

# DIVERSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF ORIBATID MITES (ACARI:ORIBATIDA) IN A LOWLAND RAIN FOREST IN PERU AND IN SEVERAL ENVIRONMENTS OF THE BRAZILIANS STATES OF AMAZONAS, RONDÔNIA, RORAIMA AND PARÁ.

FRANKLIN, E., SANTOS, E. M. R. and ALBUQUERQUE, M. I. C.

Department of Entomology, National Institute for Amazon Research, INPA,  
CPEN, C. P. 478, CEP 69011-970, Manaus, AM, Brazil

Correspondence to: Elizabeth Franklin, INPA/CPEn, Avenida André Araújo, 2936, Petrópolis, C. P. 478, CEP 69011-970,  
Manaus, AM, Brasil, e-mail: beth@inpa.gov.br

Received March 9, 2005 – Accepted April 12, 2005 – Distributed November 1, 2006

## ABSTRACT

We are summarizing the current state of knowledge of the diversity and distribution of oribatid mites in 26 environments in northern Brazil and of a rain forest in Peru. The published studies were mostly concentrated in Central Amazon. Only one report is a result from an agricultural polyculture. We are providing the first lists of species for savannas and for the Brazilian states of Roraima and Pará. Up to date, 146 species are definitively identified from a total of 444 taxa with 188 known genera, reinforcing the notion of a rich biodiverse area. The high number of 298 non-described species (morphospecies) clearly shows the inadequacy of the current taxonomic knowledge for the region. Most of the registers are from forest environments. In the soil from primary forests, we registered the highest diversity (54-155 species/morphospecies). Eighty-nine species were unique to primary forests, followed by 34 for savannas, 32 in trees, 10 in “igapó”, 4 in caatinga, 3 in secondary forests, two in “várzea” and one in polyculture. Twenty genera were the most speciose. The species with the largest home ranges were *Rostrozetes foveolatus*, *Schelorbitates* sp. A, and *Galumna* sp. A. Our numbers reflect the lack of taxonomists and show that the taxonomic knowledge must be improved for the region or we will continue to work with taxonomic resolution of Order or Family and a high percentage of morphospecies, which will probably be appropriate to the question being asked in each study, but not for a comparison among environments.

*Keywords:* Acari Oribatida, biological diversity, Neotropical, soil mites, arboriphilous mites.

## RESUMO

### **Diversidade e distribuição de ácaros oribatídeos (Acari:Oribatida) de uma floresta de terra firme do Peru e de diversos ambientes nos Estados brasileiros do Amazonas, de Rondônia, de Roraima e do Pará**

Sumarizamos o estado atual de conhecimento da diversidade e distribuição de ácaros oribatídeos em 26 ambientes do Norte do Brasil e em uma floresta do Peru. Os estudos publicados estão concentrados na Amazônia Central. A maioria dos registros é proveniente de florestas. Desses, somente um é resultado de estudo efetuado em campos agrícolas (policultivo). Fornecemos a primeira lista de espécies para savanas e para os Estados brasileiros de Roraima e do Pará. Até hoje, 146 espécies estão definitivamente identificadas de um total de 444 de taxa, totalizando 188 gêneros conhecidos, reforçando a noção de área ricamente biodiversa. O alto número de 298 espécies não descritas (morfo-espécies) mostra claramente que o conhecimento atual da taxonomia na região ainda é inadequado. No solo de floresta primária, registramos a maior diversidade (54 – 155 espécies/morfo-espécies). Oitenta e nove espécies foram coletadas apenas em florestas primárias, seguidas por 34 em savanas, 32 em árvores, 10 em igapó, 4 em caatinga, 3 em

floresta secundária, duas em várzea e somente uma em policultivo. Vinte gêneros possuem o maior número de espécies. As espécies com maior amplitude de habitat foram *Rostrozetes foveolatus*, *Scheloribates* sp. A, e *Galumna* sp. A. Nossos números refletem a falta de taxonomistas e mostram que, caso o conhecimento taxonômico não seja incrementado na região, continuaremos a trabalhar com resolução taxonômica de Ordem ou de Família e com alta porcentagem de morfo-espécies, o que provavelmente poderá ser apropriado para a questão a ser respondida, mas não possibilitará uma comparação entre ambientes.

*Palavras-chave:* Acari Oribatida, Diversidade biológica, Neotropical, ácaros do solo, ácaros arborícolas.

## INTRODUCTION

In primary forests, secondary growth and flooded forests of Central Amazon, the soil mesofauna, mainly Acari and Collembola, is the most abundant and frequent group. In Central Amazon, Brazil, the dominance of oribatid mites in relation to the total mesofauna community is over 50% (Franklin *et al.*, 1997a, b; Franklin *et al.*, 2001a, b) and the known diversity is confirmed to be high, mainly in the soil of upland primary forests, varying from 71-95 collected species (Ribeiro & Schubart, 1989; Franklin *et al.*, 1997a, 1997b; Franklin *et al.*, 2004). In Panguana, Peru, almost 200 species were registered in a lowland forest (Wunderle, 1985, 1992).

Some classification principles for the evaluation of arthropod community bioindicators are discussed by Straalen (1998), distinguishing life history, feeding type, function in the ecosystem and physiology. He also reinforces the use of multivariate statistics and ecophysiological classification. Hilty and Merelender (2000) list the baseline information (clear taxonomy, biology and life history studied, tolerance levels known, and correlation to ecosystem), local information (cosmopolitan distribution, and small home range size) and life history characteristics (early warning and functional range of stress, detectable trends, low variability, specialist, and easy to find and measure). Therefore, the value of any biodiversity analysis and the adequacy of conservation measures depend on the quality of basic taxonomic data (Valdecasas & Camacho, 2003). Data on species' diversity are recognized as fundamental to the understanding of both natural and disturbed ecosystems, yet these data are meager for Acari in the Neotropics and limited by poor taxonomy (Behan-Pelletier *et al.*, 1993). Noti *et al.* (2003) emphasizes the lack of data likely to support any assumption regarding the diversity of soil mites in the tropics. In spite of the

considerable amount of literature to identify the species of the suborder Oribatida, there are nearly no genera, families and even super-families in the tropics that can be identified without problems. Many types and paratypes materials of the Neotropical species have to be rediscovered and redefined. For this purpose, it will be necessary to make samples in the respective regions where the specimens were sampled. Nevertheless, most of the type material described before the 1980's is not deposited at any museum in South America and some regions of samples will be impossible to localize (Franklin & Woas, 1992; Franklin & Woas, 2004).

A provisional checklist of 260 species and morphospecies collected in the Amazon region has already been published by Woas (2002). It is organized according to the morphological (and ontogenetic) organization and systematic group of Oribatida proposed by the author, including information concerning the ecology and distribution of the species. The list published by Woas (2002) was extracted from Beck (1971), Franklin (1994) and Ribeiro (1986) and compiled by L. Beck, S. Woas (Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe), J. Adis (Max-Planck Institute, Plön) and Franklin, E (INPA, Manaus). In our study, we are amplifying the list, also following the systematic organization suggested by Woas (2002), having as a basis the results of published studies, and also adding new registers of species. Our objectives are: 1) to summarize the current state of knowledge regarding the diversity and distribution of oribatid mites in the region, and 2) to see the adequacy of the taxonomic knowledge in the region.

## METHODS

The list of species and morphospecies recorded from the Brazilian state of Amazonas and

from Panguana (Peru) is based on bibliographic references and compiled. In addition, the mites collected by the authors in the Brazilian states of Amazonas, Roraima, Rondonia and Pará were identified and compared with the results already published (Table 1, Appendix). The second author identified the species from the savanna on sandy soil in Pará. We excluded lists of species derived from studies where we could not clearly localize basic information, such as the correct name of the place of sampling or the type of vegetation. We also excluded the morphospecies identified at the level of family and the estimations of number of species for families.

Nomenclature and systematic organization of the list follows the morphological (and ontogenetic) organization and systematic group of Oribatida proposed by Woas (2002), who recommended that the establishment of well-defined higher taxa is a task which still requires intense revision. Therefore, instead of definitive higher taxa, he adopted only groups, largely conforming to Grandjean (1953), assigned to different levels of organization. Author names for genera, families and higher taxa are listed according to Balogh (1972), Balogh & Balogh (1992) and Grandjean (1953, 1965, 1969). The names of the species are cited as the original publications, with small corrections in the orthography. Therefore, Subias (2004) and other monographs must be consulted to follow the possible changes occurred with the name of the species and genera. The morphospecies were included because of two common procedures among oribatidologists: 1) the identifications are restricted to "adult" mites (Noti *et al.*, 2003), 2) the inclusion of morphospecies in most field analyses and 3) as knowledge of the affiliations of various species to the larger groups is sufficient, investigations based on those groups do not require exact knowledge of the taxonomical status of their species (Beck *et al.*, 1997). The morphospecies names are listed following the sequence of the original publication, and we must be aware that, for example, *Pergalumna* sp. A of one specific environment cannot be the same species of another. The places and methodology of sampling are given in Table 1. However, original publications should be consulted for more specific information. In the results and discussion, we are dealing with a general overview of the faunistic partition of the studied

environments, having as a basis the available data of the literature, independent of time, sampling method and experimental design of each study.

## RESULTS

The studies were mostly concentrated in Central Amazonia, State of Amazonas in the neighborhood of the city of Manaus (Appendix). Since the initial published record of Oribatid mites species from Amazonia (Beck, 1971), approximately twelve authors have contributed to the knowledge of the Oribatid species in Amazonia, providing a list of species found from their results (Table 1). The samples were taken mostly in primary forests, followed by caatinga (locally called "campina"), savanna, white water flooded forest (locally called "várzea") dark water flooded forest (locally called "igapó"), secondary forest (locally called "capoeira") and the polyculture system. The records from Rondônia, Roraima and partially from Pará represent one sampling period. Except for the nylon mesh bag fauna, most records for Central Amazonia also correspond to one sampling period. In the primary forest (f5) of Reserva Florestal Adolpho Ducke (Ducke Reserve), the list of species that we have presented corresponds to a surveyed area of 24 km<sup>2</sup>, as a part of a large inventory covering 40,000 ha. The study in the savanna on sandy soil in Pará (s13) represents a large inventory of plots placed in an area of 30,000 ha.

Up to date, 146 species have definitively been identified from a total of 444 taxa, totalizing 188 known genera (Appendix). Twenty genera were the most speciose: *Galumna* (23 species), *Scheloribates* (15 species), *Rostrozetes* (10 species each), *Pergalumna* and *Suctobelba* (9 species each), *Oppia* and *Phthiracarus* (8 species each), *Carabodes* and *Rhychoribates* (7 species each), *Eremobelba*, *Eremaozetes* and *Truncopes* (6 species each), *Euphthiracarus*, *Eremulus*, *Charassobates*, *Licneremaeus*, *Benoibates*, *Lamellobates*, *Brachyoppia* and *Sternoppia* (5 species each). The species with the largest home ranges was *Rostrozetes foveolatus*, *Scheloribates* sp. A, and *Galumna* sp. A, registered in more than 65% of the 26 environments, followed by *Afronothrus incisivus neotropicus*, *Malaconothrus* cf. *neoplumosus*, *Cythermannia* sp. A, *Eremulus*

**TABLE 1**  
**Sampling places of edaphic and arboriphilous oribatid mites in Peru and Brazil\*.**

Place of sampling	Region	Number of reference	Vegetation, soil and places of sampling	Date, methods of sampling and extraction	Authors
PERU Panguana	Panguana at Yuyapichis River, 9° 37' S, 74° 56' W	f1	Primary forest (clay soil).	May to October 1984, n = 5 samples of 1/9 m <sup>2</sup> each, extraction by Berlese (24 funnels with 0.5 L of material).	Wunderle, 1985, 1992
		t18	Primary forest (clay soil).	May 1984 to October 1984, n = 5 tress (epiphyte, bark, lichens), extraction by Berlese (29 funnels with 0.5 L of material).	
BRAZIL Roraima (RR)	Pacaraima mountain complex, on the border between Brazil and Venezuela, between 04° 48' to 05° 16' N and 60° 05' to 60° 44' W.	f2	Primary forest (clay soil).	October 1995, n = 15, using split corer (7 x 7 cm) 5 cm depth in the soil, and hand sampling of litter, 1 m <sup>2</sup> , extraction by Kempson apparatus (E. Franklin leg).	This study
		s10	Arboreal Savanna, also called Gallery forest/Buriti line (sandy soil).		
		s11	Arboreal savanna (sandy soil).		
BRAZIL Rondônia (RO)	Western port of the Amazon Basin, Ouro Preto do Oeste Region, 20 km from the city of Texeiropolis, 10° 52' S, 62° 07' W.	f8	Fragment of disturbed forest (150 x 180 m (clay soil).	November 1995, n = 15, using split corer (7 x 7 cm) 5 cm depth in the soil , and hand sampling of litter, extraction by Kempson apparatus (E. Franklin leg).	
	Western port of the Amazon Basin, Ouro Preto do Oeste Region, Martin Pescador Reserve, 60 km from the city of Urupa, 11° 07' W, 62° 22' W.	f3	Primary Forest (clay soil).	November 1995, n = 15, using split corer (7 x 7 cm) 5 cm depth in the soil, and hand sampling of litter, 1 m <sup>2</sup> , extraction by Kempson apparatus (E. Franklin leg).	
BRAZIL Pará (PA)	Alter do Chão Village (2° 22' S, 54° 37' W), 30 km from the city of Santarém.	f4	Primary Forest (clay soil).	August 1996, n = 15, using split corer (7 x 7 cm) introduced 5 cm depth in the soil, extraction by Kempson apparatus (E. Franklin leg).	
		s12	Savana (clay soil)		
		s13	Savanna (sandy soil).	1998 to 2001, Large inventory, n = 10 in each plot of 1 km (total of 40 plots), split corer 3.5 x 3.5 (E. M. R. Santos and E. Franklin leg). Area surveyed = 30.000 ha.	
BRAZIL Amazonas (AM)	Manaus, Reserva Florestal Adolpho Ducke, 2° 53' S, 59° 59' W.	f5	Primary Forest (reaching a gradient of clay and sandy soils).	September 2001 to April 2002, Large inventory, 3 trails 8 km long, forming a network of 24 km <sup>2</sup> , 24 plots of 250 m separated 1 km from each other, n = 5 at each plot, extraction by Berlese-Tullgren (E. Franklin, R. L. Guimarães, E. P. Fagundes, and E. D. L. Soares leg).	

TABLE 1  
Continued...

Place of sampling	Region	Number of reference	Vegetation, soil and places of sampling	Date, methods of sampling and extraction	Authors
BRAZIL Amazonas (AM)	Manaus, Reserva Florestal Adolpho Ducke, 2° 53' S, 59° 59' W. Sampling sites according to Beck (1971): 1, 12, 13, 50.	f6	Primary forest	November 1965 to January 1966, samples of 500 cm of litter and soil, Berlese-Tullgren.	Beck, 1971
	Manaus, Reserva Florestal Adolpho Ducke, 2° 53' S, 59° 59' W. Sampling sites according to Beck (1971): 3, 11, 32, 33.	f7	“Palmetum” (transitive habitat in brook valleys, consisting of primary forest, determined by palm trees).	November 1965 to January 1966, samples of 500 cm of litter and soil, Berlese- Tullgren.	
	Manaus, Reserva Florestal Adolpho Ducke, 2° 53' S, 59° 59' W. Sampling sites according to Beck (1971): 4-6, 22, 23, 31.	c9	“Campina”or Caatinga.	December 1965 to February 1966, samples of 500 cm of litter and soil, Berlese- Tullgren.	
	Neighbourhood of Manaus. Sampling sites according to Beck (1971): 14, 16, 18, 20, 39, 40, 64, 65, 66.	v16	“Várzea”, including submersed material.	February to May 1966. Samples of 500 cm of litter and soil, hand sampling, Berlese-Tullgren and Exhaustor.	
	Neighbourhood of Manaus. Sampling sites according to Beck (1971): 7-10; 15; 25-30; 36-38; 47-49; 52-63; 72-77.	i14	Igapó (Solimões River), including submersed material.	January to May 1966, samples of 500 cm of litter and soil, hand sampling, Berlese-Tullgren and Exhaustor.	
	30 km from the city of Tarumã Mirim River, 3° 01' S, 60° 10' W.	i15	Inundated forest of “igapo”(clayish-sand soil).	September 1981, n = 6, six months during the terrestrial phase, split corer (steel cylinder, diameter 21 cm), extraction by Kempson Method, 14 cm deeper in the soil.	
t19		Inundated forest of “igapo”(clayish-sand soil).	February 1989 to January 1990. In Barks of <i>Aldina latifolia var. latifolia</i> and <i>Mora paraensis</i> trees, (n = 5, 196 cm <sup>2</sup> /month), Bark-brushing Method.	Franklin, 1994; Franklin <i>et al.</i> , 1998	
30 km from the city of Manaus, Solimões River, Marchantaria Island, 3° 15' S, 59° 58' W.		v17	Inundated forest of “várzea” riverborn sediments, montmorillonite.	October 1981, n = 6. 6 months during the terrestrial phase, split corer (steel cylinder, diameter 21 cm), extraction by Kempson Method, 3.5 cm deeper in the soil.	Franklin, 1994; Franklin <i>et al.</i> , 1997a, b
		t20	Inundated forest of “várzea” riverborn sediments, montmorillonite.	February/1989 to January/1990. In bark of <i>Pseudobombax munguba</i> and <i>Macrolobium acaciaefolium</i> trees, (n = 5, 196 cm <sup>2</sup> /month), Bark-brushing Method.	

TABLE 1  
Continued...

Place of sampling	Region	Number of reference	Vegetation, soil and places of sampling	Date, methods of sampling and extraction	Authors
BRAZIL Amazonas (AM)	60 km from the city Manaus, 2° 53' S, 59° 59' W.	f21	Primary forest (clay Soil)	January 1980, litterbags (1 mm mesh size) containing leaves of <i>Clitoria racemosa</i> , sampling done after 15, 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days from the beginning of the experiment, extraction by Berlese-Tullgren.	Ribeiro, 1986; Ribeiro & Schubart, 1989
		f22	Primary forest (sandy Soil)		
		sf24	Secondary forest, 3 years old (clay soil)		
	29 km from the city of Manaus, 2° 45' S, 60° 15' W.	f23	Primary forest (clay Soil)	April 1998, litterbags (20 µm, 250 µm, and 1000 µm mesh size) containing leaves of <i>Vismia</i> sp., sampling done after 25, 58, 111, 174, 278 and 350 days from the beginning of the experiment, extraction by Berlese-Tullgren	Hayek, 2000; Fraklin <i>et al.</i> , 2004
		sf25	Secondary forest (clay Soil)		
		p26	Polyculture system (clay soil)		

\*The environments are organized according to the region of sampling in Peru (Panguana) and Brazil (Roraima - RR, Rondônia - RO, Pará - PA and Amazonas - AM).

*translamellatus*, *Pergalumna* sp. A, *Eremulus* sp. A (54%), *Eohypochthonius* sp. A, *Eremaozetes* sp. A, *Galumna* sp. B and *Lamellobates* sp. A (50%), *Teleiolioides* sp. A, *Tectocephus* sp. A, *Eremobelba* sp. A, *Rhynchoribates* sp. A, *Oppia* sp. A, *Archegozetes longisetosus* and *Truncozetes mucronatus* (38 to 46%) (Appendix).

A total of 187 species (42.1%) had only one register of occurrence. Considering the eight different types of vegetation and/or substratum (primary forest, campina, savanna, "igapó", "várzea", trees, secondary forest and polyculture), almost 48.9% (217) were unique to a particular environment, even though they had more than one register of occurrence. A percentage of 56.2% (122) were registered only in primary forests (including natural and artificial substrata), followed by 37 species in the savannas, 35 on trees, 12 in "igapó", 4 in the secondary forest, 3 in the caatinga, two in the "várzea", and two in a polyculture system.

The maximum number of species (and/or morphospecies) registered in the primary forest of Manaus and Peru (f1, f5, f6 and f7), oscillated between 54 and 155 (Table 2). In combined samples of soil and trees (f1 and t18), this number increased to 196 in Peru. Comparatively,

the numbers registered for the primary forest in Roraima (f2) and Rondônia (f3) dropped to 37-38. In a disturbed primary forest in Rondônia (f8), the number of species dropped even more to 25. The diversity in the savanna (s10 to s13) varied from 15 to 67 species. Comparing the flooded forests with the majority of the primary forests, the diversity was reduced to 33-45 species in "igapó" (i14 and i15) and to 13-35 species in "várzea" (v16 and v17). Taking into consideration that the survey was made for a period of six months, the registers for the "várzea" were very low, mainly for trees. The number of species sampled on trees in Amazonas (4-22) is not comparable to the number registered in Peru (120 species), because in the states of Amazonas the inventories were done in a flooded forest and the epiphytes were not included. The maximum number of species sampled in nylon mesh-bags (76 species) in Central Amazonia were registered in a primary forest (f23), dropping to 55-60 in a secondary forest (sf24 and sf25) and to 50 in a polyculture system (p26).

## DISCUSSION

The studies already published provide an initial base for future research on Oribatid mites. In their respective lists of species, some of these

**TABLE 2**  
**Total number of species and morphospecies of edaphic and arboriphilous oribatid mites (Acari:Oribatida) registered in Peru and Brazil. The abbreviations of the regions refer to the Brazilian States of Amazonas (AM), Pará (PA), Roraima (RR) and Rondônia (RO)\*.**

Type of substratum	Vegetation (symbol)	Number of reference	Region	Lower Oribatida	Higher Oribatida	Total
Natural	Non-disturbed primary forests (f)	f1	PERU	33	122	155
		f2	RR	16	21	37
		f3	RO	12	26	38
		f4	PA	12	39	51
		f5	AM	16	41	57
		f6	AM	20	58	78
		f7	AM	11	43	54
	Disturbed primary forest (f)	f8	RO	7	18	25
	Caatinga (c )	c9	AM	13	42	55
	Savanna (s)	s10	RR	4	11	15
		s11	RR	8	19	27
		s12	PA	6	19	25
		s13	PA	18	49	67
	"Igapó" (i)	i14	AM	20	25	45
		i15	AM	9	24	33
	"Várzea" (v)	v16	AM	11	24	35
		v17	AM	3	10	13
	Trees (t)	t18	PERU	14	106	120
		t19	AM	2	20	22
		t20	AM	0	4	4
Artificial	Primary forest (f)	f21	AM	11	56	67
		f22	AM	15	58	73
		f23	AM	23	53	76
	Secondary forest (sf)	sf24	AM	9	46	55
		sf25	AM	20	47	67
	Polyculture system (p)	p26	AM	20	43	63

\*The environments are organized according to the type of vegetation of the samples made on natural and artificial substrata (nylon mesh-bag fauna).

studies were used to review the morphological organization and systematic groups of Oribatida made by Woas (2002), who also published a provisional checklist of adult oribatid mites (described species and non-described species) occurring in environments of the Amazon region. Otherwise, our review demonstrates the lack of and the need for information on the Oribatid mites, as the information is mostly concentrated in Central Amazonia. The city of Manaus and the city of São Paulo are the locations of the major centers of studies of Oribatid mites in Brazil. In contrast, almost

nothing is known from other Brazilian regions of the northeast, central-east, and south. Thus, it is clear that this reflects collection and identification efforts in both regions, rather than real differences in regional diversity, as already registered by Culik & Zepellini Filho (2003) for the Collembola fauna in Brazil. Most of the species are known from forest environments. It is noted that with the exception of one environment (p26), no one has reported results of samples from agricultural field sites. We are also providing the first records for savanna, and for the Brazilian states of Roraima, Rondônia and Pará.

The arboriphilous oribatid fauna found on trees from a primary forest in Peru (Panguana) is at least as rich as other tropical forest environments (Wunderle 1992). The same pattern was found in northern Venezuela (northern part of South America), where the richest fauna was associated with bromeliads (67 species), followed by litter (63 species) and soil (27 species) (Behan-Pelletier *et al.*, 1993). Two registers for the oribatid fauna found on trees in Amazonas are available (Franklin *et al.*, 1998), but only for flooded forests of “várzea” and “igapó”, and the results do not follow the same pattern detected above, as more species were found on the soil of both forests. The differences were caused mainly by the methodology of sampling, as the epiphytes were not included in the sampling method from the inventories of Amazonas.

Only in the savanna under sandy soil in Pará (s13), the large-scale period of sampling and the huge surveyed area ensures that the number of registered species (67) probably represents 60-70% of the total diversity of the area, and it expected to increase to an estimated number of 90-100 species. The register of 67 species is lower than the registers of Noti *et al.* (2003) with 105 species collected in a tropical savanna from High Katanga (Congo, Africa). Otherwise, they registered 94 species in climax dense dry forest (“muhulu”), and 86 species in woodland (“miombo”), that are almost similar to the average registers in primary forests of Central Amazonia.

Only on the species level is it possible to look for differential species that are restricted to separate localities, thus making it possible to analyse (Beck *et al.*, 1997). Therefore, compared to the number of described species, the number of morphospecies registered in our list is very high (298 species), corresponding to 67% in relation to the total registered, clearly showing the inadequacy of the current taxonomic knowledge for the region. Otherwise, our numbers also reflect the lack of taxonomists and the need of studies to determine the species composition of the communities of oribatid mites in Brazil. Although the morphospecies in our registers can not be comparable between environments, and that the most speciose genera corresponds to only 10% of the total of genera identified, we consider our numbers to be a close approximation of the real diversity of soil oribatid mites in the sites investigated. Therefore, this

diversity is also closely related to the methods of sampling and aim of each study. Other aspects make the faunistic analysis of this group difficult, mainly in the Amazon region: 1) the punctual samples and only one amostral period, not representing the spatial scale of the environment in question; 2) the variability of methods of sampling and extraction; 3) the great distance between the investigated site, even though these soil mesofauna studies are concentrated mainly in Central Amazon; and 4) the continental size and biodiverse complexity of the region.

The 444 species registered in our estimation, reinforces the notion of a richly biodiverse area. Therefore, we cannot establish any level or “rarity” for the large percentage of species (42%) with only one register of occurrence, and also the large percentage of species registered only for a single environment (48.9%). In Venezuela, a much larger percentage (65%) of unique species to a particular ecosystem was registered (Behan-Pelletier *et al.*, 1993).

A percentage of 56.2% (122) was registered only in primary forests (including natural and artificial substrata), followed by 37 species in the savannas, 35 on trees, 12 in “igapó”, 4 in the secondary forest, 3 in the caatinga, two in the “várzea”, and two in a polyculture system. Hence, this pattern of unique species follows a gradient of reduction from the non-disturbed (primary forest) toward disturbed environments.

Rather than focusing on a single species, many soil zoologists have attempted to measure the diversity of the community as a whole, but simple indices have not proven to be very useful (Straalen, 1998). The concept of “taxonomic sufficiency”, the identification of organisms only to a level of taxonomic resolution sufficient to fulfil the objectives of a study (Ellis, 1985), has received little attention in ecological studies of terrestrial invertebrates (Pik *et al.*, 1999). This tool would make the reduction possible of the number of scientist-hours spent on processing the samples, which increases dramatically for smaller-bodied taxa (Lawton *et al.*, 1998), by not including unnecessary and even inappropriate species (Hilty & Merelender, 2000), as the most obvious requirement for a good indicator group for species richness is that it mirrors well the total number of species (Olsgard *et al.*, 2003). In poorly known environments, the use of rough taxonomic resolution is still premature (Terlizzi *et al.*, 2003),



and this is certainly the case of the Amazon region. As the number of morphospecies of oribatid mites registered in our list corresponds to 67% in relation to the total registered taxa, and considering their mega diversity, we are far from having a good taxonomic knowledge of this group in the region.

Our results reinforce the alert raised by Maurer (2000), in a way that the process of care and nurturing of taxonomic specialists is a necessary step to resolve the problem of taxonomical accuracy necessary to solve the predicament. A detailed inventory, even from one area, of the impacts of tropical forest modification and disturbance on biodiversity will require a huge scientific effort, far exceeding anything attempted so far anywhere in the world (Lawton *et al.*, 1998). Perhaps a general recommendation would be that 10% of the time of any ecological study of the soil fauna should be devoted to the development of taxonomic basis of one of the groups of animals that comprises that soil community (Usher, 1988), or end up working with just numbers in rough taxonomic resolution (Valdecasas & Camacho, 2003), and skilled eyes are needed to go on studying the richness for the soil (Andre *et al.*, 2001). Otherwise, we will continue to work with rough taxonomic resolution and/or a high percentage of morphospecies, which will probably be appropriate to the question being asked in each study, but not for a comparison among the environments being investigated.

*Acknowledgments* — Financial support came from PNOFG, 550409/01-7, “Populações e comunidades de invertebrados do solo da Reserva Adolpho Ducke, Manaus, AM”, PPD-G7 6400-0021 “As interações entre savanas e florestas na Amazonia Central e sua importância para a biodiversidade” grant to R. Cintra, and “Ácaros em Pomar Comercial de Citros no Município de Manaus, AM, com ênfase nas Famílias Tenuipalpidae e Eriophyidae” grant from FAPEAM/PIPT to E. Franklin, and a “Bolsa de Produtividade em Pesquisa” grant from CNPq to E. Franklin.

## REFERENCES

- ANDRE, H., DUCARME, X., ANDERSON, J., CROSSLEY, D., HOEHLER, H., PAOLETTI, M., LEBRUN, P. 2001. Skilled eyes are needed to go on studying the richness for the soil. *Nature* 409, 761
- BALOGH, P., 1972, *The oribatid genera of the world*. Acad. Kiado, Budapest. 188p.
- BALOGH J. & BALOGH, P., 1992, The oribatid mite genera of the world. Vols. 1, 2. Hung. *Nat. Hist. Mus. Press*, Budapest. 263 and 375 pp.
- BECK, L., 1971, Bodenzoologische Gliederung und Charakterisierung des amazonischen Regenwaldes, *Amazoniana*, 3(1):69-132.
- BECK, L., WOAS, S. & HORAK, F., 1997, Taxonomische Ebenen als Basis der Bioindikation – Fallbeispiele aus der Gruppe der Oribatiden (Acari). *Abh. Ber. Naturkundemus, Görlitz* 69(2): 67-85
- BEHAN-PELLETIER, V., PAOLETTI, M. G., BISSET, B. STINNER, B. R., 1993, Oribatid mites of forest habitat in northern Venezuela. *Trop. Zool.*, 1:39-54.
- CULIK, M. P. & ZEPPELINI FILHO, D. 2003. Diversity and distribution of Collembola (Arthropoda: Hexapoda) of Brazil. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 12: 1119-1143.
- ELLIS, D., 1985, Taxonomic sufficiency in pollution assessment. *Max. Pollut. Bull.* 16:459.
- FRANKLIN, E., 1994, *Ecologia de oribatídeos (ACARI: ORIBATIDA) em florestas inundáveis da Amazônia Central*. Ph. D. Thesis, INPA/Amazon University, Manaus, 266p.
- FRANKLIN, E., WOAS, S. Some oribatid mites of the family Oppiidae (Acari; Oribatei) from Amazonia. *Andrias*, 9: 5-56, 1992.
- FRANKLIN, E., WOAS, S., 2004, Oribatídeos (Acari: Oribatida) como elementos e grupos faunísticos em solos da região Neotropical, pp. 83-90. *In: História natural, ecologia e conservação de algumas espécies de plantas e animais da Amazônia*. EDUA/INPA/FAPEAM, *Série Biblioteca Científica da Amazônia*.
- FRANKLIN, E. N., ADIS, J. & WOAS, S., 1997a, The Oribatid Mites. pp. 331-349. *In: JUNK, W. J. (ed.), Central Amazonian river floodplains: ecology of a pulsing system*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, .
- FRANKLIN, E. N., SCHUBART, H. O. R. & ADIS, J. U., 1997b, Ácaros (ACARI: ORIBATIDA) Edáficos de duas florestas inundáveis da Amazônia Central: Distribuição vertical, abundância e recolonização do solo após a inundação. *Rev. Bras. Biol.*, 57(3): 501-520.
- FRANKLIN, E. N., WOAS, S., SCHUBART, H. O. R. & ADIS, J., 1998, Ácaros oribatídeos (Acari:Oribatida) arborícolas de duas florestas inundáveis da Amazônia Central. *Rev. Bras. Biol.*, 58(2): 317-335.
- FRANKLIN, E., MORAIS, J. W., SANTOS, E. M. R., 2001a, Density and biomass of Acari and Collembola in primary forest, secondary regrowth and polycultures in central Amazonia. *Andrias*, 15(1): 141-154.
- FRANKLIN, E. N., GUIMARÃES, R. L., ADIS, J. U. & SCHUBART, H. O. R., 2001b, Resistência à submersão de ácaros (Acari: Oribatida) terrestres de florestas inundáveis e de terra firme na Amazônia Central em condições experimentais de laboratório. *Acta. Amazonica*, 31(2): 285-298.
- FRANKLIN, E., HAYEK, T., FAGUNDES, E. P. & SILVA, L. L., 2004, Oribatid mites (Acari: Oribatida) contribution to decomposition dynamic of leaf litter in primary forest, second growth and policulture in the Central Amazon. *Rev. Bras. Biol.*, 64(1): 59-72.
- GRANDJEAN, F., 1953, Essai de classification des Oribates (Acariens). *Bull. Soc. Zool. France*, 78:421-446.

- GRANDJEAN, F., 1965, Complément à mon travail de 1953 sur la classification des Oribates. *Acarologia*, 7: 713-734.
- GRANDJEAN, F., 1969, Considération sur le classement des Oribates. Leurs division en 6 groupes majeurs. *Acarologia*, 10: 127-153.
- HAYEK, T., 2000, *Ácaros do solo (ACARI: ORIBATIDA): diversidade, abundância e biomassa na decomposição de serapilheira em parcelas de floresta primária, capoeiras e policultivo da Amazônia Central*. MSc. Thesis. Manaus, INPA, 93p.
- HILTY, J. & MERENLENDER, A., 2000, Faunal indicator taxa selection for monitoring ecosystem health. *Biol. Conserv.*, 92: 185-197.
- LAWTON, J. H., BIGNELL, D. E., BOLTON, B., BLOERNERS, G. F., EGGLETON, P., HAMMOND, P. M., HODDA, M., HOLT, R. D., LARSEN, T. B., MAWDSLEY, N. A., STORK, N. E., SRIVASTAVA, D. S. & WATT, A. D., 1998, Biodiversity inventories, indicator taxa and effects of habitat modification in tropical forest. *Nature*, 391(1): 72-75.
- MAURER, D., 2000, The dark side of taxonomic sufficiency (TS). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 40(2): 98-101.
- NOTI, M. I., ANDRÉ, H. W., DUCARME, X. & LEBRUN, P., 2003, Diversity of soil oribatid mites (Acari:Oribatida) from High katanga (Democratic Republic of Congo): a multiscale and multifactor approach. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, 12: 767-785.
- OLSGARD, F. BRATTEGARD, T. & HOLTHE, T. 2003. Polychates as surrogates for marine biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 12: 1033-1049.
- PIK, A. J., OLIVER, I. & BEATTIE, A., 1999, Taxonomic sufficiency in ecological studies of terrestrial invertebrates. *Aust. Jour. of Ecol.*, 24: 555-562.
- RIBEIRO, E. F., 1986, *Oribatídeos (ACARI:ORIBATIDA) colonizadores de folhas em decomposição sobre o solo de três sítios florestais da Amazônia Central*. MSc. Thesis. Manaus, INPA, 178p.
- RIBEIRO, E. F. & SCHUBART, H. O. R., 1989, Oribatídeos (ACARI: ORIBATIDA) colonizadores de folhas em decomposição sobre o solo de três sítios florestais da Amazônia Central. *Bol. Mus. Emílio Goeldi*, 5(2): 243-276.
- STRAALEN, N. M., 1998, Evaluation of bioindicator systems derived from soil arthropod communities. *App. Soil Ecol.*, 9: 429-437.
- SUBIAS, L. S., 2004, Listado Sistemático, Sinonímico y Biogeográfico de los Ácaros Oribátidos (Acariformes: Oribatida) del Mundo (Excepto fósiles). *Graellsia*, 60(número extraordinario): 3-305.
- TERLIZZI, A., BEVILACQUA, S., FRANSCHETTI, S. & BOERO, F., 2003, Taxonomic sufficiency and the increasing insufficiency of taxonomic expertise. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 46: 556-561.
- USHER, M. B., 1988, Soil invertebrates: a review of species populations, communities, modeling and conservation with special reference to the African Continent. *Revue de Zoologie Africaine (Journal of African Zoology)* pp. 285-300.
- VALDECASAS, A. G. & CAMACHO, A. I., 2003, Conservation to the rescue of taxonomy. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, 12: 1113-1117.
- WOAS, S., 2002, Acari. In: Adis J. (ed.): *Amazonian Arachnida and Myriapoda*. Pensoft, Sofia-Moscow. pp. 21-291.
- WUNDERLE, I., 1985, *Ein faunistisch-ökologischer vergleich der Baum- und Bodenbewohnenden Oribatiden (Acari) im Tieflandregenwald von Panguana, Peru*. M. Sc. Thesis. Universität Karlsruhe, 103p.
- WUNDERLE, I., 1992, Die Baum- und bodenbewohnenden Oribatiden (Acari) im Tief-landregenwald von Panguana, Peru. *Amazoniana*, 17(1): 119-142.

**APPENDIX**  
**Species and morphospecies recorded in 26 habitats from Peru and Brazil. The sequence of species is organized according to the morphological (and ontogenetic) organization and systematic group of Oribatida given by Woas (2002). The samples made on natural and artificial (nylon mesh-bag fauna) substrata are respectively organized according to the type of vegetation.**

	Species registered	Natural substrata fauna											Nylon mesh-bags fauna (artificial)														
		Type of environment					Type of environment						Type of environment														
		Primary forest (f)		Disturbed primary forest (f)	Caatinga (c)	Savanna (s)	"gapo"	"Varzea"	Trees (t)	Primary forest (f)	Secondary forest (sf)	Polyculture (p)															
f1	f2	f3	f4	f5	f6	f7	f8	c9	s10	s11	s12	s13	i14	i15	v16	v17	i18	i19	i20	i21	i22	i23	s24	s25	p26		
<b>LOWER ORIBATIDA</b>																											
<b>Ancient organization level A</b>																											
<b>Ctenacaridae</b> Grandjean, 1954																											
1	<i>Beklemishevia barbata</i> (Schubart, 1968)												X														
2	<i>Ctenacarus araneola</i> (Grandjean, 1932)							X						X													
<b>Acaronychidae</b> Grandjean, 1932																											
3	<i>Acaronychus proximus</i> Schubart, 1968						X							X	X							X				X	
4	<i>Acaronychus</i> sp. A																				X					X	
<b>Archeonothridae</b> Grandjean, 1932;																											
5	<i>Loffacarus</i> sp. A																										X
<b>Ancient Euarthronota</b>																											
<b>Cosmochthoniidae</b> Grandjean, 1947																											
6	<i>Cosmochthonius reticulatus</i> Grandjean, 1947							X																			
7	<i>Cosmochthonius lanatus foveolatus</i> Beck, 1962												X														
8	<i>Cosmochthonius</i> sp. A												X										X			X	
<b>Haplochthoniidae</b> Hammer, 1959																											
9	<i>Haplochthonius clavatus</i> (Hammer, 1958)												X														
10	<i>Haplochthonius</i> sp. A							X																			
<b>Protophoridae</b> Ewing, 1917																											
11	? <i>Protophthora</i> sp. A																										
12	<i>Cryptophthora</i> sp. A						X							X													
<b>Derived Euarthronota (Brachychthoniidae)</b>																											
<b>Brachychthoniidae</b> Balogh, 1943																											
13	<i>Brachychochthonius foliatus</i> Hammer, 1958													X													
14	<i>Brachychthonius</i> sp. A																									X	
15	<i>Liochthonius</i> cf. <i>unilateralis</i> Van Der Hammer, 1962												X														
16	<i>Liochthonius</i> sp. A																								X		X
17	<i>Eobrachychthonius</i> sp. A																								X		X
<b>Periphric Euarthronota (Pterochthoniidae)</b>																											
<b>Pterochthoniidae</b> Grandjean, 1950																											
18	<i>Pterochthonius</i> sp. A																									X	
<b>Transitional organization level B</b>																											
<b>Hypochothoniid groups</b>																											
<b>Hypochothoniidae</b> Berlese, 1910																											
19	<i>Eolypochothonius gracilis</i> (Jacot, 1936)																									X	
20	<i>Eolypochothonius</i> sp. A			X	X	X	X																			X	X
21	<i>Madacoangella renigera</i> Berlese, 1913			X	X	X	X																				X
<b>Lohmanniidae</b> Berlese, 1916																											
22	<i>Topacarus omittens omittens</i> Grandjean, 1950																									X	
23	<i>Topacarus</i> sp. A																								X		
24	<i>Euryacarus petalatus</i> Woolley, 1966																									X	

APPENDIX  
Continued...

	Species registered	Natural substrata fauna																	Nylon mesh-bags fauna (artificial)							
		Type of environment																	Type of environment							
		Type of environment																	Type of environment							
		Disturbed primary forest (f)		Caatinga (c)		Savanna (s)		"Igapó" (i)		"Varzea" (v)		Trees (t)		Primary forest (f)		Secondary forest (sf)		Polyculture (p)								
f1	f2	f3	f4	f5	f6	f7	f8	c9	s10	s11	s12	s13	i14	i15	v16	v17	t18	t19	t20	f21	f22	f23	f24	sf25	sf26	
25	<i>Lohmannia lanceolata</i> Grandjean, 1950	X																								
26	<i>Lohmannia</i> sp. A	X											X		X								X			X
27	<i>Meristacarus portula</i> Grandjean, 1934	X			X																X	X				
28	<i>Meristacarus</i> sp. A	X		X					X	X																
29	<i>Javacarus</i> sp. A	X																								
30	<i>Mixacarus</i> sp. A	X																								
31	<i>Nesiacarus</i> sp. A	X																								
	<b>Xenolohmanniidae</b> Balogh & Mahunka, 1969																									
32	<i>Xenolohmannia</i> sp. A					X																				
	<b>Mesoplophoridae</b> Ewing, 1917																									
33	<i>Mesoplophora</i> sp. A	X	X		X	X			X				X					X				X			X	X
34	<i>Mesoplophora</i> sp. B				X				X																	
	<b>Gelypochthoniidae</b> Strenzke, 1963																									
35	<i>Gelypochthonius</i> sp. A														X											
	<b>Parhyopochthoniidae</b> Grandjean, 1932																									
36	<i>Parhyopochthonius</i> sp. A								X				X	X												
	<b>Terminal organization level C</b>																									
	<b>Basic Mixonomata</b>																									
	<b>Epilohmanniidae</b> Oudemans, 1923																									
37	<i>Epilohmannia pallida americana</i> Balogh & Mahunka, 1981	X	X										X													
38	<i>Epilohmannia</i> sp. A	X	X		X	X	X		X												X	X				X
39	<i>Epilohmannia</i> sp. B					X																				
	<b>Euphithiracidae</b> Jacot, 1930 (According Woas 2002: Oribotritiidae Grandjean, 1954)																									
40	<i>Rhysaritia clavata</i> (Mäkel, 1964)	X							X	X																
41	<i>Rhysaritia brasiliana</i> (Mahunka, 1983)																			X	X					X
42	<i>Rhysaritia comitae</i> Mahunka, 1983																									
43	<i>Rhysaritia</i> sp. A														X								X			X
	<b>Derived Mixonomata: Phthiracaroida</b>																									
	<b>Phthiracidae</b> Perty, 1841																									
44	<i>Atrapacarus</i> sp. A	X							X												X	X				X
45	<i>Hopliphorella floridiae</i> Jacot, 1923		X										X													
46	<i>Hopliphorella lanceolata</i> (Balogh & Mahunka, 1981)		X																							
47	<i>Hopliphorella scapellata</i> Aoki, 1965								X	X																
48	<i>Hopliphorella</i> sp. A		X						X	X					X						X	X				X
49	<i>Hopliphorella</i> sp. B	X																								X
50	<i>Hopliphorella</i> sp. C	X																								X
51	<i>Phthiracarus serrula</i> Balogh & Mahunka, 1977	X											X													X
52	<i>Phthiracarus</i> sp. A	X	X		X	X														X	X	X				X
53	<i>Phthiracarus</i> sp. B	X	X		X	X														X	X	X				X
54	<i>Phthiracarus</i> sp. C	X	X		X	X														X	X	X				X
55	<i>Phthiracarus</i> sp. D	X																								
56	<i>Phthiracarus</i> sp. E	X																								



APPENDIX  
Continued...

	Species registered	Natural substrata fauna																	Nylon mesh-bags fauna (artificial)									
		Type of environment																	Type of environment									
		Disturbed primary forest (f)		Caatinga (c)	Savanna (s)		"gapo"		"Varzea"		Trees (t)			Primary forest (f)		Secondary forest (sf)		Polyculture (p)										
		f1	f2	f3	f4	f5	f6	f7	f8	c9	s10	s11	s12	s13	i14	i15	v16	v17	t18	t19	t20	t21	t22	t23	t24	sf25	p26	
100	<i>Liodes</i> sp. A																											
101	<i>Lyropia scutigera</i> Balogh, 1961	X										X																
102	<i>Lyropia</i> sp. A											X																
103	<i>Arceremaeus</i> sp. A	X				X	X																					
<b>Arceremaeidae</b> Balogh, 1972																												
104	<i>Tecteremaeus anoporosus</i> Balogh & Mahunka, 1969	X				X	X	X																				
105	<i>Tecteremaeus</i> sp. A																				X	X	X	X			X	
<b>Hermannellidae</b> Grandjean, 1934																												
106	<i>Baloghacarus</i> sp. A					X																	X	X	X			
107	<i>Hermannella</i> sp. A						X																					
108	<i>Saculobates</i> sp. A	X																										
<b>Plasmobatidae</b> Grandjean, 1961																												
109	<i>Solenozetes</i> sp. A					X																	X	X	X	X	X	
110	<i>Plasmobates</i> sp. A	X																				X	X	X	X		X	
111	<i>Plasmobates</i> sp. B	X																				X	X	X	X			
112	<i>Plasmobates</i> sp. C	X																				X	X	X	X			
113	<i>Plasmobates</i> sp. D	X																										
<b>Zetorchestidae</b> Michael, 1898																												
114	<i>Litholestes</i> sp. A																					X						
<b>Cymbaeremaeid and related groups</b>																												
<b>Cymbaeremaeidae</b> Sellnick (According Woas 2002:																												
<b>Scapheremaeidae</b>																												
115	<i>Scapheremaeus bisulpatarsus</i> Mahunka, 1984															X												
116	<i>Scapheremaeus abveolatus</i> Hammer, 1961																					X	X	X	X			
117	<i>Scapheremaeus</i> sp. A	X				X					X	X				X						X	X	X				
<b>Caraboidetea</b>																												
(According Woas 2002: <b>Caraboidetea</b> )																												
118	<i>Carabodes excellens</i> Balogh & Mahunka, 1969	X	X	X																		X						
119	<i>Carabodes irinayi</i> Balogh & Mahunka 1969	X																				X	X	X	X			
120	<i>Carabodes</i> sp. A	X				X						X																
121	<i>Carabodes</i> sp. B	X																										
122	<i>Carabodes</i> sp. C	X																										
123	<i>Carabodes</i> sp. E																					X	X	X	X			
124	<i>Carabodes</i> sp. F																					X	X	X	X		X	
125	<i>Austrocarabodes</i> sp. A																											
<b>Otocephelidae</b> Balogh, 1961																												
126	<i>Dolicheremaeus bolivianus</i> Balogh & Mahunka 1969					X																						
127	<i>Dolicheremaeus amazonicus</i> Balogh & Mahunka 1969					X	X	X				X										X	X	X	X		X	
128	<i>Dolicheremaeus</i> sp. A	X				X	X	X																				
129	<i>Dolicheremaeus</i> sp. B																					X						
130	<i>Cavemocephelus monstruosus</i> Balogh & Mahunka 1969	X																										
131	<i>Cavemocephelus</i> sp. A					X	X																				X	
<b>Dampfeliidae</b> Balogh, 1961																												

















