ABSTRACT: The product quality is a competitive advantage that plays a differential role among companies. In the food industry, which is based on the Quality Management System, such as Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), which cover the hygiene procedure, aiming at food safety. In view of the above, the aim of this study was to evaluate the hygienic-sanitary conditions of a selected dairy from the São Luís Island - MA. An application of the checklist was performed, swab collection from the hands of the manipulators and equipment and the collection of water and yogurt for microbiological analysis. After this step, a training was performed for food handlers and finally, new collections and microbiological analysis were performed. All the microbiological analysis performed were satisfactory, except for the water sample, one before and again for training. It can be verified that the hygienic-sanitary condition of the dairy was good. However, after a lecture and new microbiological analyzes, improvements were observed in the results.
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RESUMO: A qualidade dos produtos é uma vantagem competitiva que desempenha um papel diferencial entre empresas. Na indústria alimentar, faz-se necessária a adoção do Sistema de Gestão de Qualidade, como as Boas Práticas de Fabricação (BPF), que abrangem os procedimentos essenciais de higiene, visando à segurança alimentar. Diante do exposto, o objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar as condições higiênico-sanitárias de um laticínio selecionado da ilha de São Luís, Maranhão. Foi realizado um checklist, coleta por swabs das mãos dos manipuladores e análise microbiana de água e iogurte. Após esta etapa, foi realizado um treinamento para os manipuladores de alimentos e, por fim, novas coletas e análises microbianas foram realizadas. Todas as análises microbianas realizadas mostraram-se satisfatórias, com exceção da amostra de água, uma antes e outra após o treinamento. Pode-se constatar que a condição higiênico-sanitária do laticínio era boa. Contudo, após uma palestra e a realização de novas análises microbianas, foram observadas melhorias nos resultados.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: boas práticas de fabricação; qualidade microbiológica; segurança alimentar.
Industries are continuously advancing to improve the quality of food, but even in highly qualified establishments, food contamination has been observed, demonstrating that, even with all the care and strict controls in food production, there may be pathogenic contamination (TONDO; BARTZ, 2011).

Within the food industries, the concern with food safety is evident, since these foods may be exposed to several hazards, such as contaminants, natural components, substances generated or included during manufacture, and mainly to microorganisms (ANVISA, 2013).

Given the above, the objective here was to evaluate the hygienic-sanitary conditions of a dairy product produced on the Island of São Luís, Maranhão, through microbiological analysis.

The study was carried out in 2016 on the Island of São Luís, Maranhão, also known as Upaon-Açu. A survey for operative dairy products was carried out on the island and then, a dairy specialized in the production of fruit-flavored whole yogurt was selected, sold in packaging in the forms of bottles and “pacifiers”.

All techniques for preparing solutions, culture media, collection maneuvers, and microbiological analysis were performed in accordance with the Microbiological Food Analysis Methods Manual (SILVA et al., 2007).

After the first microbiological analysis of the samples, training was given to the handlers, which aimed to make them aware of the importance of following the requirements of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) to maintain the quality of dairy products.

Sample collection and microbiological analysis were carried out before and after training. Samples were collected during the production period in the industry and only the owner was aware of it, the handlers had no prior notice.

The surface smear technique was used, with swabs from the hands of the handlers working directly on the production line and the equipment used in the production process, in addition to collecting yogurt and water from various points of the site.

All samples were sent under refrigeration to the Food and Water Microbiology Laboratory at the State University of Maranhão (Universidade Estadual do Maranhão — UEMA), for the research of *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus* sp., total coliforms and thermotolerant coliforms.

For the analysis of water, the interpretation of the results was carried out in accordance with Ordinance 2.914, of December 12th, 2011, of the Ministry of Health, which provides for the control and surveillance procedures of the quality of water for human consumption and its potability standard (BRASIL, 2011). Table 1 expresses the results obtained in the analysis.

In the first collection, samples T1 and T3 were satisfactory, while sample T2 showed unsatisfactory hygienic quality, as it did not meet the microbiological standards in force in Ordinance 2.914/2011, presenting a value of 2.0 MLN/100 mL for total coliforms.

The water used came from a well and was treated because of the dairy. The result indicated that, at some point, there was a hygienic-sanitary failure. According to Ordinance 2.914/2011, when samples with positive results for total coliforms are detected, corrective actions must be taken. Therefore, it was suggested to clean or change the tap and chlorinate the water.

In the second collection, it was observed that the problem found in sample T2 in the first collection was solved, presenting an index lower than 1.0 MPN/100 mL, which characterizes the sample as satisfactory for being within the legal limits.

Thus, samples T2 and T3 were satisfactory. However, a new problem was observed in sample T1, where an index of 2.0 MLN/100 mL was found for total coliforms, classifying it as unsatisfactory.

For the analysis of the handlers’ hands, the interpretation of the results occurred in accordance with what is recommended by SILVA JÚNIOR (2002). Table 2 expresses the results obtained in the analysis.

All samples analyzed showed satisfactory hygienic-sanitary quality, as they met the proposed microbiological standards, despite variations in the values of total coliforms in samples M2 and M3 in the first collection. However, there is no recommended value for this parameter according to SILVA JÚNIOR (2002).

The results of the second collection show that the awareness work done in conjunction with the handlers was very useful, since all samples were free from contamination, showing that hand hygiene was being done correctly.

For the analysis of the surface equipment, the interpretation of results was performed according to the recommended by SILVA JÚNIOR (2002). Table 3 expresses the results obtained in the analysis.

### Table 1. Most likely number of total coliforms and *E. coli* from water analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Water samples</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN/mL of total coliforms</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN/mL of <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN/mL of total coliforms</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN/mL of <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 (absence)*1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 standard: <1.0; MLN/100 mL (absence) according to Ordinance 2.914, of December 12th, 2011 from the Ministry of Health; *2 T1: “pacifier” taps; *3 T2: internal sanitation tap; *4 T3: external sanitation tap; MLN: most likely number.
All samples analyzed showed satisfactory hygienic-sanitary quality, as they met the proposed microbiological standards, despite variations in the values of total coliforms. However, there is no recommended value for this according to SILVA JÚNIOR (2002). The variations in the values of total coliforms indicated that the cleaning of the surfaces was not occurring effectively, and more efficient cleaning measures should be implemented.

An increase was observed in total coliforms in the second collection. The increase in total coliforms in the sample S2 can be explained by relating it to the T1 sample of the water. Sample T1 presented above the allowed value for total coliforms. This water was spilled on the surface of the “pacifier” table, which was sample S2. Thus, contamination of the surface probably occurred from there.

For the analysis of yogurt, the interpretation of the results occurred according to the parameters recommended in RDC No. 12 (item 8. f, point b) of January 2nd, 2001 by ANVISA (ANVISA, 2013). Table 4 expresses the results obtained in the analysis.
The samples analyzed in the two collections showed satisfactory hygienic-sanitary quality, meeting the microbiological standards in force according to RDC No. 12/2001. The results are positive, as they point out that the yogurt is being produced in good hygienic conditions.

It was verified that the handlers had good hygienic conduct, dressed their uniforms correctly, and did not wear adornments. However, it was observed that one of the handlers had long nails, which is worrisome because, according to POTTER; PERRY (2013), large nails increase the number of bacteria residing in this area of the body.

According to the results verified in the dairy water samples, the T1 sample problem can be explained due to possible contamination in the tap by inadequate hygiene, since the dairy water source was the same for all taps. Again, cleaning or changing the tap and chlorination of the water was suggested, in addition to the need to repeat the analysis after adopting corrective measures.

The results of the MLN of Total Coliforms and *E. coli* and a survey of *Staphylococcus* sp. from the analysis of the hands of handlers before training were different from those found by PONATH et al. (2016), who, when analyzing the hands of food handlers in the Municipality of Ji-Paraná, Rondônia, found unsatisfactory rates for all microorganisms analyzed, including total coliforms and *Staphylococcus*.

Results of the microbiological analysis of the equipment surfaces were similar to those obtained by ZANON; PAGNAN (2012), who worked in a Food and Nutrition Unit (FNU) analyzing utensils and surfaces, and made collections before and after the beginning of activities. The results of all samples were satisfactory, both in the first and in the second collection.

NUNES et al. (2013), when analyzing 3 samples of yogurt from supermarkets, also proved the absence of total coliforms and thermotolerant coliforms.

The training provided to handlers and owners was an important step in the research, since this was the moment when the failures found in the facility were exposed, as well as their solutions, and information on the production of safe food, emphasizing the hygiene issue.

The efficiency that the elements passed on to the listeners was observed during the last visit and, mainly, through the results of the last microbiological analysis. The analysis of the hand samples was the most relevant result, as it was observed that there was no contamination of the samples collected after the lecture.

The microbiological analyses allowed to evaluate the hygienic-sanitary condition of the dairy. Most of the microbiological parameters were classified as satisfactory, although there were still points to be improved.

The training provided a positive contribution to the dairy community, expanding and renewing the knowledge of the handlers. In addition, it can be observed that in almost all samples that showed some kind of variation in the values in the first collection, they were absent in the second, just after the lecture.

Thus, there was an improvement in the quality and safety of the dairy products produced. However, it is necessary that continuous improvements are applied in this type of establishment, so that these industries achieve more prominence, expanding their market and ensuring total customer satisfaction.

**Table 4.** Most likely number of total coliforms and *E. coli* and research of *Staphylococcus* sp. in yogurt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Yogurt samples</th>
<th>11 *2</th>
<th>12 *3</th>
<th>13 *4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before training</td>
<td>MLN/cm² of total coliforms</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLN/cm² of thermotolerant coliforms</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em> sp. and coagulase positive <em>Staphylococcus</em> research</td>
<td>&lt;10 UFC/g and negative for coagulase testing</td>
<td>&lt;10 UFC/g and negative for coagulase testing</td>
<td>&lt;10 UFC/g and negative for coagulase testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After training</td>
<td>MLN/cm² of total coliforms</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLN/cm² of thermotolerant coliforms</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em> sp. and coagulase positive <em>Staphylococcus</em> research</td>
<td>&lt;10 UFC/g and negative for coagulase testing</td>
<td>&lt;10 UFC/g and negative for coagulase testing</td>
<td>&lt;10 UFC/g and negative for coagulase testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 standard: <3.0; MLN/g (absence) according to RDC No.12 (item 8.1, point b) of January 2nd, 2001 from ANVISA; *11: yogurt 1; *12: yogurt 2; *13: yogurt 3; MLN: most likely number.
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