Prospective studies on decompressive craniotomy for malignant MCA infarctions in Brazil: ready for prime time

Estudos prospectivos sobre craniotomia descompressiva para infartos malignos da artéria cerebral media no Brasil: esse é o momento

Dear Editors,

We read with special interest the article *Decompressive* craniotomy for the treatment of malignant infarction of the middle cerebral artery: mortality and outcome by Bongiorni et al. in the last issue of Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria¹. The authors' contribution to decompressive craniotomy (DC) for malignant middle cerebral artery stroke is significant and should be congratulated; however, important questions arise in the minds of those engaged in current stroke practice. The following points should be considered, to clarify findings and strengthen current and future evidences.

The main concern about this study is that the functional outcome assessment was limited due to the selected methodology. Since the modified Rankin Scale is a categorical/ordinal scale, measures of central tendency are seldom informative. The authors are encouraged to present their data in stacked bar chart distributions – which would provide greater power to detect the treatment effect along the whole scale range and could suggest shifts of classes². Also, a month is hardly enough to fully appraise the

functional benefits of DC. Therefore, they should also look for long-term assessments (i.e. 6-12 months) to facilitate comparisons with other published works.

Another puzzling question to the reader is: why so few patients in their institution received DC? The authors report on 20 procedures – which may be appropriate once local epidemiology is acknowledged. However, a quick inquiry in the TABNET database system disclosed that, from January 2008 to December 2014, a total of 3,753 acute stroke patients were admitted at that institution3. If those numbers hold true, they suggest that Brazilian patients might be less than half as likely to receive DC than their counterparts in the HeADDFIRST trial, a significant difference (eligibility rate 0.53% vs 1.35%; OR 0.39, 95%CI 0.23–0.64; p = 0.0002)⁴. Key to understanding this conundrum - and definitely more important than statistics - is why patients are being declined surgery. Only a dedicated prospective registry would disclose the reasons that are hampering many patients from benefiting from that wellestablished therapeutic option.

Lucas Scotta Cabral¹, Paulo Eloy Passos Filho²

References

- Bongiorni GT, Hockmuller MCJ, Klein C, Antunes ACM. Decompressive craniotomy for the treatmentof malignant infarction of the middle cerebralartery: mortality and outcome. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2017;75(7):424-8. https://doi.org/10.1590/0004-282X20170053
- Broderick JP, Adeyoe O, Elm J. Evolution of the Modified Rankin Scale and its use in future stroke trials. Stroke. 2017;48(7):2007-12. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.017866
- Ministério da Saúde (BR). Informações de Saúde (TABNET). Brasília, DF: Ministério da Saúde; 2017 [acess 2017 Aug 4]. Available from: www2.datasus.gov.br/DATASUS/index.php?area=0202
- Frank JI, Schumm LR, Wroblewski K, Chyatte D, Fosengart AJ, Kordeck C et al. Hemicraniectomy and durotomy upon deterioration from infarction-related swelling trial (HeADDFIRST): a randomized pilot clinical trial. Stroke. 2014;45(3):781-7. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003200

Correspondence: Lucas Scotta Cabral; Divisão de Neurologia do Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre; Rua Ramiro Barcelos, 2350; 90035-007 Porto Alegre RS, Brasil; E-mail: lscabral@hcpa.edu.br

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest to declare.

Received 07 August 2017; Accepted 08 August 2017



¹Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Neurorradiologia Intervencionista, Divisão de Neurologia, Porto Alegre RS, Brasil;

²Hospital Mão de Deus, Neurorradiologia Intervencionista, Divisão de Neurocirurgia, Porto Alegre RS, Brasil.