027, Novus Biologicals, Centennial, CO); anti-PKCα, 1:500 (sc-208, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-PKCε, 1:500 (sc-214, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-PKCζ, 1:500 (sc-216, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-PKCA, 1:250 (sc-1091, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-PKA, 1:500 (sc-903, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-(Na\(^{+}\)+K\(^{+}\))ATPase (α1 subunit), 1:1000 (A276, Sigma Aldrich); anti-β-actin, 1:5000 (sc-47778, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-β-actin, 1:1000 (A5441, Sigma Aldrich). The molecular markers were provided by Sigma-Aldrich (GERPN800E) and Bio-Rad (161-0375). The original blots with the molecular markers are presented as supplementary figures (Fig. S1–Fig. S13).

**Statistical analysis**

The results are presented as mean ± SEM. First, the Shapiro-Wilk normality test evaluated whether the distribution of each parameter data was normal. Then, considering the Gaussian data distribution, the differences between groups was analysed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test. Differences were considered significant at p<0.05. The statistical analysis and graph drawing were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 software (version 6.01, GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

**RESULTS**

**General metabolic data**

After 24 h of paraquat administration, the rats showed a pronounced body weight loss, not observed in the tempol-treated group (Table I). Paraquat administration also led to decreased food (65%) and water (30%) intake, as well as increased diuresis (100%) and serum protein concentration (10%). Tempol treatment also prevented paraquat-induced changes in food intake, diuresis, and serum protein levels.

**Renal and hepatic injury markers, systolic arterial pressure, and heart rate**

Rats treated with paraquat presented with higher levels of serum creatinine (45%), AST (90%), and ALT (40%), as well as lower (50%) creatinine
clearance than the control group (Table I). Rats treated with paraquat plus tempol also presented higher serum creatinine and lower creatinine clearance than the control group; however, AST and ALT were utterly normalized. Proteinuria was not changed by paraquat administration or tempol treatment.

The SBP and heart rate were higher in rats submitted to paraquat administration than in the control group, while these changes were not observed in tempol-treated rats. We measured the SBP and heart rate values before paraquat (135 ± 1 mmHg; 409 ± 7 bpm) and paraquat plus tempol administrations (134 ± 1 mmHg; 406 ± 10 bpm). These values were compared with those recorded in the control rats at the same time (135 ± 3 mmHg; 413 ± 11 bpm) using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, and no difference was encountered among the three groups.

Renal and hepatic oxidative stress
Paraquat administration increased lipid peroxidation by more than 75% in the renal cortex corticis, renal medulla, and liver, while tempol treatment prevented these changes completely (Figure 1). Paraquat administration also induced the elevation of tissular reactive O$_2^–$ species (Figure 2) and O$_2^-•$ formation (Figure 3a) in the cortex corticis and medulla. There were no differences between the C and PQ+T groups.

NADPH oxidase activity was also higher in the cortex corticis and medulla of paraquat-treated rats than in the control group (Figure 3b). In the cortex, paraquat increased NADPH oxidase activity by 100%, while the elevation was higher than 40% in the medulla. NADPH oxidase activity was not different between the PQ+T and C groups.

**Effects of paraquat and tempol on renal Na$^+$-transporting ATPases**
(Na$^+$+K$^+$)ATPase activity was 70% higher in cortex corticis from paraquat-treated rats than in the C group, while it was 40% lower in the medulla (Figure 4a). (Na$^+$+K$^+$)ATPase activity of the PQ+T group was not different from the C group in both
renal regions. Albeit paraquat promoted changes in (Na⁺+K⁺)ATPase activity, the ROS-generating agent did not alter the protein content of the α₁ subunit of the enzyme in renal tissue (data not shown).

Paraquat also induced opposite changes in Na⁺-ATPase activity (Figure 4b) depending on the tissue region: in the cortex corticis, the Na⁺-ATPase activity was 50% lower than in C rats, while it was 50% higher in the medulla. These changes were prevented by tempol treatment.

Inflammatory markers IL-6 and TNF-α in the renal cortex
To verify whether paraquat provoked renal pro-inflammatory effects, especially in the cortex corticis, we evaluated the renal content of IL-6 and TNF-α (Figure 5). IL-6 levels increased by 55% in PQ rats with respect to the C group, and TNF-α was 100% higher in the PQ compared to C rats. The administration of tempol did not prevent the augment of these inflammatory cytokines.

Intrarenal RAAS expression
The cortex corticis protein content of the RAAS components is depicted in Figure 6. Protein levels of angiotensinogen, prorenin, renin, isoform 1 of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE1), and isoform 2 of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE2) were similar among the experimental groups (Figure 6a−e, h). On the other hand, the renal content of angiotensin II-type 1 receptor (AT₁R) was 100% higher in rats treated with paraquat than in control rats (Figure 6f, h). Moreover, the AT₁R abundance did not differ between the tempol-treated and control groups. On the other hand, the abundance of angiotensin II-type 2 receptor (AT₂R) was not affected by paraquat administration; however, it increased by 100% with tempol treatment in rats that received paraquat (Figure 6g, h).

Protein kinase C and protein kinase A abundance
Since protein kinase C (PKC) and protein kinase A (PKA) are key components of the signaling pathways by which RAAS modulates the renal Na⁺-transporting ATPases, the protein content of these intracellular mediators was evaluated (Figure 7). Paraquat did not affect the protein levels of any of the evaluated PKC isoforms, nor did it affect the PKA α-catalytic subunit levels. Nevertheless, paraquat rats treated with tempol presented nearly 50% higher renal cortical content of the PKC isoforms α and ε than control and paraquat-treated rats.
Figure 2. In situ evaluation of reactive O$_2$ species levels in renal tissue 24 h after paraquat administration. (Panel a) Representative images of dihydroethidium (DHE) fluorescence in cortex corticis and medulla. (Panel b) Relative DHE fluorescence evaluated in the cortex. (Panel c) Relative DHE fluorescence evaluated in the medulla. C: control rats; PQ: rats treated with paraquat; PQ+T: rats that received paraquat and that were previously treated with tempol. The results are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 4–5). *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 vs. C; †P<0.05 vs. PQ (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test).

Figure 3. Basal O$_2$•– production (a) and NADPH oxidase-stimulated O$_2$•– formation (b). The assays were performed using cortex corticis and medulla 24 h after paraquat administration. C: control rats; PQ: rats treated with paraquat; PQ+T: rats treated with paraquat that previously received tempol. RLU = relative light units. The results are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 5–8). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001 vs. C; †p<0.05, ††p<0.05, and †††p<0.001 vs. PQ (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test).

Figure 4. Na$^+$-transporting ATPases in cortex corticis and medulla. The ouabain-sensitive (Na$^+$+K$^+$)ATPase (a) and the ouabain-resistant furosemide-sensitive Na$^+$-ATPase (b) were measured in renal tissue 24 h after paraquat administration. C: control rats; PQ: rats treated with paraquat; PQ+T: rats treated with paraquat that previously received tempol. P$_i$ = inorganic phosphate. The results are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 7–8 different membrane preparations). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001 vs. C; †P<0.01 and ††P<0.001 vs. PQ (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test).
DISCUSSION

In the present study, we demonstrated that the elevation of renal and hepatic markers of injury in paraquat-treated rats occurred in parallel with a substantial increase in O$_2^•$− formation (Figure 2) and lipid peroxidation (Figure 1), which could affect the lipid membrane moiety and membrane transporters in the kidney, especially in the cortex. Notably, the elevation of lipid peroxidation was more prominent in the renal cortex corticis than in the medulla (110% vs. 70%). This difference could be due to paraquat’s more significant impact on the elevation of basal O$_2^•$− production and NADPH oxidase activity in the renal cortex (Figure 3). Regarding the effect of tempol in the RAAS of rats that then received paraquat, the central and novel result is the upregulation of the AT,R-associated pathway (Figure 6g), indicating that activation of this branch could antagonize the...
deleterious signaling starting at the level of upregulated AT\(_1\)R (Figure 6f).

Paraquat intoxication induces tubular injury characterized by tubular degeneration, renal vessel obstruction, and leukocyte infiltration (Mølck & Friis 1997). In the present study, the kidney inefficiency to avoid excessive fluid excretion could reflect tubular damage. A case study with two patients poisoned by paraquat reported that fractional Na\(^+\) excretion was 40% higher than the filtered load (Vaziri et al. 1979). The unique cortex corticis vulnerability to paraquat-induced oxidative stress would be explained by several factors (Chan et al. 1996). After intoxication, the cortical concentration of paraquat increases because it exists as a divalent organic cation, actively secreted by proximal tubule cells using the polyvalent organic cation transport protein (Mølck & Friis 1997). Besides, the renal cortex has elevated blood flow and an intense oxidative metabolism (George et al. 2017), potentiating the paraquat-induced ROS formation.

In the medulla, the high rate of O\(_2\) consumption of the thick ascending limb cells (Eveloff et al. 1981) would be crucial for an O\(_2\)\(^{**}\)-induced damage after paraquat administration; this would affect the Na\(^+\) transporters involved in the hypertonicity of the interstitium (Rocha & Kokko 1973) and urine concentration. Possibly, the increased production of ROS and lipid peroxidation in paraquat-intoxicated rats that provoked inhibition of the medullary (Na\(^+\)+K\(^+\)) ATPase are the central mechanisms of the copious urinary flux that we observed. The increased activity of the enzyme in proximal tubules possibly represents a compensatory process, facing the depletion of the liquid compartments by intense diuresis and depressed water intake. Increased activity of the RAS, as revealed by the upregulation of AT\(_1\)R levels, likely contributed to sustaining the production of O\(_2\)\(^{**}\) due to activation of the AT\(_1\)R-mediated oxidative stress/angiotensinogen/RAS axis in the kidney (Vallès et al. 2020). As part of a vicious cycle, oxidative damage in the kidney could stimulate ROS
production via the sympathetic nervous system (Cao et al. 2017).

Paraquat induces cellular and tissue damage by compromising cellular redox cycles and increasing ROS production in the kidney (Figure 2). The idea that the intense tissue damage provoked by paraquat relies on the elevated production of ROS receives support from the observation that the elevation of renal and hepatic markers of injury occurred parallel to the increased lipid peroxidation (Figure 1). Several studies show that antioxidant treatments benefit by alleviating paraquat-induced damage and promoting recovery (Han et al. 2014, Wei et al. 2014, Tan et al. 2015). In the present study, we demonstrated that tempol improved many parameters related to kidney function, such as (i) diuresis (Table I), (ii) tubular Na⁺-transporting ATPases (Figure 4), and (iii) AT₁R levels (Figure 6). The normalization of the AT₁R implies the normalization of the above-mentioned AT₁R-mediated oxidative stress/angiotensinogen/RAAS axis.

Though the tempol-mediated protective mechanism over paraquat effects possibly results from its antioxidant effects, tempol seems to present additional beneficial effects, which deserve special mention in the AT₁R and PKCε – the novel isoform of PKC (Steinberg 2008) – upregulation (Figures 6 and 7). AT₁R upregulation may be linked to stimulating anti-inflammatory and antioxidative pathways (Arroja et al. 2016), and the observations of Figure 6 give support to the proposal that activation of the AT₁R-mediated signaling pathway by tempol underpins – at least in part – the cellular and molecular protection against the deleterious actions of paraquat in kidney elicited by upregulation of the AT₁R-associated pathway. Furthermore, the tempol-induced upregulation of PKCε, associated with protective responses against renal injury (Meier et al. 2007), could be evidence that the antioxidant response could be mediated through phosphorylations catalyzed by this kinase. The fact that tempol did not normalize the creatinine clearance (Table I) favors the idea that other mechanisms of damage not linked to O₂⁻ formation are involved in the lesions that paraquat provokes in renal tissue, especially in glomeruli, where creatinine depuration occurs.

Although there is evidence that antioxidant treatments have anti-inflammatory effects (Tan et al. 2015), tempol did not prevent the elevation of renal content of TNF-α and IL-6 induced by the ROS-generating agent (Figure 5). This observation allows one to propose another mechanism for paraquat toxicity besides oxidative stress and explain the inability of tempol to prevent the elevation of serum creatinine levels (Table I), a marker of the renal lesion. Possibly, the paraquat effects on muscle metabolism (Mohamed et al. 2015) are insensitive to tempol, and the absence of tempol effects on pro-inflammatory
mediators indicates partial protection of renal function under challenge by paraquat. Thus, at least in part, paraquat induces renal injury by independent oxidative mechanisms (Huang et al. 2019). Paraquat could activate NF-kB signaling and, therefore, the production of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-6 in a ROS-independent manner, as observed in other pathologies (Bylund et al. 2007, Liu et al. 2016). Moreover, since plasma creatinine concentration and creatinine clearance remain abnormally modified in tempol-treated rats, it is likely that the pro-inflammatory cytokines formed by the ROS-independent signaling pathway provoke podocyte damage together with proximal tubular dysfunction (Mølck & Friis 1997, Milas et al. 2020). Support this proposal the elevated rate of paraquat uptake in proximal tubule cells (Chan et al. 1996).

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the ROS-generating agent paraquat led to a significant urinary fluid loss that may be consequent to impaired Na⁺ reabsorption in medullary regions, which is the critical mechanism for urine concentration, thus becoming a risk factor for vascular collapse and early death. Tubular damage in the proximal tubules due to intense lipid peroxidation could contribute to accentuated fluid loss. The upregulation of pro-hypertensive RAS components linked to the AT₁R signaling pathway may exacerbate renal injury. In a recent study (Lima et al. 2021), we demonstrated that increased NADPH oxidase activity and lipid peroxidation are central in renal tubular lesions, upregulation of cortical (Na⁺+K⁺)ATPase, and genesis of arterial hypertension after ischemia followed by reperfusion (I/R). Thus, we propose that paraquat poisoning shares, at least in part, the same molecular mechanisms encountered in I/R, the leading cause of AKI. Additionally, the stimulus of pro-inflammatory cytokines in a ROS-independent manner could worsen the paraquat-provoked renal lesions. Figure 8 shows and summarizes the main results of the present study at the renal level.

This study presents some limitations that need to be addressed in the future. The study focuses on juvenile rats (Agoston 2017), and the effect of paraquat would be different immediately after weaning or in very old rats. In addition, other antioxidant compounds need to be tested besides tempol, a superoxide dismutase enzyme mimic (Bernardy et al. 2017). For example, vitamins C and E ameliorate the toxicity of pesticides and herbicides in the liver, kidney, and testis (Magdy et al. 2016). Finally, our study focused on paraquat-induced renal damage and, possibly, other organs, such as the heart and liver, could be affected in a systemic paraquat-provoked hepatocardiorenal syndrome (Kazory & Ronco 2019, Crisóstomo et al. 2022).
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