7 – ORIGINAL ARTICLE WOUND HEALING

Diclofenac topical gel in excisional wounds maintain heal quality and reduce phlogistic signals¹

Felipe Lobato da Silva Costa¹, Laila Deprá Tiussi¹¹, Mayara Silva Nascimento¹¹, Antonio Carlos de Souza Corrêa¹¹, Edson Yuzur Yasojima¹¹¹, Carla Andréa Avelar Pires^{1V}

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-86502014000500007

¹Graduate student, School of Medicine, Para State University (UEPA), Belem-PA, Brazil. Conception and design of the study, manuscript writing, English language.

^{II}Graduate student, School of Medicine, UEPA, Belem-PA, Brazil. Grants from Institutional Program for Scientific Initiation (PIBIC), Amazon Foundation for Research Funding of Para State (FAPESPA). Acquisition and interpretation of data.

^{III}PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, UEPA, Belem-PA, Brazil. Intellectual and scientific content of the study, critical revision.

^{IV}PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Dermatology, School of Medicine, UEPA, Belem-PA, Brazil. Conception, design, intellectual and scientific content of the study, critical revision.

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To investigate diclofenac topical gel as an alternative to reduce phlogistic signals and maintain quality of wound repair.

METHODS: Fifteen Wistar rats were used in this study; four excisional wounds were performed on the dorsum of each animal. Once in a day, cranial wounds received topical diclofenac gel administration and caudal wounds were washed with isotonic saline. After seven, 14 and 21 postoperative days, five animals were randomly chosen for macroscopic and microscopic wound analysis.

RESULTS: On the 7th day: diclofenac wounds showed significant higher scab formation, however showed less phlogistic signal; diclofenac wounds had larger area and had less neutrophil invasion. On the 14th day: No area difference was noted and diclofenac wounds showed less hyperemia and phlogistic signals; diclofenac wounds showed greater keratinocytes invasion. On the 21st day: Almost all wounds were closed and there were no difference regarding the type of scar formation; diclofenac wounds showed greater monocytes invasion and lower angiogenesis level. No difference was noted in any postoperative day regarding fibroblast invasion, collagen deposit quantity and quality.

CONCLUSION: Diclofenac topical gel is capable of reducing phlogistic signals and do not cause fibroblast or keratinocyte downregulation thus do not lead to excisional wound healing impairment.

Key words: Wound Healing. Regeneration. Cicatrix. Diclofenac. Rats.

Introduction

Skin wounds have a unique impact on patient quality of life due to discomfort, bad odor, secretion and fear of pain¹. When wound repair process is unsatisfactory there is unpleasant scar tissue formation and it might cause harm to body image. In addition, the disease can cause major socioeconomic problems such as absenteeism and even early retirement².

Wounds are loss of skin continuity and can be classified as acute, that usually lead to regular healing; and chronic that often fail to reestablish skin continuity and function³. Wound healing is a dynamic and complex cascade, which include several chemical mediators, growth factors, diverse cell types and extracellular matrix substances⁴.

Repair is basically a replacement by connective tissue, which in the permanent state constitutes a scar⁵. It could be dismembered in 5 overlapping phases: coagulation; inflammation; proliferation; wound contraction and remodeling⁶.

Several conditions can interfere in wound healing process: comorbidities such as diabetes, systemic infection; anemia; local infection and necrosis; age; stress; systemic medications. It is notable that healing cascade can be easily deviated from its regular pathway, so it is necessary proper management for open wounds treatment⁷.

Diclofenac is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) that inhibits cyclooxygenases, leading to less formation of prostaglandins that cause inflammation and pain⁸. Diclofenac systemic therapy is commonly used following to hemorrhoidectomy⁹, episiotomy¹⁰, oral surgery¹¹, laparoscopic surgery¹² for perioperative pain control.

Despite the increasingly use, the effects of systemic diclofenac for perioperative pain are still controversial; several experimental and clinical studies demonstrated that it might be harmful, leading to a poor intestinal anastomosis outcome¹³, delayed tibia fracture healing and can jeopardize other surgical procedures where early tissue healing is crucial¹⁴.

Experimental studies using rats showed that pre and postoperative systemic diclofenac administration reduces collagen fibers synthesis¹⁵ and inhibits fibroblasts, leading to a poor wound healing¹⁶. However, other studies did not report such effect, finding that systemic postoperative diclofenac administration do not interfere on dogs skin incisional wound healing process¹⁷. Recently, studies using rats also reported that systemic short term use of diclofenac for postoperative pain can cause down regulation of fibroblasts; however, do not interfere significantly on healing process¹⁶.

NSAID are increasingly used for perioperative pain relief, while their potential effects on wound healing are scarcely investigated¹⁷. Diclofenac topical administration might be an alternative for avoiding flushing, pain and discomfort in wound area, without having the complications of systemic administration. Moreover topical diclofenac effect in excisional wound healing remains unknown. Thus, diclofenac topical gel was investigated as an alternative to reduce phlogistic signals and maintain quality of wound repair.

Methods

The project was previously approved by the Animal use and care committee at the Para State University (protocol 01/13). Fifteen (8-10 weeks) male Wistar rats, weighting 250-300g were used in this study. The animals were kept in a *vivarium* of the Experimental Surgery Laboratory at the Para State University (LCE-UEPA) with a controlled temperature, light, humidity, and noise; water and food was provided *ad libitum*. The research followed the rules of Brazilian National Law for Animal Care (Law: 11.794/08).

Experimental protocol

The experimental protocol is illustrated in Figure 1.





This study is comprised by one group of study, where each animal is its own control:

Wound Repair group (WR): Four spherical wounds were performed on the dorsum of all animals, two each side of median line. In the cranial wounds was done topical diclofenac gel (11.6mg/g) administration and caudal wounds were only washed with saline isotonic solution (NaCl 0.9%).

Animals were then randomly assigned into three subgroups (N= 5 each): Wound repair seven days (WR7), Wound repair 14 days (WR14) and Wound repair 21 days (WR21) according to the time elapsed from surgery to animal euthanasia.

Surgical procedures

All surgical procedures were performed under anesthesia (ketamine hydrochloride and xylazine hydrochloride 60 mg/kg and 6 mg/kg, respectively, i.p.). Dorsal region was shaved using an electric shaver and then antisepsis of region was done.

Excisional wounds were performed using a metallic punch of 4 mm diameter, reaching skin and subcutaneous tissue. Cranial wounds were 3 cm distant from caudal wounds. Diclofenac and saline solution were applied everyday and once in a day until euthanasia. Physical restraint was adopted in order to apply the substances. During postoperative days, animals remained in individual cages and isolated from their bedding through a metallic net to avoid wound infection.

Animal euthanasia was achieved by lethal anesthetic doses.

Analyzed parameters

Gross wound analysis

Before euthanasia, wounds were analyzed for its visual appearance regarding to scab formation, phlogistic signals and scar formation.

Wound area

After euthanasia, rats were positioned and pictures of wounds were taken to measure wound area using the software Image $J^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Histological analysis

After euthanasia, wounds were harvested, fixed in 10% formaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and stained using Hematoxyline-Eosine (HE) and PicroSirius-red (PS). In HE stained tissues, multiple sections were analyzed with regard to the presence of neutrophils, monocytes, angiogenesis, fibroblasts, collagen deposit and keratinocytes. These assessments were evaluated by a pathologist into: absent (score 0), mild (score 1), moderate (score 2), intense (score 3)¹⁸. In PS stained tissues, proportion of type I and III collagen fibers was measured.

Statistics

Analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey post-

hoc test correction, was performed for the area analysis and proportion of collagen fibers. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for the histological parameters. Statistical significance was assumed at p < 0.05.

Results

Regarding to wound area analysis, our results showed that WR7 had difference between diclofenac wound area and saline solution wound area; other comparisons were not significant (Figure 2).





Gross wound analysis showed significant higher scab formation in diclofenac wounds at seven days; however showed less phlogistic signal (Figure 3).



FIGURE 3 - Examples of wounds treated with diclofenac or saline within postoperative days.

At 14 days, wounds showed no difference regarding scab formation; however diclofenac wounds showed less hyperemia and phlogistic signals.

When analyzing the consolidated scar, no difference was noted; diclofenac and saline solution induced to either hypertrophic, hypotrophic and normotrophic scars.

Neutrophils measurement showed difference only between WR7 wounds; other comparisons were not significant (Figure 4).





Monocytes measurement showed difference only at WR21 wounds; other comparisons were not significant (Figure 5).



Angiogenesis was significantly higher on saline WR21 wounds; other comparisons were not significant (Figure 6).



Fibroblast measurement showed similarity between diclofenac and saline treated wounds in all analyzed periods. Collagen fibers deposit showed very similar pattern (Figure 7).



Regarding keratinocytes migration, WR14 wounds treated by diclofenac showed higher keratinocytes presence in wound area (Figure 8).



p<0.05.

There was no difference regarding the proportion of collagen fibers type I and III in all studied periods. Collagen fibers type I was significantly higher in WR21 wounds when comparing to WR7 wounds (Figure 9).



FIGURE 9 - Proportion of collagen fibers type I and III within days. ANOVA p>0.05.

Discussion

Diclofenac has shown great ability to reduce perioperative pain having morphine-sparing effects, so its use should not be ignored9. For skin wounds it is feasible to use diclofenac topical administration, having the potential ability to reduce perioperative pain, since diclofenac has an obvious anti-inflammatory effect as an external preparation, without having systemic complications¹⁹. Wound healing process can be monitored by assessing the rate of contraction of the wound, period of reepithelialization and histopathology.

The macroscopic analysis showed that diclofenac topical gel causes greater scab formation and reduces phlogistic signals during the first postoperative week. Less phlogistic signals were also seen in diclofenac treated wounds during the second postoperative week and no difference in scar tissue formation was seen on the 21st postoperative day. This fact demonstrates the anti-inflammatory ability of diclofenac topical gel in reducing phlogistic symptoms without causing abnormal scar tissue formation; although there is unpleasant greater scab formation during the early phase of wound healing process.

Area analysis showed delayed wound contraction in the first postoperative week when topical diclofenac gel was used. However in the second postoperative week wound area was similar in wounds treated by diclofenac or saline; and on the 21st postoperative day most of wounds were closed, showing that diclofenac did not delay excisional wound heal. From this data we can obviously conclude that diclofenac significantly interfere on wound healing process during the first postoperative week.

Diclofenac anti-proliferative effects in fibroblasts^{16,20} and keratinocytes²⁰ were previously described in experimental studies that evaluated systemic diclofenac effect in wound healing. However that effect is unlikely to be an explanation for delayed wound contraction induced by diclofenac in this research, since it happened in an early phase.

The histopathology assessment showed that diclofenac reduced neutrophil migration to wound area only in the first postoperative week. It might be the reason why there was delayed wound contraction in the 7th postoperative day, since neutrophils act removing foreign bodies and devitalized tissue, promoting early debridement. Lack of neutrophils during the early phase of wound healing can cause a prolonged inflammatory step⁷.

Both saline and diclofenac attracted monocytes (macrophages and lymphocytes) to wound area. These cells continue to "clean" the wound and their presence is related to the quantity of foreign bodies in wound area; they also release cytokines that attract fibroblast and endothelial cells.²⁸ Was detected that diclofenac treated wounds had more monocytes on the 21th postoperative day, suggesting that diclofenac topical gel administration induce to more foreign bodies presence, which could be explained for the inactive ingredients in diclofenac topical gel formula.

After wound cleaning functions, there is increased angiogenesis, collagen deposit and reepithelialization, leading to the final steps of wound healing.⁷ Angiogenesis is a very important process, since the recently formed blood vessels are responsible for delivering nutrients to wound area and also enables fibroblast and other cell types to reach wound region⁷.

The lower angiogenesis level found in diclofenac treated wounds in the 21th postoperative day, possibly reflect that there was low demand for new blood vessels since the wound healing process was satisfactorily accomplished. On the other hand, saline

treated wounds potentially were in need of nutrients income and toxins washout.

During the physiological process of healing, collagen accumulates in the area of the wound until the 21st day after the injury; after this period, the balance between synthesis and degradation of collagen is restored, with a rapid disappearance (apoptosis) of fibroblastic cells.⁶ Fibroblasts level increased within postoperative days; however was not detected any dissimilarity concerning fibroblast presence in wound area when saline or diclofenac was used. Since collagen deposit is directly related to fibroblastic cells action, there was also no difference regarding collagen deposit on wound area.

The fibroblast similar level finding is unique, since previous studies demonstrated that systemic diclofenac can cause fibroblast downregulation, being a serious wound healing impairment factor^{16,20} This fact stands pronounced importance for diclofenac topical gel use in skin wounds as it can potentially reduce phlogistic symptoms and might not cause fibroblast downregulation, leading to a regular wound healing progression.

Synthesis and degradation of collagen is an important process, where collagen fibers type III are constantly being replaced for collagen fibers type I. The latter has more quality and is directly related to wound contraction⁷. When analyzing the proportion of type I/type III collagens fibers, was seen that type I fibers increased within postoperative days; however no difference was observed between diclofenac and saline treated wounds, eliciting that diclofenac topical gel do not impairs quality of collagen deposit.

Reepithelialization is the step straight related to wound closure. Previous studies demonstrated that systemic diclofenac could cause downregulation of keratinocytes, leading to a slower reepithelialization process²⁰. The acquired data surprisingly showed that topical diclofenac gel led to keratinocytes up regulation on the 14th postoperative day and did not cause downregulation in the other analyzed periods, leading to early reepithelialization phase. This information also stimulates diclofenac topical gel use as potential drug that could reduce phlogistic signals without causing wound healing impairment.

Conclusion

Diclofenac topical gel is capable of reducing phlogistic signals and do not cause fibroblast or keratinocyte downregulation thus do not lead to excisional wound healing impairment.

References

- Brown BC, McKenna SP, Solomon M, Wilburn J, McGrouther DA, Bayat A. The patient-reported impact of scars measure: development and validation. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2010;125(5):1439-49.
- 2. Menck NB, Ward KR, Witten TM, Bochev DG, Diegelman RF. Impaired wound healing. Clin Dermatol. 2007;25(1):19-25.
- Roldan-Marín R, Contrera-Ruiz J, Arenas R, Vazquez-Del-Mercado E, Caire-Toussanit S, Memize-Veja ME. Fixed sporotrichosis as cause of a chronic ulcera in the knee. Int Wound J. 2009;6(1):63-6.
- Stroncek JD, Bel N, Reichert WN. Instructional PowerPoint presentation for cutaneous wound healing and tissue response to sutures. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2009;90(4):1230-8.
- Menetrey J, Kasemkijwattana C, Day CS, Bosch P, Vogt M, Fu FH, Moreland MS, Huad J. Growth Factor Improve Muscle Healing in Vivo. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2000;82(1):131-7.
- Clark RAF, Lanigan JM, Dellapelle P, Manseau E, Dvorak HF, Colvin RB. Fibronectin and fibrin provide a provisional matrix for epidermal cell migration during wound reepithelization. J Invest Dermatol. 1982;79(5):264-9.
- Enoch S, Leaper DJ. Basic science of wound healing. Surgery. 2008;26(2):31-7.
- Ku EC, Wasvary JM, Cash WD. Diclofenac sodium (GP 45840, Voltaren), a potent inhibitor of prostaglandin synthetase. Biochem Pharmacol. 1975;24(5):641-3.
- Rahimi M, Kazemeini AR, Pourtabatabaei N, Honarmand AR. Comparison of topical anesthetic cream (EMLA) and diclofenac suppository for pain relief after hemorrhoidectomy: a randomized clinical trial. Surg Today. 2012;42(12):1201-5.
- Altungül AC, Sapmaz E, Kale A. Comparison of diclofenac sodium with indomethacin suppositories for mediolateral episiotomies. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol. 2012;39(1):112-4.
- Simone JL, Jorge WA, Horliana AC, Canaval TG, Tortamano IP. Comparative analysis of preemptive analgesic effect of dexamethasone and diclofenac following third molar surgery. Braz Oral Res. 2013;27(3):266-71.
- Karabayirli S, Demircioğlu RI, Muslu B, Usta B, Sert H, Gözdemir M. The comparative effects of transdermal and intramuscular diclofenac on postlaparoscopic surgery pain. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2012;22(4):374-8.
- van der Vijver RJ, van Laarhoven CJ, Lomme RM, Hendriks T. Diclofenac causes more leakage than naproxen in anastomoses in the small intestine of the rat. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2013;28(9):1209-16.
- Beck A, Krischak G, Sorg T, Augat P, Farker K, Merkel U, Kinzl L, Claes L. Influence of diclofenac (group of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs) on fracture healing. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2003;123(7):327-32.
- Tognini JRF, Goldenberg S, Simões MJ, Sauer L, Melo RL, Ortiz PLA. Efeito do diclofenaco de sódio na cicatrização da parede abdominal de ratos. Acta Cir Bras. 1998;13(3):167-71.

- Krischak GD, Augat P, Claes L, Kinzl L, Beck A. The effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug application on incisional wound healing in rats. J Wound Care. 2007;16(2):76-8.
- Abubakara AA, Maiyea JA, Yakubua AS, Saidub B, Adamuc U, Sahabid SM. Comparative effect of diclofenac sodium and dexamethasone on incisional wound healing in dogs. Sci J Vet Adv. 2012;1(4) 94-100.
- Garros IC, Campos AC, Tambara EM, Tenorio SB, Torres OJ, Agulham MA. Extract from Passiflora edulis on the healing of open wounds in rats: morphometric and histological study. Acta Cir Bras. 2006;21(3):55-65.
- Kyuki K, Shibuya T, Tsurumi K, Fujimura H. Anti-inflammatory effect of diclofenac-sodium ointment (cream) in topical application. Jpn J Pharmacol. 1983;33(1):121-32.
- Harris KL, Bainbridge NJ, Jordan NR, Sharpe JR. The effect of topical analgesics on ex vivo skin growth and human keratinocyte and fibroblast behavior. Wound Repair Regen. 2009;17(3):340-6.

Acknowledgement

To PhD Robson Domingues, for sharing his laboratory equipment at Morphophysiology Laboratory of Para State University (UEPA).

Correspondence:

Carla Andréa Avelar Pires Rua Bernal do Couto, 1802. 66080-200 Belem – PA Brasil Tel.: (55 91)88262423 choque@lce.med.br carlaavelarpires@gmail.com

Received: Dec 17, 2013 Review: Feb 18, 2014 Accepted: March 19, 2014 Conflict of interest: none

Financial source: Amazon Foundation for Research Funding of Para State (FAPESPA).

¹Research performed at the Experimental Surgery Laboratory, Para State University (LCE-UEPA), Belem-PA, Brazil.