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Abstract
This article presents the results of the level of feeding and the health status of dairy cows in a commercial dairy farm on the content of the main components in milk (fat, protein, urea). The aim of the research was to study the milk productivity and composition of milk of cows, to analyze the level of feeding and the content of basic nutrients in the diet of the farm. The farm breeds purebred Holstein cattle with a high genetic potential for productivity. The milking herd was formed in 2009 on the basis of 600 heads of Holstein-Friesian heifers imported from Hungary, as well as 65 heads of Holsteinized heifers of Ukrainian selection from Ukraine in 2015. Today the livestock numbers about 1,500 head of cattle, of which there are about 900 breeders. On the territory of the farm there are: 3 cowsheds for keeping cows, an insemination room, a milking parlor with a parallel installation of the Delaval company, in which 48 cows are milked for one milking, the ABK, where the manager’s office, livestock technician, accounting is located, and a mini-hotel with dining room and lounges. Dairy bases are equipped with auto-drinkers, ventilation, plumbing, electric lighting, manure removal mechanisms, and a milking installation. The object of the research was Holstein cows in the amount of 483 heads of Aina Dairy Farm LLP. The studies were carried out according to generally accepted zootechnical methods using modern equipment for conducting analyzes and interstate and state standards. The research results showed that the milk productivity of cows averaged 19.5 kg per day, the fat content 4.3%, the protein 3.9%, the number of somatic cells 230.5 thousand units / ml, urea 45.3 mg / 100 ml respectively. Analyzing the level of urea in milk can suggest a high crude protein content in the diet. The ration of feeding dairy cows on the farm is concentrate-silage-haylage and there is an excess of dry matter by 16.2%, crude protein by 9.8%, starch by 29.4%, respectively.
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Resumo
Este artigo apresenta os resultados do nível de alimentação e do estado de saúde de vacas leiteiras em uma fazenda comercial leiteira sobre o conteúdo dos principais componentes do leite ( gordura, proteína, ureia). O objetivo da pesquisa foi estudar a produtividade e composição do leite de vacas, analisar o nível de alimentação e o teor de nutrientes básicos na dieta da fazenda. A fazenda produz gado holandês de raça pura com alto potencial genético de produtividade. O rebanho leiteiro foi formado em 2009 com base em 600 cabeças de novilhas Holstein-Friesian importadas da Hungria, bem como 65 cabeças de novilhas holsteinizadas da seleção ucraniana em 2015. Hoje o gado totaliza cerca de 1.500 cabeças de gado, das quais existem cerca de 900 criadores. No território da fazenda existem: 3 estábulos para criação de vacas, uma sala de inseminação, uma sala de ordenha com instalação para leiteira, equipamento automático, ventilação, iluminação elétrica, mecanismo de remoção de esgoto e instalação de ordenha. O objeto da pesquisa foram vacas da raça holandesa no valor de 483 cabeças da Aina Dairy Farm LLP. Os estudos foram realizados de acordo com métodos zootécnicos geralmente aceitos, utilizando equipamentos modernos para a realização de análises e padrões interessadios e estádual. Os resultados da pesquisa mostraram que a produtividade do leite das vacas era em média 19.5 kg por dia, o teor de gordura 4.3%, a proteína 3.9%, o número de células somáticas 230.5 mil unidades / ml, ureia 45.3 mg / 100 ml respectivamente. A análise do nível de ureia no leite pode sugerir alto teor de proteína bruta na dieta. A raçao para alimentação das vacas leiteiras na fazenda é concentrado-silagem-haylage e há excesso de matéria seca em 16.2%, proteína bruta em 9.8% e amido em 29.4%, respectivamente.

Palavras-chave: produtividade do leite, gordura, proteína, ração, composição química, valor nutricional, proteína bruta.
1. Introduction

High milk production of dairy cows with inadequate feeding levels is the cause of many animal diseases. For example, violations of protein metabolism may occur, which leads to a decrease in milk production, a deterioration in reproduction rates, and, as a consequence, to a short life of animals. To prevent such undesirable changes, it is necessary to use various indicators, the values of which can be used to promptly adjust the parameters of feeding and maintenance (Öltner and Wiktorsson, 1983; Roseler et al., 1993). As an indicator of the level of supply of rations for dairy cows in the practice of dairy laboratories in the USA, Canada, and others, the urea content is taken, which is normally accepted in the range of 15-30 mg /% (Sivkin et al., 2013; Baker et al., 1995). A high content of urea increases the risk of reproductive diseases, metritis, lameness, ketosis, and liver diseases (Bolgov et al., 2019).

Earlier, indicators of the chemical composition of milk in the practice of the dairy industry of the republic were not used to control the state of homeostasis and the usefulness of animal feeding rations. The use of indicators of the content of individual milk components as indicators of the level of protein, easily digestible carbohydrates, will prevent metabolic disorders in animals, avoid the loss of their productivity. Control of the urea content in milk allows, as the experience of the dairy laboratories of the USA, Germany and others shows, to rationally use protein feeds and premixes, thereby reducing the risks of both excess and lack of crude protein in the diet of animals.

Monitoring the indicators of the composition of milk from each cow of a dairy herd will allow to diagnose metabolic disorders in advance, to start preventive measures to eliminate deviations in advance.

The use of indicators of milk composition as an indicator is also of high practical importance in the diagnosis and prevention of metabolic disorders also because it is much easier to get milk from each cow than the same blood. Collecting milk samples is a fairly standard procedure, carried out on a monthly basis during control milking, while eliminating the stress risks inherent in collecting blood samples.

The protein and carbohydrate content of the diet determines the energy supply of dairy cows. Overfeeding with protein feed in order to replenish the lack of energy can significantly worsen the indicators of protein metabolism. On the one hand, this leads to an increase in the cost of the diet, since protein feed is the most expensive feed, on the other hand, an increase in protein in the diet leads to an increase in the production of ammonia, which has a toxic effect on the animal's body. Ammonia from the cecal contents enters the circulatory system of ruminants, enters the liver with the bloodstream, where it is neutralized to urea. Excess urea is excreted in the urine, partially returns with saliva to the gastrointestinal tract, where it further participates in protein metabolism, and partially enters other biological fluids, including milk, where its content can serve as an indicator of the protein level in the diet. This can be confirmed by many researchers who found that the concentration of urea in milk and blood significantly depends on the intake of protein in the diet (Sivkin et al., 2013; Baker et al., 1995; Bolgov et al., 2019). In this connection, in countries with highly developed intensive dairy cattle breeding, attempts have been made for a long time to determine reference values for the content of urea in milk and blood of animals for use as indicators of the level of protein feeding. Thus, Roseler, DK et al. Believe that the normal level of urea in the blood is 15 mg / 100 ml with fluctuations from 8 to 25 mg / 100 ml, but the optimal concentration for the herd population, in their opinion, should be in the range of 12-17 mg / 100 ml (Hwang et al., 2000). Other researchers believe that the optimal level of urea in milk may be 10-14 mg / 100 ml (Carlsson and Pehrson, 1994; Moore and Verga, 1996).

As a result, it was decided that when the values of urea in milk and blood are below the normal level, it is necessary to increase the proportion of protein broken down in the rumen, in order to replenish the nitrogen requirements of the rumen microflora for protein synthesis. The liver converts excess ammonia into urea for excretion of excess nitrogen in the urine or return to the metabolism by transferring it into the bloodstream. This is the reason for the close relationship between milk urea and blood urea (Gustafsson, and Palmquist, 1993; Jonker et al., 2002). The urea content in milk is higher than the norm, indicating that the dairy herd is consuming an excessive amount of protein, and releases excess nitrogen into the environment, thereby causing its pollution (Broderick and Clayton, 1997).

An increased level of urea, as was found in one of the works, can also reduce the reproductive performance of animals (Ferguson et al., 1993; Butler et al., 1996).

Recent studies have shown that a certain level of urea concentration can be caused by an excess of rumen degradable protein with normal metabolic energy consumption, and with a normal level of rumen degradable protein, but with insufficient metabolic energy (Bolgov et al., 2019). Accordingly, the authors put forward the assumption that it is impossible to use the content of urea as an indicator without taking into account the level of consumption of metabolic energy at the same time.

One of the researchers found that the protein content in milk can reflect the level of metabolic energy consumption by lactating cows and, in this regard, it was proposed to use it as an indicator of the supply of energy to rations (Coulon and Remond, 1991; Sato, 1998). It was revealed that the protein content had a linear dependence on the level of energy supply, regardless of the stage of lactation.

Further studies confirmed the possibility of using the protein and urea content in both blood and milk to monitor the supply of protein and energy in feed (Paullicks, 1992; Hwang et al., 2000). Researchers from the National Research Institute of Dairy Farming in India have confirmed the concept of using urea and milk protein as indicators already in a dairy farm on a herd of 264 dairy cows for a year (Dhali et al., 2008).

The fat and protein content of milk can also serve as reliable indicators of both feeding levels and animal health. A high fat content with a decrease in the level of protein in milk may indicate the onset of ketosis in the body of a dairy cow, especially in the early stages of lactation, when the level of feeding does not allow to meet the energy needs of animals necessary to ensure lactation of animals.
In this case, animals are forced to use internal fat reserves to form milk fat (Zhang et al., 2012; Duffield, 2003).

In addition to the need for constant monitoring of milk urea and milk protein, many studies show the need for constant monitoring of the level of ketone bodies in milk as the main indicator of ketosis in the animal’s body (Enjalbert et al., 2001; Baticz et al., 2002; Zarrin et al., 2014; Fomenko and Serova, 2013).

In the blood, urine and milk of high-yielding cows, ketone bodies can also accumulate, which are formed as a result of ketosis of various etiologies. So, when feeding ketogenic feed (poor quality silage, moldy feed), ketone bodies are formed during the metabolism of butyric acid in the feed.

At the moment, there are about 5 million head of breeding stock of cattle in the Republic of Kazakhstan, with the average level of productivity being 2500 kg. The average output of calves in the republic is from 46 to 78 heads per 100 heads of broodstock. These indicators indicate the problems of reproductive health on a national scale, and can lead to a complete loss of dairy cows and constant dependence on the supply of replacement animals from abroad. The reason for this decrease in the calf yield can be of various kinds, ranging from an imbalance in feed, a low level of livestock management, non-observance of artificial insemination techniques, diseases of the reproductive system and an unsatisfactory state of animal health in general. But the main problems lie in the feeding technology. An imbalance in diets for basic nutrients, an excess of protein in concentrate diets, and poor quality of basic forage entail significant disturbances in the metabolism of animals and lead to early culling of animals.

Monitoring the level of feeding and the state of metabolism will significantly save funds for the treatment of clinical diseases, preserve reproductive indicators, improve the reproduction of young animals, reduce the level of lost products and extend the terms of economic use of animals.

The research results presented in this work were carried out within the framework of grant financing of the program of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan under the budget program 217 “Development of Science”, subprogram 102 “Grant financing of scientific research”, according to the priority “Sustainable development of the agro-industrial complex and safety of agricultural products” under the project AR08956241 “Indicators of the usefulness of rations for feeding dairy cows”.

The aim of the research was to study the level of milk production, the composition of milk of cows, feeding and the content of basic nutrients in the diets of the basic farm.

In the course of the research, the set goal was achieved, and the results are presented in this article.

2. Material and Methods

The research was carried out in LLP “Dairy farm” Aina “located in the Kenesarinsky rural district, Burabay district, Akmola region, 30 kilometers north of Shchuchinsk in 2020.

The farm breeds purebred Holstein cattle with a high genetic potential for productivity. The milking herd was formed in 2009 on the basis of 600 heads of Holstein-Friesian heifers imported from Hungary, as well as 65 heads of Holsteinized heifers of Ukrainian selection from Ukraine in 2015. Today the livestock numbers about 1,500 head of cattle, of which there are about 900 breeders. On the territory of the farm there are: 3 cowsheds for keeping cows, an insemination room, a milking parlor with a parallel installation of the Delaval company, in which 48 cows are milked for one milking, the ABK, where the manager’s office, livestock technician, accounting is located, and a mini-hotel with dining room and lounges. Dairy bases are equipped with auto-drinkers, ventilation, plumbing, electric lighting, manure removal mechanisms, and a milking installation. The structure of the herd in Aina Dairy Farm LLP is presented in Table 1.

According to Table 1, it can be seen that in the structure of the herd of Aina Dairy Farm LLP, the proportion of the broodstock is 60%, the young stock is 34%, and the fattening group is 6%.

Sampling of feed was carried out in accordance with the requirements of GOST 27262-87 (1987). Sample preparation for analysis was carried out in accordance with GOST ISO 6498-2014 (2014).

Determination of dry matter was carried out by a two-stage determination method according to GOST 31640-2012 (2012). Feeds. Methods for determination of dry matter content.

Studies of the chemical composition of feed were carried out using an NIRSDS-2500 infrared analyzer manufactured by FOSS Analytical (Denmark). Indicators of the content of nitrogen-free extractives (NFE), Exchange energy and feed units were carried out by calculation method.

The content of nitrogen-free extractives was calculated by the Formula 1:

\[
NFE = 1000 - (CP + CF + CCF + CRA)
\]  

NFE - the content of nitrogen-free extractive substances, g per 1 kg;  
CP - is the content of crude protein, g in 1 kg of dry matter;  
CF - content of crude fat, g in 1 kg of dry matter;  
CCF - content of crude fiber, g in 1 kg of dry matter;  
CRA - the content of raw ash, g in 1 kg of dry matter.

The calculation of the content of exchangeable energy (EE) in roughage (hay, hay cutting, haylage, straw, silage up to 50% moisture, and other roughage) was determined.

Table 1. The structure of the herd in LLP “Dairy farm” Aina.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Livestock</th>
<th>Womb</th>
<th>Young animals</th>
<th>fattening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holstein</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by the Formula 2 developed by the All-Union Scientific Research Institute of Animal Husbandry:

$$EE = 0.0212\times CP + 0.020486\times CF + 0.00159\times CCF + 0.0105\times NFE$$ (2)

NFE - the content of nitrogen-free extractive substances, g per 1 kg;

CP - is the content of crude protein, g in 1 kg of dry matter;

CF - content of crude fat, g in 1 kg of dry matter;

CCF - content of crude fiber, g in 1 kg of dry matter;

The calculation of the content of metabolizable energy in succulent feed (root crops, high moisture silage) was determined by the Formula 3:

$$EE = 0.0151\times CP + 0.01378\times CF + 0.00328\times CCF + 0.01265\times NFE$$ (3)

The calculation of the content of metabolizable energy in concentrated feed (grain of cereals and legumes, turf, flour) was determined by the Formula 4:

$$EE = 0.02085\times CP + 0.01715\times CF - 0.001865\times CCF + 0.01226\times NFE$$ (4)

The calculation of the content of exchangeable energy in the technical waste of the processing industry (oilcakes, meal, grains, gruels, dry root crops, bran, etc.) was determined according to GOST R 53900-2010 (2010) according to the Formula 5:

$$EE = 0.02157\times CP + 0.01667\times CF - 0.003772\times CCF + 0.01074\times NFE$$ (5)

Milk sampling was carried out in accordance with the requirements of ST RK ISO 707-2011 (2011, ISO 707: 2008, IDT) Milk and dairy products. Sampling Guide.

The study of the chemical composition of milk was carried out in the laboratory.

Testing center LLP “Kazakh Research Institute of Livestock and Forage Production” on the CombiFossFT + milk analyzer manufactured by FOSS Analytical (Denmark) in accordance with GOST 32255-2013 (2013).

When carrying out work on the analysis of the chemical composition of milk, the chemical composition and nutritional value of feed, the corresponding interstate and state standards were used.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Milk productivity and milk composition were determined in the dairy farm on the basis of monthly control milking data, where milk samples were taken from 483 dairy cows. The results of chemical analysis and daily milk yield are shown in Table 2.

According to Table 2, it can be seen that the indicators of milk productivity of the dairy herd of LLP “Dairy farm” Aina “are characteristic of the Holstein breed standard. The management of the herd, judging by the content of fat and protein, their ratio and the content of somatic cells, is carried out at a fairly high level. However, a high urea content in milk can signal a high crude protein content in the diet. Table 3 shows the analysis of the ratio of fat and protein in the milk of dairy cows of the basic farm.

Based on the experience of the dairy laboratories in the USA and Canada, it can be said that the overall health of the herd is within the normal range. However, the ranking of the herd according to this indicator shows a tendency for this ratio to shift towards less than 1:1, which often occurs with a diet rich in energy and poor structure (concentrate type of feeding). In this case, it is necessary to correctly distribute the compound feed in accordance with the productivity.

When interpreting the ratio of fat to protein in the first third of lactation, one should take into account that both the threat of ketosis (with a high rate) (Duffield, 2000) and the threat of rumen acidosis (with a low rate) (Kleen et al., 2003) are possible.

The risks of acidosis are also confirmed by the data in Table 4 – all dairy cattle of the basic farm have urea in milk above the threshold value determined at 30 mg / 100 ml (Gustafsson and Palmquist, 1993), according to the adopted standard in Western Europe, North America and etc. This picture is due to a greater extent to the concentrate type of feeding, and, accordingly, the high content of crude protein in the diet of dairy cows.

An important indicator of the health of the udder of lactating animals is the content of somatic cells in milk, the counting results of which are shown in Table 5.

According to the table, it can be generally said that the situation in the dairy herd of Aina Dairy Farm LLP in terms of the incidence of mastitis is generally favorable: the share of conventionally healthy animals in general exceeds 95%, the number of subclinically sick animals is about 2.5%, clinically sick ± 1.7%. The average content of somatic cells in the milk of a dairy herd is 230.5 cells per milliliter.

According to the data of daily milk yield and the content of the main components of milk, a correlation analysis was carried out, the results of which are shown in Table 6.

As can be seen from the data in the table, a generally accepted picture is observed in the dairy herd of Aina Dairy Farm LLP: there is a weak negative relationship at the level of - 0.1 between the level of milk yield and the content of protein and fat in milk, and there is a slight positive relationship between butterfat and milk protein.

### Table 2. The chemical composition of milk and the content of somatic cells in the milk of dairy cows LLP “Dairy farm” Aina.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Daily milk yield, kg / head</th>
<th>Fat%</th>
<th>Protein%</th>
<th>fat / protein</th>
<th>The number of somatic cells, thousand units / ml</th>
<th>Urea, Mg / 100 ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>230.5</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2 422.0</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The analysis of individual milk samples taken from the dairy herd of Aina Dairy Farm LLP shows that the content of the main components of milk is within the physiological norm. However, ranking the flock by fat / protein ratio tends to bias this ratio towards less than 1.1:1, which often occurs with a diet rich in energy and poor structure (concentrate feeding). A fat / protein ratio of less than 1 may indicate a threat of rumen acidosis (if the value is low).

To normalize the feeding of a dairy herd, it is necessary to increase the proportion of coarse and succulent feed in the diet and to improve the quality of the main feed - silage and haylage, by observing the technology of laying raw materials in trenches, the timing of harvesting green mass, using the appropriate varieties and hybrids capable of growing in a short growing season.

For the full realization of the genetic potential of livestock dairy productivity, it is necessary, first of all, to have a complete balanced feeding. Highly productive animals need quality feeding, otherwise they will not be able to give the amount of milk that can be obtained from them. Therefore, the problem of feeding dairy cattle in dairy farms is one of the most urgent (Kholkin, 2003). The farm is fully self-sufficient in feed, but part of the feed, primarily premixes and concentrated protein feeds such as soybean and rapeseed meal are purchased. Zootechnical analysis of the chemical composition and nutritional value of the feed was carried out according to the norms and rations for feeding farm animals (Kalashnikov et al., 2003).

The study of rations for feeding dairy cows LLP “Dairy farm” Aina “showed that concentrate-silage-haylage type of feeding is used. The diet basically meets all of the animal's nutritional and energy needs. As can be seen from the data in Table 8, the ration contains an excess of dry matter by 16.2%, crude protein by 9.8%, and starch by 29.4%. Conversely, the sugar content is deficient: -72.9%. Thus, it can be noted that the feeding ration on the farm is typical for the majority of dairy farms in our republic with a predominance of concentrated feed in terms of nutritional value (about 50%). To balance this type of ration, it is necessary to improve the quality of the main feed, namely silage and haylage. Silage quality remains poor. For the future, it is necessary to recommend the farm to harvest higher quality silage, with a dry matter content of at least 40%.

In dairy cattle breeding, a clear organization of systematic control of the level of milk production, the completeness of feeding, the state of metabolism in the body of animals and the quality of products is necessary. Control of the usefulness of the rations is carried out by zootechnical and veterinary-biochemical methods. The main methods of control over the completeness of animal feeding are: analysis of the quality of feed, balance

---

### Table 3. Ratios of fat and protein in milk of dairy cows of the basic farm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fat / protein</th>
<th>Number of cows in the current month</th>
<th>As a percentage of livestock,%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5 and more</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1-1.5</td>
<td>210.00</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1.1</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. The content of urea in milk of a dairy herd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urea content in milk, mg / 100 ml</th>
<th>Number of cows in the current month</th>
<th>As a percentage of livestock,%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 and more</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Analysis of the number of somatic cells in the milk of dairy cows LLP “Dairy farm” Aina”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of somatic cells, thousand / ml</th>
<th>Number of cows in the current month</th>
<th>As a percentage of livestock,%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-500</td>
<td>163.00</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501-1000</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001 and more</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. The relationship between the daily milk yield and the content of the main components of milk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Daily milk yield, kg</th>
<th>Fat,%</th>
<th>Protein,%</th>
<th>Urea, mg / 100 g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily milk yield, kg</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat,%</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>+ 1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein,%</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>+ 0.05</td>
<td>+ 1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea, mg / 100 g</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>+ 0.04</td>
<td>+ 0.1</td>
<td>+ 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is practically no connection between the urea content and the milk yield (-0.002), which gives reason to believe that the supply of raw protein and metabolic energy in the diets is more influenced by the content of urea in milk.
of diets, the state of metabolism; study of body responses, biochemical parameters of blood, urine, milk, etc.

Aina Dairy Farm LLP, where the research was carried out, has a sufficient amount of natural forage lands to comply with the technological conditions for feeding and keeping highly productive cows.

In the dairy herd of Aina Dairy Farm LLP, a generally accepted picture is observed: between the level of milk yield and the content of protein and fat in milk, there is a weak negative relationship at the level of -0.1, and there is a slight positive relationship between fat and milk content.

There is practically no connection between the urea content and the milk yield (-0.002), which gives reason to believe that the supply of raw protein and metabolic energy in the diets is more influenced by the content of urea in milk.

The analysis of individual milk samples taken from the dairy herd of Aina Dairy Farm LLP shows that the content of the main components of milk is within the physiological norm. However, ranking the flock by fat / protein ratio tends to bias this ratio towards less than 1.1:1, which often occurs with a diet rich in energy and poor structure (concentrate feeding). A fat / protein ratio of less than 1 may indicate a threat of rumen acidosis (if the value is low). Risks of acidosis are also supported by the data in Table 5 - the urea content in milk is above the threshold defined at 30 mg / 100 ml, and is caused by the high content of crude protein in the diet of dairy cows.

Table 7. Chemical composition and nutritional value of basic feeds per 1 kg of dry matter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of feed</th>
<th>Dry matter, %</th>
<th>Crude protein, g</th>
<th>Crude fat, g</th>
<th>Crude fiber, g</th>
<th>Crude ash, g</th>
<th>Starch, g</th>
<th>NFE, g</th>
<th>Feed units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>79.74</td>
<td>125.20</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>436.76</td>
<td>604.07</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silage</td>
<td>37.69</td>
<td>31.66</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>137.07</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>163.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haylage</td>
<td>42.05</td>
<td>34.90</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>151.34</td>
<td>33.72</td>
<td>38.77</td>
<td>180.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>88.66</td>
<td>61.17</td>
<td>23.94</td>
<td>285.74</td>
<td>70.66</td>
<td>27.48</td>
<td>445.06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barda dry</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>301.25</td>
<td>34.38</td>
<td>114.71</td>
<td>45.41</td>
<td>124.30</td>
<td>408.91</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>85.18</td>
<td>347.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>61.59</td>
<td>62.27</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>380.43</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Diet for feeding dairy cows with a live weight of 600 kg and a productivity of 25 kg of milk per day, milk fat content 3.8%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of feed</th>
<th>Daily rate, kg</th>
<th>Dry matter, kg</th>
<th>Crude protein, g</th>
<th>Crude fat, g</th>
<th>Crude fiber, g</th>
<th>Crude ash, g</th>
<th>Starch, g</th>
<th>Sugar, g</th>
<th>NFE, g</th>
<th>Feed units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain mixture (wheat + barley)</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>676.1</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>152.9</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>2358.5</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>3262.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>903.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>161.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>989.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haylage</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>558.4</td>
<td>322.9</td>
<td>2421.4</td>
<td>539.6</td>
<td>620.3</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>2877.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silage</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>506.5</td>
<td>253.3</td>
<td>2193.2</td>
<td>459.5</td>
<td>201.4</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>2507.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>571.5</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>890.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barda dry</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>602.5</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>229.4</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>248.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>817.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total in the diet norm</td>
<td>45.60</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>3369.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5728.5</td>
<td>1487.9</td>
<td>3490.5</td>
<td>484.4</td>
<td>11344.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of feed</th>
<th>Daily rate, kg</th>
<th>Dry matter, kg</th>
<th>Crude protein, g</th>
<th>Crude fat, g</th>
<th>Crude fiber, g</th>
<th>Crude ash, g</th>
<th>Starch, g</th>
<th>Sugar, g</th>
<th>NFE, g</th>
<th>Feed units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total in the diet norm</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>3069.1</td>
<td>3985.0</td>
<td>2696.7</td>
<td>1785.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>230.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation from the norm,%</td>
<td>+16.2</td>
<td>+9.8</td>
<td>+43.8</td>
<td>+29.4</td>
<td>-72.9</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Research by (Filinskaya and Kevorkyan, 2018) to control the nutritional value of highly productive cows, carried out on a Holstein breed with a daily milk yield of 46 kg at OOO Plemzavod Rodina, showed that the content of crude protein was 18.66%, the energy concentration was 11.6 Mj, which is close to normal, the total amount of fiber in the analyzed ration of the farm is below the norm (is 13.34%) and the content of NDK, FDC in the dry matter of the ration does not exceed the recommended values. Nevertheless, thanks to the organization of full-fledged feeding of cows, a regular comprehensive assessment of the efficiency of feed intake and digestibility, along with other organizational solutions, the Rodina breeding plant LLC achieved high indicators of cow productivity (average annual milk yield per cow in 2017 - 12.216 kg).

Similar studies were obtained by (Papusha, 2018) carried out on black-and-white cows of the brood herd of Viktorovskoye LLP, Kostanay region, to study urea in milk as an indicator of the usefulness of feeding cows. The results of the work showed that 44.1% of cows in the studied herd are unproductively using feed protein, protein conversion is inefficient, which leads to overconsumption of concentrated feed, a decrease in the level of urea in milk (below 15 mg /%) leads to a decrease in reproductive functions and productivity of cows per 1085.7 kg of milk, or 17.4% of the average productivity of the herd, first-heifers and cows that are 6 months of lactation, in which an increased content of urea in milk (more than 30 mg /%) is detected, it is necessary to adjust the diet and provide a balanced diet.

In further studies, it is necessary to establish the influence of different levels of crude protein on the content of urea in milk, to establish the optimal parameters of urea in milk and crude protein in feeding rations.

4. Conclusions

1. The analysis of individual milk samples taken from the dairy herd of Aina Dairy Farm LLP shows that the content of the main components of milk is within the physiological norm. However, ranking the flock by fat / protein ratio tends to bias this ratio towards less than 1.1: 1, which often occurs with a diet rich in energy and poor structure (concentrate feeding). A fat / protein ratio of less than 1 may indicate a threat of rumen acidosis (if the value is low);
2. The study of the rations of feeding dairy cows LLP “Dairy farm” Aina showed that the concentrate-silage-haylage type of feeding is used. The diet basically meets all of the animal’s nutritional and energy needs;
3. The ration contains an excess of dry matter by 16.2%, crude protein by 9.8%, starch by 29.4%. The sugar content, on the contrary, is in short supply: -72.9%, the feeding ration on the farm is typical for the majority of dairy farms in our republic with a predominance of concentrated feed in terms of nutritional value (about 50%);
4. To normalize the feeding of a dairy herd, it is necessary to increase the proportion of coarse and succulent feed in the diet and to improve the quality of the main feed - silage and haylage, by observing the technology of laying raw materials in trenches, the timing of harvesting green mass, using the appropriate varieties and hybrids capable of growing in a short the growing season;
5. The use of milk indicators as indicators of the completeness of feeding is quite justified, since already at the initial stage of research, the influence of the level of crude protein on the content of urea in milk was established, as well as a shift in the fat / protein ratio of less than 1:1: 1 with a high proportion of concentrated feed in diet.
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